

## Complexity investigations on decomposable form equations

By ATTILA PETHÖ\* (Debrecen)

Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  denote the field of rational numbers and the ring of integers, respectively. Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  be a form of degree  $n$ .  $F$  is called *decomposable* if it factorizes into linear factors over some finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

Let  $F$  be a decomposable form and  $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Decomposable form equations of type

$$(1) \quad F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = m, \text{ in } x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

are of basic importance in the theory of diophantine equations, and have many applications in algebraic number theory. For basic results we refer to BOREVICH and SHAFAREVICH [1], SCHMIDT [7], [8], [9], GYÓRY [3], [4], EVERTSE and GYÓRY [2] and the references therein.

A decomposable form  $F$  is called *degenerate* if there exists an integer  $m$  such that (1) has infinitely many solutions. It is easy to see that all binary forms are decomposable, and by a result of Siegel [12] it is decidable without the factorization of  $F$  whether it is degenerate. For the sake of completeness, in Section 2 we also deal with binary forms.

In general we can decide, using the results of SCHMIDT [7] or EVERTSE and GYÓRY [2], whether  $F$  is degenerate, only if its factorization is known. The main goal of this paper is to give in Section 4, an algorithm for the factorization of  $F$ . In Section 5, we prove that the running time of the algorithm is bounded by  $O(k^2 n^6 \log^2(2kn|F|) \log \log(2kn|F|))$ , where  $|F|$  denotes the height of the polynomial  $F$ .

In comparison with general methods for the factorization of multivariate polynomials, for example with the method of HULST and LENSTRA [5], our algorithm seems to be more realistic for this special problem.

I am very grateful for the referee for several suggestions which make the presentation more clear.

---

\*Research supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. 273/86.

## 2. Binary forms

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F(x, y) = F_0x^n + F_1x^{n-1}y + \dots + F_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ . It is decidable in at most  $O(n^2 \log^2 F' \log \log F')$  additions, subtractions, multiplications and divisions whether  $F$  is degenerate, where  $F' = \max\{|F_0|, \dots, |F_n|, 3\}$ .*

**PROOF.** By a theorem of Siegel [12, Zweiter Teil],  $F$  is degenerate iff there exist integers  $a, b, c, d$  such that either

$$(2) \quad F(x, y) = a(bx + cy)^n$$

or  $n$  is even and

$$(3) \quad F(x, y) = a(bx^2 + cxy + dy^2)^{n/2},$$

with  $c^2 - 4bd > 0$ .

We shall analyse only the first alternative. We may assume that  $(b, c) = 1$ , hence  $a = (F_0, \dots, F_n)$ ,  $b = \frac{F_0}{a} / (\frac{F_0}{a}, \frac{F_1}{na})$  and  $c = \frac{F_1}{an} / (\frac{F_0}{a}, \frac{F_1}{an})$ . So  $a, b$  and  $c$  can be computed in at most  $O(n \log^2 F' \log \log F')$  operations using fast multiplication techniques.

Equation (2) is true iff  $F(x, b) = ab^n(x + c)^n$ . For the comparison of these two polynomials one needs at most  $O(n^2 \log^2 F' \log \log F')$  operations. The analysis of (3) is similar and Theorem 1 is proved.

## 3. Auxiliary lemmas

In the sequel  $|F|$  will denote the height of the polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , i.e. the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of  $F$ . Further  $\underline{e}_t$  ( $t = 1, \dots, k-1$ ) will denote the  $k-1$ -dimensional vector with  $t$ -th coordinate 1 and all other coordinates 0.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a decomposable form of degree  $n$  such that  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) = f_n \neq 0$ . Take  $L_3 = (4|F|)^{n(n-1)+1}$  and  $L_t = L_3(L_3 + 1)^{t-3}$ ,  $t = 3, \dots, k$ . Denote by  $\alpha_{t,j}$  and  $\beta_{t,j}$  the roots of the polynomials  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$  and  $F(x, 1, L_3, \dots, L_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ , respectively, for  $t = 2, \dots, k$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad |f_n| |\alpha_{t,j} - \alpha_{t,h}| \leq 4|F|, \quad 1 \leq j, h \leq n; \quad 2 \leq t \leq k,$$

$$(5) \quad |\alpha_{t,j} - \alpha_{t,h}| \geq 4(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$$

hold for all  $1 \leq j, h \leq n$ ;  $2 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $\alpha_{t,j} \neq \alpha_{t,h}$ . Further,

$$(6) \quad |f_n| |\beta_{t,j} - \beta_{t,h}| \leq 4|F|(L_3 + 1)^{t-2}, \quad 1 \leq j, h \leq n; \quad 2 \leq t \leq k,$$

$$(7) \quad |\beta_{t,j} - \beta_{t,h}| \geq 4(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$$

hold for all  $1 \leq j, h \leq n$ ;  $2 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $\beta_{t,j} \neq \beta_{t,h}$ . Finally,

$$(8) \quad |\beta_{t-1,j} - \beta_{t-1,h}| < L_t |\alpha_{t,u} - \alpha_{t,v}|$$

hold for all  $1 \leq j, h, u, v \leq n$  with  $\alpha_{t,u} \neq \alpha_{t,v}$ .

PROOF. Let  $2 \leq t \leq k$  be fixed, and  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1}) = f_{n,t}x^n + \dots + f_{0,t}$ . Then  $f_{n,t} = f_n$  and  $|F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})| \leq |F|$  because  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) = f_n$  and  $f_{s,t}$  is the coefficient of the term  $x_1^s x_t^{n-s}$  in  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . By Hilfssatz 1 of SCHNEIDER [10], we have  $|f_n \alpha_{t,j}| \leq 2|F|$ , hence (4) is true.

Let  $A = \{\alpha_{t,1}, \dots, \alpha_{t,j_t}\}$  be the set of all distinct roots of  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$ , and denote by  $\mathbf{N}$  the splitting field of  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$ . Then we have  $A^\sigma = A$  for all elements  $\sigma$  of the Galois group of the field extension  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{Q}$ . Further,

$f_n \alpha_{t,j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  are algebraic integers, hence  $\prod_{i=1}^{j_t} (x - f_n \alpha_{t,i}) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ , and so its discriminant  $\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq j_t} f_n (\alpha_{t,i} - \alpha_{t,j})$  is a non-zero integer. Combining

this with (4) we get (5) at once.

Since  $F$  is a decomposable form,

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_n \prod_{j=1}^n (x_1 + \alpha_{2,j}x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{k,j}x_k),$$

which means that  $\alpha_{2,j} + L_3\alpha_{3,j} + \dots + L_t\alpha_{t,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are all the roots of  $F(x, 1, L_3, \dots, L_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Therefore

$$(9) \quad \beta_{t,j} = \alpha_{2,j} + L_3\alpha_{3,j} + \dots + L_t\alpha_{t,j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

holds after possible changes of the subscripts. (9) implies

$$|f_n| |\beta_{t,j} - \beta_{t,h}| \leq 4|F|(1 + L_3 + \dots + L_t).$$

It is easy to derive

$$1 + L_3 + \dots + L_t = (L_3 + 1)^{t-2}, \quad t = 2, \dots, k$$

from the definition of the  $L$ 's, which proves (6).

By (5), inequality (7) is true for  $t = 2$ . Assume that it is true for a  $t$  with  $2 \leq t \leq k$ . We have  $\beta_{t+1,j} = \beta_{t,j} + L_{t+1}\alpha_{t+1,j}$  by (9). Let  $j$  and  $h$  be chosen so that  $\beta_{t+1,j} \neq \beta_{t+1,h}$ . If  $\alpha_{t+1,j} = \alpha_{t+1,h}$ , then we have (7) by the induction hypothesis. In the opposite case we get

$$|\beta_{t+1,j} - \beta_{t+1,h}| \geq L_{t+1} |\alpha_{t+1,j} - \alpha_{t+1,h}| - |\beta_{t,j} - \beta_{t,h}| > 12|F|(L_3 + 1)^{t-2} > 4(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$$

by (5) and (6).

Finally (6) and (5) imply

$$|\beta_{t-1,j} - \beta_{t-1,h}| \leq 4|F|(L_3 + 1)^{t-3} < L_t |\alpha_{t,u} - \alpha_{t,v}|.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ ,  $\alpha_{t,j}$  and  $\beta_{t,j}$  be the same as in Lemma 1. Let  $\bar{\alpha}_{t,j}, \bar{\beta}_{t,j} \in \mathbf{Q}(i)$  be the approximations to  $\alpha_{t,j}$  and  $\beta_{t,j}$  respectively, such that

$$(10) \quad |\alpha_{t,j} - \bar{\alpha}_{t,j}| \leq (2kn|F|)^{-k(n+1)^2}, \quad t = 2, \dots, k; \quad j = 1, \dots, \dot{n},$$

$$(11) \quad |\beta_{t,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t,j}| \leq (4|F|)^{-n^2}, \quad t = 2, \dots, k; \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

Then

$$(12) \quad |\bar{\beta}_{t-1,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t,u} + L_t \bar{\alpha}_{t,v}| < 3(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$$

holds iff  $\beta_{t,u} = \beta_{t-1,j} + L_t \alpha_{t,v}$ .

PROOF. Let  $1 \leq u, j, v \leq n$  be such that  $\beta_{t,u} = \beta_{t-1,u} + L_t \alpha_{t,u} \neq \beta_{t-1,j} + L_t \alpha_{t,v}$ . Then one can prove

$$(13) \quad |\beta_{t-1,j} - \beta_{t,u} + L_t \alpha_{t,v}| > 4(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$$

with the same argument as (7). Using (10), (11) and (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\beta}_{t-1,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t,u} + L_t \bar{\alpha}_{t,v}| &\geq |\beta_{t-1,j} - \beta_{t,u} + L_t \alpha_{t,v}| - |\beta_{t,u} - \bar{\beta}_{t,u}| - \\ &\quad |\beta_{t-1,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t-1,j}| - L_t |\alpha_{t,v} - \bar{\alpha}_{t,v}| \geq 3(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\beta_{t,u} = \beta_{t-1,j} + L_t \alpha_{t,v}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\beta}_{t-1,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t,u} + L_t \bar{\alpha}_{t,v}| &\leq |\beta_{t,u} - \bar{\beta}_{t,u}| + |\beta_{t-1,j} - \bar{\beta}_{t-1,j}| + \\ &\quad L_t |\alpha_{t,v} - \bar{\alpha}_{t,v}| < (4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

#### 4. The algorithm

Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ . If  $F \neq 0$ , then there exist integers  $T_2, \dots, T_k$  such that if  $G(y_1, \dots, y_k) = F(y_1, T_2 y_1 + y_2, \dots, T_k y_1 + y_k)$  then  $G(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$  and  $G(1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$  (see Borevich and Shafarevich [1, Ch.II.1.]). Hence we may assume without loss of generality that  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) = f_n \neq 0$ . We shall describe now an algorithm which establishes  $n$  linear forms such that

$$(14) \quad F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_n \prod_{j=1}^n (x_1 + \alpha_{2,j} x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{k,j} x_k),$$

if such a factorization exists.

If  $F$  satisfies (14) then  $\alpha_{t,i}$  ( $t = 2, \dots, k; i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are the roots of  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$ . Unfortunately, establishing the  $\alpha$ 's we do not know yet which are the corresponding coefficients of the linear factors. We find them by using the roots of auxiliary polynomials.

*Input.* A homogenous form  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  of degree  $n$  with  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) = f_n \neq 0$ , and  $L_3, \dots, L_k$  defined in Lemma 1.

*Output.* The factorization (14) of  $F$ .

*Step 1.* (Initialization)  $\epsilon \leftarrow 3(4|F|)^{-n(n-1)}$ ,  $t \leftarrow 2$ . Compute approximations  $\bar{\alpha}_{2,j} \in \mathbf{Q}(i)$  to the roots of  $F(x, \underline{e}_1)$  satisfying (10). Take  $\bar{\beta}_{2,j} \leftarrow \bar{\alpha}_{2,j}$   $j = 1, \dots, n$ ; goto Step 3.

*Step 2.* Compute approximations  $\bar{\alpha}_{t,j}, \bar{\beta}_{t,j} \in \mathbf{Q}(i)$   $j, h = 1, \dots, n$  to the roots of  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$  and  $F(x, 1, L_3, \dots, L_t, 0, \dots, 0)$  satisfying (10) and (11) respectively.

for  $s \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do begin  
  for  $j \leftarrow s$  to  $n$  do begin  
    for  $h \leftarrow s$  to  $n$  do begin  
      If  $|\bar{\beta}_{t-1,s} - \bar{\beta}_{t,j} + L_t \bar{\alpha}_{t,h}| < \epsilon$  then goto (i)  
      end { $h$  loop terminates}  
      If  $j = n$  then goto Step 4  
    end { $j$  loop terminates}  
    Exchange  $\bar{\beta}_{t,j}$  with  $\bar{\beta}_{t,s}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_{t,h}$  with  $\bar{\alpha}_{t,s}$  (i)  
  end { $s$  loop terminates}

*Step 3.* If  $t = k$  then output: the factorization of  $F$ ; stop  
  else  $t \leftarrow t + 1$ ; goto Step 2

*Step 4.* Output:  $F$  is not decomposable; stop.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a decomposable form of degree  $n$  with  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ . Then the above algorithm gives the factorization of  $F$ .

**PROOF.** First let  $F$  be a decomposable form. We show that the indices can be chosen so that

$$(15) \quad \beta_{t,s} = \alpha_{2,s} + L_3 \alpha_{3,s} + \dots + L_t \alpha_{t,s}$$

holds for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ . This is true for  $t = 2$ . Assume that it holds for  $t$ . Let  $1 \leq s \leq n$  and assume that (15) with  $t + 1$  instead of  $t$  is proved already for all  $u < s$ , i.e

$$\beta_{t+1,u} = \alpha_{2,u} + L_3 \alpha_{3,u} + \dots + L_{t+1} \alpha_{t+1,u} = \beta_{t,u} + L_{t+1} \alpha_{t+1,u}.$$

If  $F$  is decomposable, then there exist  $j, h \geq s$  such that

$$(16) \quad \beta_{t,s} + L_{t+1} \alpha_{t+1,h} = \beta_{t+1,j}$$

holds. By Lemma 2 this is true iff

$$(17) \quad |\bar{\beta}_{t,s} + L_{t+1} \bar{\alpha}_{t+1,h} - \bar{\beta}_{t+1,j}| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, if (17) fails in Step 2 for all  $j, h \geq s$  then  $F$  is not decomposable.

If we have found  $j, h \geq s$  with (17), then (16) holds by Lemma 2. There exist  $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n$  with  $\beta_{t+1,j} = \beta_{t,j_1} + L_{t+1}\alpha_{t+1,j_2}$  because  $F$  is decomposable. Using (16) we get

$$\beta_{t,s} - \beta_{t,j_1} = L_{t+1}(\alpha_{t+1,j_2} - \alpha_{t+1,h}).$$

By Lemma 1 this is possible only if  $\alpha_{t+1,j_2} = \alpha_{t+1,h}$  and so  $\beta_{t,j_1} = \beta_{t,s}$ . Hence exchanging  $\beta_{t+1,j}$  and  $\beta_{t+1,s}$  as well as  $\alpha_{t+1,h}$  and  $\alpha_{t+1,s}$  we get (15) for  $s$  and finally for  $t+1$ , too. Hence we proved that if  $F$  is decomposable then the Algorithm gives its factorization, otherwise it decides that  $F$  is not decomposable.

*Remark.* It is clear from the proof of Theorem 2 that instead of the  $L$ 's we can take any other integers for which (8) holds.

### 5. Complexity analysis

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  be a homogenous form of degree  $n$  with  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ . Then the Algorithm stops in at most  $O(k^2 n^6 \log^2(2kn|F|) \log \log(2kn|F|))$  additions, subtractions, multiplications and divisions on rational numbers.*

**PROOF.** To compute approximations to the roots of the polynomials  $F(x, \underline{e}_{t-1})$  satisfying (10) for fixed  $2 \leq t \leq k$  one needs at most  $O(kn^3 \log^2(2kn|F|) \log \log(2kn|F|))$  arithmetical operations using the algorithm of Schönhage [11]. Hence we get all the  $\bar{\alpha}_{t,j}$   $t = 2, \dots, k$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$  in at most  $O(k^2 n^3 \log^2(2kn|F|) \log \log(2kn|F|))$  operations.

A simple calculation gives the upper bound  $(4|F|)^{tn^3}$  for the height of the polynomial  $F(x, 1, L_3, \dots, L_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $t = 3, \dots, k$ . Using the above mentioned algorithm of Schönhage, approximations to the roots of these polynomials satisfying (11) can be computed in at most  $O(tn^6 \log^2(4|F|) \log \log(4|F|))$  operations.

For a fixed  $3 \leq t \leq k$  to find the corresponding subscripts  $j, h, s$  with (17) one needs at most  $O(n^3)$  operations. Combining these estimates we get the statement of Theorem 3.

### References

- [1] Z. I. BOREVICH and I. R. SHAFAREVICH, Number Theory, Pure and Appl. Math. Ser., vol. 20, Academic Press, 1966.
- [2] J. H. EVERTSE and K. GYÖRY, Finiteness criteria for decomposable form equations, *Acta Arith.* 50 (1988), 357–379.
- [3] K. GYÖRY, On the representation of integers by decomposable forms in several variables, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 28 (1981), 89–98.

- [4] K. GYÖRY, On norm form, discriminant form and index form equations, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 34. Topics in Classical Number Theory, *Budapest*, 1981, North-Holland Publ. Comp. 1984, pp. 617–676.
- [5] H-P. van der HULST and A. K. LENSTRA, Factorization of polynomials by transcendental evaluation, *EUROCAL '85*, Lecture Notes in Comp. Science, vol. 204, Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 138–145.
- [6] M. POHST and H. ZASSENHAUS, Algorithmic Algebraic Number Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [7] W. M. SCHMIDT, Norm form equations, *Annals of Math.* 96 (1972), 526–551.
- [8] W. M. SCHMIDT, Inequalities for resultants and for decomposable forms, Proc. Conf. Diophantine Approximation and its Applications, *Washington 1972*. New York and London, 1973, pp. 235–253.
- [9] W. M. SCHMIDT, Diophantine Approximation, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 785, Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [10] TH. SCHNEIDER, Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen, Springer Verlag, 1957.
- [11] A. SCHÖNHAGE, The fundamental theorem of algebra in terms of computational complexity, Preliminary report, *Math. Inst. Univ. Tübingen*, 1982.
- [12] C. L. SIEGEL, Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen, *Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* 1 (1929), 1–70.

ATTILA PETHŐ  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
KOSSUTH LAJOS UNIVERSITY  
4010 DEBRECEN P.O. BOX 12  
HUNGARY

(Received April 5, 1990)