## Some remarks on a fixed point theorem of T. Kubiak

By S. SESSA (Napoli) and B. FISHER (Leicester)

Recently Kubiak [2], extending fixed point theorems of Khan and Fisher [1], Rhoades [3] and Singh, Tiwari and Gupta [7], gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a common fixed point of a pair of continuous mappings in 2-metric spaces. Our aim is to improve the result of Kubiak [2] under more general conditions by using the concept of weak commutativity due to Sessa [5] in the context of metric spaces and a contractive condition due to Sastry and Naidu [4].

We first of all recall some well known definitions. Let X be a nonempty set and

let  $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  ne a function such that

(i) d(x, y, z) = 0 if either x = y or x = z or y = z,

(ii) for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ ,

(iii) d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x),

(iv)  $d(x, y, z) \le d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ .

The function d is called a 2-metric on X and (X, d) is called a 2-metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be convergent to a point x in X if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$  for all  $a \in X$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} d(x_m x_n, a) = 0$  for all  $a \in X$ . A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

A 2-metric d on X is said to be continuous on X if it is sequentially continuous in two (and hence in each) of its three arguments. A mapping S of X into itself is said to be continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if whenever a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$ ,

then the sequence  $\{Sx_n\}$  converges to Sx.

SESSA [5] introduced the concept of weak commutativity of a pair of mappings of an ordinary metric space into itself. We now extend this concept in a 2-metric space. Indeed, let S and A be two mappings of a 2-metric space (X, d) into itself. We say that S weakly commutes with A on X if

$$d(SAx, ASx, a) \leq d(Ax, Sx. a)$$

for all  $x, a \in X$ . It is evident that two commuting mappings are also weakly commuting, but in general, two weakly commuting mappings do not commute as is shown in the following example.

Example 1. Let  $\{(x_1, x_2): x_1, x_2 \ge 0\}$  and let d be the 2-metric which expresses d(x, y, a) as the area of the Euclidean triangle with vertices  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ . Let A and S be two mappings of X into itself defined by

$$A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/(x_1+4b), 0), S(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/b, 0)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$ , where  $b \ge 1$  is a constant. We have

$$d(SAx, ASx, a) = \frac{(b-1)x_1^2}{(x_1+4b^2)(bx_1+4b^2)} \cdot a_2 \le \frac{x_1^2+3bx_1}{bx_1+4b^2} \cdot a^2 = d(Ax, Sx, a)$$

for all x,  $a \in X$ . Thus S and A weakly commute on X but S and A do not commute if b > 1.

Drawing inspiration from a contractive condition of SASTRY and NAIDU [4], we now prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space with d continuous on X and let S and T be two mappings of X into itself. If either S or T is continuous, then they have a common fixed point z in X if and only if there exists a mapping A of X into T(X) and a mapping B of X into S(X) such that A and B weakly commute with S and T respectively and satisfy the inequality

(1) 
$$d(Ax, By, a) \leq$$

$$\leq \max \{cd(Sx, Ty, a), cd(Sx, Ax, a), cd(Ty, By, a), hd(Sx, Ty, a) +$$

$$+kd(Ty, Ax, a)\}$$

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $0 \le c < 1, h, k \ge 0$ ,

(2) 
$$h+k<1 \quad and \quad c\cdot \max\left\{\frac{h}{1-h}, \frac{k}{1-k}\right\}<1.$$

Further, z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

PROOF. This is similar to the first part of the proof of Theorem 3 of [6]. For the sake of completeness, we present the main steps of the proof in order to show how the weak commutativity and the continuity of S or T are essentially used. Of course the proof is modified in the details where the properties of the 2-metric d are taken into consideration. The necessity of the condition is proved as in [2].

We now show the converse implication. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X. Then since the ranges of S and T contain the ranges of B and A respectively, we define, as in [2], a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $Sx_{2n-1}=Bx_{2n-2}$  and  $Tx_{2n}=Ax_{2n-1}$  for  $n=1,2,\ldots$ 

Adopting the same reasoning as in [2], it is not difficult to prove, using (1) and (2), that  $\{Sx_{2n-1}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence that it converges to a point  $z \in X$ , since X is complete. As in [2], it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_{2n-1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_{2n-2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_{2n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_{2n-1} = z.$$

Since

$$d(Ax_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, a) \leq d(Ax_{2n-1}, z, Sx_{2n-1}) + d(Ax_{2n-1}, z, a) + d(Sx_{2n-1}, z, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ , it follows that

(3) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Ax_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, a) = 0$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Now assume that S is continuous. Then the sequences  $\{S^2x_{2n-1}\}$  and  $\{SAx_{2n-1}\}$  converge to Sz. Since S weakly commutes with A, we have

$$d(ASx_{2n-1}, Sz, a) \leq$$

$$\leq d(ASx_{2n-1}, Sz, SAx_{2n-1}) + d(ASx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n-1}, a) +$$

$$+ d(SAx_{2n-2}, Sz, a) \leq$$

$$\leq d(Ax_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sz) + d(Ax_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, a) + d(SAx_{2n-1}, Sz, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . By (3), this means that the sequence  $\{ASx_{2n-1}\}$  also converges to Sz. Using (1) we now have

$$d(ASx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n}, a) \leq \max \{cd(S^2x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}, a), cd(S^2x_{2n-1}, ASx_{2n-1}, a), cd(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, a), hd(S^2x_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n}, a) + kd(Tx_{2n}, ASx_{2n-1}, a)\}$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Since d is continuous, we have, on letting n tend to infinity in the foregoing inequality, that

$$d(Sz, z, a) \leq \max\{c, h+k\} \cdot d(Sz, z, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$  and by (2), we deduce that Sz = z.

From (1), we get

$$d(Az, Bx_{2n}, a) \leq \\ \leq \max \{cd(Sz, Tx_{2n}, a), cd(Az, Sz, a), cd(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, a), \\ hd(Sz, Bx_{2n}, a) + kd(Tx_{2n}, Az, a)\}$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Since d is continuous, this implies that, on letting n tend to infinity

$$d(Az, z, a) \leq \max\{c, k\} \cdot d(z, Az, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . By (2), this means that Az = z.

Since  $z=Az\in T(X)$ , there exists a point  $u\in X$  such that Tu=z. Using (1) again, we have

$$d(z, Bu, a) = d(Az, Bu, a) \leq$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ cd(Sz, Tu, a), cd(Sz, Az, a), cd(Tu, Bu, a), hd(Sz, Bu, a) + kd(Tu, Az, a) \right\} =$$

$$= \max \left\{ c, h \right\} \cdot d(z, Bu, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . By (2), we deduce that Bu = z.

Since T weakly commutes with B, we have

$$d(TBu, BTu, a) \leq d(Bu, Tu, a) = d(z, z, a) = 0$$

for all  $a \in X$ . This implies that Tz = TBu = BTu = Bz and from (1), it follows that

$$d(z, Bz, a) = d(Az, Bz, a) \le$$

$$\leq \max \{cd(Sz, Tz, a), cd(Sz, Az, a), cd(Tz, Bz, a), hd(Sz, Bz, a) + kd(Tz, Az, a)\} =$$
  
=  $\max \{c, h+k\} \cdot d(z, Bz, a)$ 

for all  $a \in X$ . By (2), we deduce that z = Bz = Tz. Thus z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Of course, the proof is similar if we assume the continuity of T instead of S. The uniqueness of z is easily proved. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. In Theorem 1 of [2] and Theorem 2 of [1], the authors assume the continuity of both S and T and also the commutativity of A and S and of B and T, but we assume only the continuity of either S or T and the weak commutativity of A and S and of B and T.

Remark 2. Kubiak [2] and Khan and Fisher [1] assume that A and B are mappings of X into  $S(X) \cap T(X)$ , but it suffices only to say that A maps X into T(X) and B maps X into S(X).

Remark 3. If we assume that  $h=k=\frac{1}{2}c$ , inequality (1) reduces to inequality (1) of [2].

The following example shows that the sufficiency condition of Theorem 1 of [2] is not applicable since S and T do not commute with A and B respectively, although both S and T are continuous.

Example 2. Let X be as in Example 1 and define A, B, S and T by

$$A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/(x_1+16), 0), B(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/(x_1+12), 0),$$
  
 $S(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/4, 0), T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1/3, 0)$ 

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$ . By Example 1, we know that S and T weakly commute with A and B respectively. It is easily shown that A maps X into T(X) and B maps X into S(X) and that S and T are both continuous. Further

$$d(Ax, By, a) = \frac{4|3x_1 - 4y_1|}{(x_1 + 16)(y_1 + 12)} \cdot a_2 \le \frac{|3x_1 - 4y_1|}{48} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot d(Sx, Ty, a)$$

for all  $x=(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y=(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $a=(a_1, a_2) \in X$ . Thus inequality (1) holds with c=1/4 and (0,0) is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

If neither of the mappings S and T are continuous then the following result holds.

**Theorem 2.** Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space with d continuous on X and let S and T be two mappings of X into itself. Then S and T have a common fixed point z in X if and only if there exist mappings A of X into T(X) and B of X into S(X) such that either A or B is continuous, A and B weakly commute with S and T respectively, and

satisfy the inequality (1) for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $0 \le c < 1$ ,  $h, k \ge 0$  and inequalities (2) hold. Then z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

PROOF. It is similar to the second part of the proof of Theorem 3 of [6] and we omit it for brevity.

Remark 4. Analogous results to Theorems 1 and 2 can be formulated in complete metric spaces.

Remark 5. Note that if we put  $\alpha = \max\{c, h+k\}$ , inequality (1) becomes

$$(4) d(Ax, By, a) \leq$$

 $\leq \alpha \cdot \max \{d(Sx, Ty, a), d(Sx, Ax, a), d(Ty, By, a), d(Sx, By, a), d(Ax, Ty, a)\}$ 

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ . Example 6 of [7], where S = T is the identity mapping on X, proves that the analogous inequality in complete metric spaces does not in general guarantee the existence of a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

## References

- M. S. Khan and B. Fisher, Some fixed point theorems for commuting mappings, Math. Nachr. 106 (1982),323—326.
- [2] T. Kubiak, Common fixed points of pairwise commuting mappings, Math. Nachr. 118 (1984), 123—127.
- [3] B. E. Rhoades, Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space, Math. Nachr. 91 (1979), 151-155.
- [4] K. P. R. SASTRY and S. V. R. NAIDU, Fixed point theorems for generalized contraction mappings, Yokohama Math. J. 28 (1980), 15—29.
- [5] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 32 (46) (1982), 149—153.
- [6] S. Sessa and B. FISHER, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., 35 (1987), 341—349.
- [7] S. L. SINGH, B. M. L. TIWARI and V. K. GUPTA, Common fixed points of commuting mappings in 2-metric spaces, Math. Nachr. 95 (1980), 293—297.

S. SESSA UNIVERSITÁ DI NAPOLI FACOLTÁ DI ARCHITETTURA ISTITUTO MATEMATICO VIA MONTEOLIVETO 3 80134 NAPOLI ITALY

B. FISHER
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY
LEICESTER
LEI 7RH
ENGLAND

(Received July 27, 1986)