

## Dynamical connections and higher-order Lagrangian systems

By D. OPRIS and F.C. KLEPP\* (Timișoara)

*Dedicated to Professor Lajos Tamássy on his 70th birthday*

**Abstract.** We show that if  $\xi$  is a  $(2r)$ -order differential equation (semispray) on the  $(2r - 1)$ -jet bundle  $\mathcal{J}^{2r-1}Q$  whose paths are solutions of the non-autonomous Lagrange equations, then there is a connection  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{J}^{2r-1}Q$  whose paths are also solutions of the same equations. Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is a connection whose associated semispray is precisely  $\xi$ . This is an extension to higher-order Lagrangian dynamics of a previous result given by M. DE LEON and P. RODRIGUES [3].

### 1. Preliminaries

Throughout the text we shall keep in mind the results, definitions and notations previously introduced in [1], [2]. All structures and functions are assumed to be smooth. Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional manifold, called configuration manifold and  $\Gamma$  an  $(r + 1)$ -order differential equation field on  $M$ . We recall here that  $\Gamma$  generates on  $T^r M$  two projectors:  $A : T(T^r M) \rightarrow \text{Hor}(T^r M)$  and  $B : T(T^r M) \rightarrow \text{Ver}(T^r M)$  such that  $T(T^r M) = \text{Hor}(T^r M) \oplus \text{Ver}(T^r M)$  [1]. If  $\bar{\xi}$  is an arbitrary semispray, i.e. an  $(r + 1)$ -order differential equation field, then  $\xi = A(\bar{\xi})$  is a semispray on  $T^r M$  which does not depend on the choice of  $\bar{\xi}$ . We call  $\xi$  the associated semispray of  $\Gamma$ . In the non-autonomous situation the relation between connections and semisprays becomes much more simple, as we will show below.

Let  $\pi : Q \rightarrow X$  be a fibered manifold. In the following we assume that  $X$  is a connected real 1-dimensional manifold (i.e.  $X = \mathbb{R}$  or  $X = S^1$ ) and  $Q$  is a real  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold. The  $r$ -order jet-prolongation is denoted by  $\pi^r : \mathcal{J}^r Q \rightarrow X$ . We denote by  $VQ$  the vertical bundle

---

\*This paper was presented at the Conference on Finsler Geometry and its Applications to Physics and Control Theory, August 26–31, 1991, Debrecen, Hungary.

of  $Q$ , i.e. the vector subbundle of  $TQ$  defined as  $VQ = \text{Ker}(\pi')$  and by  $V^0\mathcal{J}^rQ$  the vertical bundle of  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ , defined as  $V^0\mathcal{J}^rQ = \text{Ker}(\pi_0')$ , where  $\pi_0^r : \mathcal{J}^rQ \rightarrow Q$  is the canonical projection.

Let  $\pi_r^{r+1} : \mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^rQ$  be the canonical projection, and  $\eta_r : V\mathcal{J}^rQ \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^rQ$ , the usual projection of the vertical bundle of  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ . Then there exists  $T : \mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q \rightarrow V\mathcal{J}^rQ$  satisfying  $\eta_r \cdot \pi = \pi_r^{r+1}$  [1]. We use the map  $T$  to construct a differential operator  $d_T$ , which maps each function on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$  to a function on  $\mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q$ , and is called the partial time derivative. It follows that  $d_T$  is represented in coordinates  $(t, q_{(k)}^i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq r+1$  by the operator:

$$d_T = \sum_{h=0}^r q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i}.$$

The operator  $d_T$  is a derivation in the sense that

$$d_T(f_1 \cdot f_2) = (d_T f_1)(\pi_r^{r+1*} f_2) + (\pi_r^{r+1*} f_1) d_T f_2; \quad f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^rQ).$$

The extended operator, which we also denote  $d_T$ , bears the same relation to the operator on functions as a Lie derivative operator does to the action of a vector field on functions. Thus so far as its action on 1-forms is concerned (and this will be sufficient for our purposes),  $d_T$  satisfies the following rules:

- 1) for any 1-form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ ,  $d_T\alpha$  is an 1-form on  $\mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q$
- 2)  $d_T \cdot d = d \cdot d_T$
- 3) for any function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ ,

$$d_T(f\alpha) = (d_T f)(\pi_r^{r+1*}\alpha) + (\pi_r^{r+1*}f)d_T(\alpha).$$

In particular, the coordinate 1-forms satisfy:

$$d_T(dq_{(k)}^i) = dq_{(k+1)}^i; \quad 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

We shall now define the lifts of a function  $f$  in  $Q$  to  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ . For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  we define the  $k+1$  lift  $f_{k+1}$  of a function  $f$  to  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ , by  $f_{k+1} = d(f_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  where  $f_0 = f$  is a function on  $Q$ .

Let  $\xi \in VQ_0$  be a vector field. The vector field  $\xi^v \in V_0\mathcal{J}^rQ$  given by

$$\xi^v(f_r) = \pi_{r-1}^{r*}\xi(f_{r-1})$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(Q)$ , is called the vertical lift of  $\xi$ .

Using the vertical lift and the map  $T : \mathcal{J}^rQ \rightarrow V\mathcal{J}^{r-1}Q$  we construct a canonical vector field on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$  as follows:  $C = T^v$ .

The coordinate representation of  $C$  is:

$$C = \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} (h+1)q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h+1)}^i}$$

where the vector field  $C$  is a generalization of the Liouville field or the dilation field on  $TQ$ .

We may also use the vertical lift construction to define an (1,1)-type tensor field  $S$  on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , given by

$$S(\xi) = \left[ (\pi_{r-1}^r)' \xi \right]^v, \quad \forall \xi \in V\mathcal{J}^r Q.$$

The coordinate representation of  $S$  is

$$S = \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} (h+1) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h+1)}^i} \otimes dq_{(h)}^i.$$

Therefore we transport the geometric structures defined on  $V\mathcal{J}^r Q$  to  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ . We may define a new tensor field  $\tilde{S}$  of (1,1)-type  $V\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , by

$$\tilde{S} = S - C \otimes dt.$$

We define the adjoint  $\tilde{S}^*$  of  $\tilde{S}$ , as the endomorphism of the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(\mathcal{J}^r Q)$  of  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , locally given by

$$\tilde{S}^*(dt) = 0, \quad \tilde{S}^*(dq_{(0)}^i) = 0, \quad \tilde{S}^*(dq_{(h)}^i) = h\theta_{(h-1)}^i; \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

where

$$\theta_{(h)}^i = dq_{(h)}^i - q_{(h+1)}^i dt; \quad h = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1).$$

A vector field  $\xi$  on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  is a semispray iff  $S\xi = C$  and  $\tilde{S}\xi = 0$ .

*Remark 1.* It is not hard to see that a vector field  $\xi$  on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  is a semispray iff  $\theta_{(h)}^i(\xi) = 0$ ;  $h = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1)$ ;  $dt(\xi) = 1$ .

In such a case  $\xi$  is locally given by

$$(1.1) \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} + \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i}.$$

Let now  $c$  be a global section of the affine bundle  $\mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^r Q$ . One may construct a semispray  $\xi_c$ , given by

$$(1.2) \quad \xi_c = C^* \circ d_T.$$

Let  $c(t, q_{(h)}^i) = (t, q_{(h)}^i, c^i)$ ;  $0 \leq h \leq r$  be the local representation of  $c$  in a natural fibred chart. The semispray  $\xi_c$  is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \xi_c = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} + c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i}.$$

It follows then:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $c$  be a section of the affine bundle  $\mathcal{J}^{r+1}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^rQ$  and  $\xi_c$  the spray given by (1.3), then we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} S\xi_c &= C; & \tilde{S}\xi_c &= 0; & \tilde{S} \circ L_{\xi_c}\tilde{S} &= -\tilde{S} \circ \tilde{C} \\ (L_{\xi_c}\tilde{S} - rI)(L_{\xi_c}\tilde{S} + \tilde{C}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $L_{\xi_c}$  is the Lie derivative.

## 2. Semisprays and dynamical connections

The tensor fields  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$  permit us to give a characterization of a kind of connections for the fibration  $\pi_0^r : \mathcal{J}^rQ \rightarrow Q$ .

*Definition 2.1.* By a dynamical connection on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$  we mean a tensor field  $\Gamma$  of (1,1)-type on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad S\Gamma = \tilde{S}\Gamma = \tilde{S}; \quad \Gamma\tilde{S} = -\tilde{S}; \quad \Gamma S = -S.$$

By a straightforward computation we deduce from (2.1) that the local expression of  $\Gamma$  are:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = - \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q^{i(h+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(h)}} + \Gamma_{(r)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(r)}} \\ \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(m)}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(m)}} + \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(m)} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(r)}} & 0 \leq m \leq (r-1) \\ \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(r)}} \right) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i(r)}}. \end{cases}$$

The functions  $\Gamma_{(r)}^i = \Gamma_{(r)}^i(t, q^{i(h)})$ ;  $\Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(m)} = \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(m)}(t, q^{i(h)})$  will be called the components of the connection  $\Gamma$ . From (2.2) we easily deduce that

$$\Gamma^3 - \Gamma = 0 \text{ and } \text{rank}(\Gamma) = (r+1)n.$$

This type of polynomial structure is called  $f(3, -1)$ -structure in the literature [4]. Now, we can associate to  $\Gamma$  two canonical operators  $\ell$  and  $m$  given by:  $\ell = \Gamma^2$ ;  $m = -\Gamma^2 + I$ . Then we have:

$$(2.3) \quad \ell^2 = \ell; \quad m^2 = m; \quad \ell m = m \ell = 0; \quad \ell + m = I$$

where  $\ell$  and  $m$  are complementary projectors. From (2.3) we deduce that  $\ell$  and  $m$  are locally given by:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) &= - \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} - \left( \Gamma_{(h)}^i + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(h)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j} \\ \ell \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i}; \quad m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i}; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, r \\ m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} + \left( \Gamma_{(r)}^j + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(h)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $\mathcal{L} = \text{Im}\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}m$ , then we have that  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are complementary distributions on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , that is

$$T(\mathcal{J}^r Q) = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{L}.$$

From (2.4) we deduce that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $(r+1)n$ -dimensional and is locally spanned by  $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ ;  $\mathcal{M}$  is one-dimensional, and globally spanned by the vector field  $\xi = m \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)$ . Taking into account the local expression of  $\xi$  we deduce that  $\xi$  is a semispray which will be called the canonical semispray associated to the dynamical connection  $\Gamma$ . Furthermore, we have  $\Gamma^2 \ell = \ell$  and  $\Gamma m = 0$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  as an almost product structure and trivially on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Ker}\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  is said to be an  $f(3, -1)$ -structure on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  of rank  $(r+1)n$  and with parallelizable kernel. Moreover  $\Gamma/\mathcal{L}$  has the eigenvalues  $+1$  and  $-1$ . From (2.2) the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalue  $+1$  are the vertical subspaces  $V_z^0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{J}^r Q$ . Thus  $V$  is a distribution given by  $z \rightarrow V_z^0$ . The eigenspace at  $z \in \mathcal{J}^r Q$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $+1$  will be denoted by  $H_z$  and called the strong-horizontal subspace at  $z$ . We have a canonical decomposition

$$T_z(\mathcal{J}^r Q) = \mathcal{M}_z \oplus H_z \oplus V_z^0$$

and obviously

$$T(\mathcal{J}^r Q) = \mathcal{M} \oplus H \oplus V^0$$

where  $H$  is the distribution  $z \rightarrow H_z$ .

Let us put  $H'_z = \mathcal{M}_z \oplus H_z$ ;  $H'_z$  will be called the weak horizontal subspace at  $z$ . Then we have the following decompositions:

$$T_z(\mathcal{J}^r Q) = H'_z \oplus V_z^0, \quad z \in \mathcal{J}^r Q$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad T(\mathcal{J}^r Q) = H' \oplus V^0$$

where  $H' : z \rightarrow H'_z$  is the corresponding distribution.

We notice that  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $H$  and  $H'$  may be considered as vector bundles over  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ ; the bundles  $H$  and  $H'$  will be called strong and weak-horizontal bundles, respectively.

A vector field  $X$  on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  which belongs to  $H$  (resp.  $H'$ ) will be called a strong (resp. weak) horizontal vector field. From (2.5) we have that the projection  $\pi_{r-1}^r : \mathcal{J}^r Q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{r-1} Q$  induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_{0*}^r : H'_z \rightarrow T_{\pi_{r-1}^r(z)}(Q), \quad z \in \mathcal{J}^r Q.$$

Then, if  $X$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{J}^{r-1} Q$ , there exists a unique vector field  $X^{H'}$  on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  which is weak-horizontal and projects to  $X$ . The projection of  $X^{H'}$  to  $H$  will be denoted by  $X^H$ .

From (2.2), by a straightforward computation we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{H'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left( \Gamma_{(r)}^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(h)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j} \\ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right)^{H'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1). \end{cases}$$

Then, if we put  $H_i^{(k)} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right)^{H'}$  and  $V_i^{(r)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i}$ , one deduces that  $\{\xi, H_i^{(k)}, V_i^{(r)}\}$  is a local basis of vector fields on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ . In fact  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \xi \rangle$ ,  $H = \langle H_i^{(k)} \rangle$ ,  $V = \langle V_i^{(r)} \rangle$  and  $\{\xi, H_i^{(k)}, V_i^{(r)}\}$  is called an adapted basis of the  $f(3, -1)$ -structure  $\Gamma$ . In terms of  $\{\xi, H_i^{(k)}, V_i^{(r)}\}$  (2.6) becomes

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{H'} = \xi - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} q_{(k+1)}^i H_i^{(k)}; \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right)^{H'} = H_i^{(k)}; \\ k = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^H = - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} q_{(k+1)}^i H_i^{(k)}; \quad \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right)^H = H_i^{(k)}; \\ k = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1).$$

If  $X = \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} X_{(h)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i}$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{J}^{r-1}Q$  we have

$$X^H = \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} (X_{(h)}^i - \eta q_{(h)}^i) H_i^{(h)}.$$

Finally, we notice that the dual local basis of 1-forms of the adapted basis is given by  $(dt, \theta_{(h)}^i, \psi^i)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{(h)}^i &= dq_{(h)}^i - q_{(h+1)}^i dt; \quad h = 0, 1, \dots, (r-1) \text{ and} \\ \psi^i &= - \left( \Gamma_{(r)}^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \Gamma_{i(r)}^{j(h)} \right) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_{j(r)}^{i(h)} dq_{(h)}^j + dq_{(r)}^i. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\xi$  be a semispray of  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  and we suppose that  $\xi$  is locally expressed by (1.1). Then a simple computation in local coordinates shows that we have:

$$(2.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[ \xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] = - \frac{\partial \xi^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i} \\ \left[ \xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} \right] = - \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h-1)}^i} \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, r \\ \left[ \xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(0)}^i} \right] = - \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial q_{(0)}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j}. \end{array} \right.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = -L_\xi \tilde{S}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a dynamical connection on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , whose associated semispray is precisely  $\xi$ .*

PROOF. In fact from (2.7) we have:

$$(2.8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = - \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} \\ \quad - \left( \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} (h+1) q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial q_{(h+1)}^i} - r \xi^i \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i} \\ \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(k)}^i} + \frac{\partial \xi^j}{\partial q_{(k+1)}^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^j}; \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (r-1) \\ \Gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i} \right) = -r \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i}. \end{array} \right.$$

Now, from (2.8) we easily deduce that  $\Gamma$  is a dynamical connection on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ . Furthermore, taking into account (2.4), we have that the associated semispray to  $\Gamma$  is precisely  $\xi$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a dynamical connection on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ . A curve  $s : X \rightarrow Q$  is called a path of  $\Gamma$  if the canonical prolongation  $j^r s$  of  $s$  to  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  is a weak-horizontal curve.

If  $s : X \rightarrow Q$  is locally given by  $t \rightarrow (t, q^i(t))$ , then we have  $j^r s(t) = (t, q_{(h)}^i(t)); 0 \leq h \leq r$ .

Hence

$$\widehat{j^r s(t)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=1}^{r+1} \frac{d^h q^i}{dt^h} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h-1)}^i}.$$

Therefore  $s$  is a path of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\psi^i \left( \widehat{j^r s(t)} \right) = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , that is,  $s$  satisfies the following system of differential equations:

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d^{r+1} q^i}{dt^{r+1}} = \Gamma_{(r)}^i + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_{j(r)}^{i(h)} \frac{d^{h+1} q^j}{dt^{h+1}}.$$

Let  $\xi$  be the associated semispray of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\xi$  is locally given by:

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(h)}^i} + \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{(r)}^i}$$

where:

$$\xi^i = \Gamma_{(r)}^i + \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} q_{(h+1)}^j \Gamma_{j(r)}^{i(h)}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

From (2.9) it is clear that the paths of  $\Gamma$  and  $\xi$  satisfy the same system of differential equations. Then we have:

**Proposition 2.2.** *A dynamical connection and its associated semispray on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  have the same paths.*

### 3. The generalized Euler-Lagrange operator

Let  $c : \mathcal{J}^r Q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{r+1} Q$  be a global section and  $\xi_c$  given by (1.2). The generalized Euler Lagrange operator associated to  $\xi_c$  is the R-linear operator on 1-forms  $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_c}$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\xi_c} = -\xi_c \otimes dt + \sum_{h=0}^r (-1)^h \frac{1}{h!} L_{\xi_c}^h \cdot \tilde{S}^h.$$



**Proposition 3.1.** *The Euler-Lagrange operator satisfies*

$$\tilde{S} \circ \mathcal{E}_{\xi_c} = 0.$$

If  $\omega \in \Lambda^1(\mathcal{J}^r Q)$  is given by:

$$(3.2) \quad \omega = \alpha dt + \sum_{h=0}^r \omega_i^{(h)} dq^i$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_\Gamma(\omega) = \left( \sum_{h=0}^r (-1)^r \xi_c^h(\omega_i^{(h)}) \right) \theta_{(0)}^i.$$

To each semispray  $\xi_c$  we now associate a set of 1-forms  $X_{\xi_c}^* = \text{Ker} \mathcal{E}_{\xi_c}^*$ . The set  $X_{\xi_c}^*$  is in fact a vector space over  $R$ , by the  $R$ -linearity of  $\mathcal{E}_{\xi_c}$ . Its elements satisfy the relation:

$$(3.4) \quad \omega_i^{(0)} - \xi_c(\omega_i^{(1)}) + \xi_c^2(\omega_i^{(2)}) - \dots + (-1)^r \xi_c^r(\omega_i^{(r)}) = 0.$$

Furthermore, we define an  $R$ -linear operator  $\sigma_{\xi_c}$  of 1-forms on  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$ , called the generalized Cartan operator, by:

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_{\xi_c} = \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} (-1)^h \frac{1}{(h+1)!} L_{\xi_c}^h \circ \tilde{S}^{(h+1)}.$$

It follows from this definition that:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\xi_c} \omega = \omega - i_{\xi_c} \omega dt - L_{\xi_c} \circ \sigma_{\xi_c} \omega.$$

Let  $\xi_c$  be a semispray given by (1.2) and  $L \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^r Q)$ . The Poincaré-Cartan 1-form  $\theta_{L, \xi_c}$  is given by:

$$(3.7) \quad \theta_{L, \xi_c} = L dt + \sigma_{\xi_c}(dL).$$

We say that a semispray  $\xi_c$  is Lagrangian if there exists  $L \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^r Q)$  such that  $dL \in X_{\xi_c}^*$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  *$\xi_c$  is Lagrangian iff there exists  $L \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^r Q)$  such that:*

$$(3.8) \quad i_{\xi_c} \omega_L = 0$$

where  $\omega_L = -d\theta_{L, \xi_c}$ . We call  $\xi_c$  the Lagrange vector for  $L$ .

We now describe how the usual formulation of higher-order dynamics fits into to framework described above. It will be recalled that the Lagrangian function of  $\mathcal{J}^r Q$  leads to Euler-Lagrange equations which are

$2r$ -order differential equations. We must therefore consider the  $(2r - 1)$ -order jet-prolongation  $\mathcal{J}^{2r-1}Q$  and functions on it of the form  $\pi_r^{2r-1*}L$ , where  $L$  is a function on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ .

It follows that, if  $\xi_c$  is a  $2r$ -order differential equation field which is Lagrangian, with Lagrangian function  $L$  on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ , then :

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{(0)}^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{(0)}^i} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{q}_{(0)}^i} \right) + \dots + (-1)^r \frac{d^r}{dt^r} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{(0)}^i} \right) = 0$$

along any integral curve of  $\xi_c$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $L$  be a non-autonomous regular Lagrangian on  $\mathcal{J}^rQ$ , and let  $\xi_c$  be a Lagrange vector field for  $L$ . Then there exists a dynamical connection  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{J}^{2r-1}Q$  whose paths are the solutions of the equations. This connection is given by  $\Gamma = -L_{\xi_c}\tilde{S}$ .*

### References

- [1] M. CRAMPIN and W. SARLET and F. CANTRIJN, Higher-order differential equations and higher-order Lagrangian mechanics, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **99** (1986), 565.
- [2] M. FERRARIS and M. FRANCAVIGLIA, On the globalization of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms in higher order mechanics, *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino* **117** (1983).
- [3] M. DE LEON and P. RODRIGUES, Dynamical connections and non-autonomous Lagrangian systems, *Annales Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* **IX**, no. 2 (1988).
- [4] K. YANO and S. ISHIHARA, Tangent and cotangent bundles, *Pure and Appl. Math. Ser.* **16**. Marcel Dekker N. Y. (1973).

D. OPRIS  
SEMINAR OF GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA  
ROUMANIA

F.C. KLEPP  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA  
ROUMANIA

*(Received August 29, 1991; revised March 10, 1992)*