# On the convergence of linear martingales

By ISTVÁN FAZEKAS (Debrecen)

### § 1. Introduction

The following generalization of the notion of martingale has been studied by MACQUEEN [7]. Let  $(\xi_t, F_t, t=1, 2, ...)$  be an adapted sequence of random variables and suppose that

(1) 
$$E\{\xi_s|F_{s-1}\} = a_1\xi_{s-1} + \dots + a_m\xi_{s-m}$$

for s>m, where m is a fixed positive integer and  $a_1, ..., a_m$  are non-random coefficients. From equation (1) we get that

(2) 
$$\xi_s = a_1 \xi_{s-1} + \dots + a_m \xi_{s-m} + \delta_s,$$

where  $\delta_s$  is a martingale difference. This autoregressive scheme is widely studied in the literature. Deep investigations have been devoted in particular to the stationarity of the process  $\xi_s$  in the Gaussian case (see [1], p. 108). However, it has been pointed out in [7], that the process  $\xi_s$  is more closely related to a martingale than a stationary

process, if the coefficients  $a_k$  are positive and  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_k = 1$ . In this special case classical martingale convergence theorems of Doob are true for  $\xi_s$  (see [7], Section 3).

The aim of this paper is to give a new proof for the results of MacQueen (part

(a) and (b) of our Theorem 3). Part (c) of Theorem 3 is new.

Our method is the following: we reduce our problem with the help of the transformation described in (6) and (7) to the study of an m-dimensional process  $X_t$ for which

(3) 
$$E\{X_t|F_{t-1}\} = \Lambda X_{t-1}.$$

We prove some general results for the vector-valued process X, (Theorems 1 and 2) which imply the convergence of  $\xi_t$ .

#### § 2. Definitions and preliminary remarks

Definition 1. Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a probability space,  $F_s$  (s=1, 2, ...) an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -subalgebras of F and  $\xi_s$  (s=1, 2, ...) real random variables (r.v.'s) defined on  $(\Omega, F, P)$ . We call the process  $(\xi_s, F_s, s=1, 2, ...)$  a linear martingale if  $\xi_s$  is  $F_s$ -meas-

100 I. Fazekas

urable,  $E|\xi_s| < \infty$  for every s and

(4) 
$$E\{\xi_s|F_{s-1}\} = a_1(s)\xi_{s-1} + \dots + a_m(s)\xi_{s-m}$$

for all s>m, where m is a fixed positive integer, and  $a_k(s)$  (k=1, ..., m; s>m) are nonnegative non-random coefficients for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k(s) = 1$  (s>m). (We suppose that there exists an s for which  $a_m(s) \neq 0$ .)

From equation (4) it follows that

(5) 
$$\zeta_s = a_1(s) \zeta_{s-1} + \ldots + a_m(s) \zeta_{s-m} + \delta_s,$$

where  $\delta_s = \xi_s - E\{\xi_s | F_{s-1}\}\$  is a martingale difference. If the initial r.v.'s  $\xi_1, ..., \xi_m$ , the coefficients

$$a_k(s)$$
  $(k = 1, ..., m; s = m+1, m+2, ...)$ 

and the martingale difference  $(\delta_s, F_s, s=m+1, m+2, ...)$  are given, then there exists a process  $(\xi_s, F_s, s=1, 2, ...)$  satisfying (5) and thus also (4).

In order to study the convergence properties of the process  $\xi_t$  we introduce the *m*-dimensional vectors

(6) 
$$X_t = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_t \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{t-m+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_t = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_t \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a matrix of type  $m \times m$ :

(7) 
$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(t) & \dots & a_m(t) \\ 1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With these notations we have

(8) 
$$E\{X_t|F_{t-1}\} = \Lambda(t)X_{t-1}$$
 and

$$(9) X_t = \Lambda(t)X_{t-1} + \Delta_t$$

for t>m.

Remark 1. This transformation is widely used to study discrete time autoregressive processes ([1], p. 109).

For the sake of brevity a process  $(X_t, F_t, t=1, 2, ...)$  with property (8) will be called a  $\Lambda$ -martingale:

Definition 2. An adapted m-dimensional stochastic process  $(X_t, F_t, t=1, 2, ...)$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -martingale if  $X_t$  is integrable and  $E\{X_{t+1}|F_t\} = \Lambda(t+1)X_t$  for t=1, 2, ..., where  $\Lambda(t)$  is a given non-random matrix (t=1, 2, ...). (Equivalently,  $(X_t, F_t)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -martingale if (9) is satisfied, where  $(\Delta_t, F_t)$  is a martingale difference.)

From equation (9) one easily deduces that  $X_t$  has the following representation

(10) 
$$X_t = A(t, s)X_s + \sum_{u=s+1}^t A(t, u)\Delta_u \quad (t > s),$$

where the matrices A(t, u) are the solutions of the equations

(11) 
$$A(t, u) = A(t)A(t-1, u) \text{ for } t > u,$$
$$A(u, u) = I \text{ (the identity matrix)}.$$

Equations (10) and (11) can be considered as the discrete analogues of the solution of a stochastic differential equation (see Theorem 4.2.4 of [4]).

In the sequel | | . | denotes the norm of a vector or a matrix.

## § 3. Convergence of A-martingales

We shall prove that under certain conditions the classical martingale convergence theorems of Doob are true for A-martingales. We assume that the limit

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}A(t,u)=A(u)$$

exists for every u=1, 2, ...

Let us introduce the accompanying martingale of  $X_t$ :

$$Y_t = \sum_{u=1}^t A(u) \Delta_u,$$

where  $\Delta_1 = X_1$ .

**Lemma 1.** If the  $\Lambda$ -martingale  $(X_t, F_t)$  is bounded in  $L_{\alpha}$   $(\alpha \ge 1)$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{t} E \|X_{t}\|^{\alpha} \leq c < \infty,$$

then its accompanying martingale  $(Y_t, F_t)$  is also bounded in  $L_a$ :

$$\sup E\|Y_t\|^{\alpha}\leq c.$$

PROOF. Let us consider the following martingale:

$$Y_{t,s} = \sum_{u=1}^{s} A(t,u) \Delta_{u}$$

for  $1 \le s \le t$ , where t is fixed. For the submartingale  $||Y_{t,s}||^{\alpha}$   $(1 \le s \le t)$  we have

$$E\|Y_{t,s}\|^{\alpha} \leq E\|Y_{t,t}\|^{\alpha} = E\|X_{t}\|^{\alpha} \leq c$$

for every  $s \le t$ . Since

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}Y_{t,s}=\sum_{u=1}^sA(u)\Delta_u=Y_s$$

for every fixed s, we get by Fatou's lemma  $E||Y_s||^{\alpha} \le c$ .

102 I. Fazekas

In addition to assumption (12), we need the following stability condition for the solution of equation (11):

(13) 
$$||A(t, u) - A(u)|| \le c_{t-u} \quad (t \ge u),$$
 where  $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_s < \infty$ .

In the sequel, we suppose that there exists a positive function  $C_1(\omega)$  for which (14)  $C_1(\omega) \|\Delta_u(\omega)\| \leq \|A(u)\Delta_u(\omega)\|$ 

for every  $u \ge 1$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X_t, F_t, t=1, 2, ...)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -martingale satisfying conditions (13) and (14).

(a) If  $\sup_{t} E \|X_{t}\| < c < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_{t} = X_{\infty}$  almost surely (a.s.) and  $E \|X_{\infty}\| < \infty$ 

(b)  $X_t$  converges in  $L_1$  as  $t \to \infty$  if and only if the family  $\{X_t; t=1, 2, ...\}$  is uniformly integrable.

PROOF. (a) Let  $Y_t$  be the accompanying martingale of  $X_t$ . By Lemma 1  $\sup_t E \|Y_t\| < c$ . It follows from Doob's theorem (see [5], p. 319) that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} Y_t = Y_{\infty}$  a.s. and  $E \|Y_{\infty}\| < \infty$ . Condition (13) implies that

(15) 
$$||X_{t}-Y_{t}|| = ||\sum_{u=1}^{t} [A(t,u)-A(u)]\Delta_{u}|| \le \sum_{u=1}^{t} c_{t-u}||\Delta_{u}|| =$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} ||\Delta_{t-s}|| c_{s} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} ||\Delta_{t-s}|| c_{s} + \sum_{s=n+1}^{t-1} ||\Delta_{t-s}|| c_{s}.$$

It has been proved that the series  $\sum_{u=1}^{r} A(u) \Delta_u = Y_r$  is convergent a.s. Therefore by (14)  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta_u(\omega) = 0$  and  $\sup_{u} \|\Delta_u(\omega)\| \le c(\omega) < \infty$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Now inequal-

ity (15) and condition  $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_s < \infty$  together imply that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||X_t - Y_t|| = 0$  a.s. So, in view of  $\lim_{t\to\infty} Y_t = Y_\infty$  a.s. we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t = X_\infty = Y_\infty$  a.s.

(b) Uniform integrability implies that  $\sup_{t} E||X_{t}|| < c < \infty$ . By part (a) of this theorem  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_{t} = X_{\infty}$  a.s. hence  $X_{t}$  converges also in  $L_{1}$  because of the uniform integrability.

Conversely, convergence in  $L_1$  always implies uniform integrability.

Remark 2. If  $A(t, u) \rightarrow A(u)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then the accompanying martingale  $Y_t$  has the form  $Y_t = A(t)X_t$ ,  $t \ge 1$ . Indeed, by (10) and (11)

$$A(s,t)X_t = \sum_{u=1}^t A(s,t)A(t,u)\Delta_u = \sum_{u=1}^t A(s,u)\Delta_u^{\frac{1}{t}}$$

for s > t, where  $\Delta_1 = X_1$ . If s tends to infinity we get  $Y_t = A(t)X_t$ .

Remark 3. (a) Under conditions (13) and (14) uniform integrability of  $X_t$  implies uniform integrability of  $Y_t$ . Indeed, from equation

$$E\{X_{u+t}|F_u\} = A(t,u)X_u$$

for  $t \to \infty$  we get

$$E\{X_{\infty}|F_u\} = A(u)X_u = Y_u.$$

- (b) If in (14)  $C_1(\omega) = C_1 = \text{const.}$ , then the uniform integrability of  $Y_t$  implies the uniform integrability of  $X_t$ . Indeed, by the proof of Theorem  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||X_t Y_t|| = 0$  in  $L_1$ .
- (c) The decomposition  $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$  is the (unique) Riesz decomposition of the uniformly integrable  $\Lambda$ -martingale  $X_t$ .

Remark 4. Let B be a Banach space with the Radon—Nikodym property (cf. [2]). Theorem 1 is valid for B-valued  $\Lambda$ -martingales too.

Theorem 2. Let  $(X_t, F_t)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -martingale. Suppose that  $||A(t, u)|| \le K$  for  $t \ge u$ . Assume that conditions (12) and (14) hold and in (14)  $C_1(\omega) = C_1 = const$ . If  $\sup_t E ||X_t||^{\alpha} < \infty$ , where  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = X_{\infty}$  in  $L_{\alpha}$  (and a.s.).

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\sup_{t\to\infty} E\|Y_t\|^{\alpha} < \infty$  for the accompanying martingale  $Y_t$ . By the theorem of Doob  $\lim_{t\to\infty} Y_t = Y_{\infty}$  in  $L_{\alpha}$ . From this and from the inequality of Burkholder (cf. [3], p. 384) we infer that

$$E \Big\| \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} A(t, u) \Delta_{u} \Big\|^{\alpha} \leq B_{1} E \Big( \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} \|A(t, u) \Delta_{u}\|^{2} \Big)^{\alpha/2} \leq$$

$$\leq B_{1} K^{\alpha} E \Big( \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} \|\Delta_{u}\|^{2} \Big)^{\alpha/2} \leq B_{1} K^{\alpha} C_{1}^{-\alpha} E \Big( \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} \|A(u) \Delta_{u}\|^{2} \Big)^{\alpha/2} \leq$$

$$\leq B_{1} K^{\alpha} C_{1}^{-\alpha} B_{2}^{-1} E \Big\| \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} A(u) \Delta_{u} \Big\|^{\alpha} = B_{1} K^{\alpha} C_{1}^{-\alpha} B_{2}^{-1} E \|Y_{t} - Y_{s}\|^{\alpha} < \varepsilon$$

if s > s, for every t > s.

Finally, from equality

$$X_t - Y_{\infty} = (Y_s - Y_{\infty}) + (\sum_{u=1}^s A(t, u) \Delta_u - Y_s) + \sum_{u=s+1}^t A(t, u) \Delta_u$$

we get the desired convergence.

### § 4. Convergence of linear martingales

Let  $(\xi_t, F_t, t=1, 2, ...)$  be a linear martingale. Assume that the coefficients  $a_k(s)$  do not depend on  $s: a_k(s) = a_k, s > m, k = 1, 2, ..., m$ . Let d be the greatest common divisor of those integers k for which  $a_k > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let us suppose that d=1.

(a) If  $\sup E|\xi_t| < \infty$ , then  $\xi_t$  converges almost surely as  $t \to \infty$ .

(b)  $\xi_t$  converges in  $L_1$  as  $t \to \infty$  iff the family  $\{\xi_t: t=1, 2, ...\}$  is uniformly integrable.

(c) Let  $\alpha > 1$ . If  $\sup E|\xi_t|^{\alpha} < \infty$ , then  $\xi_t$  converges in  $L_{\alpha}$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

**PROOF.** In § 2 we have constructed the  $\Lambda$ -martingale X(t), the matrix  $\Lambda(t)$ and the martingale difference  $\Delta_t$  corresponding to  $\xi_t$ . By the conditions of our theorem  $\Lambda = \Lambda(t)$  is the transition matrix of a non-decomposable acyclic Markov chain with m states. From the theory of Markov chains it is well known that the elements of the matrices  $A(t, u) = A^{t-u}$  converge exponentially fast to the elements of the matrix  $A(u)=A=(a_{ij})$  as  $t\to\infty$ , where  $a_{ij}=p_j$  (i,j=1,...,m) and  $p_j=$  $=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i / \sum_{i=1}^{m} i a_i$  (j=1,...,m) is the unique stationary distribution of the Mar-

Therefore conditions (13) and (14) are true. Thus Theorems 1 and 2 imply the present result.

Remark 5. The first component of the martingale Y:

$$\eta_t = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=k}^m a_i \right) \xi_{t+1-k} \right) / \sum_{i=1}^m i a_i$$

can be regarded as an accompanying martingale of  $\xi_t$ .

Remark 6. (a) In the case of d>1 Theorem 3 implies the convergence of the

subsequences  $\xi_i, \xi_{i+d}, \xi_{i+2d}, \dots$  for every  $1 \le i < d$ . (b) Let  $(\xi_t, F_t)$  and  $(\eta_t, G_t)$  be independent martingales and suppose that  $\lim \xi_t \neq \lim \eta_t$ . The linear martingale  $\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2, \dots$  is not convergent (case d > 1).

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Professor Yu. A. ROZANOV for his support during the preparation of this paper and Professor C. C. HEYDE for references [6] and [7].

#### References

- [1] M. ARATÓ, Linear Stochastic Systems with Constant Coefficients, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982).
- [2] S. D. Chatterji, Martingale convergence and the Radon—Nikodym theorem in Banach spaces, Math. Scand. 22 (1968), 21-41.
- [3] Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, Probability Theory, Springer-Verlag (New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1978).
- [4] M. H. A. Davis, Linear Estimation and Stochastic Control, Chapman and Hall Ltd. (London, 1977).

[5] J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, John Wiley & Sons (New York, 1953).

- [6] C. C. HEYDE, On a probabilistic analogue of the Fibonacci sequence, J. Appl. Prob. 17 (1980), 1079-1082.
- [7] J. B. MacQueen, A linear extension of the martingale convergence theorem, Ann. Prob. 1 (1973), 263-271.

(Received March 10, 1985)