

## Resolutions and infinite-dimensionality

By IVAN LONČAR (Varaždin)

**Abstract.** In the present paper we give a partial answer to the question: Let  $p: X \rightarrow \overline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution such that the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly ( $S$ -weakly) infinite dimensional. Is it true that  $X$  and  $\lim X$  are  $A$ -weakly ( $S$ -weakly) infinite-dimensional?

The main result of Section One is the characterisation of a base of  $X$  (1.4. Lemma). An important property of a resolution gives Lemma 1.8.

Section Two is devoted to the mappings  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ . The closedness of  $p_\alpha$  is proved in Theorem 2.1.

Section Three is the main section. Theorem 3.1. asserts that  $X$  and  $\lim X$  are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional if  $p: X \rightarrow \overline{X}$  is a resolution and  $\overline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional spaces  $X_\alpha$ . If the mappings satisfy the condition  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$  then the converse of Theorem 3.1. holds (Theorem 3.5.). Theorems 3.2. and 3.3. are analogous theorems for the dimension  $\dim X$  and  $\dim_\gamma X$ . If  $\overline{X}$  is an inverse system of infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds  $X_\alpha$ , then  $X$  and  $\lim X$  are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

### 0. Introduction

0.1. We use the notion of inverse systems in the sense of the book [6]. By  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is denoted an inverse system and its limit by  $\lim \underline{X}$ .

0.2. The notion of a resolution of a space was introduced by S. MARDEŠIĆ. We use the exposition of this notion as in the book [22].

0.3. By  $\text{Cl}(A)$  or  $\text{Cl } A$  we denote the closure of the set  $A$ .

0.4. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping, then for  $A \subseteq X$  we define  $f^\#(A) = \{y \in Y: f^{-1}(y) \subseteq A\}$ .

0.5.  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $A$ . By  $\text{cf}(A)$  is denoted the smallest ordinal number which is cofinal in the well-ordered set  $A$ .

0.6. The symbol  $\text{Fr } A$  denotes the boundary of the set i.e. the set  $\text{Cl } A \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A)$ .

0.7. We say that  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is  $\sigma$ -directed if for each sequence  $\{\alpha_i: i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in A\}$  there is an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\alpha > \alpha_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

0.8. By  $w(X)$  is denoted the weight of  $X$ . Similarly, by  $hl(X)$  is denoted the hereditary Souslin number of  $X$  [6: 284].

0.9. We say that a well-ordered inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is continuous if for every limit ordinal  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in A$ , the space  $X_\gamma$  is homeomorphic with the limit of the inverse system  $X' = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha \equiv \beta \equiv \gamma\}$ .

---

Mathematics subject classification (1980): Primary 54B25; Secondary 14E15. Key words and phrases: a morphism, a resolution, inverse system, category, dimension.

## 1. Basic properties

Let  $X$  be a topological space. A resolution of  $X$  [22: 74] consists of an inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  in pro-Top and a morphism  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  in pro-Top with the following two properties:

(R1) Let  $P$  be an ANR,  $\mathcal{V}$  an open covering of  $P$  and  $h: X \rightarrow P$  a map. Then there exists a  $\alpha \in A$  and a map  $f: X_\alpha \rightarrow P$  such that the maps  $fp_\alpha$  and  $h$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -near (i.e. every  $x \in X$  admits a  $\lambda$  such that both  $fp_\alpha(x)$  and  $h(x)$  belong to  $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$ ).

(R2) Let  $P$  be an ANR and  $\mathcal{V}$  an open covering of  $P$ . Then there is an open covering  $\mathcal{V}'$  of  $P$  with the following property: If  $\alpha \in A$  and  $f, f': X_\alpha \rightarrow P$  are maps such that the maps  $fp_\alpha$  and  $f'p_\alpha$  are  $\mathcal{V}'$ -near, then there exists a  $\alpha' > \alpha$  such that maps  $ff_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and  $f'f_{\alpha\alpha'}$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -near.

**1.1. Theorem.** [22:74, Theorem 1.]. *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be a morphism in pro-Cpt. Then  $p$  is a resolution of  $X$  iff  $p$  is an inverse limit of  $\underline{X}$ .*

**1.2. Theorem.** [22:87, Corollary 1.]. *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of normal spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $X$  a topological space and  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  a morphism in pro-Top. Then  $p$  is a resolution of  $X$  iff it has the following properties:*

(B1) *Let  $\alpha \in A$  and let  $U$  be an open set in  $X_\alpha$  which contains  $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha(X))$ . Then there is  $\alpha' \cong \alpha$  such that  $f_{\alpha\alpha'}(X_{\alpha'}) \subseteq U$ .*

(B2) *For every normal covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  and a normal covering  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  of  $X_\alpha$  such that  $p_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

Let us recall that an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  is normal iff it admits a metric space  $M$ , a map  $h: X \rightarrow M$  and an open covering  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $M$  such that  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is paracompact iff each open cover of  $X$  is normal [6:379]. A locally finite open cover of a normal space is normal [6:379].

We say that  $A \subseteq X$  is normally embedded (or  $\mathcal{P}$ -embedded) in the space  $X$  [15: 188] provided every normal covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $A$  admits a normal covering  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{V}|_A$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . A closed subsets of collectionwise normal spaces are normally embedded. In particular, closed subsets of paracompact spaces are normally embedded.

**1.3. Definition.** An inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is called an  $R$ -system if the morphism  $f = \{f_\alpha\}: \lim X \rightarrow X$  is a resolution (where  $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are the projections). The system  $X$  is a weak  $R$ -system for a class  $\mathcal{K}$  ( $WR$ -system for  $\mathcal{K}$ ) if there exist  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  and a resolution  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  such that the induced mapping  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  is onto.

We start with the following lemma.

**1.4. Lemma.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be a resolution. If  $X$  is a completely regular space, then the family  $\mathcal{B} = \{p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha): U_\alpha \text{ open in } X, \alpha \in A\}$  is a base for the topology of  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $x \in X$ . From the complete regularity of  $X$  it follows that there is a function  $f: X \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(y) = 1$  for  $y \in X - U$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the cover of  $I$  which consists of the sets:  $U_1 = [0, 1/4)$ ,  $U_2 = (1/8, 7/8)$ ,  $U_3 = (3/4, 1]$ . Property (R1) implies that there is an  $\alpha \in A$  and  $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow I$  such that  $f$  and  $f_\alpha p_\alpha$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -near. Now, consider the sets  $U_\alpha =$

$=f_\alpha^{-1}([0, 1/4])$  and  $V=p_\alpha^{-1}(U)$ . The points  $f(x)$  and  $f_\alpha p_\alpha(x)$  are both contained in  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ , but not in  $U_2 - U_1$  or in  $U_3$  since  $f(x)=0$  and  $f, f_\alpha p_\alpha$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -near. This means that  $x \in V$ . On the other hand for every  $x' \in V$  we have  $f_\alpha p_\alpha(x') \in [0, 1/4]$  i.e.  $V \cap (X - U)$  is empty (since  $f(X - U) = \{1\}$ ). Finally,  $V$  is an open set about  $x$  of the form  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U)$ ,  $U_\alpha$  open in  $X_\alpha$ , contained in  $U$ . The proof is completed.

**1.5. Corollary.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be a resolution. If  $X$  is completely regular, then for every open set  $U$  of  $X$  we have  $U = \bigcup \{p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ , where  $U_\alpha$  is the maximal open subset of  $X_\alpha$  with respect to the property  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$ .*

**1.6. Corollary.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be a resolution for a completely regular space  $X$ . Then for every closed  $F \subseteq X$  and  $x \in X - F$  there is a  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $p_\alpha(x) \in X_\alpha - \text{Cl}(p_\alpha(F))$ .*

**1.7. Lemma.** *Let  $p$  be as in Corollary 1.6. For each closed  $F \subseteq X$  we have  $F = \bigcap \{p_\alpha^{-1} \text{Cl} p_\alpha(F) : \alpha \in A\}$ . Moreover, for each  $Y \subseteq X$  the relation  $\text{Cl} Y = \bigcap \{p_\alpha^{-1} \text{Cl} p_\alpha(Y) : \alpha \in A\}$  holds.*

Each inverse system has the properties established in 1.4.—1.7. Now we describe some properties of a resolution not possessed by each inverse system.

**1.8. Lemma.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution. If the spaces  $X, X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are normal, then for every pair of closed disjoint subsets  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$  there is a  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\text{Cl} p_\alpha(F_1) \cap \text{Cl} p_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. Modify the proof of Lemma 1.4.

**1.9. Lemma.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of normal spaces  $X_\alpha$ . If  $\underline{X}$  is a WR-system for normal spaces, then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is normal.*

PROOF. From Definition 1.3. it follows that there is a normal space  $X$  and a morphism  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  which is a resolution. Now, let  $F_1, F_2$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $\lim \underline{X}$ . By virtue of Lemma 1.8. for the sets  $p^{-1}(F_1)$  and  $p^{-1}(F_2)$  contained in  $X$  there is a  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_1)) \cap \text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_2)) = \emptyset$ , where  $p = \lim p_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , is the induced mapping  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ . From the normality of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that there exist some open disjoint sets  $U_\alpha, V_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$  such that  $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_1)) \subseteq U_\alpha$  and  $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_2)) \subseteq V_\alpha$ . Since  $p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_i) = f_\alpha(F_i), i=1, 2$ , we have  $\text{Cl} f_\alpha(F_1) \subseteq U_\alpha, \text{Cl} f_\alpha(F_2) \subseteq V_\alpha$ . This means that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \supseteq F_1, f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha) \supseteq F_2$ . The normality of  $\lim \underline{X}$  is proved and the proof is completed.

**1.10. Remark.** It is well-known that the limit of an inverse system of normal spaces need not be normal. The limit is normal if  $\underline{X}$  is inverse system of compact (or countably compact,  $f_{nm}$  closed and  $X$  a sequence) spaces.

**1.11. Lemma.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_n, f_{nm}, N\}$  be a resolution such that  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  is onto. If the spaces  $X_n, n \in N$ , are perfectly normal and  $X$  is normal, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are perfectly normal.*

PROOF. From Lemma 1.9. it follows that  $\lim \underline{X}$  is normal. In order to complete the proof it suffices to prove that each closed subset of  $X$  ( $\lim \underline{X}$ ) is a  $G_\delta$ -set [6:68]. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.7. and the perfect normality of  $X_n, n \in N$ . The proof is completed.

## 2. Properties of mappings $p_\alpha$

The following question is natural: Is the mapping  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  a closed mapping if the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$  are closed?

If  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ ,  $p = f$ , the answer is negative [28]. That the answer is affirmative in the case of inverse sequence was proved by ZENOR. In contrast to that situation for the morphism  $f$ , we now prove

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution such that  $X, X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are normal and  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are onto mappings. The mappings  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are closed iff the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are closed.*

**PROOF.** Let us prove that  $p_\alpha$  are closed if  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are closed. Let  $x_\alpha$  be a point of  $X_\alpha$  and let  $U$  be an open set such that  $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq U \subseteq X$ . By virtue of Lemma 1.8. it follows that there exists a  $\beta \in A$  such that  $p_\beta(p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)) \cap \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = \emptyset$ . Since  $A$  is directed we can assume that  $\beta \cong \alpha$ . Then  $p_\beta p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ . This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \cap \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = \emptyset$  i.e.  $X_\beta - \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = V_\beta \supseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  and  $p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq U$ . Since  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is closed, we have an open set  $U_\alpha$  about  $x_\alpha$  such that  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq V_\beta$ . Clearly,  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) = p_\beta^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq U$ . The closedness of  $p_\alpha$  is proved.

Conversely, let all  $p_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , be the closed mappings. From the relation  $p_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta} p_\beta$ ,  $\beta \cong \alpha$  it follows that  $p_\alpha p_\beta^{-1}(F_\beta) = f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$  for each subset  $F_\beta$  of  $X_\beta$ . If  $F_\beta$  is closed and  $p_\alpha$  closed, then  $f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$  is closed. This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is closed. The proof is completed.

**2.2. Lemma.** *If  $p$  is as in Theorem 2.1. and the induced mapping  $p: X \rightarrow \lim X$  is onto, then  $p$  is closed.*

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  be a point of  $\lim X$  and  $U$  an open neighborhood of the set  $p^{-1}(x)$ . From 1.8. it follows that there is a  $\alpha \in A$  and an open  $U_\alpha \supseteq p_\alpha p^{-1}(x)$  such that  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$ . Let  $V = f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ . We have  $p^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ . The proof is completed.

**2.2.1. Corollary.** *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.2. the induced mapping  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  is a homeomorphism.*

**PROOF.** From Lemma 1.8. it follows that  $p$  is 1—1. Apply Lemma 2.2. and Proposition 1.4.18. from [6].

**2.2.2. Remark.** Let us note that  $p: X \rightarrow \lim X$  is a homeomorphism if the conditions of Theorem 2.6. (Theorem 2.7.) are satisfied.

**2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces such that the projections  $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are onto mappings. Then the projections  $f_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are closed iff the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$  are closed.*

**PROOF.** Let  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  and  $U$  an open set about  $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ . The set  $p^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighborhood of  $p^{-1}f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ . By virtue of 2.1. there is an open  $U_\alpha$ ,  $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ , such that  $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq p^{-1}(U)$ . Clearly,  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$ . This means that  $f_\alpha$  is closed.

Conversely, for each closed  $F_\beta$  and  $\beta \cong \alpha$  we have the set  $F = f_\beta^{-1}(F_\beta)$  and the relation  $f_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta(F) = f_\alpha(F) = f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$ . This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$  is closed since  $f_\alpha$  and  $f_\beta$  are closed. The proof is completed.

**2.4. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces. If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are closed,  $f_\alpha$  onto mappings and  $X_\alpha$  normal, then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is normal.

PROOF. Let  $F_1, F_2$  be closed disjoint subsets of  $\lim X$ . If we apply 1.8. and 2.3. on the sets  $p^{-1}(F_1)$  and  $p^{-1}(F_2)$  we obtain a  $\alpha \in A$  such  $f_\alpha(R_1) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ . By virtue of the normality of  $X_\alpha$  and closedness of  $f_\alpha$  (Theorem 2.3.) it follows that there exist open disjoint sets  $U_\alpha, V_\alpha$  such that  $f_\alpha(F_1) \subseteq U_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha(F_2) \subseteq V_\alpha$ . The set  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  and  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  are open disjoint sets which contain  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . The proof is completed.

**2.5. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces. If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are hereditarily quotient and  $p_\alpha$  onto, then  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are hereditarily quotient.

PROOF. Let us recall that from the definition of the morphism  $p$  it follows that  $p_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta} p_\beta$  and  $p_\beta^{-1} f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} = p_\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $\beta \cong \alpha$ . In order to complete the proof it suffices to prove that for each  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  and each open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  ( $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ ) we have  $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$  ( $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_\alpha(U)$ ). By virtue of 1.8. and directedness of  $A$  it follows that there is a  $\beta \cong \alpha$  and an open set  $U_\beta \supseteq p_\beta p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  such that  $p_\beta^{-1}(U_\beta) \subseteq U$ . Clearly,  $U_\beta \subseteq \text{Int } p_\beta(U)$ . Since  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is hereditarily quotient, we have  $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_{\alpha\beta}(U) = \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$ . Thus,  $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$ . Similarly we prove that  $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_\alpha(U)$ . The proof is completed.

By the same method of proof one can prove

**2.6. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution with onto mappings  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . The mappings  $p_\alpha$  are open iff  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open.

**2.7. Remark.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system. If the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are hereditarily quotient, then the projections  $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , need not be hereditarily quotient [6:161]. The projections are hereditarily quotient if  $\underline{X}$  is an inverse sequence with hereditarily quotient bonding mappings [6:161].

We say that a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is fully closed [8] if for each point  $y \in Y$  and each family  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of open sets of  $X$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \{U_i: 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  the set  $\{y\} \cup (\cup \{f^*(U_i): 1 \leq i \leq k\})$  is open.

Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ . If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are fully closed, then the projections  $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , need not be fully closed. If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are perfect fully closed, then  $f_\alpha$  are perfect fully closed [8]. By virtue of theorems on the non-emptiness of the inverse limit from [17] one can prove that if  $\underline{X} = \{X_n, f_{nm}, N\}$  is an inverse sequence of countably compact spaces  $X_n$  and fully closed mappings  $f_{nm}$ , then  $f_n: \lim X \rightarrow X_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , are fully closed.

In contrast to this, we now prove

**2.8. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of normal spaces and  $p: X \rightarrow X$  a resolution of a normal space  $X$ . Then the  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are fully closed iff  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are fully closed.

PROOF. Necessity. See [8:113, Lemma 2].

Sufficiency. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  be a family of open sets in  $X$  such that  $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq \cup \mathcal{U}$ . The cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k, X - p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)\}$  is a normal cover of  $X$  since  $X$  is normal [6:379]. From (B2) it follows that there is a  $\beta \in A$  and a normal covering  $\mathcal{V}_\beta$  of  $X_\beta$  such that  $p_\beta^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\beta)$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$ . We can assume that  $\beta \cong \alpha$  since  $A$  is directed. Let  $U_{i\alpha}$  be the union of all  $V_\beta \in \mathcal{V}_\beta$  such that  $V_\beta \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  and  $p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq$

$\subseteq U_i$ . The family  $\{U_{1x}, \dots, U_{kx}\}$  covers  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)$ . This means that the family  $\{p_\beta^\#(U_1), \dots, p_\beta^\#(U_k)\}$  covers  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)$ . From the fact that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is fully closed it follows that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is closed and that each  $p_\beta^\#(U_i)$  is open (apply Theorem 1.4.13. of [6] and Theorem 2.1.). This means that  $\{x_x\} \cup f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U_1) \cup \dots \cup f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U_k)$  is open. Finally, the set  $\{x_x\} \cup p_\alpha^\#(U_1) \cup \dots \cup p_\alpha^\#(U_k)$  is open since  $p_\alpha^\#(U) - f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U)$ . The proof is completed.

**2.9. Lemma.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution of a normal space  $X$ . If  $|f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$  for each  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $x_x$ , then  $|p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$  for each  $\alpha$  and  $x_x$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that for some  $x_x \in X_\alpha$  we have  $|p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \geq k+1$ . Let  $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots\}$ . For each pair  $(x_i, x_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have (from 1.8.) some  $\alpha_{ij} \in A$  such that  $p_\beta(x_i) \neq p_\beta(x_j)$ ,  $\beta \cong \alpha_{ij}$ . Let  $\alpha \cong \alpha_{ij}$ :  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k, k+1\}$ . Clearly,  $p_\alpha(x_i) \neq p_\alpha(x_j)$  for each pair  $(i, j)$ . The proof is completed.

We close this Section with the following remark.

**2.10. Remark.** By a similar method of proof one can prove that if in 2.9.  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$ , then  $|\text{Fr } p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$ .

If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -directed, then  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$  ( $|f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$ ) implies  $|\text{Fr } p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$  ( $|f_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$ ).

### 3. Resolutions and weak infinite-dimensionality

We say that a space  $X$  is  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional [2] if for every sequence  $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$  of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  there exist a partition  $C_i$  between  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  such that  $\bigcap \{C_i: i \in N\} = \emptyset$ . If  $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_k \neq \emptyset$  for some  $k \in N$ , then we say that  $X$  is  $S$ -weakly infinite-dimensional.

A space  $X$  is said to be  $A$ -strongly ( $S$ -strongly) infinite-dimensional if  $X$  is not  $A$ -weakly ( $S$ -weakly) infinite-dimensional.

A space  $X$  is called an infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifold [2] if  $X$  is compact and if  $X - F$  is connected for each closed  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional subspace  $F \subseteq X$ .

We start with the following theorem.

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution of a normal spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , such that  $\underline{X}$  is  $\sigma$ -directed,  $X$  normal and  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  are onto mapping. If the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional, then  $\underline{X}$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$  be any sequence of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . From Lemma 1.8. it follows that for each pair  $(A_i, B_i)$  there is an  $\alpha_i \in A$  such that  $\text{Cl } p_{\alpha_i}(A_i) \cap \text{Cl } p_{\alpha_i}(B_i) = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -directed we have an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\alpha \cong \alpha_i$ ,  $i \in N$ . This means that  $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(A_i) \cap \text{Cl } p_\alpha(B_i) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in N$ . From  $A$ -weak infinite-dimensionality of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that there exist the partitions  $C_i$ ,  $i \in N$ , between  $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(A_i)$  and  $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(B_i)$  such that  $\bigcap \{C_i: i \in N\} = \emptyset$ . Since  $p_\alpha^{-1}(C_i)$ ,  $i \in N$ , are partitions between  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ , the proof is completed.

If  $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$  is a sequence of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of  $\lim \underline{X}$ , then we repeat the preceding part of the proof for the sets  $p^{-1}(A_i)$  and  $p^{-1}(B_i)$ ,  $i \in N$ .

We say that the inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is an  $S$ -system if for each pair  $(F_1, F_2)$  of disjoint closed subsets of  $\lim \underline{X}$  there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\text{Cl} f_\alpha(F_1) \cap \text{Cl} f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ . The system  $\underline{X}$  is factorizable (or  $f$ -system) [31] if for each real-valued function  $f: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$  there is an  $\alpha \in A$  and a function  $g_\alpha: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f = g_\alpha f_\alpha$ .

**3.2. Remark.** Each inverse system of compact spaces is a  $S$ -system. Each inverse sequence of countably compact spaces an  $S$ -system. If  $\underline{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of compact spaces, then  $\underline{X}$  is an  $f$ -system [31:28]. Each  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\underline{X}$  with Lindelöf is an  $f$ -system.

**3.3. Lemma.** Let  $\underline{X}$  be an  $f$ -system. If  $\lim \underline{X}$  is normal, then  $\underline{X}$  is an  $S$ -system.

PROOF. Trivial.

**3.4. Lemma.** If  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed  $S$ -system of  $A$ -weak infinite-dimensional spaces  $X_\alpha$ , then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is  $A$ -weak infinite-dimensional.

PROOF is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**3.5. Corollary.** If  $\underline{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of compact weak infinite-dimensional spaces, then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is weak infinite-dimensional.

**3.6. Corollary.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system with Lindelöf limit. If  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weak infinite-dimensional spaces, then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is  $A$ -weak infinite-dimensional.

If the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are fully closed, then we have

**3.7. Theorem.** Let a morphism  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution in the category  $\text{pro-Cpt}$  such that the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are fully closed and  $\dim f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \leq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are weakly infinite-dimensional, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are weakly infinite-dimensional.

PROOF. First, note that  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are homeomorphic [22:74]. Moreover, from [6:482] it follows that  $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are weakly infinite-dimensional. Since  $f_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are fully (Theorem 2.8.) it follows from [8: Theorem 9<sub>0</sub>] that  $\lim \underline{X}$  is weakly infinite-dimensional.

By the same method of proof we have

**3.8. Theorem.** Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution such that  $X, X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are normal and  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A, p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  are onto. If  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -directed and  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are  $S$ -weakly infinite-dimensional, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are  $S$ -weakly infinite-dimensional.

If  $\dim X_\alpha \leq n$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , then we have

**3.9. Theorem.** Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution of normal spaces  $X$  and  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , such that  $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$  is onto. If  $\dim X_\alpha \leq n, \alpha \in A$ , then  $\dim X \leq n$  and  $\dim(\lim \underline{X}) \leq n$ .

PROOF. A straightforward modification of the proof of Theorem 3.1. using Theorem on partitions [6:488].

**3.10. Remark.** Let us note that an alternate proof of the last Theorem can be found from [18]. In this paper it is proved that Theorem 3.9. holds for  $\dim_f X$  i.e. for a covering dimension defined using functionally open covers of a Tychonoff space  $X$  [6:472].

Now we prove a partial converse of Theorem 3.1.

**3.11. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution in the category  $\text{pro-Cpt}$  of a compact spaces and a continuous onto mappings such that  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -directed and  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  and  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ . A space  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are weakly infinite-dimensional.*

**PROOF.** Let us recall that  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are homeomorphic spaces [22:74]. By 2.10. we have that  $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ . Suppose that  $\lim \underline{X}$  is weakly infinite-dimensional. In order to prove Theorem it suffices to prove that  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are weakly infinite-dimensional. If we suppose that  $X_\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha \in A$ , is strongly infinite-dimensional, then from Skljarenko's theorem [1:23] it follows that there exists a point  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  such that  $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \cong \aleph_0$ . This is in a contradiction with  $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ . The proof is completed.

**3.12. Remark.** Theorem 3.11. holds for inverse systems of compact spaces since such systems are a resolutions [22:74].

**3.13. Remark.** If  $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  in Theorem 3.11. is not onto mapping, then we infer that  $f_\alpha(\lim \underline{X})$  is weakly infinite-dimensional.

Now we consider the inverse systems of infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

**3.14. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be as in Theorem 3.11. If the spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds and if the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are monotone, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.*

**PROOF.** Let  $F$  be a weakly infinite-dimensional subspace of  $\lim \underline{X} \approx X$ . By 3.13. it follows that  $f_\alpha(F)$  is weakly infinite-dimensional for each  $\alpha \in A$ . This means that  $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha - f_\alpha(F)$  is connected since  $X_\alpha$  is infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifold. Since  $f_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are monotone [6:436] we infer that each  $f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha)$  is connected. Clearly,  $\lim X - F = \bigcup \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$ . Since the set  $\bigcup \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$  is connected [6:435] we infer that  $\lim X - F$  is connected. The proof is completed.

**3.15. Remark.** The assumption that  $\underline{X}$  is  $\sigma$ -directed in the above Theorem (and in Theorem 3.11.) can be omitted if  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \leq k$  for some fixed integer  $k$  and each  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $x_\alpha$ .

**3.15. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be as in Theorem 3.11. If the spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds and if the mappings  $f_\alpha$  are open onto mappings, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.*

**PROOF.** Let  $F$  be a weakly infinite-dimensional subspace of  $\lim \underline{X}$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  a subspace  $f_\alpha(F) \subseteq X_\alpha$  is weakly infinite-dimensional [27: Theorem 1.]. This means that  $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha - f_\alpha(F)$  is connected. A subspace  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F)) \subseteq X_\beta, \alpha \leq \beta$ , is also weakly infinite-dimensional since  $f_{\alpha\beta}/f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F))$  is open [27: Theorem 1.]. Thus  $Y_{\alpha\beta} = X_\beta - f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F))$  is connected for each  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . The inverse system  $Y_\alpha = \{Y_{\alpha\beta}, f_{\beta\gamma}/Y_{\beta\gamma}, \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma\}$  has open and closed bonding mappings [6: 95]. From the next Lemma

it follows that  $\lim Y_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha)$  is connected. From [6: 434] it follows that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha)\}$  is connected. The proof is completed.

**3.15. Lemma.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system with open and closed mappings  $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . If the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are connected, then  $\lim \underline{X}$  is connected.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\lim \underline{X}$  is not connected. This means that there is a nonempty open and closed subset  $F$  of  $\lim \underline{X}$  such that  $\lim X - F$  is also non-empty. For each  $\alpha \in A$  a set  $Y_\alpha = f_\alpha(F)$  is open and closed. From the connectedness of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that  $f_\alpha(F) = X_\alpha$ . Since  $F = \lim \{f_\alpha(F), f_{\alpha\beta}/f_\beta(F), A\}$  [6:137] we have  $F = \lim X$ . This is in contradiction with  $\lim X - F \neq \emptyset$ . The proof is completed.

If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open mappings with finite fibers, then we have

**3.16. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -directed resolution such that  $X, X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are paracompact and the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  open onto mappings with the property  $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ . The spaces  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional.*

**PROOF.** If the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional, then  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional (Theorem 3.1.). In order to complete the proof suppose that  $\lim X$  is  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional. From [22: 83] it follows that  $X$  is  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional since  $X \approx \lim X$ . Moreover, the projections  $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are onto since  $1 \equiv |\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$  (Remark 2.10.). This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open mappings (Theorem 2.6.). By [27: Theorem 1.] we complete the proof.

By the same method of proof, we have

**3.17. Theorem.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a resolution such that  $X, X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are paracompact spaces and  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open mappings with  $1 \equiv |f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \equiv k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The spaces  $X$  and  $\lim \underline{X}$  are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly infinite-dimensional.*

We close this Section with the following corollary.

**3.18. Corollary.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of paracompact spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and open perfect mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  with the property  $1 \equiv |f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \equiv k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The spaces  $\lim \underline{X}$  is  $A$ -weakly ( $A$ -strongly) infinite-dimensional iff the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $A$ -weakly ( $A$ -strongly) infinite-dimensional.*

*Acknowledgement.* The author is grateful to the referee for his help and valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] P. S. ALEKSANDROV, O nekotoryh osnovnyh napravlenijah v obščej topologii, *UMN* 19 (1964), 3—46.
- [2] P. S. ALEKSANDROV, V. A. PASYNKOV, Vvedenije v teoriju razmernosti, *Nauka, Moskva*, 1973.
- [3] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIJ, Otobraženija otkrytie i bliskie k otkrytym. Svjazi meždu prostranstvami. *Trudy Mosk. mat. obšč.* 15 (1966), 181—223.
- [4] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIJ, V. I. PONOMAREV, Osnovy obščej topologii v zadačah i upražnenijah, *Nauka, Moskva*, 1974.

- [5] V. H. BALADZE, O funkcijah razmernostnogo tipa, *Trudy Tbilis. mat. univ.* **68** (1982), 5—41.
- [6] R. ENGELKING, General Topology, *PWN, Warszawa*, 1977.
- [7] R. ENGELKING, Dimension Theory, *PWN, Warszawa*, 1978.
- [8] V. V. FEDORČUK, Beskonečnomernye bikompakti, *Izv. AN SSSR. Ser. mat.* **42** (1978), 1162—1178.
- [9] V. V. FEDORČUK, Metod razvertivaemyh spektrov i vpol'ne zamknutyh otobraženij, *UMN* **35** (1980), 112—121.
- [10] G. R. GORDH and S. MARDEŠIĆ, Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits, *Pacific J. Math.* **58** (1975), 411—417.
- [11] HISAO KATO, A note on infinite-dimension under refinable maps, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 177—180.
- [12] J. E. JAYNE, C. A. ROGERS, Functions fermées en partie, *C. r. Acad. Sci.* **13** (1980), 667—670.
- [13] J. E. KEESLING, Open and closed mappings and compactification, *Fund. math.* **65** (1969), 73—81.
- [14] KOYAMA AKIRA, Refinable maps in dimension theory, *Topol. and Appl.* **17** (1984), 247—255.
- [15] I. LONČAR, A note on resolutions of spaces, (*to appear*).
- [16] I. LONČAR, Lindelöfov broj i inverzni sistemi, *Zbornik radova Fakulteta organizacije i informatike Varaždin* **7** (1983), 115—123.
- [17] I. LONČAR, Inverse limits for spaces which generalize compact spaces, *Glasnik mat.* **17** (37) (1982), 155—173.
- [18] I. LONČAR, Resolutions and dimension (*to appear*).
- [19] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Lokalno povezani, uredjeni i lančasti kontinuumi, *Radovi JAZU, Zagreb*, 1960, 147—166.
- [20] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Approximate polyhedra, resolutions of maps and shape fibrations, *Fund. Math.* **114** (1981), 53—78.
- [21] S. MARDEŠIĆ, On resolutions for pairs of spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **8** (1984), 81—93.
- [22] S. MARDEŠIĆ, J. SEGAL, Shape theory (the inverse system approach), *North-Holland Publ. Co.* 1981.
- [23] K. NAGAMI, Countable paracompactness of inverse limits and products, *Fund. math.* **73** (1972), 261—270.
- [24] K. NAGAMI, Mappings of finite order and dimension theory, *Japan J. math.*, **30** (1950), 25—64.
- [25] J. NAGATA, Modern dimension theory, *Amsterdam*, 1965.
- [26] V. A. PASYNKOV, Faktorizacionnye teoremy v teoriji razmernosti, *UMN* **36** (1981), 147—175.
- [27] L. T. POLKOVSKI, Open and closed mappings and infinite dimension, *Gen. Topol. and Relat. Mod. Anal. and Algebra* **5**, Berlin 1983, 561—564.
- [28] E. POL, Limiting mappings and projections of inverse systems *Fund. Math.* **80** (1973), 81—97.
- [29] R. POL, A weakly infinite-dimensional compactum which is not countable-dimensional, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1981), 634—636.
- [30] I. S. RUBANOV, Harakterizacija šejpov bikompaktov s pomoščju podobnyh spektrov, *DAN SSSR* **4** (1983), 584—588.
- [31] E. V. ŠČEPIN, Funktory i nesčetnye stepeni kompaktov, *UMN* **36** (1981), 3—62.
- [32] M. G. TKAČENKO, Cipi i kardinaly, *DAN SSSR* **239** (1978), 546—549.
- [33] VÄISÄLÄ JUSSI, Local topological properties of countable mappings, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 541—546.
- [34] YAJIMA YUKINOBU, On the dimension of limits of inverse systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91** (1984), 461—466.

(Received February 17, 1987)