

## On the approximate realizability of certain spaces of transfer functions

By CARMEN E. VERA (UCV Caracas, Venezuela)

In the present paper we consider an infinite dimensional realization problem. If the transfer function  $H(s)$  is a fractional matrix then it can be realized by a finite dimensional system, described by an ordinary differential equation:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad y = Cx.$$

Now, transfer functions belonging to the Hardy space  $H_R^2$  of analytic functions will be realized by partial differential equations. We shall prove that the functions  $H \in H^2$ , realizable by an infinite dimensional system described by a partial differential equation and an observation equation

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \partial_x u &= bv, \\ y &= \langle c, u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

form a dense subset in  $H^2$ . This means, that all functions  $H \in H^2$  are approximately realizable by systems of type (1). The realizations are defined in terms of  $b, c$  belonging to a very naturally defined Banach space. We mention that the author in her thesis [4] has defined such a subspace  $X$  of  $H^2$  equipped with a complete norm, that all functions belonging to  $X$  are realizable by (1). Nevertheless, the author prefers the results and methods of this paper in spite of the fact that these results deal only with approximately realizability (see also [3]).

### 1. Preliminaries

Now we define a Banach space  $X_R (R > 0)$  of measurable functions  $g : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  satisfying the following condition:

$$\|g\|_{X_R} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R^i \int_{\mathcal{R}} |g(x)| \frac{|x|^i}{i!} dx < \infty.$$

The space  $X_R^+$  is defined analogously:

$$X_R^+ = \left\{ g : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R} : \|g\|_{X_R^+} = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_+} R^i \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |g(x)| \frac{|x|^i}{i!} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Consider the family of operators

$$\Phi(t) : X_R \rightarrow X_R^+, \quad t \geq 0$$

defined by

$$(\Phi(t)g)(x) = g(x - t).$$

**Lemma 1.** *The inequality*

$$\|\Phi(t)\| \leq \exp tR$$

holds for each  $t \geq 0$ .

Indeed, for each  $i \in \mathcal{Z}_+$ ,  $g \in X_R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(t)g\|_{X_R^+} &= \sup_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_+} R^i \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |g(x - t)| \frac{|x|^i}{i!} dx \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_+} R^i \sum_{j=0}^i \int_{\mathcal{R}} |g(x)| \frac{|x|^{i-j}}{(i-j)!} dx \frac{t^j}{j!} \leq \|g\|_{X_R} \exp Rt \end{aligned}$$

Now define the Banach space  $Y_R$  of strongly measurable functions  $f : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow X_R$  satisfying the condition

$$\|f\|_{Y_R} = \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \|f(s)\|_{X_R} \exp(-sR) ds < \infty.$$

Define the operators  $\Psi(t) : Y_R \rightarrow X_R^+$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , by

$$\Psi(t)f = \int_0^t \Phi(t-s)f(s) ds.$$

**Lemma 2.** *The inequality*

$$\|\Psi(t)\| \leq \exp Rt$$

holds for each  $t \geq 0$ .

Indeed, for each  $f \in Y_R$ ,

$$\|\Psi(t)f\|_{X_R^+} \leq \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_{X_R} \exp(t-s)R ds \leq \|f\|_{Y_R} \exp Rt.$$

Consider the functions  $f \in Y_R$ ,  $g_0, g_1 \in X_R^+$ .

Let  $g \in X_R$  be defined by  $g|_{\mathcal{R}_+} = g_0$  and  $g(t) = g_1(-t)$  ( $t < 0$ ).

Then the generalized solution  $u : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow X_R^+$  of the initial value problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \partial_x u &= f \\ u(0, \cdot) &= g_0, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = g_1 \end{aligned}$$

is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (t, x) \mapsto u(t, x) &= u(t)(x) = (\Phi(t)g)(x) + (\Psi(t)f)(x) = \\ &= \begin{cases} g_0(x-t) + \int_0^t f(s, x-t+s) ds & (x \geq t), \\ g_1(t-x) + \int_{t-x}^t f(s, x-t+s) ds & (x < t). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemmas 1, 2, the inequality

$$(3) \quad \|u(t)\|_{X_R^+} \leq (\|f\|_{Y_R} + \|g\|_{X_R}) \exp tR$$

holds for each  $t \geq 0$ .

We mention that if  $f, g$ , are  $C^1$ -functions,  $g_0, g_1 : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  are also  $C^1$ -functions then  $u$  is a  $C^1$ -solution of (2) in the ordinary sense over  $\mathcal{R}_+ \times \mathcal{R}_+ \setminus \{x = t\}$ . This fact justifies that (3) will be called a generalized solution of (2) (see [1]).

From inequality (3) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathcal{R}_+} \|u(t)\|_{X_R} \exp(-tR) &\leq \|f\|_{Y_R} + \|g\|_{X_R} = \\ &= \|f\|_{Y_R} + \|g_0\|_{X_R^+} + \|g_1\|_{X_R^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the definition of control functions. The space  $C_R$  consists of the functions  $v : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  satisfying the following condition

$$\|v\|_{C_R} = \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |v(s)| \exp(-sR) ds < \infty.$$

If  $v \in C_R$  then, for each  $b \in X_R^+$ , the function

$$(4) \quad (t, x) \mapsto f(t, x) = \begin{cases} v(t)b(x) & (t, x \geq 0), \\ 0 & (x < 0) \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $Y_R$ . Indeed,  $f : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow X_R$  is strongly measurable,  $f(t, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{R}_-} = 0$  and

$$\|f\|_{Y_R} = \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \|v(t)b\|_{X_R} \exp(-tR) dt = \|b\|_{X_R^+} \|v\|_{C_R}.$$

If we have a function  $b \in X_R^+$ , then the differential equation

$$(5) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x u = bv$$

will be understood in sense (4) and (5) will be called a control system.

Now define the observation of this system. Let  $C \in (X_R^+)^*$ . Then

$$y = \langle c, u \rangle$$

is the observation of the control system (5), where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the dual pair map of  $(X_R^+, X_R^{+*})$ .

Define the space  $O_R$  of the observation.  $O_R$  consists of the functions  $y : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , such that

$$\|y\|_{O_R} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{|y(t)| \exp(-Rt)\} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.** *The input-output map defined by the system*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \partial_x u &= bv, & \mu(0, \cdot) &= g_0, & \mu(\cdot, 0) &= g_1, \\ y &= \langle c, u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is a linear and continuous

$$X_R^+ \times X_R^+ \times C_R \rightarrow O_R$$

operator.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{O_R} &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \{ |y(t)| \exp(-tR) \} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \{ | \langle c, u(t, \cdot) \rangle | \exp(-tR) \} \leq \\ &\leq \|c\|_{X_R^{+*}} \sup_{t \geq 0} \{ \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{X_R^+} \exp(-tR) \} \leq \\ &\leq \|c\|_{X_R^{+*}} \left( \|b\|_{X_R^+} \|v\|_{C_R} + \|g_0\|_{X_R^+} + \|g_1\|_{X_R^+} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \|c\|_{X_R^{+*}} \left( 1 + \|b\|_{X_R^+} \right) \left( \|v\|_{C_R} + \|g_0\|_{X_R^+} + \|g_1\|_{X_R^+} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We notice that Lemma 3 shows that if the control  $v$  grows exponentially then the corresponding observation also grows exponentially. Thus the Laplace transform of both functions will be convergent over the open half plane  $\{Re z > R\}$ . Thus the transfer function of the system (6) can be defined in terms of the Laplace transformed equations (6). To do so, suppose that the initial conditions  $g_0, g_1$  are equal to  $O$ . Then

$$Ly = HLv$$

**Lemma 4.** *The transfer function  $H$  satisfies the equality*

$$(7) \quad H(s) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x \exp s(\xi - x) b(\xi) d\xi c(x) dx.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} s(Lu)(s) + \partial_x(Lu)(s) &= b(Lv)(s) \\ (Ly)(s) &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} c(Lu)(s). \end{aligned}$$

From these equations we get that

$$(Ly)(s) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x \exp s(\xi - x) b(\xi) d\xi c(x) dx (Lv)(s)$$

that is, (7) holds.

We shall say that the system (5) is a realization of the function  $H$  if the equality (7) holds. It is obvious that if a function  $H$  has a realization of type (6), then  $H$  is analytic over the half plane  $\{Re z > R\}$ .

The following lemma justifies a generalization. Now we recall that, in the Hardy space of the analytic functions over the disk of radius  $R$  with square integrable trace over the boundary  $\partial D_R$ , the scalar product is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(R \exp(2\pi i\varphi))g(R \exp(-2\pi i\varphi))d\varphi.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $b, c \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$ . Then the function  $H$  defined by (7) belongs to  $H_R^2$ .*

PROOF. If a function  $g \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$  then the function

$$(8) \quad s \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |g(x)| \frac{x^k}{k!} dx$$

belongs to the space  $H_R^2$ . If  $g = 0$  then this statement is obvious. If  $g \neq 0$  then

$$1 = \overline{\lim} \|g\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+}^{1/k} \geq (R + \varepsilon) \overline{\lim} \left( \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |g(x)| \frac{x^k}{k!} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

thus the convergence radius  $r$  of (8) satisfies the inequality

$$r = \frac{1}{\overline{\lim}_{R_+} \left( \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |g(x)| \frac{x^k}{k!} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \geq R + \varepsilon$$

Now we can estimate the series of the function  $H(s)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |H(s)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x \frac{\xi^i}{i!} |b(\xi)| d\xi \frac{x^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} |c(x)| dx \right) |s|^k \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \frac{\xi^i}{i!} |b(\xi)| d\xi |s|^i \frac{x^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} |c(x)| dx |s|^{k-i} = \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |b(\xi)| \frac{\xi^i}{i!} dx |s|^i \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \frac{x^k}{k!} |c(x)| dx |s|^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the first part of this proof, the series of  $H$  is analytic over the disk  $D_{R+\varepsilon}$  consequently  $H$  belongs to  $H_R^2$ .

We shall say that a function  $H$  is weakly realizable by the system (6) if there exist  $b, c \in X_R^+$  such that (7) holds. It is not true all elements of the space are weakly realizable. Nevertheless, we can consider the approximate realizability of the space  $H_R^2$ . We say that a space  $X$  of analytic functions is approximately realizable if the subset of weakly realizable functions is dense in  $X$ .

### 2. The approximate realizability of $H_R^2$

In this section we prove a theorem on the approximate realizability of the space  $H_R^2$ , for each  $R > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $b \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$ . Define the operator  $H_b : X_{R+\varepsilon}^+ \rightarrow H_R^2$  by

$$(9) \quad H_b(c)(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\xi^i}{i!} b(\xi) d\xi c(x) \frac{x^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} dx \right) s^k.$$

We shall prove that following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *The operator  $H_b : X_{R+\varepsilon}^+ \rightarrow H_R^2$  is linear and continuous.*

Indeed, by Lemma 5, the operator  $H_b$  is well defined. The linearity is obvious. The continuity follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(H_b c)(s)| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |b(\xi)| \frac{\xi^i}{i!} d\xi R^i \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} |c(x)| \frac{x^k}{k!} dx R^k \right) \leq \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R}{R+\varepsilon} \right)^k \right)^2 \|b\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+} \cdot \|c\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+} = \left( \frac{R+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \|b\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+} \cdot \|c\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $|s| = R$ . Thus  $\|H_b c\|_{H_R^2} \leq \left(\frac{R+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \|b\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+} \|c\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+}$ , that is

$$\|H_b\| \leq \left(\frac{R+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \|b\|_{X_{R+\varepsilon}^+}.$$

Now we are able to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $R > 0$ . Then  $H_R^2$  is approximately realizable by a system of type (6).

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $b \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$ . Suppose that  $b \neq 0$ . Then we define the operator  $H_b : X_{R+\varepsilon} \rightarrow H_R^2$  by (9).

We recall the orthogonality relation

$$H_R^2 = \overline{R(H_b)} \oplus N(H_b^*).$$

Thus we need to prove that the kernel of the adjoint operator  $H_b^*$  is 0. By the definition of the adjoint and by the Riesz representation  $H_R^{2*} = H_R^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_b^* H, c \rangle &= \langle H_b c, H \rangle = \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x \frac{\xi^j}{j!} b(\xi) d\xi c(\xi) \frac{x^{k-j}}{(k-j)!} dx \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot H(R \exp(2\pi i \varphi)) R^k \exp(-2k\pi i \varphi) d\varphi = \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi-x)^k}{k!} \hat{H}(k) \right) b(\xi) d\xi c(x) dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_+} \int_0^x h(x-\xi) b(\xi) d\xi c(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $c \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$  thus

$$(10) \quad x \mapsto \int_0^x h(x-\xi) b(\xi) d\xi = 0,$$

where

$$\hat{H}(k) = \int_0^1 H(R \exp 2\pi i \varphi) R^k \exp(-2\pi k i \varphi) d\varphi, \quad k \in \mathcal{Z}_+,$$

are the Fourier coefficients of  $H$  in  $H_R^2$  and the function  $h$  is defined by

$$\xi \mapsto h(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\xi)^k}{k!} \hat{H}(k).$$



By the Titchmarsh theorem, from (10), it follows that  $h = 0$ , that is  $\hat{H}(k) = 0$  for each  $k$ . Thus the equality  $H_b^* H = 0$  implies  $H = 0$ . So, by the orthogonality relation, it follows that, for each  $b \neq 0$ , the range  $R(H_b)$  is dense in  $H_R^2$ .

*Remark.* Let  $b \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$ . Suppose that  $b \neq 0$ . Then, for each  $H \in H_R^2$ , there exists a sequence  $(c_n) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$  such that the transfer function  $H_n$ , corresponding to the system of type (6) described by  $b, c_n \in X_{R+\varepsilon}^+$  converges to  $H$  on  $H_R^2$ . This means that the function  $b$  can also be prescribed and only the functions  $c_n$  depend on  $H$ .

### References

- [1] R. F. CURTAIN and A. PRITCHARD, Infinite Dimensional Linear System Theory, Lectures Notes in Control Vol 8, Springer, New York, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] F. SZIGETI, Sistemas Generalizados en Derivadas Parciales *Proc. of the Conference Las primeras Jordanas en Análisis, Mérida (Venezuela), ULA*, 1986.
- [3] F. SZIGETI, Realization of transfer functions by parabolic partial differential equations in *Proc. of Conference EQUADIFF' 87, Xanthi, Greece*.
- [4] CARMEN E. VERA, Sistemas de Control y Realizaciones, Thesis, Caracas, UCV, 1986.
- [5] CARMEN E. VERA, Realization of transfer functions by first order partial differential equations, *Proc. of Third Conf. of Program Designers, held in Budapest*, 1987, pp. 189–196.

(Received December 18, 1987)