On completely additive functions

By T. SZABÓ (Debrecen)

Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on his 50th birthday

1. Let
$$1 < q < 2$$
 and $L := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^n} = \frac{1}{q-1}$. For every $x \in [0, L]$ let

(1.1)
$$\varepsilon_n(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i} + \frac{1}{q^n} \leq x \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i} + \frac{1}{q^n} > x, \end{cases}$$

be defined by induction on n. Then we have (see [1], [2], [3])

(1.2)
$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(x)}{q^n}$$

and we call the representation (1.2) the regular expansion of x. By the definition (1.1), if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_n(x) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i} + \frac{1}{q^n} > x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i}$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{q^n} > \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i}.$$

Since 1 < L, the expansion

$$1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(1)}{q^n}$$

exists. If $\varepsilon_n(1)=1$ for infinitely many n, then let

$$(1.5) l_n := \varepsilon_n(1) (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If $\varepsilon_n(1)=1$ holds only for finitely many n, then let s>1 be the largest index for which $\varepsilon_s(1)=1$. Then $\varepsilon_n(1)=0$ for every n>s. In this case let

(1.6)
$$l_n := \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i(1) & \text{if} \quad n = k \cdot s + i & (1 \le i \le s - 1, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots) \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n = k \cdot s & (k = 1, 2, \ldots). \end{cases}$$

It follows from (1.4), (1.6) that

$$1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{l_n}{q^n}$$

where $l_n=1$ for infinitely many n. We call the right-hand side of (1.7) the infinite expansion of the number 1.

The number $x \in [0, L]$ is called *uniquely determined* if there exists only one sequence $\varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ for which

$$(1.8) x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q^n}.$$

Remark. The numbers 0, L are always uniquely determined.

Let $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$. Then we call the function

(1.9)
$$F(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n(x) \cdot a_n \quad (x \in [0, L])$$

additive, where $\varepsilon_n(x)$ stands for the digits 0, 1 in the regular expansion of x. The function $F: [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *completely additive*, if

(1.10)
$$F\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q^n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \cdot F\left(\frac{1}{q^n}\right)$$

holds for every sequence $\varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. By the definition of additive functions a completely additive function is additive. In our investigations we use the following result proved in [4].

Theorem 1.1. If $F: [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a completely additive function and $F(x) \ge 0$ holds for all $x \in [0, L]$ then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x) = \alpha \cdot x$ for all $x \in [0, L]$.

In this paper we will prove that a completely additive function is linear.

2. Let 1 < q < 2 and $L := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^n} = \frac{1}{q-1}$. For every k > 1, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $q^*(k)$ the unique number in the interval (1, 2) for which

(2.1)
$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{q^n}$$

holds. The following theorem has been proved in [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $1 < q \le q^*(2)$ and let $F: [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a completely additive function. Then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x) = \alpha \cdot x$ ($x \in [0, L]$).

We will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.2. Let $q=q^*(k)$ for some fixed number k>2, $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $F:[0,L]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a completely additive function. Then there exists an $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x)=\alpha\cdot x$ for all $x\in[0,L]$.

PROOF. Let

$$(2.2) F^*(x) = F(x) - \frac{F(L) \cdot x}{L}$$

for every $x \in [0, L]$. Then F^* is a completely additive function and $F^*(0) = F^*(L) = 0$. Let $a_n := F^* \left(\frac{1}{a^n}\right)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Then

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 0.$$

By $q = q^*(k)$

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{q^i}$$

consequently for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{1}{q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{q^{n+i}}.$$

Since F^* is completely additive we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{n+i}$$

and

$$a_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n+1+i}$$
.

By these

$$a_{n+1}-a_n=a_{n+k+1}-a_{n+1}$$

namely

$$(2.5) a_{n+k+1} - 2 \cdot a_{n+1} + a_n = 0$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$(2.6) f(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot z^i.$$

Then if $|z| \le 1$ the power series f(z) is convergent, and f(1) = f(0) = 0. Multiply the equality (2.5) by z^{n+k+1} (n=1, 2, ...) and sum up for n. Then by (2.6) we have

$$f(z)\cdot(z^{k+1}-2\cdot z^k+1)=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}a_i\cdot z^i-2\cdot z^{k+1}\cdot a_1.$$

But f(1)=f(0)=0 so

(2.7)
$$f(z) \cdot (z^{k+1} - 2 \cdot z^k + 1) = z \cdot (z - 1) \cdot Q_{k-1}(z)$$

where $|z| \le 1$, and the degree of the polynomial $Q_{k-1}(z)$ is not greater than k-1. Let

By
$$k \ge 3$$

$$p(z) := z^{k+1} + 1, \quad g(z) := -2 \cdot z^k.$$
$$\infty > |g(z)| > |p(z)| > 0$$

if |z|=1, 1. Hence, by the theorem of Rouche the functions p+g, g have the same number of zeros on the disk |z|<1, 1. But if 1<|z|<1, 1 then

$$|p(z)+g(z)|>0.$$

As the function g has k roots on the closed disc $|z| \le 1$, the function p+g has exactly k roots on it. Thus, by (2.6) the polynomial $Q_{k-1}(z)$ has k roots, but in this case $Q_{k-1}(z) \equiv 0$. That is $f(z) \equiv 0$, $(|z| \le 1)$ hence

$$(2.8) a_n = 0$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So we have

(2.9)
$$F\left(\frac{1}{q^n}\right) = \frac{F(L)}{L} \cdot \frac{1}{q^n}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is by $c := \frac{F(L)}{L}$

$$(2.10) F(x) = c \cdot x$$

for all $x \in [0, L]$. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $q^*(2) < q < 2$ be a fixed number for which

$$(2.11) 1 \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^n}$$

holds for every n>2, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Moreover let $F: [0,L]\to \mathbb{R} \left(L:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q^n}=\frac{1}{q-1}\right)$ be

a completely additive function defined by the sequence $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty)$. Then F does not change sign.

PROOF. Indirect. Suppose that F changes sign, and let

(2.12)
$$P := \{n | n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n > 0\}.$$

Then $P \neq N$, $P \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$(2.13) x := \sum_{n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n}$$

$$y := \sum_{m \in P} \frac{1}{q^m}.$$

So $x, y \in (0, L)$ and these numbers are uniquely determined (see in [1]). Thus the regular expansions of x, y are just the right-hand sides of (2.13), (2.14). Moreover the sets $\mathbb{N} \setminus P$, P contain infinitely many numbers.

Denote by $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ that unique number for which

(2.15)
$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{n_0 - 1}} < 1 < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{n_0}}$$

holds, then by $q^*(2) < q$, and (2.11) this number exists and $n_0 \ge 3$. Hence we have

(2.16)
$$1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{n_0 - 1}} + \sum_{i = n_0 + 1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^i}$$

where l_i denotes the digits 0, 1 in the infinite expansion of the number 1.

Let

$$(2.17) t := \min\{n | n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n > n_0, \ n \in P, \ n+1 \in P\}.$$

Then by (1.3), (2.13), (2.14), we have

(2.18)
$$\sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n} < \frac{1}{q^t}$$

(2.19)
$$\sum_{m>t+1, m \notin P} \frac{1}{q^m} < \frac{1}{q^{t+1}}.$$

We distinguish the following cases:

(2.20) I.
$$\sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n} = \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^{t-n_0+i}}$$

(2.21) II.
$$\sum_{n>t, n\in P} \frac{1}{q^n} < \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^{t-n_0+i}}$$

(2.22) III.
$$\sum_{n>t, n\in P} \frac{1}{q^n} > \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^{t-n_0+i}}.$$

It is easy to see that there are no other cases.

In the case I. by (2.16), (2.20) we have

(2.23)
$$\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n}.$$

Hence, since F is completely additive

$$F\left(\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}}\right) = a_{t-n_0}$$

$$F\left(\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \sum_{n > t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n}\right) = a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + \sum_{n > t, n \in P} a_n$$

that is

$$a_{t-n_0} = a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} a_n$$

and by the definition of the set P we have

$$(2.24) a_{t-n_0} > a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1}.$$

Now we consider the following number

(2.25)
$$x := \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{m>t+1, m \in P} \frac{1}{q^m}.$$

17 D

Then $x \in [0, L]$ and by (2.15)

$$\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} < x.$$

That is, by (1.3) the regular expansion of x, $(\varepsilon_n(x))$ is different from the right-hand side of (2.25). Moreover, by $t+1 \in P$, (2.19), (2.20), (2.16) we have

$$x < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n} = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t},$$
whence
$$x = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i}.$$

By the definition of the set P, and since F is completely additive we have

$$F(x) = a_{t-n_0} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i = a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{m>t+1, m \notin P} a_m \le$$

$$\le a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i.$$

That is

$$a_{t-n_0} \leq a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t$$

and, by $t \notin P$ $a_t \leq 0$, so we have

$$(2.28) a_{t-n_0} \le a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1}$$

which contradicts (2.24).

In the case II. we first consider the following number

(2.29)
$$x := \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{n > t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n}.$$
(2.30)
$$\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} < x,$$

that is, by (1.3) the regular expansion of x, $(\varepsilon_n(x))$ is different from the right-hand side of (2.29). Moreover, by (2.21), (2.16) we have

$$x < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \ldots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^{t-n_0+t}} = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t}.$$

Consequently

(2.31)
$$x = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i}.$$

Hence we have

$$a_{t-n_0} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i = a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} a_n \ge a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i,$$

$$a_{t-n_0} \ge a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t.$$
(2.32)

Now we consider the following number

(2.33)
$$y := \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{m>t+1, m \notin P} \frac{1}{q^m}.$$
(2.34)
$$\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} < y,$$

that is, by (1.3) the regular expansion of y, $(\varepsilon_n(y))$ is different from the right-hand side of (2.33). Moreover, by $t+1 \in P$, (2.19), (2.21), (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} y &< \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \ldots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{n>t,\,n\in P} \frac{1}{q^n} < \\ &< \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \ldots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{l_i}{q^{t-n_0+i}} = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t} \,, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.15) we have

$$(2.35) y = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(y)}{q^i} > \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{m>t+1, m \in P} \frac{1}{q^m}.$$

Hence by the definition (1.1) there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_{t+k}(y) = 1$ and $t+k \in P$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{t-n_0} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(y) \cdot a_i &= a_{t-n_0+1} + \ldots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{m>t+1, \, m \notin P} a_m < \\ &< a_{t-n_0+1} + \ldots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(y) \cdot a_i, \\ a_{t-n_0} &< a_{t-n_0+1} + \ldots + a_{t-1} + a_t \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2.32).

In the case III. we first consider the following number

(2.37)
$$x := \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n}.$$
 By (2.16), (2.22)

$$(2.38) \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} < x,$$

(2.36)

that is, by (1.3) the regular expansion of x, $(\varepsilon_n(x))$ is different from the right-hand side of (2.37). Furthermore, by (2.15), (2.18)

(2.39)
$$x < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} \frac{1}{q^n} < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t}.$$

$$x = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{q^i}$$

and there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_{t+k}(x) = 0$ and $t+k \in P$, whence

$$a_{t-n_0} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i = a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + \sum_{n>t, n \in P} a_n >$$

$$> a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(x) \cdot a_i.$$

$$a_{t-n_0} > a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1}.$$

$$(2.40)$$

Now consider the following number

(2.41)
$$y := \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{m>t+1, m \notin P} \frac{1}{q^m}.$$
(2.42)
$$\frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} < y,$$

that is, by (1.3) the regular expansion of y, $(\varepsilon_n(y))$ is different from the right-hand side of (2.41). Moreover, by (2.15), (2.19) and $n_0 \ge 3$ we have

$$y < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \sum_{m>t+1, m \notin P} \frac{1}{q^m} < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^t} + \frac{1}{q^{t+1}} < \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \frac{1}{q^{t-1}}.$$
Thus
$$y = \frac{1}{q^{t-n_0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(y)}{q^i}$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{t-n_0} + \sum_{i=t}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(y) \cdot a_i &= a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{m > t+1, m \notin P} a_m \leq \\ &\leq a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t + \sum_{i=t+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(y) \cdot a_i, \\ a_{t-n_0} &< a_{t-n_0+1} + \dots + a_{t-1} + a_t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon_t(y)) \end{aligned}$$

but $t \notin P$ because $a_t \leq 0$ hence we have

(2.44)
$$a_{t-n_0} \le a_{t-n_0+1} + \ldots + a_{t-1}$$
 which contradicts (2.40).

So we have a contradiction in each case. Our proof is complete. Corollary. By Theorem 1.1 in this case the completely additive function is linear. By Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and the Corollary we have

Theorem 2.3. Let 1 < q < 2, $L := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^n} = \frac{1}{q-1}$ and let $F: [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a completely additive function. Then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x) = \alpha \cdot x$ for all $x \in [0, L].$

References

- [1] Z. Daróczy, A. Járai and I. Kátai, Intervallfüllende folgen und volladditive Funktionen, Acta
- Sci. Math. (Szeged), 50 (1986), 337—350.
 [2] Z. DARÓCZY, A. JÁRAI and I. KÁTAI, Interval filling sequences, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput. (To appear.)
- [3] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, Additive functions, Anal. Math., 12 (1986), 85-96.
- [4] Gy. Maksa, On completely additive functions, Acta Math. Hung., 48 (3-4) (1986), 353-355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS L. KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY DEBRECEN 4010, HUNGARY

(Received December 15, 1987)