

A section theorem in W -space with applications

By YUGUANG XU (Kunming) and ZEQING LIU (Dalian)

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to construct a W -space by a function without the condition of convexities, and to prove an interesting section theorem in a W -space. As applications, a minimax theorem of Joó type and some related results are obtained.

1. Introduction

The minimax problems have been studied extensively by many authors (for example, FAN [11], CHANG [7], HA [8], STACHÓ [9] and KOMORNIK [10]) under some conditions of the convexity of the function. Recently, Joó obtained a minimax theorem not involving convexities of the function on the interval space [1–2]. Most recently, CHANG et al. in [3–5] introduced the concept of a W -space which is a topological space equipped with the family of its nonempty connected subsets. In this paper we construct a W -space by means of a function not involving convexities and linearities, on which an interesting section theorem is established. Using this result, Joó's minimax theorem is generalized, and a fixed point theorem and some related results are obtained.

First of all we state two definitions needed in this paper.

Definition (CHANG [5, Definition 2.1]). Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and $\{C_A\}$ a family of nonempty connected subsets of X indexed by finite subsets A of X such that $A \subset C_A$; then we call $(X, \{C_A\})$ a W -space. A subset K of a W -space is convex if for every $u, v \in K$ we have $C_{\{u,v\}} \subset K$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H17, 47H06, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: connected subset, W -space, upper (lower) semicontinuous function, multivalued mapping.

Definition. Let X be a topological space. A function $f : X \rightarrow R$ is called upper semicontinuous (abbreviated as u.s.c.) on X if the set $\{x \in X : f(x) < c\}$ is open in X for any $c \in R$.

2. A section theorem

Let E, F be Hausdorff topological spaces, $X \subset E, Y \subset F$ be nonempty subsets, and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ a function. Let

$$H_y^c := \{x \in X : f(x, y) < c\} \quad \forall y \in Y \text{ and } c \in (-\infty, +\infty]$$

and

$$c_0 := \inf\{c \in (-\infty, +\infty] : H_y^c \neq \emptyset, \forall y \in Y\}.$$

Here, $c_0 \in [-\infty, +\infty]$. If $c_0 = +\infty$, then $H_y^{c_0} = X$ for any $y \in Y$.

In this paper we are interested in $c_0 \in [-\infty, +\infty)$. In the sequel, we always assume that $c_0 \in [-\infty, +\infty)$, and that $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ be the family of all nonempty finite subsets of Y .

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty compact subset of a Hausdorff topological space E , Y a Hausdorff topological space and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ a function. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *any intersection of the system $\Omega = \{B_c^x : c \in R, x \in X\}$ is connected where $B_c^x := \{y \in Y : f(x, y) \geq c\}$;*
- (ii) *the function $x \mapsto f(x, y)$ is continuous for every fixed $y \in Y$;*
- (iii) *the function $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is upper semicontinuous for every fixed $x \in X$;*
- (iv) *for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$ and any fixed real number $c > c_0$, the set $\bigcap\{H_y^c : y \in A\}$ is connected,*

then there exists a $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$\{x_0\} \times Y \subset P(c) := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : f(x, y) \leq c\}.$$

PROOF. The proof will proceed through a series of claims.

Claim 1. The space Y is equipped with the topological structure of the W -space. In fact, for any fixed $x \in X$ and $A = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$, we take

$$\bar{c} = \min\{f(x, y_1), \dots, f(x, y_n)\}$$

such that $A \subset B_{\bar{c}}^x$. If define

$$(2.1) \quad C_A = \bigcap \{B_c^x \in \Omega : A \subset B_c^x\}$$

then C_A is a nonempty, connected closed subset in Y and $A \subset C_A$. Therefore, $(Y, \{C_A\})$ becomes a W -space.

Claim 2. For any $u, v \in Y$, we have that $y \in C_{\{u,v\}}$ if and only if

$$H_y^c \subset H_u^c \cup H_v^c.$$

Suppose that there exists a $x_0 \in H_{y_0}^c$ for some $y_0 \in C_{\{u,v\}}$ and $x_0 \notin H_u^c \cup H_v^c$. This implies $y_0 \notin B_c^{x_0}$ and $u, v \in B_c^{x_0}$. From (2.1) we have that $y_0 \notin C_{\{u,v\}}$. It is a contradiction. On the contrary, we need to prove that $y_0 \notin C_{\{u,v\}}$ implies $H_{y_0}^c \not\subset H_u^c \cup H_v^c$. If $y_0 \in Y \setminus C_{\{u,v\}}$ then there exists a $B_c^{x_0}$ such that $y_0 \notin B_c^{x_0}$ and $u, v \in B_c^{x_0}$. It follows that $x_0 \in H_{y_0}^c$ and $x_0 \notin H_u^c \cup H_v^c$, i.e., $H_{y_0}^c \not\subset H_u^c \cup H_v^c$. The Claim 2 is true.

We now assume that c is a fixed real number and $c \in (c_0, +\infty)$.

Claim 3. The system $\{H_y^c \subset X : y \in Y\}$ has the finite intersection property.

We use induction. Suppose that for any n elements of $\{H_y^c \subset X : y \in Y\}$ their intersection is nonempty, next we prove that for any $n+1$ elements of $\{H_y^c \subset X : y \in Y\}$ their intersection is also nonempty where $n \geq 2$. If this is not the case, then there exists a subset $\{u, v, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ such that

$$H_u^c \cap H_v^c \cap H = \emptyset,$$

where $H = \bigcap_{i=2}^n H_{y_i}^c$. Letting $H_y^* = H_y^c \cap H$ for each $y \in Y$, by the assumption of induction and condition (iv) we know that H_u^* and H_v^* both are nonempty connected subsets of X and

$$(2.2) \quad H_u^* \cap H_v^* = \emptyset.$$

By virtue of Claim 2, we have

$$H_y^* \subset H_u^* \cup H_v^* \quad \forall y \in C_{\{u,v\}}.$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} S_u &= \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : H_y^* \subset H_u^*\}, \\ S_v &= \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : H_y^* \subset H_v^*\} \end{aligned}$$

we see that S_u and S_v both are nonempty convex subsets of Y and $S_u \cap S_v = \emptyset$. Futhermore, we prove

$$S_u \cup S_v = C_{\{u,v\}}.$$

Obviously, $S_u \cup S_v \subset C_{\{u,v\}}$. On the contrary, if there exists a $y \in C_{\{u,v\}}$ such that $y \notin S_u \cup S_v$ then the connected set H_y^* could be represented as union of two open, nonempty and disjoint subsets:

$$H_y^* = (H_y^* \cap H_u^*) \cup (H_y^* \cap H_v^*),$$

which is impossible.

Consequently, the sets S_u and S_v are disjoint, nonempty convex subsets of Y and their union is $C_{\{u,v\}}$. From the (2.1), (2.2) and the condition (iii) we know that the equality

$$\begin{aligned} S_u &= \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : H_y^* \subset H_u^*\} \\ &= \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : H_y^* \cap H_v^* = \emptyset\} \\ &= \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : \forall x \in H_v^*, f(x, y) \geq c\} \\ &= \bigcap_{x \in H_v^*} \{y \in C_{\{u,v\}} : f(x, y) \geq c\} \end{aligned}$$

implies that S_u is a closed subset of Y . A similar equality holds for S_v . It is in contradiction with the connectivity of $C_{\{u,v\}}$. The Claim 3 is proved.

Let $\overline{H}_y^c = \{x \in X : f(x, y) \leq c\}$ for each $y \in Y$. From the Claim 3 the system $\{\overline{H}_y^c : y \in Y\}$ has also the finite intersection property. In view of the compactness of X and the condition (ii) we have $\bigcap_{y \in Y} \overline{H}_y^c \neq \emptyset$. This implies that there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in \overline{H}_y^c$ for all $y \in Y$, i.e., $\{x_0\} \times Y \subset P(c)$.

This completes the proof. \square

3. Some applications

The results as follows are all slightly applications of Theorem 2.1.

I) A fixed point problem

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and that $X = Y$. Define a multivalued mapping $F : Y \rightarrow 2^X$ by $F(y) = \overline{H_y^c}$ for a fixed $c \in (c_0, +\infty)$. Then F has a fixed point.*

PROOF. By virtue of Theorem 2.1, there exists a $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\{y_0\} \times Y \subset P(c)$. In particular, $f(y_0, y_0) \leq c$, i.e., $y_0 \in \overline{H_{y_0}^c} = F(y_0)$. This proves F has a fixed point. \square

II) Some minimax problems

Theorem 3.2. *If the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied then*

$$\sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

PROOF. We always have $\sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$. To prove opposite inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y) \geq \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y),$$

we denote $c^* = \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y)$. If $c^* = +\infty$, then (3.3) is true, therefore, we can assume that $c^* < +\infty$. It is obvious that the definition of c_0 implies $c^* \geq c_0$. For any fixed real number $c > c^*$, by virtue of Theorem 2.1 there exists a $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0, y) \leq c$ for all $y \in Y$. It follows that $\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq c$ for any $c > c^*$, i.e.,

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq c^*.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Remark. Theorem 3.2 is based on the topological connectedness without the convexities of the function and the linearity of the space.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, if, in addition, Y is compact, then there exists a saddle point of f in $X \times Y$.*

PROOF. From the Theorem 3.2 and the compactness of Y we have

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \max_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

Hence, there exists a point $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ such that

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \max_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) \geq f(x_0, y) \quad \forall y \in Y,$$

$$\max_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \min_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) \leq f(x, y_0) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Thus, $f(x_0, y) \leq f(x, y_0)$ for any $y \in Y$ and $x \in X$. In particular,

$$f(x_0, y) \leq f(x_0, y_0) \leq f(x, y_0) \quad \forall y \in Y, x \in X,$$

i.e., (x_0, y_0) is a saddle point of f in $X \times Y$. □

Remark. Theorem 3.3 improves Theorem 3.10.4 in [6] in the following ways:

- 1) the topological spaces may not be linear;
- 2) the function f may not be convex.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. If $X = Y$ then there exists a $y_0 \in Y$ such that Ky Fan minimax inequality*

$$\max_{y \in Y} f(y_0, y) \leq \max_{y \in Y} f(y, y)$$

does hold.

PROOF. If denote $c^* = \max_{y \in Y} f(y, y)$, the proof is similar to the one as stated in Theorem 3.2, and we omit it here. □

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Professor SHIH-SEN CHANG and the referee for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] I. JOÓ, On a fixed point theorem, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **36** (1989), 127–128.
- [2] I. JOÓ, A minimax theorem not involving convexities of the function, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **45** (1994), 395–396.
- [3] S. S. CHANG, Y. J. CHO, X. WU and Y. ZHANG, Topological versions of KKM theorem and Fan's matching theorem with applications, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Journal of the Juliusz shauder center* **1** (1993), 231–245.
- [4] S. S. CHANG, X. WU and S. W. XIANG, A topological KKM theorem and minimax theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **182** (1994), 756–767.
- [5] S. S. CHANG, G. M. LEE and B. S. LEE, Minimax inequalities for vectorvalued mappings on W -spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **198** (1996), 371–380.
- [6] S. S. CHANG, Variational inequality and complementarity problem theory and applications, *Shanghai Scientific and Technological Literature Publishing House, Shanghai*, 1991.
- [7] S. S. CHANG, X. WU and D. C. WANG, Further generalizations of minimax inequalities for mixed concave-convex function and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **186** (1994), 402–413.
- [8] C. W. HA, Minimax and fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 73–77.
- [9] L. L. STACHÓ, Minimax theorems beyond topological vector spaces, *Acta. Sci. Math. Szeged* **42** (1980), 157–164.
- [10] V. KOMORNIK, Minimax theorems for upper semi-continuous functions, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **40** (1-2) (1982), 159–163.
- [11] K. FAN, A minimax inequality and applications (O. Shisha, ed.), *Inequalities III, Academic Press*, 1972, 103–113.

YUGUANG XU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KUNMING JUNIOR NORMAL COLLEGE
KUNMING 650031
P. R. CHINA

ZEQING LIU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
LIAONING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
DALIAN 116029
P. R. CHINA

(Received September 29, 1997; revised June 2, 1998)