

## Homomorphisms from $\mathbb{C}^*$ into $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

By MATJAZŽ OMLADIČ (Ljubljana), HEYDAR RADJAVI (Halifax)  
and PETER ŠEMRL (Ljubljana)

**Abstract.** We determine all homomorphisms of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  into  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . As a consequence we get a complete description of homomorphisms of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m < n$ . We also obtain the general form of multiplicative maps  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F$  be any field. The theory of endomorphisms of the group  $GL_n(F)$  is highly non-trivial, but well known. Our work is motivated by two results that can be proved in an elementary way. Motivated by a problem concerning homogeneous linear geometric objects of type  $(m, n, 1)$  M. KUCHARZEWSKI and A. ZAJTZ [4] determined all homomorphisms of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$ . Here,  $m \leq n$ . If  $m < n$  then every homomorphism  $\phi : GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{R})$  is of the form

$$(1) \quad \phi(A) = g(\det A)$$

where  $g : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{R})$  is a group homomorphism. For  $m = n$ ,  $\phi$  either has the form (1) with  $g$  being multiplicative, or

$$\phi(A) = f(\det A)TAT^{-1}$$

or

$$\phi(A) = f(\det A)T(A^{-1})^{tr}T^{-1}$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 39B42.

*Key words and phrases:* general linear group, homomorphism.

where  $T$  is an invertible real  $n \times n$  matrix and  $f$  is an endomorphism of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . The general form of such functions  $f$  is well known [1]. So, in order to understand the structure of homomorphisms from  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$  completely, one has to solve the functional equation  $g(ts) = g(t)g(s)$ , where  $g$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^*$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$ . The special case  $m = 3$  has been completely solved by M. KUCZMA and A. ZAJTZ [5]. Even in this low dimensional case the description of the general form of such maps is quite complicated. For the solution of this problem under the additional measurability hypothesis see [6] and [8].

DJOKOVIĆ [3] observed that the case  $m < n$  can be extended to division rings of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . More precisely, he proved that if  $K$  is a division ring,  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ ,  $m < n$ , and  $\phi : GL_n(K) \rightarrow GL_m(K)$  is a homomorphism, then there exists a homomorphism  $g : K^*/C \rightarrow GL_m(K)$  such that  $\phi(A) = g(\det A)$ . Here,  $C$  denotes the commutator subgroup of  $K^*$  and  $\det A$  is Dieudonné's determinant.

The above results motivate the study of homomorphisms from a multiplicative group of nonzero elements of a given field to the general linear group over this field. As the real 3 by 3 case shows [5] this problem might be very difficult in general.

When studying the multiplicative Cauchy functional equation  $g(ts) = g(t)g(s)$  for real functions a possible approach is to transform this equation into the logarithmic Cauchy equation  $f(ts) = f(t) + f(s)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any finite-dimensional algebra over the field  $F$ . Comparing the functional equations  $g(ts) = g(t)g(s)$  and  $f(ts) = f(t) + f(s)$  for mappings  $f, g : F^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  we notice that the second one is much easier to solve. Namely, let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for every  $t \in F^*$  there exist uniquely determined  $k_1(t), \dots, k_m(t) \in F$  such that

$$f(t) = k_1(t)a_1 + \dots + k_m(t)a_m.$$

Obviously, the functions  $k_i : F^* \rightarrow F$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , satisfy the logarithmic Cauchy functional equation. So, it is enough to know the structure of logarithmic functions on the field in order to get the general form of logarithmic mappings  $f : F^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, one possible approach to our problem would be to reduce it to the problem of describing the set of all logarithmic functions from  $F^*$  into the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $F$ . We will show that this approach works in the complex case.

## 2. The complex case

For the proof of our main result we will need the following simple lemma. We denote by  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  the algebra of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow M_m(\mathbb{C})$  be a mapping satisfying the functional equation*

$$\phi(\lambda\mu) = \phi(\lambda) + \phi(\mu), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

*Assume also that  $\phi(\lambda)$  is nilpotent for every nonzero  $\lambda$  and that  $\phi(\lambda)$  and  $\phi(\mu)$  commute for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then there exist pairwise commutative  $m \times m$  nilpotents  $N_1, \dots, N_l$ , and additive functions  $h_1, \dots, h_l : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $h_r(2\pi i) = 0$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, l$ , such that*

$$\phi(\lambda) = h_1(\log \lambda)N_1 + \dots + h_l(\log \lambda)N_l$$

for every nonzero  $\lambda$ .

*Remark.* Note that  $h_r(\log \lambda)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq l$ , is well defined because of the requirement  $h_r(2\pi i) = 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\{N_1, \dots, N_l\}$  be a maximal linearly independent subset of  $\{\phi(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ . Then for every nonzero complex number  $\lambda$  there exist uniquely determined complex numbers  $q_1(\lambda), \dots, q_l(\lambda)$  such that

$$\phi(\lambda) = q_1(\lambda)N_1 + \dots + q_l(\lambda)N_l.$$

Obviously,

$$q_r(\lambda\mu) = q_r(\lambda) + q_r(\mu)$$

for every  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and every  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq l$ . If we define  $h_r : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$h_r(\lambda) = q_r(e^\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

then clearly each  $h_r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq l$ , is additive with  $h_r(2\pi i) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\phi(\lambda) = h_1(\log \lambda)N_1 + \dots + h_l(\log \lambda)N_l.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $g : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a map satisfying  $g(\lambda\mu) = g(\lambda)g(\mu)$  for all nonzero complex numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then there exist an integer  $k \geq 1$  and an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that*

$$(2) \quad g(\lambda) = T \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\lambda)e^{\phi_1(\lambda)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(\lambda)e^{\phi_2(\lambda)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_k(\lambda)e^{\phi_k(\lambda)} \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Here,  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow M_{n_p}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ , is a mapping as in Lemma 2.1,  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ , and  $f_p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a multiplicative function,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ .

PROOF. First we will prove by induction on  $n$  that there exist an integer  $k \geq 1$  and an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that

$$g(\lambda) = T \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1(\lambda) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2(\lambda) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \rho_k(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and every integer  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ ,  $\rho_p(\lambda)$  is an invertible  $n_p \times n_p$  matrix having only one eigenvalue. In the case that  $n = 1$  there is nothing to prove. So, assume that  $n > 1$  and that our statement holds true for all positive integers smaller than  $n$ . If every  $g(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , has only one eigenvalue, then we are done. So, let  $\lambda_0$  be a nonzero complex number such that  $g(\lambda_0)$  has at least two eigenvalues. Applying similarity, if necessary, we can assume with no loss of generality that

$$g(\lambda_0) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $A$  and  $B$  having no common eigenvalue. Now, as  $g(\lambda)$  commutes with  $g(\lambda_0)$  for every nonzero  $\lambda$ , we have

$$g(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Applying the induction hypothesis on mappings  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  we conclude the induction proof of our first step.

So, from now on we can restrict ourselves to the case where multiplicative  $\rho : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  maps every nonzero  $\lambda$  into an invertible matrix with one eigenvalue. So,  $\rho(\lambda) = f(\lambda)I + N(\lambda)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is multiplicative and  $N(\lambda)$  is nilpotent for every nonzero  $\lambda$ . A mapping  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\psi(\lambda) = (f(\lambda))^{-1}\rho(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

is multiplicative. Moreover, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  the only eigenvalue of  $\psi(\lambda)$  is 1. So,  $\psi(\lambda) = I + M(\lambda)$  with  $M(\lambda)$  nilpotent. Therefore, we can define a new mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \log \psi(\lambda) = M(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}M(\lambda)^2 + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{n-1}M(\lambda)^{n-1}.$$

Obviously,  $\phi(\lambda)$  is nilpotent for every nonzero complex number  $\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\phi(\lambda)$  and  $\phi(\mu)$  commute for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . It is easy to see that

$$\phi(\lambda\mu) = \phi(\lambda) + \phi(\mu), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Applying the fact that

$$\rho(\lambda) = f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda) = f(\lambda)\exp(\phi(\lambda))$$

we complete the proof. □

As an application of Theorem 2.2 we will characterize homomorphisms of the multiplicative semigroup  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a nonzero map satisfying  $g(\lambda\mu) = g(\lambda)g(\mu)$  for all complex numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then there exist an integer  $k \geq 0$ , an integer  $s \geq 0$ , and an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that*

$$g(\lambda) = T \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_1(\lambda)e^{\phi_1(\lambda)} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_2(\lambda)e^{\phi_2(\lambda)} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f_k(\lambda)e^{\phi_k(\lambda)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1},$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and

$$g(0) = T \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}.$$

Here,  $\phi_p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow M_{n_p}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ , is a mapping as in Lemma 2.1,  $n \geq s + n_1 + \dots + n_k > 0$ ,  $I_s$  is an  $s \times s$  identity matrix, and  $f_p : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a multiplicative function,  $1 \leq p \leq k$ .

PROOF. Obviously,  $g(1)$  and  $g(0)$  are idempotents satisfying  $g(1)g(0) = g(0)g(1) = g(0)$  and  $g(1) \neq 0$ . Applying similarity, if necessary, we can assume that

$$g(1) = \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$g(0) = \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $s, j \geq 0$ . Of course, in the cases  $n = s + j$ ,  $s = 0$ , or  $j = 0$  some columns and rows are absent. From  $g(0) = g(0)g(\lambda) = g(\lambda)g(0)$  and  $g(1)g(\lambda) = g(\lambda)g(1) = g(\lambda)$  we get that

$$g(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

with  $g_1 : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow M_j(\mathbb{C})$  being multiplicative. Using  $g(\lambda)g(\lambda^{-1}) = g(1)$  we see that  $g_1(\lambda)$  is invertible for every nonzero  $\lambda$ . Applying Theorem 2.2 we complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Remarks

1. Applying the result of DJOKOVIĆ [3] together with our Theorem 2.2 we get a complete understanding of the structure of homomorphisms from

$GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m < n$ . The general form of additive functions  $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $h(2\pi i) = 0$  is well known [1]. So, to get the complete description of the set of homomorphisms from  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m < n$ , we have to characterize all multiplicative functions  $f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Although we believe that the structure of such functions is known we were not able to find the description of their general form in the literature. Therefore we will briefly explain the structure of multiplicative functions on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

In what follows  $\arg \lambda \in [0, 2\pi)$  denotes the argument of a nonzero complex number  $\lambda$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a multiplicative function. For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we have

$$f(\lambda) = f(|\lambda|)f\left(\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}\right),$$

and so, it is enough to describe the general form of multiplicative functions  $f_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $f_2 : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  in order to understand the structure of multiplicative functions on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Here,  $S^1$  denotes the set of all complex numbers of modulus one. If  $f_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a multiplicative function then  $g_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $g_1(t) = |f_1(t)|$  is multiplicative while  $g_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$  defined by  $g_2(t) = \arg f_1(e^t)$  is additive modulo  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , that is,  $g_2(t+s) - g_2(t) - g_2(s) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of all integers. The structure of multiplicative functions on the set of positive real numbers is known [1]. The general form of real functions additive modulo  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  was obtained by van der CORPUT [2] and VIETORIS [7]. Similarly, if  $f_2 : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is multiplicative then  $h_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h_1(t) = |f_2(e^{it})|$  is a periodic function satisfying the Cauchy exponential functional equation  $h_1(t+s) = h_1(t)h_1(s)$ . The general form of such functions is known [1]. The function  $h_2(t) = \arg f_2(e^{it})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a periodic function additive modulo  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Van der Corput and Vietoris obtained the general form of real functions additive modulo  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . A slight modification of their arguments gives a general form of real periodic functions that are additive modulo  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . So, it is possible to obtain the general form of multiplicative functions defined on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . As the formulation of the result is rather long we will omit it.

2. It would be nice to have an analogue of Theorem 2.2 for some other fields. We believe that the real case is especially interesting. If we can solve the functional equation  $g(ts) = g(t)g(s)$  for functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{R})$ , then we get the complete description of homomorphisms from  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  into  $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$  for  $m \leq n$ .

*Acknowledgements.* The first and the third author were partially supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Slovenia.

### References

- [1] J. ACZÉL and J. DHOMBRES, Functional equations in several variables, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.* **31**; Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] J. G. VAN DER CORPUT, Goniometric functions characterized by a functional equation, *Euclides (Groningen)* **17** (1940), 55–75. (in Dutch)
- [3] D. Ž. DJOKOVIĆ, On homomorphisms of the general linear group, *Aequationes Math.* **4** (1970), 99–102.
- [4] M. KUCHARZEWSKI and A. ZAJTZ, Über die linearen homogenen geometrischen Objekte des Typus  $[m, n, 1]$ , wo  $m \leq n$  ist, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **18** (1966), 205–225.
- [5] M. KUCZMA and A. ZAJTZ, Über die multiplikative Cauchysche funktionalgleichung für Matrizen dritter Ordnung, *Arch. Math.* **15** (1964), 136–143.
- [6] M. KUCZMA and A. ZAJTZ, On the form of real solution of the matrix functional equation  $F(x)F(y) = F(xy)$  for nonsingular matrices  $F$ , *Publ. Math.* **13** (1966), 1–4.
- [7] L. VIETORIS, Zur Kennzeichnung des Sinus und verwandter Funktionen durch Funktionalgleichungen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **186** (1945), 1–15.
- [8] A. ZAJTZ, Klassifikation der linearen homogenen geometrischen Objekte vom Typus  $J$  mit messbarer Transformationsregel, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **26** (1972), 31–43.

MATJAZ OMLADIČ  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
JADRANSKA 19  
1000 LJUBLJANA  
SLOVENIA

*E-mail:* matjaz.omladic@fmf.uni-lj.si

HEYDAR RADJAVI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTING SCIENCE  
DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY  
HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA  
CANADA B3H 4H8

*E-mail:* radjavi@mscs.dal.ca

PETER ŠEMRL  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, AND MECHANICS  
JADRANSKA 19  
1000 LJUBLJANA  
SLOVENIA

*E-mail:* peter.semrl@imfm.uni-lj.si

(Received May 14, 1998; revised October 29, 1998)