

## On second order linear divisibility sequences over algebraic number fields

By K. GYÖRY<sup>1</sup> (Debrecen) and A. PETHŐ<sup>1,2</sup> (Debrecen)

*To the memory of B. Barna, K. Buzási, S. Buzási and M. Erdélyi*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an integral domain which is finitely generated over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . (Linear) *divisibility sequences* over  $R$  are recurrence sequences  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $u_h \in R$ ,  $h = 0, 1, \dots$  (that is sequences in  $R$  satisfying linear homogeneous recurrence relations with constant coefficients) with the property that whenever  $h|k$ , then  $u_h|u_k$  in  $R$ . This notation was introduced by M. HALL [4] who described all second order divisibility sequences over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , as well as the third order divisibility sequences over  $\mathbf{Z}$  having irreducible characteristic polynomials.

Let  $d > 1$  be an integer. The recurrence sequence  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is called  $d$ -(linear) *divisibility sequence* if  $u_h|u_{hd}$  in  $R$  for  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $R = \mathbf{Z}$ , SOLOMON [6] characterized all 2-divisibility sequences. BÉZIVIN, PETHŐ and VAN DER POORTEN [1] proved for any  $R$ , that if  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is  $d$ -divisible for an integer  $d > 1$  then there is a recurrence sequence  $\{\bar{u}_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  of the form

$$\bar{u}_h = h^k \prod_i \left( \frac{\alpha_i^h - \beta_i^h}{\alpha_i - \beta_i} \right)$$

with some integer  $k \geq 0$  over  $R$  such that  $u_h|\bar{u}_h$  in  $R$  for  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus they confirmed an old conjecture of WARD [7]. For further references concerning divisibility sequences, we refer to [1].

Although the result of Bézivin et al. is very general, it is not straightforward to deduce from it a complete list of  $d$ -divisibility sequences over a

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by Grant 273 from the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research.

<sup>2</sup>Research partly done while the second author was a Visiting Professor at the University of Saarbrücken.

given ring. The aim of this paper is to give a more explicit description of second order  $d$ -divisibility recurrence sequences over the ring of integers  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  of an algebraic number field  $K$  (cf. Theorem 1). In fact, we shall give a criterion for second order non-degenerate recurrence sequences over  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  to be  $d$ -divisible for some integer  $d > 1$ . Further, we show (cf. Corollary 2) that a second order non-degenerate recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  is a divisibility sequence if and only if it is 2-divisible (Corollary 2). Finally, using Theorem 1 we give explicitly all second order recurrence sequences over  $\mathbf{Z}$  (cf. Theorem 2) which are  $d$ -divisible for some  $d > 1$ .

## 2. Results

To state our results we need some notations. Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and denote by  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  its ring of integers. Let the sequence  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  be defined by the initial terms  $u_0, u_1$  and by the recursion

$$(1) \quad u_{n+2} = Au_{n+1} + Bu_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where  $u_0, u_1, A, B \in \mathbf{Z}_K$  and  $u_0^2 + u_1^2 \neq 0, B \neq 0$ . Denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the zeros of the polynomial  $x^2 - Ax - B$ . Then we have (see e.g. [5])

$$(2) \quad u_n = a\alpha^n - b\beta^n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

or

$$(3) \quad u_n = (an + b)\alpha^n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

according as  $\alpha \neq \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$ . Further, we have  $a = \frac{u_1 - u_0\beta}{\alpha - \beta}$  and

$b = \frac{u_1 - u_0\alpha}{\alpha - \beta}$  in (2), and  $a = \frac{u_1 - u_0\alpha}{\alpha}$  and  $b = u_0$  in (3).

The sequence  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is called *degenerate* if  $\alpha/\beta$  is a root of unity, and *non-degenerate* otherwise.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  be a second order non-degenerate recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  with the parameters specified above. (A) If there exists an integer  $d > 1$  and an  $n_0$  such that  $u_n | u_{nd}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $b^{d-1} = a^{d-1}$ . (B) Conversely, if  $b^{d-1} = a^{d-1}$  for some integer  $d > 1$ , then  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible.

In other words, under the assumptions of Theorem 1  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible if and only if  $b^{d-1} = a^{d-1}$ .

If the assumptions in (A) of Theorem 1 hold for  $d = 2$  then we get that  $b = a$ . Further, in this case  $u_0 = 0, b = a = \frac{u_1}{\alpha - \beta}$  and hence  $\{u_h\}$  is divisible. Conversely, if  $u_0 = 0$  then  $b = a$ . Thus we have the following

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^\infty$  be as in Theorem 1. (A) Assume that there exists an  $n_0$  such that  $u_n|u_{2n}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_K$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Then  $u_0 = 0$  and

$$u_n = u_1 \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(B) Conversely, if  $u_0 = 0$  then  $\{u_h\}$  is divisible.

This implies the following

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^\infty$  be a second order non-degenerate recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}_K$ .  $\{u_h\}$  is a divisibility sequence if and only if it is 2-divisible.

The degree of  $K(\alpha)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is at most  $2k$ , where  $k$  denotes the degree of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Hence, in Theorem 1, there exist only finitely many possibilities for  $a/b$  (if  $b \neq 0$ ) and  $b/a$  (if  $a \neq 0$ ) which are easily computable if  $K$  is given. We shall carry out this explicitly only for  $K = \mathbf{Q}$ . Using Theorem 1, we shall list in Theorem 2 below all second order (degenerate and non-degenerate) recurrence sequences over  $\mathbf{Z}$  which are  $d$ -divisible for some  $d > 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^\infty$  be a second order recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the parameters  $u_0, u_1, A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $u_0^2 + u_1^2 \neq 0$ ,  $B \neq 0$  specified above, and let  $d > 1$  be an integer. The sequence  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible if and only if there exist  $e, f \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that at least one of the following cases holds:

- (i)  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $d$  arbitrary;
- (ii)  $A = 0$ ,  $d$  odd;
- (iii)  $A = 0$ ,  $u_1|u_0B^{d/2}$ ,  $d$  even;
- (iv)  $u_0A = 2u_1$ ,  $A^2 + 4B = 0$ ,  $d$  arbitrary;
- (v)  $u_0A = 2u_1$ ,  $d$  odd;
- (vi)  $A = 2e$ ,  $B = -2e^2$ ,  $e \neq 0$ ,  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (vii)  $A = 2e$ ,  $B = -2e^2$ ,  $e \neq 0$ ,  $u_r|B^{2t}u_{r_0}$  for all integers  $r, t, r_0$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ ,  $dr = 4t + r_0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r_0 \leq 3$  and  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (viii)  $A = 2e$ ,  $B = -(e^2 + f^2)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $e \neq \pm f$ ,  $u_1 = u_0(e \pm f)$  and  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (ix)  $A = -f$ ,  $B = -f^2$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ;
- (x)  $A = -f$ ,  $B = -f^2$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $u_r|f^{3t}u_{r_0}$  for all integers  $r, t, r_0$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $dr = 3t + r_0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r_0 \leq 2$  and  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ;
- (xi)  $A = 2e - f$ ,  $B = -(e^2 - ef + f^2)$ ,  $e \neq 0$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $e \neq \pm f$ ,  $u_0 \neq 0$ ,  $u_1/u_0 \in \{e - f, e\}$ ,  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ;
- (xii)  $A = 3f$ ,  $B = -3f^2$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ;
- (xiii)  $A = 3f$ ,  $B = -3f^2$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $u_r|B^{3t}u_{r_0}$  for all integers  $r, t, r_0$  with

- (xiv)  $1 \leq r \leq 5, dr = 6t + r_0, t \geq 0, 0 \leq r_0 \leq 5$  and  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ;  
 $A = 2e - f, B = -(e^2 - ef + f^2), e \neq \pm f, 2f, u_0 \neq 0,$   
 $u_1/u_0 \in \{e + f, e - 2f\}$  and  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ .

It follows from Theorem 2 (see also its proof) that in cases (ii) – (iv), (vi), (vii), (ix), (x), (xii) and (xiii), the sequence  $\{u_h\}$  is degenerate. In case (i), it is easy to give an example both for the degenerate and for the non-degenerate case. In the other cases  $\{u_h\}$  is non-degenerate. More precisely, it is easy to deduce from Theorems 1, 2 and Corollary 2 that there exist only the following five types of second order non-degenerate  $d$ -divisibility sequences  $\{u_h\}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ;

- $\{u_h\}$  is 2-divisible; then it is divisible (case (i));
- $\{u_h\}$  is 2-non-divisible but 3-divisible; then it is  $(2k + 1)$ -divisible for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  (case (v));
- $\{u_h\}$  is 2-non-divisible but 4-divisible; then it is  $(3k + 1)$ -divisible for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  (case (xi));
- $\{u_h\}$  is 3-non-divisible but 5-divisible; then it is  $(4k + 1)$ -divisible for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  (case (viii));
- $\{u_h\}$  is 3 and 4-non-divisible but 7-divisible; then it is  $(6k + 1)$ -divisible for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  (case (xiv)).

### 3. Proofs

**PROOF of Theorem 1.** First we prove assertion (A). Let  $\{u_h\}$  be a second order non-degenerate recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}_K$ , satisfying the assumptions made in (A) of Theorem 1. Using the notations of Section 2 we have  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , hence  $u_n$  satisfies (2).

An easy computation shows that

$$(4) \quad (a\alpha^n - b\beta^n) \frac{\alpha^{dn} - \beta^{dn}}{\alpha^n - \beta^n} = a\alpha^{dn} - b\beta^{dn} + (a-b)(\alpha\beta)^n \frac{\alpha^{(d-1)n} - \beta^{(d-1)n}}{\alpha^n - \beta^n}$$

for all integers  $n, d \geq 1$ . Put  $L = K(\alpha)$ , and denote by  $\mathbf{Z}_L$  the ring of integers of  $L$ . It is clear that

$$\frac{\alpha^{jn} - \beta^{jn}}{\alpha^n - \beta^n} \in \mathbf{Z}_K \quad \text{for every integer } j \geq 1.$$

Hence, if  $u_n | u_{dn}$  then, by (4),

$$(a-b)(\alpha\beta)^n \frac{\alpha^{(d-1)n} - \beta^{(d-1)n}}{\alpha^n - \beta^n} \in \mathbf{Z}_K$$

and

$$(5) \quad u_n \mid (a - b)(\alpha\beta)^n(\alpha^{(d-1)n} - \beta^{(d-1)n}) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}_L.$$

Further, we note that  $a - b = u_0$ , whence  $a - b \in \mathbf{Z}_K$ .

For a non-zero ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_L$ , let  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denote the maximum of the rational primes lying below the prime ideal divisors of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Further, we put  $P(0) = P(1) = 1$ . By a result of MAHLER [3],  $P(u_n)$  is not bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence there exists a number  $n_1$  such that  $P(u_n) > \max\{P(a - b), P(\alpha\beta)\}$  for infinitely many  $n \geq n_1$ . Let  $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}$  with this property, and let  $\wp_n$  be a prime ideal divisor of  $u_n$  with  $P(\wp_n) = P(u_n)$ . Then it follows from (5) that

$$(6) \quad \wp_n \mid \alpha^{(d-1)n} - \beta^{(d-1)n} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}_L.$$

Put

$$A_i = (\alpha - \beta)^{i-1}(b^{i-1}\alpha^{(d-i)n} - a^{i-1}\beta^{(d-i)n}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then  $A_i \in \mathbf{Z}_L$  for each  $i$ . Further,  $b(\alpha - \beta) \in \mathbf{Z}_L$ . It is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad (\alpha - \beta)^{i-1}\beta^{(d-i)n}a^{i-2}(a\alpha^n - b\beta^n) - b(\alpha - \beta)A_{i-1} = -\alpha^n A_i$$

for each integer  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . We prove now that

$$(8) \quad \wp_n \mid A_i \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}_L$$

for each integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . By (6), (8) is true for  $i = 1$ . Assume that it is true for  $i - 1 \geq 0$ . Then by the definition of  $\wp_n$  and by the induction hypothesis,  $\wp_n$  divides the element on the left-hand side of (7). Since  $\wp_n \nmid \alpha^n$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_L$ , it must divide  $A_i$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_L$  on the right-hand side of (7), and (8) is proved.

Setting  $i = d$  in (8) we get

$$\wp_n \mid (\alpha - \beta)^{d-1}(b^{d-1} - a^{d-1}) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}_L.$$

But  $P(\wp_n)$  can be arbitrarily large, hence  $b^{d-1} = a^{d-1}$  which proves the assertion in (A).

Conversely, suppose now that  $b^{d-1} = a^{d-1}$  for some integer  $d > 1$ . Then  $b = \zeta_{d-1}a$  with some  $(d - 1)$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_{d-1}$  from  $L$ . Then, by (2), we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} u_{dn} = a(\alpha^{dn} - \zeta_{d-1}\beta^{dn}) = a((\alpha^n)^d - (\zeta_{d-1}\beta^n)^d) = u_n v_n & \text{for all } n \geq 0, \\ \text{where} \\ v_n = \begin{cases} (\alpha^n)^{d-1} + (\alpha^n)^{d-2}(\zeta_{d-1}\beta^n) + \dots + (\zeta_{d-1}\beta^n)^{d-1} & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

In (9),  $v_n$  is an algebraic integer. On the other hand, if  $u_n \neq 0$  then, by (9),  $v_n$  belongs to  $K$ , hence  $v_n \in \mathbf{Z}_K$ . Thus  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible which proves the assertion of (B).

PROOF of Theorem 2. Let now  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^\infty$  be a second order recurrence sequence over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with initial terms  $u_0, u_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $u_0^2 + u_1^2 \neq 0$ , which satisfies (1) with some  $A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $B \neq 0$ , and suppose that it is  $d$ -divisible for some integer  $d > 1$ . Define  $\alpha, \beta, a, b$  as in Section 2.

Assume first that  $\{u_h\}$  is degenerate. Then  $\alpha = \zeta\beta$ , where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity belonging to  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ . The degree of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is at most two, hence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{E} = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm \rho, \pm \rho^2\}$  where  $\rho = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$  (see e.g. [2]). We shall distinguish several cases.

Case 1. If  $\alpha = \beta$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $u_n$  may be written in the form (3). Further,  $A^2 + 4B = 0$  and  $\alpha = A/2$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $u_0A = 2u_1$  which corresponds to case (iv). This sequence is indeed  $d$ -divisible for every  $d > 1$ . If  $b = 0$  then  $u_0 = 0$  which corresponds to case (i). Then the sequence is  $d$ -divisible. Finally, suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are different from zero. Then  $\alpha(amd + b)$  and  $\alpha(an + b)$  are rational integers and  $u_n | u_{dn}$  implies that  $\alpha(an + b) | \alpha(amd + b)$ . From this it follows that  $\alpha(an + b) | \alpha b(d - 1)$  which is impossible if  $n$  is large enough.

Case 2. Let now  $\alpha = -\beta$ . Then  $A = 0$  and  $B = \beta^2$ . We have  $u_{2n} = u_0\beta^{2n}$  and  $u_{2n+1} = u_1\beta^{2n}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . If  $d$  is odd then  $dn \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , hence, indeed,  $u_n | u_{dn}$  and (ii) follows. While if  $d$  is even and  $n$  is odd then  $u_1\beta^{n-1} | u_0\beta^{dn}$  must hold. Then  $\{u_h\}$  is indeed  $d$ -divisible and  $\{u_h\}$  is described in case (iii).

Case 3. Let  $\alpha = \pm i\beta$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = \beta(1 \pm i) = A$  implies that  $\beta = \frac{A}{2}(1 \mp i)$ . Since  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer, we have  $A = 2e$  and  $B = -\alpha\beta = -2e^2$ . Let  $n$  be an arbitrary non-negative integer, and put  $n = 4v + r$  with non-negative integers  $v, r$  such that  $0 \leq r < 4$ . Then, by (2),

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n &= a\alpha^n - b\beta^n = \beta^n(a(\pm i)^n - b) = \\ &= e^n(1 \mp i)^n(a(\pm i)^n - b) = (-1)^v B^{2v} u_r. \end{aligned}$$

If  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $dn \equiv n \equiv r \pmod{4}$  and, by (10),  $u_n | u_{dn}$ , i.e.  $\{u_h\}$  is indeed  $d$ -divisible, and this is case (vi). If  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then we put  $dr = 4t + r_0$  with non-negative integers  $t, r_0$  such that  $0 \leq r_0 < 4$ . Then  $dn = 4vd + 4t + r_0$ . By (10), in this case  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible if and only if

$$(11) \quad u_r | B^{2(v(d-1)+t)} u_{r_0} \quad \text{for all } v \geq 0 \text{ and each } r, t, r_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq r, r_0 < 4 \text{ and } dr = 4t + r_0.$$

But for fixed  $r, r_0$  and  $t$ , (11) holds for all  $v \geq 0$  if and only if it holds for  $v = 0$ . Finally, (11) trivially holds for  $r = 0$ , hence we get case (vii).

*Case 4.* Next let  $\alpha/\beta = \rho^j$  where  $j = 1$  or  $2$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to the ring of integers of the Eulerian number field  $\mathbf{Q}(\rho)$ . In this field,  $\{1, \rho\}$  is an integral basis, hence we can write  $\alpha = e + (-1)^j f \rho$  and  $\beta = e + (-1)^j f \rho^2$  with some  $e, f \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, by  $\alpha = \beta \rho^j$ , we get  $A = -f$ ,  $B = -f^2$  and  $\beta = \rho^j f$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Put  $n = 3v + r$  with integers  $v, r$  such that  $v \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r < 3$ . Then, by (2) and  $(\rho^j)^3 = 1$ , we have

$$u_n = \beta^n (a \rho^{jn} - b) = f^{3v} \cdot f^r \cdot \rho^{jr} (a \rho^{jr} - b) = f^{3v} u_r.$$

From now on we can proceed in a similar way as in the cases corresponding to  $\alpha/\beta = \pm i$ , and we get (ix) and (x) in the theorem.

*Case 5.* Let now  $\alpha/\beta = -\rho^j$  where  $j = 1$  or  $2$ . Then we get in the same way as in the preceding case that  $A = 3f$ ,  $B = -3f^2$  and  $\beta = \sqrt{-3} \cdot f(-\rho)^j$  with some rational integer  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $n = 6v + r$  with rational integers  $v, r$  such that  $v \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r < 6$ . Then  $(-\rho^j)^6 = 1$  and (2) imply again that

$$u_n = \beta^n (a(-\rho^j)^n - b) = (\sqrt{-3}f)^{6v} u_r = B^{3v} u_r.$$

Now we can proceed as in the case above  $\alpha/\beta = \pm i$ , and we get cases (xii), (xiii) in our theorem.

In the sequel we suppose that  $\{u_h\}_{h=0}^\infty$  is non-degenerate. Since  $\{u_h\}$  is a second order recurrence sequence, we have  $ab \neq 0$ . By Theorem 1,  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible if and only if  $b = \zeta a$  with some  $(d - 1)$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Then  $\zeta \in \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ , hence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{E}$ . Further,  $b = \zeta a$  is equivalent to

$$(12) \quad (u_1 - u_0 \beta) \zeta = u_1 - u_0 \alpha.$$

It suffices to determine all second order non-degenerate recurrence sequences  $\{u_h\}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  having the property (12). We shall distinguish again several cases.

*Case 6.* Let first  $\zeta = 1$ . Then (12) implies  $u_0 = 0$  and  $\{u_h\}$  is  $d$ -divisible for every  $d > 1$ . This corresponds to (i) in the theorem.

*Case 7.*  $\zeta = -1$ . This appears only if  $d$  is odd. Then we get from (12) that

$$2u_1 = u_0(\alpha + \beta) = u_0 A$$

because  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the zeros of  $x^2 - Ax - B$ . This is the case (v) in the theorem.

*Case 8.*  $\zeta = \pm i$ . This is possible only if  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Then  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbf{Q}(i)$  is the Gaussian number field. Hence  $\alpha = e + if$  and  $\beta = e - if$  with suitable  $e, f \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for which  $e \neq \pm f$ .

For  $\zeta = i$  and  $\zeta = -i$ , (12) implies  $u_1 = u_0(e - f)$  and  $u_1 = u_0(e + f)$ , respectively. Furthermore, we have in both cases  $A = 2e$  and  $B = -(e^2 + f^2)$  which corresponds to case (viii) of the theorem.

*Case 9.*  $\zeta = \rho^j$  where  $j = 1$  or  $2$ . Then  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbf{Q}(\rho)$  is the Eulerian number field. Thus  $\alpha = e + f\rho$  and  $\beta = e + f\rho^2$  with suitable integers  $e, f \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for which  $e \neq \pm f, 2f$ . Hence  $A = 2e - f$  and  $B = -(e^2 - ef + f^2)$ . Using again (12), we get

$$u_1 = \begin{cases} u_0(e - f) & \text{if } \zeta = \rho \\ u_0e & \text{if } \zeta = \rho^2, \end{cases}$$

which corresponds to (xi) in the theorem.

*Case 10.*  $\zeta = -\rho^j$  where  $j = 1$  or  $2$ . Then  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbf{Q}(\rho)$ . Taking again  $\alpha = e + f\rho$  and  $\beta = e + f\rho^2$  with some  $e, f \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we get for  $e, f$  the restrictions  $e \neq \pm f, 2f$ .  $A$  and  $B$  have the same form as in case 9. Finally, using (12) we get

$$u_1 = \begin{cases} u_0(e + f) & \text{if } \zeta = -\rho \\ u_0(e - 2f) & \text{if } \zeta = -\rho^2, \end{cases}$$

which corresponds to case (xiv) in the theorem. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

*Acknowledgements.* We are indebted to the referee for calling our attention to some minor inaccuracies in the manuscript.

## References

- [1] J. P. BÉZIVIN, A. PETHŐ and A. J. van der POORTEN, A full characterization of divisibility sequences, *Amer. J. Math.* **112** (1990), 985–1001.
- [2] Z. I. BOREVICH and I. R. SHAFAREVICH, Number Theory, 2nd ed., *Academic Press, New York and London*, 1967.
- [3] K. MAHLER, Eine arithmetische Eigenschaft der rekurrenden Reihen, *Mathematica B (Leiden)* **3** (1934), 153–156.
- [4] M. HALL, Divisibility sequences of third order, *Amer. J. Math.* **58** (1936), 577–584.
- [5] T. N. SHOREY and R. TIJDEMAN, Exponential Diophantine Equations, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1986.
- [6] R. SOLOMON, Divisibility properties of certain recurring sequences, *Fibonacci Quarterly* **14** (1976), 153–158.



- [7] M. WARD, Linear divisibility sequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (1937), 276–286.

K. GYÖRY and A. PETHŐ  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY  
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12  
HUNGARY

*(Received December 7, 1990)*