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#### Abstract

Let $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ be the class of univalent starlike functions with real coefficients defined in the unit disk $U$. Using the Carathéodory-Toeplitz conditions, we are able to solve the constraint problems of the third and fourth coefficients of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ for any fixed second coefficient in $[-2,2]$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{H}(U)$ be the topological linear space of analytic functions in the unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ be the subclass of $\boldsymbol{H}(U)$ of functions with real coefficients. We consider the class $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ of all functions $p \in \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ with:

$$
p(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re}[p(z)]>0, \quad z \in U .
$$

By $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ we denote the subclass of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ of normalized univalent starlike functions. A function

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}
$$

is in $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$, iff there exists a function

$$
q(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} z^{n}
$$

in $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=q(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ we denote the subclass of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ of normalized univalent close-to-convex functions. A function

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}
$$

is in $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ iff there exists a function $g(z)$ in $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ and a

$$
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}
$$

in $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=p(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t_{1} \in[0,1]$, by $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right),\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$ we denote the class of functions

$$
g(z)=z+g_{2} z^{2}+g_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*},\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)
$$

for which

$$
g_{2}=-2+4 t_{1} .
$$

H. S. Al-Amiri and D. Bshouty in [1] considered the problem of calculating the values $\max _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{n}, \max _{g \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{n}$. They solved this problem in the following cases:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=3 \forall t_{1} \in[0,1] \quad \text { and } \quad n=4 \forall t_{1} \in\left[\frac{5}{6}, 1\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the class $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=3 \forall t_{1} \in[0,1] \quad \text { and } \quad n=4 \forall t_{1} \in\left[\frac{11}{12}, 1\right] \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the class $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\left(t_{1}\right)$.

In this paper we solve the problem for the class $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)$ for $n=4,5$ $\forall t_{1} \in[0,1]$. We will also solve the corresponding problem concerning $\min _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{n}$ for $n=3,4,5 \forall t_{1} \in[0,1]$. All the above results are presented in Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 .

We face a problem involving the estimation of quantities which depend on the Taylor coefficients of functions belonging to the class $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. In [1], H. S. Al-Amiri and D. Bshouty used a Theorem of Dubins concerning the extreme points of crossections of convex sets.

Our first idea is to use the Carathéodory-Toeplitz conditions as they consist the strongest relations between the Taylor coefficients of the class $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. A second idea is to express these relations in such a way that each Taylor coefficient can be converted separately to a polynomial of several variables.

Combining these two ideas, we transform the initial problem into finding the max (or min) of a polynomial of several variables, defined in a closed interval $[0,1]^{k}, k \leq 4$. All the above are contained in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4. In Step 2 of the proof we calculate in a usual way, the maximum or the minimum of these polynomials making use of their particular properties.

A serious problem in this paper is the size of the polynomials which are involved in the elementary calculations. Using the computer algebra system Mathematica 2.2, we obtained all necessary results.

## 2. Main theorems

Theorem 1. If $\min _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{3}=m_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then:

$$
m_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(1-4 t_{1}\right)\left(3-4 t_{1}\right), \quad \text { for } t_{1} \in[0,1] .
$$

Theorem 2. If $\max _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{4}=M_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
M_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=4\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(1-8 t_{1}+8 t_{1}^{2}\right), & \text { for } t_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{5}{14}\right] \\
M_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(13-45 t_{1}+48 t_{1}^{2}-4 t_{1}^{3}\right), & \text { for } t_{1} \in\left(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
M_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{4}{3}\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(3-4 t_{1}+4 t_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \text { for } t_{1} \in\left[\frac{5}{6}, 1\right]
$$

Theorem 3. If $\min _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{4}=m_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{4}{3}\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(3-4 t_{1}+4 t_{1}^{2}\right), & \text { for } t_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{1}{6}\right] \\
m_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(-12+39 t_{1}-36 t_{1}^{2}-4 t_{1}^{3}\right), & \text { for } t_{1} \in\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{9}{14}\right) \\
m_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=4\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(1-8 t_{1}+8 t_{1}^{2}\right), & \text { for } t_{1} \in\left[\frac{9}{14}, 1\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 4. If $\max _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{5}=M_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then:

$$
M_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(15-56 t_{1}+88 t_{1}^{2}-64 t_{1}^{3}+32 t_{1}^{4}\right), \quad \text { for } t_{1} \in[0,1]
$$

Theorem 5. If $\min _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{5}=m_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)= & \left(5-20 t_{1}+16 t_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-12 t_{1}+16 t_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } t_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{352-24 \sqrt{66}}{704}\right] \cup\left[\frac{352+24 \sqrt{66}}{704}, 1\right] \\
m_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)= & \frac{1}{486}\left(-1291+4064 t_{1}-3552 t_{1}^{2}-1024 t_{1}^{3}+512 t_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& \text { for } t_{1} \in\left(\frac{352-24 \sqrt{66}}{704}, \frac{352+24 \sqrt{66}}{704}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove the previous theorems we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $K_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)$ be the set of $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which there exists a $q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ having $q_{1}=x_{1}$, $q_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}=x_{n}$. Let also $A_{n}$ be the set of $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
such that $D_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)>0, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ where:

$$
D_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & x_{1} & x_{2} & \ldots & x_{k} \\
x_{1} & 2 & x_{1} & \ldots & x_{k-1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & 2 & \ldots & x_{k-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{k} & x_{k-1} & x_{k-2} & \ldots & 2
\end{array}\right| .
$$

If $\bar{A}_{n}$ is the closure of $A_{n}$ then $\bar{A}_{n}=K_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)$.
The above lemma is a part of the Carathéodory-Toeplitz Theorem (see [2], [3]).

Lemma 2. If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \leq 4)$ the following propositions are equivalent.
(i) $x \in K_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)$
(ii) there exists a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in[0,1]^{n}$ such that: $x_{1}=p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$, $x_{2}=p_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \ldots, x_{n}=p_{n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)= & -2+4 t_{1} \\
p_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)= & 2+16 t 1\left(-1+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}\right) \\
p_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)= & -2+t_{1}\left(36-96 t_{1}+64 t_{1}^{2}\right)-32 t_{1} t_{2}\left(1-5 t_{1}+4 t_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -64 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}\left(1+t_{1}\right)+64\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}\left(1-t_{2}\right) t_{2} t_{3} \\
p_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)= & 2\left(1+32 t_{1}\left(-1+5 t_{1}\right)+128 t_{1}^{3}\left(-2+t_{1}\right)+32 t_{1} t_{2}\left(1-9 t_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+20 t_{1}^{2}-12 t_{1}^{3}\right)+128 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}\left(1-4 t_{1}+3 t_{1}^{2}\right)+128 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}\left(1-t_{1}\right) \\
& +128 t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\left(1-3 t_{1}+2 t_{1}^{2}-t_{2}\right)+128 t_{1}^{2} t 2^{2} t_{3}\left(5-4 t_{1}-2 t_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+t_{3}+2 t_{1} t_{2}-t_{2} t_{3}\right)+128 t_{1} t_{2}^{2} t_{3}^{2}\left(-1+t_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+128 t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}\left(-1+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}+t_{3}-t_{1} t_{3}-t_{2} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The quantity $D_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ can be written as polynomial of second degree in $x_{k}$ of the form:

$$
-D_{k-2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-2}\right) x_{k}^{2}+\ldots, \quad\left(D_{k}=1 \text { for } k \leq 0\right) .
$$

If $\rho_{k} \equiv \rho_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$ and $\rho_{k}^{*} \equiv \rho_{k}^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$ are the roots of the above polynomial it is easy to see that the relation $x \in A_{n}$ is equivalent
to $\min \left(\rho_{k}, \rho_{k}^{*}\right)<x_{k}<\max \left(\rho_{k}, \rho_{k}^{*}\right)$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=\rho_{k}+t_{k}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}-\rho_{k}\right), \quad t_{k} \in(0,1) k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $k=1$ we get $\rho_{1}=-2$ and $\rho_{1}^{*}=2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{1} \in(0,1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $k=2$ by the equation $D_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$ we obtain $\rho_{2}=-2+x_{1}^{2}$ and $\rho_{2}^{*}=2$. Thus combining (3) with (4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=p_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \quad\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in(0,1)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $k=3$ through equation $D_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$ we obtain

$$
\rho_{3}=-\frac{4-2 x_{1}-\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}{-2+x_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{3}^{*}=-\frac{4+2 x_{1}-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}}{2+x_{1}}
$$

Consequently, combining (3) with (4) and (5) we obtain after the calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=p_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right), \quad\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \in(0,1)^{3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner we can see that $x_{4}=p_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)$. Summarizing, we have that the transform

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), p_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \ldots, p_{n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)
$$

is one-to-one from $(0,1)^{n}$ onto $A_{n}$. After the above observation the rest of the proof is straightforward.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 4

For the proof of the theorem we need the following two steps.
Step 1. Let $\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ with $k \leq 5$. The following properties are equivalent.
(i) There exists a function $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n} \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ such that:

$$
g_{2}=\alpha_{2}, \ldots, g_{k}=\alpha_{k}
$$

(ii) There exists a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k-1}\right) \in[0,1]^{k-1}, k \leq 5$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2}=s_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \alpha_{3}=s_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
& \alpha_{4}=s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \\
& \alpha_{5}=s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)= & -2+4 t_{1} \\
s_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)= & 3+16 t_{1}\left(-1+t_{1}\right)+8 t_{1} t_{2}\left(1-t_{1}\right) \\
s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)= & \frac{4}{3}\left(-3+t_{1}\left(30-72 t_{1}+48 t_{1}^{2}\right)+t_{1} t_{2}\left(-20+76 t_{1}-56 t_{1}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+16 t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}\left(-1+t_{1}\right)+16 t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\left(-1+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}\right)\right) \\
s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)= & \frac{1}{3}\left(15+t_{1}\left(-240+1008 t_{1}-1536 t_{1}^{2}+768 t_{1}^{3}\right)+t_{1} t_{2}(184\right. \\
& \left.-1336 t_{1}+2624 t_{1}^{2}-1472 t_{1}^{3}\right)+t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}\left(416-1344 t_{1}+928 t_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +192 t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{3}\left(1-t_{1}\right)+320 t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\left(1-3 t_{1}+2 t_{1}^{2}-t_{2}\right) \\
& +t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2} t_{3}\left(1344-1024 t_{1}-384 t_{2}+384 t_{1} t_{2}\right) \\
& +192 t_{1} t_{2}^{2} t_{3}^{2}\left(-1+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+192 t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} t_{4}\left(-1+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}+t_{3}-t_{1} t_{3}-t_{2} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Step 1. Since $g(z) \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z g^{\prime}(z)=g(z) q(z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} z^{n} \in \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}
$$

From (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2} & =q_{1}  \tag{8}\\
g_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{4}=\frac{1}{6}\left(q_{1}^{3}+3 q_{1} q_{2}+2 q_{3}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& g_{5}=\frac{1}{24}\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 q_{1}^{2} q_{2}+3 q_{2}^{2}+8 q_{1} q_{3}+6 q_{4}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely if $q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ then there exists exactly one $g(z) \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}$ such that $\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=q(z)$. Replacing $q_{k}$ by $p_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ according to Lemma 2 after the calculations we attain the desired conclusion.

Step 2. Calculation of $M_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)$.
We remark that:

1. According to Step 1, our problem is to find the maximum (or minimum) of the functions $s_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k-1}\right)(k=3, \ldots, 5)$, for fixed $t_{1}$ and $\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k-1}\right) \in[0,1]^{k-2}$.
2. If we set in any function $s_{k}(k=3, \ldots, 5), t_{i}=0$ or $t_{i}=1$ $(i=1,2,3)$, then the value of the function does not depend on the variables $t_{j}$ when $j>i$.
3. The polynomial $s_{5}$ is linear in $t_{4}$ with corresponding coefficient $64\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}\left(-1+t_{2}\right) t_{2}\left(-1+t_{3}\right) t_{3}$ which is non-positive.

Using the above remarks we can continue as follows: Fixing $t_{1}$ we consider the extremum of the function $s_{5}$ on $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, 0\right),\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=$ $\left(t_{2}, 1,0\right),\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=\left(t_{2}, 0,0\right),\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=(1,0,0),\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=(0,0,0)$. We then find the critical points in the cube $[0,1]^{3}$. The maximum of all the above values is the needed result.

The Case $t_{4}=0$.
From:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, 0\right)}{\partial t_{2}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, 0\right)}{\partial t_{3}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

after elementary calculations, we get

$$
t_{2}=\frac{19+112\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}}{72\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad t_{3}=\frac{t_{1}\left(-41+68 t_{1}-8 t_{1}^{2}\right)}{19+112\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}} .
$$

We then find the set of $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ for which

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
1-t_{3} & \geq 0  \tag{13}\\
t_{3} & \geq 0 \\
1-t_{2} & \geq 0 \\
t_{2} & \geq 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

are all true. The above relations are polynomial quotients in $t_{1}$. Converting them to factor products, we find in a simple way that for $t_{1} \in$ $\left[\frac{-52+12 \sqrt{23}}{16}, \frac{68-12 \sqrt{23}}{16}\right]$, all inequalities in (13) are satisfied. Substituting $t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ in $s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, 0\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{162}\left(449-1504 t_{1}+1632 t_{1}^{2}-256 t_{1}^{3}+128 t_{1}^{4}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Case $t_{3}=1$.
From:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 1,0\right)}{\partial t_{2}}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get $h_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=0$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) t_{2}^{2}+B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) t_{2}+\Gamma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{32}{3}\left(-16 t_{1}+61 t_{1}^{2}-74 t_{1}^{3}+29 t_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{8}{3}\left(63 t_{1}-287 t_{1}^{2}+408 t_{1}^{3}-184 t_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& \Gamma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=5-80 t_{1}+336 t_{1}^{2}-512 t_{1}^{3}+256 t_{1}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then find all possible cases for which $h_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ has at least one root with respect to $t_{2}$, in $(0,1)$. This is accomplished using the sign of the quantities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad 1+\frac{B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}{2 A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}, \quad \frac{-B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}{2 A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}, \\
\left(B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-4 A_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \Gamma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad h_{1}\left(t_{1}, 0\right), \quad h_{1}\left(t_{1}, 1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By a usual procedure we obtain that:
(i) for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{-160+6 \sqrt{870}}{52}, \frac{224+4 \sqrt{238}}{368}\right]$ and
$\Psi_{1}=\left(1-t_{1}\right) \sqrt{\left(-55+160 t_{1}+26 t_{1}^{2}\right)}$ the large root

$$
t_{2_{2}}=\frac{32-90 t_{1}+58 t_{1}^{2}+\sqrt{2} \Psi_{1}}{36\left(-1+t_{1}^{2}\right)} \quad \text { is in }(0,1)
$$

and
(ii) for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{-160+6 \sqrt{870}}{52}, \frac{224-4 \sqrt{238}}{368}\right]$ the small root

$$
t_{2_{1}}=\frac{32-90 t_{1}+58 t_{1}^{2}-\sqrt{2} \Psi_{1}}{36\left(-1+t_{1}^{2}\right)} \quad \text { is in }(0,1) .
$$

After substituting the roots $t_{2_{2}}, t_{2_{1}}$ in $s_{5}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 1,0\right)$ we obtain respectively the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{1}+\sqrt{2} t_{1} \Psi_{1}\left(-220+640 t_{1}+104 t_{1}^{2}\right)}{729\left(-1+t_{1}\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{-160+6 \sqrt{870}}{52}, \frac{224+4 \sqrt{238}}{368}\right]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{1}+\sqrt{2} t_{1} \Psi_{1}\left(220-640 t_{1}-104 t_{1}^{2}\right)}{729\left(-1+t_{1}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{-160+6 \sqrt{870}}{52}, \frac{224-4 \sqrt{238}}{368}\right]$, where $\Phi_{1}=-3645+18637 t_{1}-31900 t_{1}^{2}+$ $16524 t_{1}^{3}-176 t_{1}^{4}+560 t_{1}^{5}$.

The Case $t_{3}=0$.
Working as we did in previous Case we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{2}+\sqrt{2} \Psi_{2}\left(-524+1372 t_{1}-952 t_{1}^{2}+104 t_{1}^{3}\right)}{729 t_{1}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in\left[\frac{144-4 \sqrt{238}}{368}, \frac{212-6 \sqrt{870}}{52}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{2}+\sqrt{2} \Psi_{2}\left(524-1372 t_{1}+952 t_{1}^{2}-104 t_{1}^{3}\right)}{729 t_{1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Figure 1.

for $t_{1} \in\left[\frac{144+4 \sqrt{238}}{368}, \frac{212-6 \sqrt{870}}{52}\right)$, where $\Phi_{2}=6505 t_{1}-22216 t_{1}^{2}+21420 t_{1}^{3}-$ $2624 t_{1}^{4}+560 t_{1}^{5}$ and $\Psi_{2}=\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}\left(131-212 t_{1}+26 t_{1}^{2}\right)}$.

The Cases $t_{2}=1$ and $t_{2}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(15-56 t_{1}+88 t_{1}^{2}-64 t_{1}^{3}+32 t_{1}^{4}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{7}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(5-20 t_{1}+16 t_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-12 t_{1}+16 t_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in[0,1]$ are derived by setting in $s_{5}, t_{2}=1$ and $t_{2}=0$, respectively.
A hint about the form of $M_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is obtained by the graphs of the functions $L_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ (see Figure 1). In order to give a strict proof of Theorem 4 we consider the functions $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)-L_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)(i \neq 6)$ in the subdomains of their definition and we examine their signs. More specificly:

- Since $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)-L_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(19-40 t_{1}+40 t 1^{2}\right)^{2}}{162}$ it is obvious that $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq L_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ in $\left[\frac{-52+12 \sqrt{23}}{16}, \frac{68-12 \sqrt{23}}{16}\right]$.
- Also $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)-L_{7}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{184\left(1-t_{1}\right) t_{1}\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)^{2}}{3}$. Therefore $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq L_{7}\left(t_{1}\right)$ in $[0,1]$.
- Solving the equations $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)-L_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ for $i=2,3,4,5$ in all the cases we find the simple roots $t_{1}=0$ and $t_{1}=1$. Checking the sign of the functions $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right)-L_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)$ in the interior of the subdomains of their definition we obtain that $L_{6}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq L_{i}\left(t_{1}\right),(i=2,3,4,5)$.


## 4. Proof of the other theorems

Proof of Theorem 5. As in the procedure of the Proof of Theorem 4 in order to find $\min _{g \in S_{R}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{5}$ we consider the restriction of function $s_{5}$ for $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, 1\right)$. The procedure of seeking local extreme points gives that for $t_{1} \in\left[\frac{352-24 \sqrt{66}}{704}, \frac{352+24 \sqrt{66}}{704}\right]$ the corresponding value for $s_{5}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{486}\left(-1291+4064 t_{1}-3552 t_{1}^{2}-1024 t_{1}^{3}+512 t_{1}^{4}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Remark 2 of Step 2, it follows that in order to find the form of $m_{5}\left(t_{1}\right)$ it is sufficient to compare the values of the functions $L_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)(i=2, \ldots, 7)$, to that of $R_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$. For the comparison we folow the procedure of the Proof of Theorem 4 .

Proof of Theorem 2. We observe that the polynomial $s_{4}$ is linear in $t_{3}$ having the non-positive $\frac{64}{3}\left(-1+t_{1}\right) t_{1}\left(1-t_{2}\right) t_{2}$ coefficient. We will achieve $\max _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{4}$ by considering the restriction of function $s_{4}$ for $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)=$ $\left(t_{2}, 0\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0\right)}{\partial t_{2}}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that for

$$
t_{2}=\frac{-5+14 t_{1}}{8 t_{1}}
$$

we obtain a local extreme point of $s_{4}$. The constraint $t_{2} \in(0,1)$ is satisfied for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{6}\right)$. Replacing the above value of $t_{2}$ in $s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(13-45 t_{1}+48 t_{1}^{2}-4 t_{1}^{3}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{5}{14}, \frac{5}{6}\right)$. For $t_{2}=0$ and $t_{2}=1$ we obtain respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=4\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(1-8 t_{1}+8 t_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2.
for $t_{1} \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{4}{3}\left(-1+2 t_{1}\right)\left(3-4 t_{1}+4 t_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1} \in[0,1]$. Comparing the values of the functions $N_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)$ as in the Proof of Theorem 4 we get that $\max \left\{N_{i}\left(t_{1}\right), i=1,2,3\right\}$, coincides with the form of Theorem 2 (see Figure 2).

Proof of Theorem 3. According to the Proof of Theorem 2, $\min _{g \in \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)} g_{4}$ will be achieved by the restriction of the function $s_{4}$ for $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)=\left(t_{2}, 1\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 1\right)}{\partial t_{2}}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is obvious that for

$$
t_{2}=\frac{-9+14 t_{1}}{8\left(-1+t_{1}\right)}
$$

we get a local extreme point of $s_{4}$. The constraint $t_{2} \in(0,1)$ is satisfied for $t_{1} \in\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{9}{14}\right)$. In this interval replacing the above value of $t_{2}$ in $s_{4}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 1\right)$
we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(-12+39 t_{1}-36 t_{1}^{2}-4 t_{1}^{3}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Remark 2 of Step 2 the result follows again by comparing the values of functions $N_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)(i=2,3)$, to that of $K_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ as in the Proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 1. Follow the same procedure as in the Proof of Theorem 5, 3.
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