

## Finsler connection transformations associated to a general Finsler metrical structure of Miron type (II)

By PETRE STAVRE (Craiova) and FRANCISC C. KLEPP (Timișoara)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$ ,  $(TM, \pi, M)$  its tangent bundle,  $(x^i, y^i)$  the local coordinates of a point  $u \in TM$  in a local map, and let  $\{\delta/\delta x^i, \partial/\partial y^i\}$  be an adapted basis, where  $\delta/\delta x^i = \partial/\partial x^i - N_i^k \partial/\partial y^k$ ,  $N_k^i$  being the coefficients of a fixed non-linear connection  $N$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the general group of Finsler connection transformations [6]:  $t : F\Gamma(N) = (N_j^i, F_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i) \rightarrow F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = (\bar{N}_j^i, \bar{F}_{jk}^i, \bar{C}_{jk}^i)$  of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{N}_j^i(x, y) = N_j^i - A_j^i; \quad \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{jk}^a A_a^i + B_{jk}^i; \quad \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + D_{jk}^i$$

where  $A \in Z_1^1(M)$  and  $B, D \in Z_2^1(M)$  are arbitrary Finsler tensors; and  $\mathcal{T}_N = \{t \in \mathcal{T}, t = t(0, B, D)\}$  the subgroup of  $\mathcal{T}$  formed by transformations  $t : (N, F, C) \rightarrow (\bar{N}, \bar{F}, \bar{C})$ , which preserve the non-linear connection  $N$ . In a previous paper [12] we have introduced a classification method for the Finsler connection transformations  $t \in \mathcal{T}_N$ , using a metrical Finsler structure of Miron type  $g = (g_{ij})$ . In the present paper a generalization of this method for the case of the general group  $\mathcal{T}$  of the Finsler connection transformations is given.

The notions and notations of M. MATSUMOTO [5] and R. MIRON [6] are used.

### 2. $t_g$ and $t_{\text{non } g}$ transformations

*Definition 2.1.* A Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is called a  $t_g$  transformation if and only if we have:

$$(2.1) \quad g_{aj||k} - \bar{N}_k^s g_{aj||s} = g_{aj|k} - N_k^s g_{aj|s}; \quad g_{aj}||k = g_{aj|k}$$

where  $|, |$  and  $||, ||$  denote the  $h$ - and  $v$ -covariant derivatives relative to  $(N, F, C)$  and  $(\bar{N}, \bar{F}, \bar{C})$  respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** A Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is called a  $t_{\text{non } g}$  transformation if and only if at least one of the conditions (2.1) is not satisfied.

If  $\bar{N} = N$ , then  $t \in \mathcal{T}_N$  and we obtain the Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 from [12].

We denote:

$$(2.2) \quad G_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj|k} + g_{rk|j} - g_{jk|r})$$

$$(2.3) \quad H_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj|k} + g_{rk|j} - g_{jk|r})$$

$$(2.4) \quad N_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj|a}N_k^a + g_{rk|a}N_j^a - g_{jk|a}N_r^a)$$

and analogously  $\bar{G}_{jk}^i, \bar{H}_{jk}^i$  and  $\bar{N}_{jk}^i$  for  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ .

From (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) follow the invariants:

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{G}_{jk}^i + \bar{N}_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + N_{jk}^i; \quad \bar{H}_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i.$$

Thus we have:

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a  $t_g$ -transformation then (2.5) are invariants of this transformation.

**Example 2.1.** Any  $t(A, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{T}$  transformation i.e. (1.1) transformation with  $B = D = 0$ , is a  $t_g$ -transformation.

If we denote:

$$(2.6) \quad \overset{*}{U}_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}(U_{jk}^i + g_{sj}g^{ir}U_{kr}^s + g_{sk}g^{ir}U_{jk}^s); \quad U_{jk}^i \in Z_2^1(M)$$

then, using the method from [12], from (1.1), (2.2) and (2.3) it follows the:

**Theorem 2.2.** A Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a  $t_g$ -transformation if and only if it is of the form:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; \\ \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{ja}^i A_k^a + \overset{*}{T}_{jk}^i - \overset{*}{T}_{jk}^i - \overset{*}{\Theta}_{jk}^i \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \overset{*}{S}_{jk}^i - \overset{*}{S}_{jk}^i \end{cases}$$

where  $\overset{*}{T}$  is equal to  $\overset{*}{U}$  if  $U = \bar{T}$ ;  $\overset{*}{T}$  is equal to  $\overset{*}{U}$  if  $U = T$ ;  $\overset{*}{\Theta}$  is equal to  $\overset{*}{U}$  if  $U_{jk}^i = C_{ja}^i A_k^a - C_{ka}^i A_j^a$ ;  $\overset{*}{S}$  is equal to  $\overset{*}{U}$  if  $U = \bar{S}$  and  $\overset{*}{S}$  is equal to  $\overset{*}{U}$  if

$U = S$ ;  $A_j^a \in Z_1^1(M)$  is an arbitrary Finsler tensor,  $T, S$  and  $\bar{T}, \bar{S}$  are the  $h$ - and  $v$ -torsions of  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  respectively.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The set of all Finsler connections  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  obtained from a fixed Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$  by a  $t_g$ -transformation is given by (2.7), where  $A_k^a \in Z_1^1(M)$  is an arbitrary Finsler tensor;  $\bar{T}, \bar{S}$  are arbitrary skewsymmetric tensors:  $\bar{T}_{jk}^i = -\bar{T}_{kj}^i$ ;  $\bar{S}_{jk}^i = -\bar{S}_{kj}^i$  and  $T, S$  are the  $h$ - and  $v$ -torsions of the fixed Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$ .*

It follows that the transformations (2.7) are characterized by the invariants (2.1) or (2.5).

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $A_j^i = 0$ , then  $\bar{N} = N$  and we obtain the  $g$  transformations  $t_g \in \mathcal{T}_N$  from [12].*

We denote:

$$(2.8) \quad A_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}g^{ia}(g_{aj}|_b A_k^b + g_{ak}|_b A_j^b - g_{jk}|_b A_k^b)$$

If  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a  $t_{\text{non } g}$ -transformation, then using the method from [12] it follows from (1.1) the:

**Theorem 2.4.** *A Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a  $t_{\text{non } g}$ -transformation if and only if it is of the form:*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; \\ \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{ja}^i A_k^a + \bar{T}_{jk}^{*i} - \bar{T}_{jk}^i - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^{*i} + G_{jk}^i - \bar{G}_{jk}^i + A_{jk}^i \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \bar{S}_{jk}^{*i} - \bar{S}_{jk}^i + \bar{H}_{jk}^i - \bar{H}_{jk}^i \end{cases}$$

and:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $F\Gamma(N)$  be a fixed Finsler connection with the  $h$ -torsion  $T$  and  $v$ -torsion  $S$ . Then any other Finsler connection  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  which does not possess the property (2.1) is given by (2.9), where  $\bar{T}, \bar{S}$  are arbitrary skewsymmetric Finsler tensors;  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  are arbitrary symmetric Finsler tensors:  $\bar{G}_{jk}^i = \bar{G}_{kj}^i$ ;  $\bar{H}_{jk}^i = \bar{H}_{kj}^i$ ;  $A_j^i \in Z_1^1(M)$  is an arbitrary Finsler tensor and  $\bar{H} \neq H$  or  $G_{jk}^i - \bar{G}_{jk}^i + A_{jk}^i \neq 0$ .*

If we fix  $\bar{T}, \bar{S}, \bar{G}, \bar{H}$  and  $A_j^i$ , then we obtain a Finsler connection  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  which has the  $h$ -torsion  $\bar{T}$ , the  $v$ -torsion  $\bar{S}$  and  $\bar{G}, \bar{H}$  satisfies the relations:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{G}_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj}\|_k + g_{rk}\|_j - g_{jk}\|_r); \\ \bar{H}_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj}\|_k + g_{rk}\|_j - g_{jk}\|_r) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.9) follow also special cases of  $t_{\text{non-}g}$ -transformations.

We obtain the:

**Theorem 2.6.** (*Separation Theorem*). Any Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a  $t_g$ -transformation ( $t \in \mathcal{T}_g$ ), or a  $t_{\text{non-}g}$ -transformation ( $t \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{non-}g}$ ) and we have:  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_g \cup \mathcal{T}_{\text{non-}g}$ ;  $\mathcal{T}_g \cap \mathcal{T}_{\text{non-}g} = \emptyset$ .

If in (2.9) we give up one, or both of the conditions  $\bar{H} \neq H$  and  $G_{jk}^i - \bar{G}_{jk}^i + A_{jk}^i = 0$ , then we obtain the:

**Theorem 2.7.** (*General Theorem*). Any Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is of the form (2.9).

This Theorem is important, since it is expressed solely in the terms of the two Finsler connections  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  and thus we have the direct interpretation of the terms  $\bar{T}, T; \bar{S}, S; \bar{G}, G; A$ , for every definiteness of the connections. Consequently the invariants of these transformations can be studied elegantly in the special cases.

**Corollary 2.3.** Any Finsler connection transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}_N$  is of the form:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}_j^i &= N_j^i; & \bar{F}_{jk}^i &= F_{jk}^i + \bar{T}_{jk}^{*i} - T_{jk}^{*i} + G_{jk}^i - \bar{G}_{jk}^i; \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i &= C_{jk}^i + \bar{S}_{jk}^{*i} - S_{jk}^{*i} + H_{jk}^i - \bar{H}_{jk}^i \end{aligned}$$

In this way the result of [12] is obtained.

### 3. Special classes of $t \in \mathcal{T}$ transformations

In the theory of linear connections the Schouten tensor play a prominent part. In the theory of Finsler connections we can define two Finsler-Schouten tensors:

$$(3.1) \quad I_{1jk}^i = T_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{n-1}(\delta_j^i T_k - \delta_k^i T_j); \quad I_{2jk}^i = S_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{n-1}(\delta_j^i S_k - \delta_k^i S_j)$$

where  $T_k = T_{ik}^i$ ,  $S_k = S_{ik}^i$  and analogously two tensors  $\bar{I}_1$  and  $\bar{I}_2$  for  $F\bar{\Gamma}$ . Since we have:

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{T}_{jk}^{*i} = T_{jk}^{*i} = \alpha_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \alpha^i; \quad \bar{S}_{jk}^{*i} = S_{jk}^{*i} = \beta_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \beta^i$$

where  $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{n-1}(T_j - \bar{T}_j)$ ;  $\beta_j = \frac{1}{n-1}(S_j - \bar{S}_j)$ , in case of  $\bar{I}_1 = I_1$ ,  $\bar{I}_2 = I_2$  we arrive to the following theorems:

**Theorem 3.1.** Any  $t_g$ -transformation, which admits the invariants  $\bar{I}_1 = I_1$  and  $\bar{I}_2 = I_2$  is of the form:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; & \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{jr}^i A_k^r - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i + \alpha_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \alpha^i \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \beta_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \beta^i \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Any  $t_{\text{non } g}$ -transformation, which admits the invariants  $\bar{I}_1 = I_1$  and  $\bar{I}_2 = I_2$  is of the form:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; \\ \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{jr}^i A_k^r - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i + G_{jk}^i - \bar{G}_{jk}^i + A_{jk}^i + \alpha_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \alpha^i \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + H_{jk}^i - \bar{H}_{jk}^i + \beta_j \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \beta^i \end{cases}$$

If  $A_j^i = 0$ , then  $\bar{N} = N$  and we obtain the corresponding theorems from [12].

Particularly we obtain:

**Theorem 3.3.** Any  $t_g$ -transformation of semisymmetric ( $I_1 = 0$ ,  $I_2 = 0$ ) Finsler connections is of the form (3.3).

**Theorem 3.4.** Any  $t_{\text{non } g}$ -transformation of semisymmetric Finsler connections is of the form (3.4).

If  $F\Gamma(N)$  is a metrical Finsler connection, then  $g_{ij|k} = 0$ ,  $g_{ij}^{|k} = 0$  and it follows:

**Theorem 3.5.** Any transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  of metrical Finsler connections is a  $t_g$ -transformation.

**Theorem 3.6.** Any transformation  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  which transforms a non-metrical Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$  in a metrical Finsler connection  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  is a  $t_{\text{non } g}$ -transformation of the form:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; \\ \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{jr}^i A_k^r + \bar{T}_{jk}^i - \bar{T}_{jk}^i - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i + G_{jk}^i + A_{jk}^i \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \bar{S}_{jk}^i - \bar{S}_{jk}^i + H_{jk}^i \end{cases}$$

This transformation solves the metrization problem of KAWAGUCHI. From the Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 follow the results of [9], [10].

Obviously any other conformal transformation compatible with a complex structure is obtained from (2.7) or (2.9) by particularization.

In [6], [7], [8] R. MIRON establishes the following metrizations:

**Theorem.** If  $F\Gamma(N) = (N, F, C)$  is a fixed non-metrical Finsler connection, then any other metrical Finsler connection is given by:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; \\ \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{jr}^i A_k^r + \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|k} + g_{kj|a} A_k^a) + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} g_{rj|k} + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s \end{cases}$$

where  $X, Y \in Z_2^1(M)$  are arbitrary Finsler tensor fields and  $\Omega_{sj}^{ir} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_s^i \delta_j^r - g_{sj} g^{ir})$  is the Obata operator  $F\Gamma(N)$ .

The transformations (3.6) are very important, since for any definiteness of  $X, Y \in Z_1^1(M)$  a class of metrical Finsler connections  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  obtained from a non-metrical Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$  follows. It is an elegant generalization of the Kawaguchi metrizations method. By definiteness of  $A_j^i \in Z_1^1(M)$  we obtain actually the metrical connection  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ .

We shall solve now the inverse problem: Let  $F\Gamma(N)$  be a fixed non-metrical Finsler connection and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  an another given metrical Finsler connection. Which relations hold between  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ ? What form has the transformation  $t : F\Gamma(N) \rightarrow F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ ?

Between  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  obviously exist the relations (3.6), but in what extent are  $X$  and  $Y$  arbitrary? This is the problem, which has to be solved. From (3.6) it follows:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{T}_{jk}^i = & T_{jk}^i + C_{jr}^i A_k^r - C_{kr}^i A_j^r + \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|k} - g_{rk|j}) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|a} A_k^a - g_{rk|a} A_j^a) + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s - \Omega_{sk}^{ir} X_{rj}^s \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{S}_{jk}^i = S_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|k} - g_{rk|j}) + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s - \Omega_{sk}^{ir} Y_{rj}^s$$

Consequently we obtain a system of tensor equations of the form:

$$(3.9) \quad \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s - \Omega_{sk}^{ir} X_{rj}^s = \sigma_{jk}^i$$

$$(3.10) \quad \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s - \Omega_{sk}^{ir} Y_{rj}^s = \sigma_{jk}^i$$

where:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{jk}^i = & (\bar{T}_{jk}^i - T_{jk}^i) - (C_{jr}^i A_k^r - C_{kr}^i A_j^r) - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|k} - g_{rk|j}) - \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (g_{rj|a} A_k^a - g_{rk|a} A_j^a) \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \sigma_{jk}^i = (\bar{S}_{jk}^i - S_{jk}^i) - \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rj}|_k - g_{rk}|_j)$$

The general solution of a systems of the form (3.9)–(3.10) is given in [13] using the theory of fixed points. Here we give a direct solution by comparison of (3.6) and (3.5). It follows that a general solution is of the form:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s &= \bar{T}_{jk}^i - T_{jk}^i - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rk|j} - g_{jk|r}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rk|_a} A_j^a - g_{jk|_a} A_r^a) \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.14) \quad \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s = \bar{S}_{jk}^i - S_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(g_{rk}|_j - g_{jk}|_r)$$

Denoting the right-hand member of (3.13) and (3.14) by  $B_{jk}^i$  and  $D_{jk}^i$  respectively, we have:

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\Omega}_{sj}^{ir} B_{rk}^s = 0; \quad \bar{\Omega}_{sj}^{ir} D_{rk}^s = 0$$

where  $\bar{\Omega}_{sj}^{ir} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_s^i \delta_j^r + g^{ir} g_{sj})$  is the Obata operator.

From (3.15) it follows the compatibility of the system (3.13)–(3.14) and it has the solution:

$$(3.16) \quad X_{jk}^i = B_{jk}^i + \bar{\Omega}_{rj}^{is} U_{sk}^r$$

$$(3.17) \quad Y_{jk}^i = D_{jk}^i + \bar{\Omega}_{rj}^{is} V_{sk}^r$$

where  $U, V \in Z_2^1(M)$  are arbitrary and  $B_{jk}^i, D_{jk}^i$  are given by the right-hand members of (3.13) and (3.14) respectively.

Consequently we have the:

**Theorem 3.7.** *Between a non-metrical and a metrical Finsler connections  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ , there exists the relation (3.6), where  $X, Y$  are arbitrary up to a transformation of form (3.16)–(3.17).*

Consequently  $X, Y$  are not completely arbitrary. They must be chosen of the form (3.16)–(3.17) in order to satisfy the equations (3.6).

In case when  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  are both metrical we obtain from [1] and [8] the results:

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i - A_j^i; & \bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + C_{ja}^i A_k^a + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} X_{rk}^s \\ \bar{C}_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i + \Omega_{sj}^{ir} Y_{rk}^s \end{cases}$$



From (3.16) and (3.17) follows the general solution:

$$(3.19) \quad X_{jk}^i = \bar{T}_{jk}^i - \dot{T}_{jk}^i - \bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i + \bar{\Omega}_{sj}^{ir} U_{rk}^s$$

$$(3.20) \quad Y_{jk}^i = \bar{S}_{jk}^i - \dot{S}_{jk}^i + \bar{\Omega}_{sj}^{ir} V_{rk}^s$$

where  $U, V \in Z_2^1(M)$ . Thus we have:

**Theorem 3.8.** *Between two given metrical Finsler connections  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  the relations (3.18) exist, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are given by (3.19) and (3.20).*

Consequently neither in this case are  $X, Y$  completely arbitrary, only up to a transformation (3.19)–(3.20).

### Summary

1. The Miron-Hashiguchi transformations are used if we wish to determine all metrical Finsler connections  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  from a fixed Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$ .

2. The transformations (3.5) (or equivalently (3.6), (3.16), (3.17)) are used if we wish to determine metrical Finsler connections  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  with special properties (for example:  $\bar{I}_1 = I_1, \bar{I}_2 = I_2$  or  $\bar{I}_1 = 0, \bar{I}_2 = 0$ ) starting from a fixed non-metrical Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$ .

3. The transformations (3.18) are used if we wish to determine arbitrary metrical Finsler connections  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ , starting from a fixed metrical Finsler connection  $F\Gamma(N)$ .

4. The transformations (2.7) are used if we wish to determine Finsler connections with the properties (2.1) (particularly also metrical Finsler connections) whose torsions  $\bar{T}, \bar{S}$  have given properties (for example:  $\bar{I}_1 = I_1, \bar{I}_2 = I_2$  or  $\bar{I}_1 = 0, \bar{I}_2 = 0$ , and so on).

If  $F\Gamma(N)$  and  $F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  are metrical we can start from (3.18), (3.19), (3.20).

5. The Finsler connection transformations  $t : F\Gamma(N) \rightarrow F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$  compatible with a metrical structure or with other structures (complex, symplectic, etc.) studied by G. ATANASIU [1], [2], [3] can be obtained explicitly from (2.7) by imposing of the corresponding conditions.

6. The conformal Finsler connection transformations  $t : F\Gamma(N, \omega) \rightarrow F\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}, \bar{\omega})$  [4] are obtained from (2.9) in an explicit form, without the indetermination of  $\Omega X$  and  $\Omega Y$ .

7. In the general case, when we have no information relative to the relations between these connections and  $g$ , then the general transformations from the Theorem 2.7 are used, imposing the desired conditions.



In this way a *synthesis* is obtained in the study of Finsler connection transformations.

We wish to express our thanks Prof. Dr. doc. RADU MIRON for the help given in approaching the theory of these transformations.

### References

- [1] GH. ATANASIU, Structures et connexions Finsler presque complexe et presque Hermitiennes, *Proc. Univ. Braşov* (1980), 41–53.
- [2] GH. ATANASIU, Variétés différentiables doués de couples Finsler, *Proc. Univ. Braşov* (1982), 35–67; 69–73.
- [3] GH. ATANASIU, B. SINHA and S. K. SERIGH, Almost contact metrical structures and connections, *Proc. Univ. Braşov* (1984), 29–36.
- [4] I. GHINEA, Conformal Finsler connections, *Proc. Univ. Braşov* (1980), 55–68.
- [5] M. MATSUMOTO, Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, *Kaiseisha Press, Japan* (1986).
- [6] R. MIRON, Introduction to the theory of Finsler spaces, *Proc. Nat. Sem. on Finsler Spaces Braşov* 1 (1980), 131–184.
- [7] R. MIRON and M. HASHIGUCHI, Metrical Finsler connections, *Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima University No. 12* (1979), 21–35.
- [8] R. MIRON, Metrical Finsler structures and metrical Finsler connections, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 23–2 (1983), 219–214.
- [9] P. STAVRE, Metrical Finsler connections  $TI(g)$ , *An. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi* 4 (1984), 87–90.
- [10] P. STAVRE and FR. KLEPP, General Finsler connection transformations with invariant  $I_{jk}^i$ -tensors, *Proc. Romanian–Japanese Colloquium, Braşov* (1984), 165–170.
- [11] P. STAVRE, On the transformations  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{NI}$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{NJ}$ , *Proc. Nat. Sem. on Finsler Spaces, Braşov* 3 (1984), 153–166.
- [12] P. STAVRE and FR. KLEPP, Finsler connection transformations associated to a general Finsler metrical structure of R. Miron I, *Publicationes Math., Debrecen* 35 (1988), 103–113.
- [13] P. STAVRE and FR. KLEPP,  $f_\Omega$ -mapping with fixed points, *Proc. of the Symp. of Math. Timişoara* (1986), 145–148.

PETRE STAVRE  
UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA  
R-1100 CRAIOVA  
BLD. A.I. CUZA 13

FRANCIS C. KLEPP  
"TRAIAN VUIA" POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE  
R-1900 TIMIŞOARA  
BLD. 30 DECEMBRIE NO. 2  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

(Received February 13, 1989)