Morita equivalence for a larger class of rings

By M. PARVATHI and A. RAMAKRISHNA RAO (Madras)

In [10] it was observed that two rings S and R with unities are Morita equivalent if and only if there exists a gamma ring with right and left unities such that its right and left operator rings are isomorphic to S and R. This has been extended to rings with local units [11]. In this paper we extend this result to rings satisfying the conditions i) $S^2 = S$ and ii) aS = 0 or Sa = 0 implies that a = 0, $a \in S$ which include rings with unities and rings with local units.*) We also prove that any ring satisfying the above conditions is equivalent to a division ring if and only if it is simple and completely reducible, thus obtaining an extension of Wedderburn theorem for simple Artinian rings.

1. Preliminaries

Let A and Γ be additive abelian groups. Then following BARNES [3] we say A is a Γ -ring if there exists a map $f: A \times \Gamma \times A \to A$ with $f(x, \alpha, y) = x\alpha y$ such that

i) f is additive in each variable, and

ii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Consider the maps $[\alpha, x]: y \to y\alpha x$ and $[x, \alpha]: y \to x\alpha y$ $x \in A$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for all $y \in A$. Clearly $[x, \alpha], [\alpha, x]$ belong to the endomorphism group End (A). The bilinearity of the map $\Gamma \times A \to \text{End } A(A \times \Gamma \to \text{End } A)$ given by $(\alpha, \alpha) \to [\alpha, \alpha]$ ($(\alpha, \alpha) \to [\alpha, \alpha]$) gives rise to a linear map from $\Gamma \otimes_Z A \to \text{End } A(A \otimes_Z \Gamma \to \text{End } A)$ given by

$$\textstyle\sum_i \alpha_i \otimes a_i \rightarrow \textstyle\sum_i \left[\alpha_i, a_i\right] \left(\textstyle\sum_i a_i \otimes \alpha_i \rightarrow \textstyle\sum_i \left[a_i, \alpha_i\right]\right),$$

 $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$ and $a_i \in A$. The image of $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma)$ in End A is an associative ring denoted by $R(A, \Gamma) - L(A, \Gamma)$ and call it the right (left) operator ring of A. Ring multiplication in $R(A, \Gamma)$ and $L(A, \Gamma)$ is given by the rule,

$$\sum_{i} [\alpha_i, a_i] \sum_{i} [\beta_j, b_j] = \sum_{i,j} [\alpha_i, \alpha_i \beta_j b_j],$$

^{*)} See about T. W. Anderson—K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer (1973)

and

$$\sum_{i} [a_i, \alpha_i] \sum_{j} [b_j, \beta_j] = \sum_{i,j} [a_i \alpha_i b_j, \beta_j].$$

A is clearly a faithful $R(A, \Gamma) - L(A, \Gamma)$ bimodule.

For further details on Γ -rings and for literature on ring theory we refer to [3], [6], [7], [10] and [2], [5] respectively.

2. Throughout this paper we denote a Γ -ring by (A, Γ) or A, right and left operator rings by $R(A, \Gamma)$ or R and $L(A, \Gamma)$ or L respectively. All rings considered satisfy the gamma conditions namely,

(i) $S^2 = S$,

(ii) Sa=0 or aS=0 implies a=0, $a \in S$.

Definition 2.1 [12]. A Γ -ring A is said to be weekly semiprime if $[x, \Gamma] \neq 0$ and $[\Gamma, x] \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq x \in A$ and $A\Gamma A = A$.

Remark. A is weakly semiprime implies $R(A, \Gamma)$, $L(A, \Gamma)$ satisfy the gamma conditions. Any ring with unity satisfies the gamma conditions, Fuller's rings with "enough idempotents" and more generally a ring with local units [1] satisfy the gamma conditions.

The following is a ring which satisfies the gamma conditions but does not

contain unity or idempotent and hence has no local units.

Let $B \subset \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ be the set of all continuous real valued functions on the interval [0, 1] which vanish in a neighbourhood of 0. B is a commutative ring under the pointwise addition and pointwise multiplication. The ring B can be easily checked to satisfy the gamma conditions.

Now we state the results which are needed for our purpose.

Lemma 2.2. [12]. Let A be a weakly semiprime Γ -ring, L and R its operator rings. Then A is simple if and only if R(L) is simple.

Theorem 2.3. (Theorem 1 of [9].) Let A be a weakly semiprime Γ -ring, L and R be its operator rings. Then L and R are Morita Equivalent.

We now prove the converse. But first we need the following lemma

Lemma 2.4. Let R and S be two associative rings satisfying the gamma conditions and R be Morita equivalent to S. Suppose that

$$H: \mathscr{C}_R^*) \to \mathscr{C}_S$$
 and $T: \mathscr{C}_S \to \mathscr{C}_R$

are category equivalences with $HT\cong 1_{\mathscr{C}_{S}}$ and $TH\cong 1_{\mathscr{C}_{R}}$. Then ${}_{S}T(S)_{R}$ and ${}_{R}H(R)_{S}$ are canonical bimodules and

- (1) H and T are full and faithful;
- (2) (H, T) and (T, H) are adjoint pairs of functors;
- (3) $H \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), -))S$, $T \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_S(H(R), -))R$;
- (4) $S \cong (\operatorname{End}_R(T(S)))S$;
- (5) T(S) is an R-generator in \mathcal{C}_R and H(R) is an S-generator in \mathcal{C}_S .

^{*)} \mathscr{C}_R denotes the subcategory of right R-modules with spanning conditions, MR=M and mR=0 implies $m=0, m\in M$.

PROOF. 1) and (2) can be proved as in [2], (3) Let $M \in \mathscr{C}_R$. We define a map

$$\eta: M \to (\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M))R$$
 by

$$m \to \eta(m)$$
 such that $(\eta(m))(r) = mr$.

It is straightforward to prove that η is an R-module isomorphism. Also $H(M) \in \mathscr{C}_S$. Hence using the above isomorphism we have

$$H(M) \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_S(S, H(M)))S \cong \operatorname{Hom}_S(T(S), M)S$$

So $H \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), -))S$. Similarly we prove $T \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_S(H(R), -))R$.

(4) By (iii) of above, we have,

$$H(T(S)) \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), T(S)))S = \operatorname{End}_R(T(S))S.$$

(5) Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_R$. We define a map

$$\omega \colon R^{(M)} \to M$$
 by

$$r_{1m_1} + \ldots + r_{tm_t} \rightarrow m_1 r_1 + \ldots + m_t r_t$$
.

Since MR = M, ω is an epimorphism and R generates \mathscr{C}_R . Next let $N \in \mathscr{C}_S$, then there is an epimorphism $R^{(X)} \to T(N) \to 0$ in \mathscr{C}_R where X is an indexing set. Since H is a category equivalence, it preserves epimorphisms and direct sums and hence we have an epimorphism $(H(R))^{(X)} \to H(T(N)) \to 0$ in \mathscr{C}_S . So H(R) generates \mathscr{C}_S .

Similarly it can be shown that T(S) is an R-generator.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

From (3) of Lemma 2.4, we have $T(S) \cong (\operatorname{Hom}_S(H(R), S))R$ as right R-modules and $H(R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, H(R)) S$ as right S-modules. We observe that $H(R) \cong$ $\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(S, H(R))S$ as left R-modules also. To see this, we define (rf)(s)=rf(s), $r \in R$, $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, H(R)) S$ and if $\Theta \colon H(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\operatorname{Hom}_S(S, H(R))) S$ denotes the isomorphism as abelian groups, given by $h \to \Theta(h)$, then $(rh) \to \Theta(rh)$ such that $(\Theta(rh))(s) =$ $=(rh)(s)=r(hs)=r(\Theta(h))(s)$. Hence $H(R)\cong(\operatorname{Hom}_S(S,H(R)))S$ as left R-modules.

Again we have $\psi: \operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), R) \to \operatorname{Hom}_S(S, H(R))$ is an abelian group isomorphism given by $\psi(f) = H(f) \eta_S$ where $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), R)$ and $\eta_S : S \to HT(S)$ is an isomorphism. We show that ψ is a left R-module isomorphism. We define, for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), R), g \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(S, H(R)), r \in R,$

$$(rf)(x) = r(f(x)), \quad x \in T(S) \quad \text{and} \quad (rg)(s) = H(\varrho_r)g(s),$$

 $s \in S$ and $\varrho_r : R \to R$ is left multiplication. Combining these we get $H(R) \cong$ \cong (Hom_R (T(S), R)) S is a left R and right S bimodule isomorphism.

We are ready to prove the converse.

Theorem 2.5. Let R and S be two rings, satisfying the gamma conditions, which are Morita equivalent. Then there exists a weakly semiprime gamma ring such that its right and left operator rings are isomorphic to R and S respectively.

PROOF. In the notations of Lemma 2.4, we denote the canonical bimodules $_ST(S)_R$ and $(Hom_R(T(S), R))_S$ by $_SA_R=_ST(S)_R$ and $_R\Gamma_S=(Hom_R(T(S), R))_S$. We give a Γ -ring structure to A by defining

$$A \times \Gamma \times A \rightarrow A$$
 by

$$(a, f, a') \rightarrow af(a').$$

Similarly we define a map from

$$\Gamma \times A \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$$
 by

$$(f, a, f') \rightarrow f(a)f'$$
.

This defines on Γ , the structure of a A-ring. Clearly (A, Γ) and (Γ, A) are weakly semiprime gamma rings.

Now we prove that R is isomorphic to the right operator ring of the gamma ring (A, Γ) . But first we show that RH(R)=R. To see this let $\varrho_r \colon R \to R$ denote the left multiplication by elements of R, for every $r \in R$. Now we have epimorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{r \in R} R \xrightarrow{\oplus \varrho_r} R \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{r \in R} H(R) \xrightarrow{\oplus H(\varrho_r)} H(R) \to 0,$$

since H is a category equivalence. So every $x \in H(R)$ can be written as $\sum_{i} r_i x_i'$, $r_i \in R$, $x_i' \in H(R)$. Hence RH(R) = H(R). This in view of the bimodule isomorphism

$$\varphi: H(R) \to (\operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), R))S$$
 implies that $R\Gamma = \Gamma$.

Similarly it can be proved ST(S) = T(S).

We define a map

$$\sigma: [\Gamma, T(S)] \to R$$
 by

$$\sigma(\sum_{i} [f_i d_i, x_i]) = \sum_{i} (f_i d_i)(x_i) = \sum_{i} f_i(d_i x_i).$$

Clearly σ is a well defined ring homomorphism. If $\sum_{i} f_i(d_i x_i) = 0$, then $\sum_{i} (f_i d_i)(x_i) = 0$. This implies $\sum_{i} [f_i d_i, x_i] = 0$. Hence σ is injective.

Next, since T(S) is an R-generator in \mathscr{C}_R an element $r \in R$ can be written in the form $r = \sum_i f_i(t_i)$ where $f_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), R)$ and $f_i \in T(S)$. Again we have ST(S) = T(S). Hence $f_i = \sum_i \delta_j y_i$, $\delta_j \in S$, $f_i \in S$, $f_i \in S$. So

$$r = \sum_{i} f_i \left(\sum_{j} \delta_j y_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} f_i (\delta_j y_j) = \sum_{i,j} (f_i \delta_j) (y_j).$$

Thus $r \in R$ corresponds to the element $\sum_{i,j} [f_i \delta_j, y_j]$ in $[\Gamma, T(S)]$. Hence σ is an epimorphism. That is $R \cong R(T(S), \Gamma)$.

Before we proceed to establish the isomorphism between $L(T(S), \Gamma)$ and S, we show that $S \cong (\text{End } T(S)_R)S$ as rings. We define a map

$$\Theta: S \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(T(S), T(S)) S$$

by

$$\Theta(s) = T(\eta_S^{-1})T(\varrho_{\eta_S(s)}),$$

where $s \in S$ and η_S : $S \to HT(S)$ is a right S-module isomorphism and $\varrho_{\eta_S(s)}$ is left multiplication.

If $s_1, s_2 \in S$, then

$$\varrho_{\eta_S(s_1)}\eta_S^{-1}\varrho_{\eta_S(s_2)} = \varrho_{\eta_S(s_1)}\varrho_{s_2}.$$

To see this, let $s' \in S$. Then

$$(\varrho_{\eta_S(s_1)}\eta_S^{-1}\varrho_{\eta_S(s_2)})(s') = \varrho_{\eta_S(s_1)}(\eta_S^{-1}(\eta_S(s_2)s')) = \eta_S(s_1)s_2s'$$

and

$$(\varrho_{\eta_S(s_1)}\varrho_{s_2})(s') = \eta_S(s_1)s_2s'.$$

So

$$T(\eta_{S}^{-1}\varrho_{\eta_{S}(s_{1})}\varrho_{s_{2}}) = T(\eta_{S}^{-1}\varrho_{\eta_{S}(s_{1})})T(\eta_{S}^{-1}\varrho_{\eta_{S}(s_{2})}$$

and hence Θ is a ring homomorphism.

This together with Lemma 2.4 (4) establishes the required ring isomorphism between S and $(\operatorname{End} T(S)_R)S$.

The inverse isomorphism

$$\Theta^{-1}$$
: $\left(\text{End } T(S)_R\right)S \to S$ is given by
$$\Theta^{-1}\left(\sum_i f_i s_i\right) = \sum_i \eta_S^{-1} H(f_i) \eta_S(s_i).$$

Now we define a map

$$\lambda \colon [T(S), \Gamma] \to S$$
 as the composition of
$$[T(S), \Gamma] \xrightarrow{\mu} \left(\text{End } T(S)_R \right) S \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} S$$

$$\mu \left(\sum_i \left[t_i, g_i s_i \right] \right) \to \mu \left(\sum_i \left[t_i, g_i s_i \right] \right) \to \sum_i \eta_S^{-1} H(\mu([t_i, g_i])) \eta_S(s_i)$$

where

$$\left(\sum_{i} [t_i, g_i s_i]\right)(t') = \sum_{i} [t_i, g_i s_i] t', t' \in T(S).$$

That is,
$$\lambda\left(\sum_{i}\left[t_{i},g_{i}s_{i}\right]\right) = \Theta^{-1}\mu\left(\sum_{i}\left[t_{i},g_{i}s_{i}\right]\right) = \sum_{i}\eta_{S}^{-1}H\left(\mu\left(\left[t_{i},g_{i}\right]\right)\eta_{S}(s_{i})\right).$$
But
$$\mu\left(\sum_{i}\left[t_{i},g_{i}\right]\right)(t') = \sum_{i}\left[t_{i},g_{i}\right]t' = \sum_{i}t_{i}g_{i}(t') = \sum_{i}\varrho_{t_{i}}g_{i}(t')$$
and hence
$$\lambda\left(\sum_{i}\left[t_{i},g_{i}s_{i}\right]\right) = \sum_{i}\eta_{S}^{-1}H(\varrho_{t_{i}})H(g_{i})\eta_{S}(s_{i}).$$

This is clearly a well defined ring monomorphism.

To see that λ is onto it suffices to check on generators.

Since H(R) is an S-generator and $R\Gamma = \Gamma$, $s \in S$ is given by finite sum of elements of the form fr(gs), $fr \in \text{Hom}_S(\Gamma, S)R$ and $gs \in \Gamma = \text{Hom}_R(T(S), R)S$. Now if ψ denotes the right R-module homomorphism $T(S) \to \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(T(S), R)S, S)R$,

then for any $fr \in \text{Hom}_S (\text{Hom}_R (T(S), R) S, S) R$, there exists a $t \in T(S)$ such that $\psi(t)(gs) = fr(gs)$. But by definition,

$$\psi(t)(gs) = \eta_S^{-1} H(\varrho_t) H(g) \eta_S(s),$$

which by the definition of λ implies that $\lambda([t, gs]) = fr(gs)$. Hence $fr(gs) \in S$ corresponds to $[t, gs] \in [T(S), \Gamma]$ and so λ is an epimorphism and hence an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

Combining Theorems 2.3 and 2.5, we have the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.6. Let R and S be two rings satisfying the gamma conditions. Then R is Morita equivalent to S if and only if there exists a weakly semiprime gamma ring such that its right and left operator rings are isomorphic to R and S respectively.

The first Wedderburn theorem for rings with unities can be interpreted in Morita language as "A ring is simple Artinian if and only if it is Morita equivalent to a division ring". As an application of Theorem 2.6 we have an extension of the Wedderburn theorem as follows.

Theorem 2.7. Any ring satisfying the gamma conditions is Morita equivalent to a division ring if and only if it is simple and completely reducible.

PROOF. Since a division ring has unity it satisfies the gamma conditions. So any ring Morita equivalent to it is Γ -context equivalent to it by Theorem 2.6. Suppose S is the ring Γ context equivalent to the division ring D and (A, Γ) be the gamma ring such that $L(A, \Gamma) \cong S$ and $R(A, \Gamma) \cong D$. If I is a nonzero left ideal of A, then $I^* = \{d \in D / Ad \subseteq I\}$ is a nonzero left ideal of D and hence $I^* = D$. It follows that A = I. Hence A is a faithful irreducible S-module. That is S is primitive. Now $S \subseteq \operatorname{End}(A_D)$. Let $I = \sum_i [x_i, \alpha_i] \in S$. Then $I(a) = \sum_i [x_i, \alpha_i'] a = \sum_i x_i [\alpha_i, a]$ for every $a \in A$. This implies that IA is finite dimensional. Hence S contains a nonzero

linear transformation of finite rank [5] and since S is simple by Lemma 2.2, S must coincide with Soc. S, the socle of S.

Conversely suppose S is simple and completely reducible and $S = \bigoplus I_{\alpha}$ where each I_{α} is a minimal left ideal of S. Then $I_{\alpha} = Se_{\alpha}$ for some idempotent $e_{\alpha} \in I_{\alpha}$.

each I_{α} is a minimal left ideal of S. Then $I_{\alpha} = Se_{\alpha}$ for some idempotent $e_{\alpha} \in I_{\alpha}$. Se_{α} can be given a Γ -ring structure $(\Gamma = e_{\alpha}S)$ and it can be easily checked that $R(Se_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}S) \cong D \cong e_{\alpha}Se_{\alpha}$, a division ring.

It remains to show that $L(Se_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}S) \cong S$. Define a map

$$\sigma \colon L(Se_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}S) \to S \quad \text{by}$$

$$\sigma(\sum_{i} [l'_{i}e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}l_{i}]) = \sum_{i} l'_{i}e_{\alpha}l_{i}.$$

 σ is clearly a ring monomorphism. We show σ is onto. Since Se_{α} and Se_{β} are minimal and S is simple we have $Se_{\alpha} \cong Se_{\beta}$ and given by $\varphi_{\beta}(xe_{\alpha}) = e_{\beta}$, $x \in S$. It follows that $e_{\beta} = xe_{\alpha}ye_{\beta}$ for some $y \in S$. Hence $le_{\beta} = le_{\beta}^2 = (e_{\beta}xe_{\alpha})(e_{\alpha}ye_{\beta})$. Hence σ is onto.

 Se_{α} can be easily checked to be a weakly semiprime gamma ring. Se_{α} is a faithful S-module follows from the simplicity of S. So by Theorem 2.6, S is Morita equivalent to a division ring. This completes the proof.

References

- GENE D. ABRAMS, Morita equivalence for rings with local units, Communications in Algebra 11 (1983), 801—837.
- [2] F. W. Anderson, Kent R. Fuller, Rings and categories of Modules, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 13, Springer-Verlag.
- [3] W. E. BARNES, On the T-rings of Nobusawa, Pac. J. Math. 18 (1966), 411-421.
- [4] KENT R. FULLER and H. HULLINGER, Rings with finiteness conditions and their category of functors, J. Algebra, 55 (1978), 94—105.
- [5] N. JACOBSON, Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc., Publication 37 (1964).
 [6] S. KYUNO, A Γ-ring with righ and left unities, Math. Jap. 24 (1979), 191—193.
- [7] K. Morita, Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum conditions, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku 6 (1958), 83—142.
- [8] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. 1 (1964), 81—89.
- [9] N. Nobusawa, Γ-Rings and Morita equivalence of Rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 26 (1984), 151—156.
- [10] M. PARVATHI and P. A. RAJENDRAN, Γ-rings and Morita equivalence, Communications in Algebra 12 (1984), 1781—1786.
- [11] M. PARVATHI and PUSHPARAJ ADHIKARY, Γ-rings and Morita equivalence for rings with local units, Communications in Algebra 13(3), (1985), 585—598.
- [12] P. A. RAJENDRAN, Localization of Gamma rings, Ph. D. Thesis (1984).

THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS, MADRAS—600 005

(Received December 6, 1985)