

## On a theorem of Pisot

By I. KÖRNYEI (Budapest)

Let  $F$  be the field of the rational numbers, or an imaginary quadratic field. The algebraic integers in  $F$  form a discrete lattice. For a complex  $z$  let  $\|z\|$  denote the distance from  $z$  to the nearest algebraic integer in  $F$ .  $\|z\|$  is zero only for integers of  $F$ . The aim of this paper is to prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be distinct algebraic numbers,  $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ),  $p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x)$  be nonzero polynomials with complex coefficients. Then the relation*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k \right\| = 0$$

holds if and only if the following assertions are true:

- a) The numbers  $\alpha_i$  are algebraic integers.
- b) The coefficients of  $p_i(x)$  are elements of the algebraic extension  $F(\alpha_i)$ .
- c) If  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are conjugate elements over  $F$ , and the corresponding polynomials have the form

$$p_i(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{t_i} c_u^{(i)} x^u, \quad p_j(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{t_j} c_u^{(j)} x^u,$$

then  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  have the same degree,  $c_u^{(i)}$  and  $c_u^{(j)}$  are conjugate elements over  $F$  too, and for any such isomorphism  $\tau$  which is the identical mapping on  $F$  and  $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$ , the relations

$$\tau(c_u^{(i)}) = c_u^{(j)} \quad (u = 0, 1, \dots, t_i = t_j)$$

hold.

- d) All the conjugates of the  $\alpha_i$ -s not occurring in the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k$  have absolute value less than one.
- e) The sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}^* (p_i(k) \alpha_i^k)$$

are algebraic integers in  $F$  for every large  $k$  ( $\text{Tr}(\alpha)$  denotes the sum of conjugates of  $\alpha$  over  $F$ .) The asterisk in the sum denotes, that the summation is taken over non-conjugate  $\alpha_i$ -s.

This assertion is a generalization of a theorem due to PISOT ([1], [2], [3]).

*Remark 1.* The condition, that all traces  $\text{Tr}(p_i(k)\alpha_i^k)$  are integers for large  $k-s$ , is not necessary for the relation

$$\left\| \sum p_i(k)\alpha_i^k \right\| \rightarrow 0.$$

It holds for example

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{2})^k + \frac{1}{4}(3+\sqrt{6})^k \right\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

but  $\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{k})^k\right)$  and  $\text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}(3+\sqrt{6})^k\right)$  are not integers, since

$$\text{Tr}(((2u+1)+\sqrt{2v})^k) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \text{ if } v$$

is odd.

*Remark 2.* The relations  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_k\| = 0$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_k + \sum p_j(k)\beta_j^k\| = 0$$

are equivalent, when the absolute values of the  $\beta_j$ -s are less than one, independently of the property, that the  $\beta_j$ -s are algebraic or transcendental's.

If the relation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum p_i(k)\alpha_i^k \right\| = 0$$

is true and the  $\alpha_i$ -s with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$  are algebraic, then the conclusions *a-e* of theorem 1 hold for these  $\alpha_i$ -s, and inversely, if *a-e* hold for  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$ , then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum p_i(k)\alpha_i^k \right\| = 0.$$

It is easy to see that the first part of the theorem is true. The sums

$$d_k = \sum^* \text{Tr}(p_i(k)\alpha_i^k)$$

are algebraic integers in  $F$  according to the property e). It follows from b)—d), that

$$\left\| \sum p_i(k)\alpha_i^k \right\| \equiv |d_k - \sum p_i(k)\alpha_i^k| \equiv \sum_{|\alpha_i^{(j)}| < 1} |p_i^{(j)}(k)\alpha_i^{(j)k}|,$$

and so the relation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k)\alpha_i^k \right\| = 0$$

is true.

The proof of the second part of the theorem based on the following generalization of a lemma due to FATOU [5].

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that the polynomials  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  have no common root,  $q(0)=1$ , furthermore the Taylor-coefficients of the function  $p(x)/q(x)$  at the zero are algebraic integers in  $F$ . Then the coefficients of  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are algebraic integers in  $F$  too.*

At first we prove the lemma.

Let

$$\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k,$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k x^k, \quad p_n \neq 0,$$

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m q_k x^k, \quad q_m \neq 0.$$

Comparing the coefficients we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} p_i - c_i q_0 - c_{i-1} q_1 - \dots - c_0 q_i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i \leq \min(n, m), \\ p_i - c_i q_0 - c_{i-1} q_1 - \dots - c_{i-m} q_m &= 0, \quad \text{for } m \leq i \leq n, \\ 0 - c_i q_0 - c_{i-1} q_1 - \dots - c_{i-m} q_m &= 0, \quad \text{for } i > n. \end{aligned}$$

If the  $p_i^*, q_i^*$  are solutions of the equations in (2), than for the polynomials

$$p^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i^* x^i,$$

and

$$q^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m q_i^* x^i$$

hold the equations

$$p^*(x) = \mu p(x), \quad q^*(x) = \mu q(x),$$

with a constant  $\mu$ , since  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are relatively primes. So we have, that the solutions of the equations in (2) form a one-dimensional linear variety.

Since  $q(0)=1$ , there are constans  $\gamma_i, \gamma'_i$ , that

$$p_i = \gamma_i q_0, \quad q_i = \gamma'_i q_0$$

The  $\gamma_i$ -s and the  $\gamma'_i$ -s are elements of the field  $F$ , because of the Gaussian elimination, so the  $p_i$ -s and  $q_i$ -s are elements of the field  $F$ , because  $q_0=1$ .

It is remained to prove, if the coefficients of  $p(x), q(x)$  and  $p(x)/q(x)$  are integral in  $F$ , then  $q_0$ , the constant term, is a divisor of all the coefficients of  $q(x)$ . In that case the coefficients of  $p(x)$  are multiples of  $q_0$  too.

Since the polynomials with coefficients in a field form a Euclidean ring, there are polynomials, having integral coefficients, for which

$$p(x)u(x) + q(x)v(x) = b,$$

holds, where  $b$  is an algebraic integer in  $F$ . If  $p(x)/q(x)$  has integral coefficients, then so has it  $b/q(x)$ .

If  $q_0$  does not divide all the  $q_i$ -s, then there exists a prime ideal  $P$  of  $F$ , for which  $P^k$  is a divisor of  $q_0$ , but is not a divisor of  $q_i$  for at least one  $i$ .

Let  $k_i$  denote the greatest exponent, for which  $P^{k_i}/q_i$ .

Let  $k_u$  be the smallest  $k_i$ , and let  $j$  be the smallest index, for which  $k_u = k_j$ .

In  $F$  there exists an (integral) ideal  $A$ , for which  $AP^{k_j}$  is a principal ideal ( $\gamma$ ), and there exists an ideal  $B$ , for which  $BA$  is a principal ideal ( $\beta$ ), and  $A, B$  are relatively prime, and they are relatively prime to  $P$  too. In that case it is true that

$$(\beta q_i) = BA \cdot P^{k_j} P^{k_i - k_j} Q_i = (P^{k_j} A)(BP^{k_i - k_j} Q_i),$$

where the  $Q_i$ -s are (integral) ideals. Hence we have  $\beta q_i = \gamma \cdot \beta_i$ , where  $\beta_i$  is an algebraic integer in  $F$ . It is true that

$$b/(q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_m x^m) = \beta b/\gamma(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots + \beta_m x^m),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a divisor of  $\beta b$ :  $\beta b = \gamma g$ , ( $g$  is integral in  $F$ ). So, there is a rational fraction, the nominator of which is an integer  $b'$  in  $F$ , the denominator is a polynomial, having integral coefficients in  $F$ , and the Taylor-coefficients of the fraction are integers in  $F$ . There is a prime ideal  $P$ , for which  $P$  divides  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{j-1}$ , but does not divide  $\beta_j$ .

We prove that this is impossible.

For all integers  $b$  let  $r_b$  denote the exponent to which  $P$  appears in the unique factorization of  $(b)$ .

Let us consider all those integers  $b$  for which the Taylor-coefficients of  $b/(\beta_0 + \dots + \beta_m x^m)$  are integers in  $F$ . Let  $b^*$  be such an integer for which  $r_b$  takes on the minimal value.

Let

$$b^*/(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots + \beta_m x^m) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_k x^k + \dots$$

From (2) for  $i=j$  we have

$$\beta_0 c_j + \beta_1 c_{j-1} + \dots + \beta_j c_0 = 0.$$

Since  $P$  is a divisor of  $\beta_i$  for  $i=0, 1, \dots, j-1$  but not of  $\beta_j$ , therefore  $c_0$  is a multiple of  $P$ .

From (2) with  $i=j+1$  we have

$$\beta_0 c_{j+1} + \beta_1 c_j + \dots + \beta_j c_1 + \beta_{j+1} c_0 = 0.$$

$P$  divides  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{j-1}$  and  $c_0$ , but does not divide  $\beta_j$ , so  $P$  is a divisor of  $c_1$ . By induction it follows, that  $P$  divides all the  $c_i$ -s.

There exists an ideal  $A$ , for which  $AP$  is a principal ideal ( $\gamma$ ), and there exists an ideal  $B$ , such that  $BA$  is a principal ideal ( $\beta$ ),  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively prime, and they are relatively prime to  $P$ .

Taking into account the equalities

$$(\beta b^*) = BA P \tilde{B} = (AP) B \tilde{B} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\beta c_i) = BA P C_i = (AP)(B C_i),$$

where  $\tilde{B}$  and the  $C_i$ -s are integer ideals, we get that  $\gamma$  divides all  $\beta c_i$ -s and  $\beta b^*$ , and that the Taylor-coefficients of the fraction

$$\frac{\beta b^*}{\gamma} / (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots + \beta_m x^m)$$

are integers in  $F$ . But it contradicts to the choice of the integer  $b^*$ , since  $r_{b_1} \leq r_b - 1$  for  $b_1 = \beta b^* / \gamma$ . By this the proof of Lemma 1 is finished.

At present we begin the proof of the second part of the Theorem 1.

Let  $f_i(x)$  be a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha_i$  over  $F$  with integral coefficients.

Let  $t_i$  be the maximal degree of the polynomials  $p_j(x)$ , for which  $\alpha_j$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha_i$  over  $F$ .

We consider the product of the polynomials  $(f_i(x))^{t_i+1}$  for all non-conjugates  $\alpha_i$ .

Let  $F(x)$  denote this product:

$$F(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_T x^T.$$

The  $a_i$ -s are integral in  $F$ .

If  $z_k$  denote the sum

$$z_k = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k,$$

then we have

$$a_0 z_k + a_1 z_{k+1} + \dots + a_T z_{k+T} = 0, \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

Let us denote by  $E_k$  the integer in  $F$  nearest from  $z_k$ , and  $r_k$  be defined by

$$(6) \quad z_k = E_k + r_k$$

In this way we have

$$(7) \quad a_0 E_k + \dots + a_T E_{k+T} = -(a_0 r_k + \dots + a_T r_{k+T})$$

The left hand side of (7) is an integer in  $F$ , the right hand side is tending to zero as  $k$  tends to infinity. Since the integers in  $F$  form a discrete lattice, it is true that

$$(8) \quad a_0 r_k + \dots + a_T r_{k+T} = 0$$

when  $k$  is large enough. Let  $k_0$  be the smallest natural number for which (8) holds whenever  $k \geq k_0$ .

So the sequence  $r_k$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation. The characteristic polynomial of the sequence is the product of the minimal polynomials of the  $\alpha_i$ -s, so the roots of the characteristic polynomial of the sequence are the conjugates of the  $\alpha_i$ -s. In this case there are suitable polynomials  $q_i^{(j)}$ , that the representation

$$(9) \quad r_k = \sum_{i,j} q_i^{(j)}(k) (\alpha_i^{(j)})^k$$

is valid, where the  $\alpha_i^{(j)}$ -s are the conjugates of the  $\alpha_i$  and the degrees of the polynomials  $q_i^{(j)}$  are at most  $t_i$ .

Since  $r_k$  tends to zero, therefore  $q_i^{(j)}$  is identically zero for  $|\alpha_i^{(j)}| \geq 1$ .

The polynomials  $p_i(x)$  and  $q_i^{(j)}(x)$  have a representation in the form

$$(10) \quad p_i(x) = \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} c_u^{(i)} \binom{x-k_0+u-1}{u-1}$$

$$(11) \quad q_i^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} d_u^{(i,j)} \binom{x-k_0+u-1}{u-1}$$

Let the following rational function be considered

$$(12) \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} \frac{C_u^{(i)} \alpha_i^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i x)^u} - \sum_i \sum_j \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} \frac{d_u^{(i,j)} (\alpha_i^{(j)})^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i^{(j)} x)^u}.$$

Since the equation

$$\frac{1}{(1-\alpha x)^n} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \binom{v+n-1}{n-1} \alpha^v x^v$$

holds, we have from (10), (11), (12) and (13)

$$(14) \quad g(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} C_u^{(i)} \binom{v+u-1}{u-1} \right) \alpha_i^{v+k_0} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_j \left( \sum_{u=1}^{t_i+1} d_u^{(i,j)} \binom{v+u-1}{u-1} \right) (\alpha_i^{(j)})^{v+k_0} \right) x^v = \\ = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_j q_i^{(j)}(k) (\alpha_i^{(j)})^k \right) x^{k-k_0}.$$

The terms in (14) with  $|\alpha_i^{(j)}| \geq 1$  are identically zero, and the asterisk notes, that the sum is restricted to non-conjugates  $\alpha_i$ .

It follows from (6) and (9), that

$$(15) \quad g(x) = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} E_k x^{k-k_0}.$$

The function  $g(x)$  is a rational function, the Taylor-coefficients of which are integral in  $F$ .

The coefficients of the denominator

$$q(x) = \prod_i^* \prod_j (1-\alpha_i^{(j)} x)^{t_i+1}$$

are integral in  $F$  in consequence of the lemma, so the coefficients of the reciprocal polynomial of  $q(x)$

$$\tilde{q}(x) = x^T q\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

are integral in  $F$  too. So we proved, that the  $\alpha_i$ -s are algebraic integers, because the leading coefficient of  $\tilde{q}(x)$  is one. The roots of  $\tilde{q}(x)$ , except the  $\alpha_i$ -s, accuring in the sum  $\sum p_i(k) \alpha_i^k$ , have absolute values less than one.

So we finished the proof of the assertions a) and d) of theorem 1. Now we begin to prove b and c. It is sufficient to prove, that the coefficients  $c_u^{(i)}$  in (10) are elements of the field  $F(\alpha_i)$ . First we prove this for the highest coefficients.

The nominator of  $g(x)$  is a polynomial  $p(x)$  with integral coefficient. From (12) we have

$$(16) \quad c_{t_i+1}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_i^{k_0}} \lim_{x=1/\alpha_i} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \cdot (1-\alpha_i x)^{t_i+1} = \frac{p(1/\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{T-k_0-t_i-1}}{(f_i'(\alpha_i))^{t_i+1} \prod_{j \neq i}^* f_j(\alpha_i)^{t_j+1}},$$

Analogously we have

$$(17) \quad -d_{t_i+1}^{(i,j)} = \frac{p(1/\alpha_i^{(j)}) (\alpha_i^{(j)})^{T-k_0-t_i-1}}{(f_i'(\alpha_i^{(j)}))^{t_i+1} \prod_{k \neq i}^* f_k(\alpha_i^{(j)})^{t_k+1}}$$

It follows from (16) and (17), that  $-d_{t_i+1}^{(i,j)}$  are conjugates of  $c_{t_i+1}^{(i)}$ , and  $c_{t_i+1}^{(j)}$  is a conjugate of  $c_{t_i+1}^{(i)}$ , if  $\alpha_j$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha_i$ . So the degrees of  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are equals if  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are conjugate.

$$(18) \quad \tilde{g}(x) = \sum_i \left( \frac{c_{t_i+1}^{(i)} \alpha_i^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i x)^{t_i+1}} - \sum_j \frac{d_{t_i+1}^{(i,j)} (\alpha_i^{(j)})^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i^{(j)} x)^{t_i+1}} \right) \quad (\alpha_j = \text{conj. of } \alpha_i)$$

forms a rational function, having coefficients in  $F$ .

The difference

$$g_1(x) = g(x) - \tilde{g}(x)$$

is a rational function with coefficients in  $F$  too. From (18) we have the representation for  $g_1(x)$

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^{t_i} \frac{c_u^{(i)} \alpha_i^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i x)^u} - \sum_i \sum_j \sum_{u=1}^{t_i} \frac{d_u^{(i,j)} (\alpha_i^{(j)})^{k_0}}{(1-\alpha_i^{(j)} x)^u}.$$

So we can see the assertions for the coefficients  $c_u^{(i)}$  too. By repeating the argument used earlier we get, that all the  $c_u^{(i)}$ -s have the desired properties. So the proof of b) and c) is finished. The Taylor-coefficients of  $g(x)$  are the  $E_k$ -s, where the  $E_k$ -s are integers in  $F$ . It follows from the precedings and from the Taylor expansion of the functions staying in the right side of (12), that the  $E_k$ -s have the representations

$$E_k = \sum^* \text{Tr}(p_i(k) \alpha_i^k),$$

so we proved the assertion e) too.

The proofs of Theorem 1 and the Lemma 1 are modifications of the proofs in [5].

In Theorem 1 we assumed that the  $\alpha_i$ -s are algebraic. Leaving that assumption we can prove

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $z_k$  denote the sequence*

$$z_k = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k,$$

where the  $\alpha_i$ -s are different complex numbers. If the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|z_k\|^2$$

is convergent, then the  $\alpha_i$ -s with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$  are algebraic numbers.

From the convergence of the series

$$\sum \|z_k\|^2$$

follows, that  $\|z_k\| \rightarrow 0$ , so the properties of Theorem 1 are valid for the  $\alpha_i$ -s with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$ , that one can see from Remark 2. stated after Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the lemmas in [3] and on their generalizations.

**Lemma 2.** *A sequence  $(z_k)$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation if and only if the determinants*

$$\Delta_k = \begin{vmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_k \\ z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{k+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ z_k & z_{k+1} & \dots & z_{2k} \end{vmatrix}$$

vanish, if  $k$  is large enough.

One can find the proof of Lemma 2 in [3].

Let  $m$  be the smallest natural number for which

$$\Delta_k = 0, \quad \text{if } k \geq m.$$

Let  $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m$  denote the minors of  $\Delta_m$ . Then with

$$\delta_i = -\frac{D_{m-i}}{D_m}$$

the relation

$$z_{k+m} = \delta_1 z_{k+m-1} + \dots + \delta_m z_k$$

holds.

So if the  $z_k$ -s are elements of a field  $F$ , then the  $\delta_i$ -s are elements of the field  $F$  too. For the proof of Theorem 2 the next theorem is useful.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $z_k$  be a sequence of complex numbers, and  $A_k$  be a sequence of integers in  $F$ , and let moreover the series*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |z_k - A_k|^2$$

be convergent.

*If the sequence  $z_k$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation, then the sequence  $A_k$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation too (but not necessarily the same).*

The proof of the Theorem 3 is the same as the proof of the Theorem 8.4. in [3].



Let  $A_k$  be the nearest algebraic integer in  $F$  from  $z_k$  and let  $r_k$  be defined by the relation

$$(19) \quad z_k = A_k + r_k.$$

Since the sequence  $z_k$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation, so the sequence  $A_k$  does too. The  $A_k$ -s are element in  $F$ , we have a linear recurrence relation for the  $A_k$ -s:

$$(20) \quad \beta_0 A_{k+m} + \beta_1 A_{k+m-1} + \dots + \beta_m A_k = 0$$

where the  $\beta_i$ -s are integers in  $F$ , according to the note after Lemma 2 and  $\beta_0 \neq 0$ .

Since  $r_k$  tends to zero for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , so we have

$$\beta_0 z_{k+m} + \beta_1 z_{k+m-1} + \dots + \beta_m z_k \rightarrow 0$$

for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows, that

$$(21) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_0 \alpha_i^m p_i(k+m) + \beta_1 \alpha_i^{m-1} p_i(k+m-1) + \dots + \beta_m p_i(k)) \alpha_i^k \rightarrow 0.$$

The factor of the  $\alpha_i^k$  is a polynomial in  $k$ , the leading coefficient of which is the product of the number

$$\beta_0 \alpha_i^m + \beta_1 \alpha_i^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_m$$

and of the leading coefficient of  $p_i(k)$ .

The left hand side of (21) tends to zero, then the equation

$$\beta_0 \alpha_i^m + \beta_1 \alpha_i^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_m = 0$$

holds for  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$ , as we saw earlier. So we proved Theorem 2.

The assumption on the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|z_k\|^2$  one can replace by the assumption, that  $\|z_k\|$  tends to zero faster as  $1/\sqrt{k}$ .

It holds the

**Theorem 4.** *Let*

$$z_k = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(k) \alpha_i^k,$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are different complex numbers,  $p_i(k)$  are polynomials. If  $c$  is a positive number small enough depending on the  $\alpha_i$ -s and on the degrees of the polynomials, and

$$(22) \quad \|z_k\| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{k+1}},$$

then the  $\alpha_i$ -s with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$  are algebraic numbers and so the properties in Theorem 1 hold.

The Theorem 4 is a generalization of a theorem due to GELFOND. [6].

Let  $A_k$  and  $r_k$  be as in (15). We prove, that the determinant

$$\Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \dots & A_k \\ A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{k+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_k & A_{k+1} & \dots & A_{2k} \end{vmatrix}$$

tends to zero for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_T$  be the coefficients of the polynomial

$$\Pi(x - \alpha_i)^{t_i+1},$$

where  $t_i$  is the degree of the  $p_i(x)$ . Then we have

$$z_{k+T} + \beta_1 z_{k+T-1} + \dots + \beta_T z_k = 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_k$  be defined by the relation

$$\varepsilon_k = A_k + \beta_1 A_{k-1} + \dots + \beta_T A_{k-T}.$$

From (19) it follows that

$$\varepsilon_k = -(r_k + \beta_1 r_{k-1} + \dots + \beta_T r_{k-T}).$$

So we have

$$(23) \quad |\varepsilon_k| \cong \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^T |\beta_j|\right) \frac{c}{\sqrt{k-T+1}} \quad \text{for } k \cong T.$$

Let  $\eta_k$  be defined in the following manner:

$$\eta_k = \varepsilon_k + \beta_1 \varepsilon_{k-1} + \dots + \beta_T \varepsilon_{k-T}.$$

Then we have

$$|\eta_k| \cong \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^T |\beta_j|\right)^2 \frac{c}{\sqrt{k-2T+1}} \quad \text{for } k \cong 2T.$$

It follows by elementary transformations

$$\Delta_k = \begin{vmatrix} A_0 \dots A_k \\ A_1 \quad A_{k+1} \\ \dots \\ A_k \dots A_{2k} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A_0 & A_{T-1} & \varepsilon_T & \varepsilon_k \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{T-1} & A_{2(T-1)} & \varepsilon_{2T-1} & \varepsilon_{k+T-1} \\ \varepsilon_T & \varepsilon_{2T-1} & \eta_{2T} & \eta_{k+T} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \varepsilon_k & & \eta_{T+k} & \eta_{2k} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We define  $A$  and  $c_2$  by the relations

$$A = \max_{0 \cong j \cong 2(T-1)} |A_j|,$$

$$c_2 = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^T |\beta_j|\right)^2.$$

Let  $c$  be such small that

$$(24) \quad c_2 c < A.$$

So we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_k^2 &\cong A^{2T}(k+1)^T \prod_{l=T}^k \left( 2c_2^2 c^2 \left( \frac{1}{l-T+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{l+k-2T+1} \right) \right) \cong \\ &\cong A^{2T} (2c_2^2 c^2)^{k-T+1} (k+1)^T \log(k-T+2) \cdot \prod_{l=T+1}^k \log \left( \frac{l+k-2T+2}{l-T} \right) \cong \\ &\cong A^{2T} (2c_2^2 c^2)^{k-T+1} (k+1)^T \log(k-T+1) \cdot \frac{(k+1)^{k-T-1}}{(k-T)!}. \end{aligned}$$

If the inequality

$$2ec_2^2 c^2 < 1$$

holds, then it follows from the Stirling's formula that  $\Delta_k$  tends to zero.

But the  $\Delta_k$ -s are algebraic integers in  $F$ , so  $\Delta_k$  is zero, if  $k$  is large enough.

If  $\Delta_k$  is zero for large  $k$ , the series  $z_k$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation, and so the  $\alpha_i$ -s with  $|\alpha_i| \cong 1$  are algebraic numbers, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 2.

### References

- [1] C. PISOT, Répartition (mod 1) des puissances successives des nombres réels, *Comm. Math. Helv* **19** 153—160.
- [2] C. PISOT, La répartition modulo 1 et les nombres algébriques. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **7**, 2 (1938), 205—248.
- [3] J. W. S. CASSELS, An introduction to diophantine approximation. *Cambridge University Press*, 1957.
- [4] E. HECKE, Vorlesungen über die Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen. *Leipzig*, 1954. *Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft Geest Portig, K. G.*
- [5] Y. MEYER, Algebraic numbers and harmonic analysis, *Amsterdam—London. North-Holland P. C.* 1972.
- [6] Гелфонд А. О. О дробных долях линейных комбинаций полиномов и показательных функций *Матем. сб.* **9** (51), 1941.

(Received December 17, 1984.)