

## On a fixed point theorem

By I. JOÓ (Budapest)

*Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on his 50th birthday*

In [1] is proved the

**Theorem A.** *Let  $E$  be a topological vector space,  $F$  be a vector space,  $K \subset F$  be a convex set, and let  $\{H_y; y \in K\}$  be a family of non-empty convex compact subsets of  $E$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $H_{\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2} \subset H_{y_1} \cup H_{y_2} \quad (y_1, y_2 \in K; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),$
- (2)  $H_{\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2} \cap H_{y_1} \subset H_{\mu y_1 + (1-\mu)y_2} \cap H_{y_1} \quad (y_1, y_2 \in K; 0 \leq \lambda \leq \mu \leq 1),$
- (3)  $H_{\lambda_0 y_1 + (1-\lambda_0)y_2} \cap H_{y_1} = \bigcap_{\lambda > \lambda_0} (H_{\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2} \cup H_{y_1})$

for arbitrary  $0 \leq \lambda_0 < 1$ .

Then  $\bigcap_{y \in K} H_y \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty set.

According to a well known theorem of F. RIESZ (any family of compact sets with finite intersection property has a common point) the essential part of Theorem A is: *the system  $\{H_y; y \in K\}$  has the finite intersection property.*

The aim of this note is to prove a theorem of similar type. Our theorem is more general than Theorem 1. We consider sets  $H_y$  in an arbitrary topological space, on the other hand it is more special in the sense the sets  $H_y$  are defined as the level sets of a function. We shall give applications of Theorem B (below) in a subsequent paper of the same journal.

For the formulation of Theorem B, let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary topological spaces,  $f: B \times B \rightarrow P(B)$  be any mapping of  $B \times B$  into the power set  $P(B)$  of  $B$  such that  $\{y_1, y_2\} \subset f(y_1, y_2)$  holds for all  $y_1, y_2 \in B$ . Suppose that the values of  $f$  are connected, non-empty, closed sets and  $x, y \in f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y$ . Let  $g: A \times B \rightarrow \bar{R}$  be any function and denote by  $c$  a real (fixed) number such that

$$H_y^c = H_y = \{x: g(x, y) > c\} \neq \emptyset$$

for every  $y \in B$ .

Let  $H_x^c = H_x = \{y: g(x, y) > c\}$ . We prove the following

**Theorem B.** *Suppose, for any  $x \in A$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in B$  that*

$$(4) \quad (B \setminus H_x) \cap f(y_1, y_2)$$

is closed in  $f(y_1, y_2)$

$$(5) \quad y_1, y_2 \in B \setminus H_x \text{ implies } f(y_1, y_2) \subset B \setminus H_x,$$

$$(6) \quad \text{for any finite set } \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset B, \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_{y_i}$$

is open and connected (may be empty). Then the system  $\{H_y: y \in B\}$  has the finite intersection property.

PROOF. Use induction. Suppose we know that for any subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \subset B$  having at most  $n$  elements we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k H_{y_i} \neq \emptyset$$

and then we prove the same for  $n+1$  elements. (One can prove the starting case  $n=1$  similarly). Suppose there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$  such that

$$(7) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} H_{y_i} = \emptyset.$$

Using the notation  $H_y^* := H_y \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+1} H_{y_i}$  we can write (7) in the form

$$H_{y_1}^* \cap H_{y_2}^* = \emptyset.$$

According to our induction assumption and taking into account also (6) we know that the sets  $H_y^*$  ( $y \in B$ ) are open, connected, non-empty. The assumption (5) means:  $g(x, y_1) \leq c$  and  $g(x, y_2) \leq c$  implies  $g(x, z) \leq c$  for every  $z \in f(y_1, y_2)$ , i.e.

$$H_z \subset H_{y_1} \cup H_{y_2} \text{ and } H_z^* \subset H_{y_1}^* \cup H_{y_2}^* \text{ for } z \in f(y_1, y_2).$$

Let  $S_i := \{z \in f(y_1, y_2): H_z^* \subset H_{y_i}^*\}$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). We see that  $S_i \neq \emptyset$  ( $i=1, 2$ ),  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $S_1 \cup S_2 = f(y_1, y_2)$  is connected. Hence we arrive to a contradiction, if we prove that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed. But this follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{z \in f(y_1, y_2): \text{for every } x \in H_{y_2}^* \ g(x, z) \leq c\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{x \in H_{y_2}^*} \{z \in f(y_1, y_2): g(x, z) \leq c\}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar equality holds for  $S_2$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] I. Joó and A. P. SÖVEGJÁRTÓ, A fixed point theorem, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* **24** (1981), 9–11.

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS  
MÚZEUM KRT. 6–8  
1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

(Received July 1, 1986)