

## The prime radical of a polynomial ring.

Dedicated to the memory of Tibor Szele.

By NEAL H. MCCOY in Northampton, Massachusetts.

If  $R$  is an arbitrary ring, by the *radical* of  $R$  we shall mean the intersection of all the prime ideals in  $R$ . By the results of [4], together with an observation of LEVITZKI [3], the radical  $N$  of  $R$  can be characterized as the set of all elements  $r$  of  $R$  with the property that every  $m$ -sequence which contains  $r$  is a vanishing  $m$ -sequence. That is, every sequence of the form

$$r, r_1 = ra_1r, r_2 = r_1a_2r_1, \dots,$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  are arbitrary elements of  $R$ , is zero from some point on.

It has been proved by LEVITZKI [3] and NAGATA [5] that the radical as defined above coincides with the *lower radical* of BAER [2].

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $x$  be an indeterminate and denote by  $N$  and by  $N'$  the respective radicals of  $R$  and of  $R[x]$ . Then  $N' = N[x]$ .*

This result has been obtained independently by AMITSUR [1] who approached the problem from the point of view of the lower radical. Our proof, entirely different from his, will be based upon some lemmas which we proceed to establish.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal in  $R$  and let  $\bar{a}$  denote the element of the ring  $R/P$  to which  $a$  corresponds under the natural homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $R/P$ . If  $P'$  is the kernel of the homomorphism of  $R[x]$  onto  $R/P$  defined by*

$$a_0x^n + \dots + a_n \rightarrow \bar{a}_n,$$

*then  $P'$  is a prime ideal in  $R[x]$  such that  $P' \cap R = P$ .*

The proof of this is obvious since clearly  $P' \cap R = P$ , and  $P'$  is a prime ideal since  $R[x]/P' \cong R/P$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $P'$  is any prime ideal in  $R[x]$ , then  $P = P' \cap R$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ .*

Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $R$  such that  $aRb \subseteq P$ . By Theorem 1 of [4], we only need to prove that  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . Since  $aRb \subseteq P \subseteq P'$ , it is clear that each element of  $aR[x]bR[x]$  is a sum of terms belonging to  $P'$ . Hence  $aR[x]bR[x] \subseteq P'$ , and since  $P'$  is a prime ideal in  $R[x]$  it follows that  $a \in P'$  or  $b \in P'$ . Thus  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ , as required.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $N$  and  $N'$  are the respective radicals of  $R$  and of  $R[x]$ , then  $N' \cap R = N$ .*

This is an immediate consequence of the preceding lemmas. First, if  $a \in N$ , and  $P'$  is any prime ideal in  $R[x]$ , Lemma 2 shows that  $P = P' \cap R$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ . Hence  $a \in P \subseteq P'$ , and thus  $a \in N'$ . Conversely, if  $a \in N' \cap R$  and  $P$  is any prime ideal in  $R$ , let  $P'$  be the prime ideal in  $R[x]$  defined as in Lemma 1. Since  $P' \cap R = P$ , it follows that  $a \in P$ ; hence  $a \in N$ .

We are now ready to prove the theorem. Since, by Lemma 3,  $N \subseteq N'$ , it is obvious that  $N[x] \subseteq N'$  in case  $R$  has a unit element. In any case,  $N[x]R[x] \subseteq N'$  and it is known [4] that this always implies that  $N[x] \subseteq N'$ . There remains only to prove that  $N' \subseteq N[x]$ . Let  $f(x) \in N'$ , where  $f(x) = a_0x^n + \dots + a_n$ . Now if  $P$  is any prime ideal in  $R$ , and  $P'$  the corresponding prime ideal in  $R[x]$  defined as in Lemma 1, since  $f(x) \in P'$  it is clear that  $a_n \in P$ . Hence  $a_n \in N \subseteq N'$ , and it follows that  $a_0x^n + \dots + a_{n-1}x \in N'$ . Set  $g(x) = a_0x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}$ . If  $g(x) \notin N'$ , there exists a nonvanishing  $m$ -sequence

$$g(x), \quad g_1(x) = g(x)h_1(x)g(x), \quad g_2(x) = g_1(x)h_2(x)g_1(x), \dots$$

However, this would imply that

$$xg(x), \quad x^2g_1(x), \quad x^4g_2(x), \dots$$

is a nonvanishing  $m$ -sequence. But this is impossible since  $xg(x) \in N'$ . Hence we must have  $g(x) \in N'$ , and by the argument applied above to  $f(x)$ , we see that  $a_{n-1} \in N$ . Evidently this process can be continued to show that  $N$  contains all coefficients of  $f(x)$ , completing the proof.

### Bibliography.

- [1] S. A. AMITSUR, The radical of polynomial rings, Submitted to the *Canad. J. Math.*
- [2] R. BAER, Radical ideals, *Amer. J. Math.* **69** (1947), 46—58.
- [3] J. LEVITZKI, Prime ideals and the lower radical, *Amer. J. Math.* **73** (1951), 25—29.
- [4] N. H. MCCOY, Prime ideals in general rings, *Amer. J. Math.* **71** (1949), 823—833.
- [5] M. NAGATA, On the theory of radicals in a ring, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951) 330—344.

(Received August 5, 1955.)