

On a Special Superelliptic Equation

By B. BRINDZA* (Debrecen)

The purpose of this remark is to show that for each given integer $n > 2$, the equation

$$\binom{x}{n} = \binom{y}{2}$$

has at most finitely many solutions in integers x and y . Sierpinski had conjectured to that effect for the case $n = 3$ — thus that there are only finitely many numbers that are both triangular and tetrahedral. This was verified by AVANESOV [1] who determined all the solutions; there are five:

$$(x, y) = (3, 2), (5, 5), (10, 16), (22, 56), (36, 120).$$

More recently, KISS [3] showed that, when $n = p$ is prime, the given equation has just finitely many solutions. The critical auxiliary step in his argument consists of showing that a certain polynomial has sufficiently many simple zeros; it makes essential use of the primality of n . Our corresponding argument (Lemma 3, below) is simpler and deals with all n .

In the sequel f_n denotes the polynomial

$$f_n(X) = X(X-1)\cdots(X-(n-1)) + \frac{1}{8}n!.$$

We employ the notation $C = C(a, b, \dots)$ to indicate that the constant C depends only on the stated parameters a, b, \dots .

We prove that

* Australian National Research Fellow.

Theorem. Suppose $n > 2$ and let $a \neq 0$ be an integer. Then all solutions of the equation

$$f_n(x) = ay^z$$

in rational integers x, y and z with $|y| > 1$ and $z > 1$ satisfy $\max\{|x|, |y|, z\} < C_1$, where $C_1 = C_1(n, a)$ is an effectively computable constant.

Our original equation is readily reduced to a hyperelliptic equation. We have

$$y^2 - y = 2 \binom{x}{n} \quad \text{so} \quad (2y - 1)^2 = 8 \binom{x}{n} + 1$$

which is

$$x(x-1) \cdots (x-(n-1)) + \frac{1}{8}n! = \frac{1}{8}n!(2y-1)^2.$$

Thus we have, as claimed,

Corollary. Let $n > 2$ be an integer. Then all the positive integer solutions of the equation

$$\binom{x}{n} = \binom{y}{2}$$

satisfy $\max\{x, y\} < C_2$, where $C_2 = C_2(n)$ is an effectively computable constant.

Preliminaries

We use the following preliminary results: Let $f(X)$ be a non-constant polynomial with rational coefficients and let b be a nonzero rational number. Denote by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ the distinct zeros of f in \mathbf{C} and let r_1, \dots, r_k be their respective multiplicities. Given a positive integer $m \geq 2$, set

$$q_i = \frac{m}{(m, r_i)}; \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Lemma 1. (Brindza [2]). Suppose that (q_1, \dots, q_k) is not a permutation of either of the k -tuples $(q, 1, \dots, 1)$ or $(2, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then all solutions of the equation

$$f(x) = by^m$$

in rational integers x and y satisfy $\max\{x, y\} < C_3$, where $C_3 = C_3(f, m, b)$ is an effectively computable constant.

The actual result of [2] is more general. An elegant proof is given in SHOREY and TIJDEMAN [5] at Theorem 8.3.

Lemma 2. (Schinzel and Tijdeman [4]). *If the polynomial f has at least two distinct zeros, then all solutions of the equation*

$$f(x) = by^z$$

in rational integers x, y and z with $|y| > 1$ satisfy $|z| < C_4$, where $C_4 = C_4(f, b)$ is an effectively computable constant.

To apply these results we need some information on the zeros of the polynomials f_n . We prove more than is needed for the application.

Lemma 3. *If $n > 3$ then all the zeros of the polynomial f_n are simple.*

Clearly $f_n(k) - n!/8 = 0$ for the n integers $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus, its derivative f'_n must have (at least, and of course no more than) $n-1$ real zeros, so all the zeros of that derivative are real and lie in the interval $(0, n-1)$. Hence it suffices to show that, other than for a simple zero in the interval $(-1, 0)$ when n is odd, f_n has no real zero. But on the left of the interval $(-1, n-1)$ we easily see that $|f_n| \geq n! - n!/8$, and on the right we have $|f_n| \geq n!/8$. For α in the interval $(0, n-1)$

$$|\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-(n-1))| \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, n-1} k!(n-k)!/4,$$

whence

$$f_n(\alpha) \geq n!/8 - (n-1)!/4 > 0.$$

Proof of the Theorem

It is easy to confirm explicitly that the polynomial f_3 has no rational zero, is therefore irreducible over \mathbf{Q} , and thus has distinct zeros in \mathbf{C} . Then the Theorem is just a special case of Lemma 1.

References

- [1] E. T. AVANESOV, Solution of a problem on figurate numbers (in Russian), *Acta Arith.* **12** (1966), 409–420.
- [2] B. BRINDZA, On S -integral solutions of the equation $f(x) = y^m$, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **44** (1985), 133–139.
- [3] P. KISS, On the number solutions of the diophantine equation $\binom{x}{p} = \binom{y}{2}$, *Fibonacci Quarterly* **26** (1988), 127–130.
- [4] A. SCHINZEL and R. TIJDEMAN, On the equation $y^m = P(x)$, *Acta Arith.* **31** (1976), 199–204.

- [5] T. N. SHOREY and R. TIJDEMAN, Exponential diophantine equations, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 87, Cambridge University Press (1986).

B. BINDZA
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, COMPUTING AND ELECTRONICS
MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY
NSW 2109
AUSTRALIA

(Received December 17, 1988)