

## Non-convex perturbations of evolution equations with $m$ -dissipative operators in Banach spaces

E. P. AVGERINOS (Thessaloniki) and N. S. PAPAGEORGIU (Davis)

**Abstract.** In this paper we establish the existence of integral solutions for a nonlinear, multivalued evolution equation of the form  $\dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t, x(t))$ , where  $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is an  $m$ -dissipative operator and  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  a nonconvex valued perturbation. Our result generalizes a recent existence theorem of Cellina-Marchi (*Israel J. Math* 46 (1983), pp. 1-11).

**Key words and phrases :**  $m$ -dissipative operator, compact semigroup, lower semicontinuous multifunction, Arzela-Ascoli theorem, parabolic equation.

### 1. Introduction

Evolution equations of the form  $-\dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + f(t)$  in a Hilbert space, were first studied by BREZIS [4], with  $A$  a maximal monotone operator and  $f(\cdot)$  an integrable perturbation. The work of BREZIS was extended by ATTOUCH-DAMLAMIAN [1], to systems of the form  $-\dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t, x(t))$ , with  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  being a multivalued perturbation having convex values. ATTOUCH-DAMLAMIAN [1] proved two existence results : one with  $A$  being a general maximal monotone operator, but with the underlying state space being  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and the other with  $A$  being a subdifferential (i.e.  $A = \partial\phi$ , with  $\phi$  being a proper, closed, convex function) and the underlying state space being any separable Hilbert space. Recently CELLINA-MARCHI [6] proved an existence theorem for the case where the multivalued perturbation has nonconvex values and the state spaces is  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The study of those evolution equations in general Banach space (not necessarily Hilbert), was initiated by PAZY [12], who considered the case of  $A$  being a densely defined, linear,  $m$ -accretive operator and the perturbation was single valued. A nonlinear version of Pazy's theorem was

proved by VRABIE [14], who also considered the case of multivalued perturbations with convex values, extending this way the work of ATTOUCH-DAMLAMIAN [1]. Other interesting works in these or related issues were done by GUTMAN [8], HARAUX [9] and SCHECHTER [13] (he studied the dependence of the solutions on variations of the initial data).

In this note, we extend the result of CELLINA-MARCHI [6] to arbitrary separable Banach spaces, weakening also the hypotheses on the multivalued perturbation  $F(t, x)$ . Instead of assuming joint Hausdorff continuity for  $F(t, x)$ , we only require lower semicontinuity in the variable  $x$ , a more natural hypothesis in the context of applications.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. By  $P_f(X)$  we will denote the collection of all nonempty, closed subsets of  $X$ . A multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is said to be graph measurable, if  $GrF = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ , where  $B(X)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$ . Now let  $\mu(\cdot)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\Sigma$ . By  $S_F^1$  we will denote the set of integrable selectors of  $F(\cdot)$  i.e.  $S_F^1 = \{f \in L^1(X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu - \text{a.e.}\}$ . Using Aumann's selection theorem, it is easy to check that if  $\omega \rightarrow |F(\omega)| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\}$  is in  $L_+^1$  (in which case we say that  $F(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded), then  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $Y, Z$  are Hausdorff topological spaces and  $G : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , then we say that  $F(\cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.), if for all  $U \subseteq Z$  open, the set  $G^-(U) = \{y \in Y : G(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $Y$ . If  $Y, Z$  are metric spaces, then the above definition is equivalent to saying that for all  $y_n \rightarrow y$  we have  $G(y) \subseteq \underline{\lim} G(y_n) = \{z \in Z : z = \lim z_n, z_n \in G(y_n)\}$ .

Next let  $X$  be any Banach space. Let  $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be the duality map of  $X$  i.e.  $J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : (x^*, x) = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$ . Clearly the values of  $J(\cdot)$  are closed, convex, bounded subsets of  $X^*$ , which because of the Hahn-Banach theorem are also nonempty. Recall that if  $X^*$  is strictly convex, then  $J(\cdot)$  is single valued. Using  $J(\cdot)$  we can define the upper semi-inner product (denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$ ) and the lower semi-inner product (denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_-$ ) as follows:

$$(x, y)_+ = \sup\{(x^*, y) : x^* \in J(x)\}$$

$$\text{and } (x, y)_- = \inf\{(x^*, y) : x^* \in J(x)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . An operator  $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is said to be dissipative (see BARBU [2]), if  $(x - x', y - y')_- \leq 0$  for any  $(x, y)(x', y') \in GrA$ . We say that  $A$  is m-dissipative, if it is dissipative and in addition  $R(I - \lambda A) = X$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . It is well known that an m-dissipative operator generates a semigroup  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  of nonlinear contractions, via the Crandall-Liggett formula

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n} x \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \overline{D(A)}.$$

Now let  $A$  be an  $m$ -dissipative operator,  $f \in L^1(X)$  and  $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ . Consider the following Cauchy problem on  $T = [0, b]$  :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + f(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (*)$$

Following BENILAN [3], we say that a function  $x \in C(T, X)$  is an "integral solution" of  $(*)$ , if  $x(0) = x_0$  and

$$\|x(t) - y\|^2 \leq \|x(s) - y\|^2 + 2 \int_s^t (f(r) + z, x(r) - y)_+ dr$$

for all  $(y, z) \in GrA$  and all  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$ .

It is well known that under the above hypotheses Cauchy problem  $(*)$  has a unique integral solution. Moreover this unique integral solution depends continuously on the data of the problem. In fact if  $x_1(\cdot)$  is the solution of  $(*)$  with data  $(x_{01}, f_1) \in \overline{D(A)} \times L^1(X)$  and  $x_2(\cdot)$  the solution of  $(*)$  with data  $(x_{02}, f_2) \in \overline{D(A)} \times L^1(X)$ , then we have

$$\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\|^2 \leq \|x_{01} - x_{02}\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t (f_1(r) - f_2(r), x_1(r) - x_2(r))_+ dr, t \in T,$$

or equivalently

$$\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \leq \|x_{01} - x_{02}\| + \int_0^t \|f_1(r) - f_2(r)\| dr.$$

If  $A$  is densely defined, linear,  $m$ -accretive, then the notion of integral solution coincides with that of mild solution.

Recall that a "strong solution" of  $(*)$  is a continuous function  $x : T \rightarrow X$  (i.e.  $x(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$ ), for which we have that  $x(t) \in D(A)$ , is differentiable a.e. on  $(0, b)$  and satisfies  $(*)$  a.e. with  $x(0) = x_0 \in D(A)$ .

Every strong solution is an integral solution. The converse is true only if we impose additional hypotheses on  $X, A$  and  $f$ . We are not going to go into the details of that problem. We only mention that if  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $A$  is maximal monotone or if  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $A = \partial\phi$ , with  $\phi$  being a proper, closed, convex function on  $\overline{X}$ , then every integral solution is also strong for any initial condition  $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ . For further details we refer to BARBU [2], BREZIS [4] and SCHECHTER [13].

### 3. The theorem

In this section we will establish the existence of an integral solution for the following multivalued evolution equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t, x(t)) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (**)$$

By an integral solution of (\*\*), we mean a function  $x \in C(T, X)$ , which is an integral solution (as defined in section 2) of  $\dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + f(t)$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$  for some  $f \in S_{F(\cdot, x(\cdot))}^1$ .

Let  $T = [0, b]$  and let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. We will need the following hypotheses:

**H(A):**  $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is an  $m$ -dissipative operator, which generates a semigroup of compact nonlinear contractions

(i.e.  $S(t) : \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow \overline{D(A)}$  is compact for  $t > 0$ ),

**H(F):**  $F : T \times X \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.

(1)  $(t, x) \rightarrow F(t, x)$  is graph measurable,

(2) for every  $t \in T$ ,  $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$  is l.s.c.,

(3)  $|F(t, x)| = \sup\{\|y\| : y \in F(t, x)\} \leq a(t) + b(t) \|x\|$  a.e. with  $a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \in L_+^1$ .

**H<sub>0</sub>** :  $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ .

We have the following existence result concerning (\*\*).

**Theorem.** *If hypotheses H(A), H(F) and H<sub>0</sub> hold, then (\*\*) admits an integral solution.*

**PROOF.** We will start by determining an a priori bound for the integral solutions of (\*\*). So suppose  $x(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$  is such a solution of (\*\*). Recalling that  $S(t)x_0$  is the integral solution of  $\dot{y}(t) \in Ay(t)$ ,  $y(0) = x_0$  and using the inequalities of section 2, we have :

$$\|x(t) - S(t)x_0\| \leq \int_0^t \|f(s)\| ds$$

for all  $t \in T$  and some  $f \in S_{F(\cdot, x(\cdot))}^1$ . Since  $t \rightarrow S(t)x_0$  is continuous on  $T$  and using hypothesis H(F) (3), we have

$$\|x(t)\| \leq M_1 + \int_0^t [a(s) + b(s)\|x(s)\|] ds$$

for some  $M_1 > 0$ . Applying Gronwall's inequality, we get that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq K \exp \|b\|_1 = M_2$$

where  $K = M_1 + \|a\|_1$ . Then define a new multifunction  $\hat{F} : T \times X \rightarrow P_f(X)$  as follows:

$$\hat{F}(t, x) = \begin{cases} F(t, x) & \text{if } \|x\| \leq M_2 \\ F\left(t, \frac{M_2 x}{\|x\|}\right) & \text{if } \|x\| > M_2 \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\hat{F}(t, x) = F(t, p_{M_2}(x))$ , where  $p_{M_2}(\cdot)$  is the  $M_2$ -radial retraction. We have  $Gr\hat{F} = \{(t, x, y) \in T \times X \times X : (t, p_{M_2}(x), y) \in GrF\}$ . Let  $r : T \times X \times X \rightarrow T \times X \times X$  be defined by  $r(t, x, y) = (t, p_{M_2}(x), y)$ . Recalling that  $p_{M_2}(\cdot)$  is 2-Lipschitz, we have that  $r(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous, hence measurable. So, since  $GrF \in \Sigma \times B(X) \times B(X)$ , we have  $r^{-1}(GrF) = Gr\hat{F} \in \Sigma \times B(X) \times B(X)$  i.e.  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is graph measurable. Also since  $\hat{F}(t, \cdot)$  is the composition of the Lipschitz function  $p_{M_2}(\cdot)$  with the l.s.c. multifunction  $F(t, \cdot)$ , we have that  $\hat{F}(t, \cdot)$  is l.s.c.. Finally note that  $|F(t, x)| \leq a(t) + M_2b(t) = \gamma(t)$  a.e. with  $\gamma(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ .

In the sequel we will consider the following multivalued Cauchy problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + \hat{F}(t, x(t)) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (**)'$$

Let  $h \in L^1(X)$  and consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + h(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (***)$$

We know (see section 2), that (\*\*\*) has a unique integral solution. Let  $r : L^1(X) \rightarrow C(T, X)$  be the map that to each  $L^1(X)$ -perturbation  $h(\cdot)$  assigns the corresponding unique integral solution  $r(h)(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$  of (\*\*\*) . Let  $B(\gamma) = \{h \in L^1(X) : \|h(t)\| \leq \gamma(t) \text{ a.e.}\}$ . Our claim is that  $K = r(B(\gamma))$  is relatively compact in  $C(T, X)$ .

To this end, first we will show that for every  $t \in T, K(t) = r(B(\gamma))(t) = \{x(t) : x(\cdot) = r(h)(\cdot), h \in B(\gamma)\}$  is compact in  $X$ . For  $t = 0$ , we have  $K(0) = \{x_0\}$  and so the claim is automatically verified. Hence let  $t > 0, t \in T$ . Note that  $B(\gamma)$  is a uniformly integrable subset of  $L^1(X)$ . So given  $t \in (0, b]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta(\epsilon) \in (0, t)$  s.t. for  $B \subseteq T$  Lebesgue measurable with  $\lambda(B) < \delta$ , we have:

$$\int_B \|h(s)\| ds < \epsilon$$

for all  $h \in B(\gamma)$ . Now consider the following Cauchy problem; on  $[t - \delta, t]$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(\delta)(s) \in Ax(\delta)(s) \\ x(\delta)(t - \delta) = r(h)(t - \delta) \end{array} \right\}$$

where  $h \in B(\gamma)$ . From the inequalities of section 2, we have:

$$\|x(\delta)(t) - r(h)(t)\| \leq \int_{t-\delta}^t \|h(s)\| ds < \epsilon$$

for all  $h \in B(\gamma)$ . Also recall that

$$x(\delta)(t) = S(\delta)r(h)(t - \delta) \subseteq S(\delta)K(t - \delta).$$

and the latter is relatively compact in  $X$ , since  $K(t - \delta) = \{y(t - \delta) : y(\cdot) \in K\}$  is bounded and  $S(\delta)$  is a compact contraction (see hypothesis  $H(A)$ ). Therefore  $\overline{S(\delta)K(t - \delta)}$  is compact. So for every  $t \in T$ , every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $z \in K(t)$ , there exists an element  $z_\epsilon$  in the compact set  $\overline{S(\delta)K(t - \delta)}$  s.t.  $\|z - z_\epsilon\| < \epsilon \implies \overline{K(t)}$  is compact.

Next, recall that since the semigroup  $S(t)$  is compact, for  $B \subseteq X$  nonempty, bounded, we have that  $t \rightarrow \{S(t)x : x \in B\}$  is equicontinuous on  $t > 0$ . Hence given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$  s.t. for  $|t' - t| < \delta_1$  and for all  $x \in K(t - \delta)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(t' - t + \delta)x - S(\delta)x\| < \epsilon \\ \implies & \|S(t' - t + \delta)r(h)(t - \delta) - S(\delta)r(h)(t - \delta)\| < \epsilon \\ \implies & \|x(\delta)(t') - x(\delta)(t)\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So finally for  $\delta_2 = \min(\delta, \delta_1)$  and for  $|t' - t| < \delta_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r(h)(t') - r(h)(t)\| \\ \leq & \|r(h)(t') - x(\delta)(t')\| + \|x(\delta)(t') - x(\delta)(t)\| + \|x(\delta)(t) - r(h)(t)\| < \\ & < \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon \implies K = r(B(\gamma)) \text{ is equicontinuous at each } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally for  $t = 0$  note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|r(h)(t) - S(t)x_0\| & \leq \|r(h)(t) - S(t)x_0\| + \|S(t)x_0 - x_0\| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \gamma(s)ds + \|S(t)x_0 - x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

So we also have equicontinuity at  $t = 0$ .

Invoking the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we conclude that  $\overline{K}$  is compact in  $C(T, X)$ . Thus by Mazur's theorem  $\overline{K}_c = \overline{\text{conv}}K$  is compact

Next let  $R : \overline{K}_c \rightarrow 2^{L^1(X)}$  be defined by

$$R(x) = S_{\hat{F}(\cdot, x(\cdot))}^1.$$

Since  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is graph measurable, it is easy to check as before, that  $t \rightarrow \hat{F}(t, x(t))$  is graph measurable and integrably bounded by  $\gamma(\cdot)$  and so  $R(\cdot)$  has nonempty values, in fact  $R(\cdot)$  is  $P_f(L^1(X))$ -valued. Also since  $\hat{F}(t, \cdot)$  is l.s.c. and using theorem 4.1 of [11], we have that if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $\overline{K}_c$ , then  $R(x) \subseteq s\text{-}\varliminf R(x_n)$ , where  $s$  indicates the strong topology on  $L^1(X)$ . So  $R(\cdot)$  is l.s.c. (see section 2). Hence we can apply Fryszkowski's



selection theorem [7], to get  $v : \overline{K}_c \rightarrow L^1(X)$  continuous s.t.  $v(x) \in R(x)$  for all  $x \in \overline{K}$ . Set  $p = \text{rov}$ . Clearly  $p : \overline{K}_c \rightarrow \overline{K}_c$  is continuous. Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem to get  $\hat{x} \in \overline{K}_c$  s.t.  $\hat{x} = p(\hat{x}) = r(v(\hat{x}))$ . Hence we have that  $\hat{x}(\cdot)$  is an integral solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{x}(t) \in A\hat{x}(t) + v(\hat{x})(t) \\ \hat{x}(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\}$$

with  $v(\hat{x})(\cdot) \in S^1_{\hat{F}(\cdot, \hat{x}(\cdot))}$ . So  $\hat{x}(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$  is an integral solution of (\*\*)'. From the definition of  $\hat{F}(t, x)$  and hypothesis  $H(F)$  (3), we see easily that  $|\hat{F}(t, x)| \leq a(t) + b(t)\|x\|$  a.e.. So as before, through Gronwall's inequality, we get  $\|\hat{x}(t)\| \leq M_2, t \in T \implies \hat{F}(t, \hat{x}(t)) = F(t, \hat{x}(t)), t \in T \implies \hat{x}(\cdot)$  is the desired integral solution of (\*\*). Q.E.D.

As we mentioned in section 2, when  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ , then every integral solution is a strong solution. So we can state as a corollary to our theorem, an extension of the existence result of CELLINA-MARCHI [6].

So let  $T = [0, b], X = \mathbf{R}^n$  and make the following hypothesis about A:  
**H(A)'** :  $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow 2^{\mathbf{R}^n}$  is a maximal monotone operator.

Then we get as a corollary to our theorem, the following extension of the work of CELLINA-MARCHI [6].

**Corollary.** *If hypotheses H(A)', H(F) and H<sub>0</sub> hold, then (\*\*) admits a strong solution.*

*Remarks.* (1) In CELLINA-MARCHI [6], the multivalued perturbation  $F(t, x)$  was assumed to be jointly Hausdorff continuous.

(2) Hypotheses H(F) (1) and (2), cover the case where  $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$  is graph measurable and  $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$  is Hausdorff continuous (see theorem 3.3 of [10]).

#### 4. An example

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma, n > 2$ .

Let  $r > (n - 2)/n$  and consider the following multivalued, nonlinear, parabolic partial differential equation on  $T \times \Omega$  :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial x(t, z)}{\partial t} - \Delta x(t, z) |x(t, z)|^{r-1} \in F(t, z, x(t, z)) \\ x(t, z) = 0 \text{ on } T \times \Gamma \\ x(0, z) = x_0(z) \text{ on } \{0\} \times \Omega \end{array} \right\} \text{ (****)}$$

Here  $F : T \times \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow P_f(\mathbf{R})$  is a multifunction which is l.s.c. in the third variable and  $(t, y) \rightarrow S_{F(t, \cdot, y(\cdot))}^1$  is graph measurable on  $T \times L^1(\Omega)$ . It is easy to check that this is the case if  $(t, z) \rightarrow F(t, z, r)$  is measurable and  $r \rightarrow F(t, z, r)$  is Hausdorff continuous. Also assume that  $|F(t, z, r)| = \sup\{\|v\| : v \in F(t, z, r)\} \leq a(t, z) + b(t, z)|r|$  a.e. with  $a(\cdot, \cdot) \in L_+^1(T \times \Omega)$  and  $b(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  while  $t \rightarrow \|b(t, \cdot)\|_\infty$  belongs in  $L_+^1$ . Furthermore let  $\hat{x}_0 = x_0(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Take  $X = L^1(\Omega)$ . This is a separable Banach space. Consider the nonlinear operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $Ax = \Delta x|x|^{r-1}$  with  $D(A) = \{x \in X : x|x|^{r-1} \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \Delta x|x|^{r-1} \in L^1(\Omega)\}$ . From BREZIS [5] we know that the operator  $A$  defined above is m-dissipative and the nonlinear semigroup it generates is compact for  $t \in (0, b]$ . Also let  $\hat{F} : T \times X \rightarrow P_f(L^1(X))$  be defined by  $\hat{F}(t, x) = S_{F(t, \cdot, x(\cdot))}^1$ . Then  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is graph measurable,  $\hat{F}(t, \cdot)$  is l.s.c. (see theorem 4.1 of [11]) and

$$|\hat{F}(t, x)| \leq \hat{a}(t) + \hat{b}(t)\|x\|_1 \text{ a.e.}$$

with  $\hat{a}(t) = \|a(t, \cdot)\|_1$  and  $\hat{b}(t) = \|b(t, \cdot)\|_\infty$ .

Rewrite the initial-boundary value problem (\*\*\*\*) as the following abstract multivalued evolution equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + \hat{F}(t, x(t)) \\ x(0) = \hat{x}_0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (\text{****})'$$

We see that all hypotheses of our theorem are satisfied and so we know that (\*\*\*\*)' has an integral solution  $\hat{x}(\cdot) \in C(T, L^1(\Omega))$ . Set  $x(t, z) = \hat{x}(t)(z)z \in \Omega$ . This is a generalized solution of (\*\*\*\*).

*Acknowledgement.* The second author was supported by NSF Grant DMS - 8802688

## References

- [1] H. ATTOUCH - A. DAMLAMIAN, On multivalued evolution equations in Hilbert spaces, *Israel J. Math* **12** (1972), 373-390.
- [2] V. BARBU, Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, Netherlands*, 1976.
- [3] PH. BENILAN, Solutions integrales d'equations d'evolution dans un espace de Banach, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t. **274** (1972), 47-50.
- [4] H. BREZIS, Operateurs Maximaux Monotones, *Math. Studies, Vol. 50, North Holland, Amsterdam*, 1972.
- [5] H. BREZIS, New results concerning monotone operators and nonlinear semigroups, *Analysis of Nonlinear problems, RIMS Kyoto Univ. No. 258* (1974), 2-27.
- [6] A. CELLINA - M. MARCHI, Nonconvex perturbations of maximal monotone inclusions, *Israel J. Math* **46** (1983), 1-11.



- [7] A. FRYSZKOWSKI, Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps, *Studia Math* **76** (1983), 163–174.
- [8] S. GUTMAN, Evolutions governed by  $m$ -accretive plus compact operators, *Nonl. Anal. - T.M.A.* **7** (1983), 707–715.
- [9] A. HARAUX, Nonlinear Evolution Equations : Global Behavior of Solutions, *Lecture Notes in Math* **841** (1981), Springer, Berlin.
- [10] N.S. PAPAGEORGIU, On measurable multifunctions with applications to random multivalued equations, *Math. Japonica* **32** (1987), 437–464.
- [11] N.S. PAPAGEORGIU, Convergence theorems for Banach space valued integrable multifunctions, *Intern. J. Math and Math. Sci.* **10** (1987), 433–442.
- [12] A. PAZY, A class of semilinear equations of evolution, *Israel J. Math.* **20** (1975), 23–36.
- [13] E. SCHECHTER, Perturbations of regularizing maximal monotone operators, *Israel J. Math.* **43** (1982), 49–61; and *correction* **47** (1984), 236–240.
- [14] I. VRABIE, A nonlinear version of Pazy's local existence theorem, *Israel J. Math.* **32** (1979), 221–235.

EVGENIOS P. AVGERINOS  
UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI  
SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY  
THESSALONIKI 54006, GREECE

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIU  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
1015 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616, U.S.A.

(Received December 30, 1987)