Publ. Math. Debrecen 56 / 3-4 (2000), 481–505

### Pethő's cubics

## By MAURICE MIGNOTTE (Strasbourg)

This paper is dedicated to Kálmán Győry, for the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. We compute all the solutions of the family of cubic Thue equations

$$\Phi_n(x,y) = x^3 - nx^2y - (n+1)xy^2 - y^3 = 1$$

for all rational integers n.

#### 1. Introduction

We continue the study of a non-Galois family of cubic Thue equations  $\Phi_n(x, y) = 1$  which was initiated in a joint paper with N. TZANAKIS [MT]. The associated fields  $Q(\theta_n)$ , where  $\Phi_n(\theta_n, 1) = 0$ , are totally real.

The family of cubics we consider is

(1) 
$$\Phi_n(x,y) = x^3 - nx^2y - (n+1)xy^2 - y^3.$$

Notice that the transformation  $(x, y) \mapsto (-y, -x)$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between the solutions of the equations  $\Phi_n(X, Y) = 1$  and  $\Phi_{-n-1}(X, Y) = 1$ , thus we consider only the case  $n \ge 0$ .

Note also that each equation  $\Phi_n(x, y) = 1$  has the solutions (x, y) = (1,0), (0,-1), (1,-1), (-n-1,-1), (1,-n). This gives five "trivial solutions" for  $n \neq 0, 1$  and four ones otherwise. To simplify we solve the

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D25, 11J86.

Key words and phrases: diophantine equations, family of cubics.

equations for  $0 \le n \le 19$  using Kant, this shows that in this range the equation has only trivial solutions except for n = 0 where there is the extra solution (x, y) = (4, 3), for n = 3 (then the discriminant is 49) where there are the four non-trivial solutions (-5, 14), (-2, 3), (-1, 2) and (9, -13) and for n = 4 (then the discriminant is 257) where there is the non-trivial solution (7, -9). From now on we always suppose  $n \ge 20$ , without referring explicitly to this assumption.

According to a conjecture of A. PETHŐ [P] based on extensive computations, for any irreducible cubic form  $\Phi_n(x,y) \in Z[x,y]$  with positive discriminant  $\neq 49, 81, 148, 257, 361$ , the equation  $\Phi_n(x,y) = 1$  has at most five solutions. In [MT], it is proved that, indeed, the above mentioned five solutions are the only solutions of the equation

(2) 
$$x^3 - nx^2y - (n+1)xy^2 - y^3 = 1,$$

if  $n \ge 3.67 \times 10^{32}$ , in accordance to Pethő's conjecture. (We chose the title of this paper because this family gives the maximum number of solutions known for a family of cubics.) Here we prove this result for all  $n \ge 5$ :

**Theorem.** If  $n \ge 5$ , then the only solutions of the diophantine equation

$$x^3 - nx^2y - (n+1)xy^2 - y^3 = 1$$

are

$$(x,y) = (1,0), (0,-1), (1,-1), (-n-1,-1), (1,-n).$$

We give a sketch of the method, which contains several steps. We work in number fields K attached to the Thue equation, depending on the parameter n. We know explicitly a fundamental system  $\{\xi, 1 + \xi\}$ , for the units of K; and we notice that a solution (x, y) of the Thue equation satisfies  $x + y\xi = \xi^a (1 + \xi)^b$ .

It is understood that all estimates and bounds referred to below are explicit and contain the parameter n, except if they are explicitly characterized as "numerical". The plan is the following.

- 1. Estimate the regulator R of K
- 2. Find an upper bound for  $A := \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ , in terms of R and  $\log |y|$ .
- 3. Obtain an upper bound for the linear form  $|\Lambda|$  in three logarithms obtained by Siegel's formula, of the form  $|\Lambda| = O(|y|^{-3})$ .

Combine the results of steps 1, 2, 3 to find an upper bound for  $|\Lambda|$  in terms of A.

- 5. Find a lower bound for A: this is a fundamental step, and there is no systematic way to get it.
- 6. Combine the results of steps 4 and 5 to obtain a negative upper bound for  $\log |\Lambda|$ .
- 7. Transform  $\Lambda$  into a homogeneous linear form in two logarithms in order that the sharp result of Laurent–Mignotte–Nesterenko can be applied to give a good negative lower bound for log  $|\Lambda|$ .
- 8. Combine the results of steps 6, 7 to obtain a numerical upper bound for n, say  $n \leq N$ .
- 9. View  $\Lambda$ , again, as a homogeneous linear form in three logarithms and apply Waldschmidt's result in order to obtain a negative lower bound for log  $|\Lambda|$ , containing A.
- 10. Combine the results of steps 4, 9 to obtain a *numerical* upper bound for A.
- 11. Apply a lemma à la Baker-Davenport, in which the bound for A, obtained in step 10, is necessary, to treat the values of  $n \leq N$ , the bound found in step 8.

#### 2. Preliminaries

We work in the field  $K = Q(\xi)$ , where  $\xi^3 - n\xi^2 - (n+1)\xi - 1 = 0$ (clearly  $\xi = \xi_n$  and  $K = K_n$  depend on n). The equation  $x^3 - nx^2y - (n+1)xy^2 - y^3 = 1$  implies that  $x - y\xi$  is a unit of K.

The discriminant of  $\xi$  is  $n^4 + 2n^3 - 5n^2 - 6n - 23 = (n^2 + n - 3)^2 - 32$ , hence it is positive for  $n \ge 3$  and it is a square only if n = 3, hence K is not Galois for n > 3. For  $n \ge 4$  we know two fundamental units in K: Put  $\xi = \lambda^{-1} - 1$ . Then  $K = Q(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda^3 - (n+2)\lambda^2 + (n+3)\lambda - 1 = 0$ , therefore, by E. THOMAS' paper [T1], a pair of fundamental units is  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda - 1$ , i.e.  $1/(1+\xi)$  and  $(-\xi)/(1+\xi)$ . From this it follows that  $\xi$ ,  $\xi + 1$  is a pair of fundamental units of K. Then,  $x - y\xi = \pm \xi^a (1+\xi)^b$  for some a,  $b \in Z$ . Since the norms of  $\xi$  and  $1 + \xi$  are +1, the minus sign is excluded and

$$x - y\xi = \xi^a (1 + \xi)^b$$

Put

$$F(X) = F_n(X) = X^3 - nX^2 - (n+1)X - 1.$$

We can have good estimates of the roots of F by appropriate substitutions. Since F(n+1) = -1 and also  $F(n+1+n^{-2}) = 3n^{-1}+2n^{-2}+2n^{-3}+3n^{-4}+n^{-6} > 0$ , the polynomial F has a root, say  $\xi_1$ , with

$$(3)_1 n+1 < \xi_1 < n+1+n^{-2}.$$

Similarly, sign changes of the polynomial F show that

$$(3)_2 \qquad -1 + \frac{1}{n+1} < \xi_2 < -1 + \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$$

and

$$(3)_3 \qquad \qquad -\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^3} < \xi_3 < -\frac{1}{n}.$$

We shall often use the simpler following estimates: the roots of  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$  of F satisfy:

(3) 
$$n+1 < \xi_1 < n+1 + \frac{1}{n^2},$$
$$-\frac{n}{n+1} < \xi_2 < -\frac{n-1}{n}, \quad -\frac{1}{n-1} < \xi_3 < -\frac{1}{n},$$

But, more precise estimates will also be necessary. We use the Lagrange's method to compute the beginning of the continued fraction expansion of the  $\xi$ 's.

## R1) Approximate value of $\xi_1$

By the change of variable  $X = n + 1 + Y^{-1}$ , the polynomial F is transformed into  $g(Y) = -Y^3 + (n^2 + 3n + 2)Y^2 + (2n + 3)Y + 1$ . Since  $g(n^2 + 3n + 2) = 2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 7 > 0$ , and  $g(n^2 + 3n + 3) = -n^4 - 4n^3 - 6n^2 - 3n + 1 < 0$ , we have

$$n+1+\frac{1}{n^2+3n+3} < \xi_1 < n+1+\frac{1}{n^2+3n+2},$$

thus the beginning of the continued fraction expansion of  $\xi_1$  is

$$\xi_1 = [n+1; n^2 + 3n + 2, \dots].$$

## R2) Approximate value of $\xi_2$

By the successive changes of variables  $X = -1 + Y^{-1}$ ,  $Y = n + Z^{-1}$ and  $Z = 1 + T^{-1}$  we get the continued fraction expansion

$$\xi_2 = [-1; n, 1, \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor, \dots].$$

Which shows that

$$-1 + \frac{1}{n+1 - \frac{2}{n-3}} < \xi_2 < -1 + \frac{1}{n+1 - \frac{2}{n}}$$

R3) Approximate value of  $\xi_3$ 

By a similar study we see that

$$\xi_3 = -[0; n-1, 1, n^2 - n - 2, \dots],$$

hence

$$-\frac{1}{n-\frac{1}{n^2-n-1}} < \xi_3 < -\frac{1}{n-\frac{1}{n^2-n}}$$

Notice also the formulae

$$\begin{split} \Phi_n(x,n-1) &= x^3 - (n^2 - n)x^2 - (n^3 - n^2 - n + 1)x - (n^3 - 3n^2 + 3n - 1), \\ \Phi_n(x,n) &= x^3 - n^2 x^2 - (n^3 + n^2)x - n^3, \\ \Phi_n(x,n+1) &= x^3 - (n^2 + n)x^2 - (n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1)x - (n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1). \end{split}$$

We make a very elementary study of the solutions of equation (2):

• If y = 0 then, clearly, x = 0.

• If |y| = 1, consider first the case y = 1, then  $\Phi_n(x, y) = x^3 - nx^2 - (n+1)x - 1 = g(x)$ , say. It is easy to verify that g(x) = -1 iff  $x \in \{-1, 0, n+1\}$  and that |g(x)| > 1 for all other  $x \in Z$ , hence  $\Phi_n(x, 1) \neq 1$  for any  $x \in Z$ . If y = -1 then since  $\Phi_n(x, -y) = -\Phi(-x, y)$ , we have  $\Phi_n(x, -y) = 1$  iff  $x \in \{1, 0, -(n+1)\}$ , showing that in this case solutions (x, y) are the "trivial ones" (0, -1), (1, -1) and (-n - 1, -1).

• If |y| = 2, consider first the case y = 2, then  $\Phi_n(x, y) = x^3 - 2nx^2 - 4(n+1)x - 8 = h(x)$ , say. And it is easy to verify that  $|h(x)| \ge 8$  for  $x \ne -1$ , whereas h(-1) = 2n - 5. Thus  $\Phi_n(x, 2) = 1$  only when n = 3 and x = -1. Moreover, using again the formula  $\Phi_n(x, -y) = -\Phi(-x, y)$ ,

Maurice Mignotte

we see that the diophantine equation  $\Phi_n(x, -2) = 1$  has no solution for  $n \ge 3$ . Thus we may now suppose that  $|y| \ge 3$ .

From formula (2), we have

$$(x - \xi_1 y)(x - \xi_2 y)(x - \xi_3 y) = 1.$$

Let i be the index such that

$$|x - \xi_i y| = \min_{1 \le j \le 3} |x - \xi_j y|,$$

then  $|x-\xi_i y| < 1$  and, by the estimate (3) for the roots of F,  $\xi_1 - \xi_k > n+1$  for  $k \neq 1$ , thus

$$(j \neq i) \& (1 \in \{i, j\})$$

$$\Rightarrow |x - \xi_j y| \ge |\xi_j - \xi_i| |y| - |x - \xi_i y| \ge (n+1)|y| - 1 > (n+2/3)|y|.$$

Hence,  $|x - \xi_i y|^2 (n + 2/3)|y| < 1$ , in other words

$$|x - \xi_i y| < ((n + 2/3)|y|)^{-1/2} \le 1/\sqrt{62},$$

in particular i is indeed unique.

For  $n \ge 20$ , by (3),

$$|\xi_2 - \xi_3| > 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n-1} = 1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}$$

and by a previous computation  $|x - \xi_i y| < 1/\sqrt{62}$ , thus

$$\prod_{j \neq i} |x - \xi_j y| > n \left( 1 - \frac{40}{399} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{62}} \right) y^2 > 0.836 \, n \, y^2 > 16y^2$$

and

$$\left|\frac{x}{y} - \xi_i\right| < \frac{1}{0.836n|y|^3} < \frac{1}{16|y|^3}$$

This short study proves that the rational number x/y is a principal convergent of  $\xi_i$ . Now, we have to consider the three cases i = 1, 2, 3.

i = 1

Then 
$$\xi_1 = [n+1; n^2 + 3n + 2, ...]$$
 thus  $|y| \ge n^2 + 3n + 2$ .



Then 
$$\xi_2 = [-1; n, 1, \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor, \dots]$$
 thus  $|y| = n, n+1$  or  $y| \ge (n^2 - 3)/2.$ 

i = 3

Then 
$$\xi_3 = -[0; n-1, 1, n^2 - n - 2, ...]$$
 thus  $|y| = n - 1$ ,  
  $n$  or  $|y| > n^2$ .

If  $y = \pm (n-1)$  then  $x = \pm 1$  and it is easy to verify that no solution (x, y) with |y| = n - 1 exists.

If  $y = \pm n$  then  $x = \pm (n-1)$  or  $x = \mp 1$ . In the second case we have  $\Phi_n(1, -n) = 1$ , finding the last "trivial solution" (x, y) = (1, -n). While, in the first case a direct computation shows that there is no solution (x, y) with |y| = n.

If  $y = \pm (n+1)$  then  $x = \pm n$  and by direct computation we see that there is no solution (x, y) with |y| = n + 1.

This elementary study shows that a non trivial solution (x, y) must satisfy  $|y| \ge (n^2 - 3)/2$ .

Put  $\varepsilon_h = 1 + \xi_h$  for h = 1, 2, 3. From the formula  $x - \xi y = \xi^a (1 + \xi)^b$ , we get

$$x - \xi_j y = \xi_j^a \varepsilon_j^b, \quad 1 \le j \le 3,$$

which implies

(4) 
$$y(\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j) = \xi_j^a \varepsilon_j^b - \xi_{j+1}^a \varepsilon_{j+1}^b,$$

where  $\xi_{j+1} = \xi_{(j+1) \mod 3}$ , with some abuse of notation.

Now we want to estimate the exponents a and b in terms of y. Put  $l_h = \log |\xi_h|, l'_h = \log |\varepsilon_h|$  and  $\mu_h = \log |x - \xi_h y|$  for h = 1, 2, 3. Then the relations  $x - \xi_h y = \xi_h^a \varepsilon_h^b$  can be written as  $l_h a + l'_h b = \mu_h$ , from which we get, for  $j \neq k$ ,

(5) 
$$a = \frac{l'_k \mu_j - l'_j \mu_k}{l'_k l_j - l'_j l_k}, \qquad b = -\frac{l_k \mu_j - l_j \mu_k}{l'_k l_j - l'_j l_k}.$$

Put  $R = l'_2 l_3 - l'_3 l_2$ ; using the obvious relations  $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = 0$  and  $l'_1 + l'_2 + l'_3 = 0$  it is easy to verify that  $R = l'_3 l_1 - l'_1 l_3 = l'_1 l_2 - l'_2 l_1$  and we shall see that R is positive.

From the estimates (3) one easily deduces that

$$\log(n+1) < l_1 < \log(n+2), \qquad -\frac{1}{n-1} < l_2 < -\frac{1}{n+1}, -\log n < l_3 < -\log(n-1),$$

$$\begin{split} \log(n+2) < l_1' < \log(n+3), & -\log(n+1) < l_2' < -\log n, \\ & -\frac{1}{n-2} < l_3' < -\frac{1}{n}. \end{split}$$

To estimate the  $\mu_h$  we can write, for  $h \neq i$ ,

$$|(\xi_i - \xi_h)y| - \frac{1}{16y^2} \le |x - \xi_h y| = |(\xi_i - \xi_h)y + x - \xi_i y| \le |(\xi_i - \xi_h)y| + \frac{1}{16y^2},$$

since  $|\xi_i - \xi_h| > 0.8$  we get

$$\left| (\xi_i - \xi_h) y \right| \left( 1 - \frac{0.08}{|y|^3} \right) \le |x - \xi_h y| \le \left| (\xi_i - \xi_h) y \right| \left( 1 + \frac{0.08}{|y|^3} \right).$$

This implies

(6) 
$$\log |\xi_i - \xi_h| - \frac{0.1}{|y|^3} \le \mu_h - \log |y| \le \log |\xi_i - \xi_h| + \frac{0.1}{|y|^3}.$$

From the estimates of the roots of  ${\cal F}$  it is easy to check the following inequalities

$$1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1} < \xi_3 - \xi_2 < 1 - \frac{2}{n+1},$$
  

$$n + 2 - \frac{1}{n} < \xi_1 - \xi_2 < n+2,$$
  

$$n + 1 + \frac{1}{n} < \xi_1 - \xi_3 < n+1 + \frac{1}{n-2}$$

It will be very useful to have estimates for R. From the above estimates of the  $l_h$  and  $l_h^\prime,$  we get

$$\log(n-1) \times \log n - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} < R < \log n \times \log(n+1).$$

Indeed, we have the simpler estimate

(7) 
$$\log^2(n-1) < R < \log n \times \log(n+1).$$

Now we want to get estimates of a and b in terms of y. To simplify the notations, put

$$\eta = 0.1 |y|^{-3}$$
 and  $z = \log |y|$ .

We have to distinguish the three cases i = 1, 2 and 3.

 $\boxed{i=1}$  By (5),

$$a = \frac{l'_2 \mu_3 - l'_3 \mu_2}{R}, \qquad b = \frac{l_3 \mu_2 - l_2 \mu_3}{R}.$$

Here,

$$\log(n+2-1/n) - \eta \le \mu_2 - z \le \log(n+2) + \eta,$$
$$\log(n+1) - \eta \le \mu_3 - z \le \log(n+2) + \eta.$$

Hence, a and b are negative and we have

$$l_{3}'\mu_{2} - l_{2}'\mu_{3} \le |l_{2}'|\mu_{3} \le \log n \times (z + \log(n+2) + \eta),$$
  
$$l_{2}\mu_{3} - l_{3}\mu_{2} \le |l_{3}|\mu_{2} \le \log n \times (z + \log(n+2) + \eta),$$

thus

$$A \le \frac{\log n}{R} (z + \log(n+2) + \eta) \le \frac{\log n}{\log^2(n-1)} (z + \log(n+2) + \eta),$$

where we have put

$$A = \max\{|a|, |b|\}.$$

This implies

$$(8)_1 A \le z \frac{\log n}{R} + 3.$$

Maurice Mignotte

 $\boxed{i=2}$ By (5),

$$a = \frac{l'_3\mu_1 - l'_1\mu_3}{R}, \qquad b = \frac{l_1\mu_3 - l_3\mu_1}{R}.$$

Here,

$$\log (n+2-1/n) - \eta \le \mu_1 - z \le \log(n+2) + \eta,$$
$$\log \left(1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}\right) - \eta \le \mu_3 - z \le \eta.$$

In this case a < 0 < b and we have

$$0 < l'_1 \mu_3 - l'_3 \mu_1 \le \log(n+3) \cdot (z+\eta) + \frac{1}{n-2} (z+\log(n+2)+\eta),$$
  
$$|l_3 \mu_1 - l_1 \mu_3| \le \log n \cdot (z+\log(n+2)+\eta) + \log(n+2) \cdot (z+\eta),$$

thus

(8)<sub>2</sub> 
$$A \le \frac{2\log(n+2)}{R} \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\log(n+2) + \eta\right).$$

i = 3

Here,

$$a = \frac{l'_1 \mu_2 - l'_2 \mu_1}{R}, \qquad b = \frac{l_2 \mu_1 - l_1 \mu_2}{R}.$$

And,

$$\log (n + 2 - 1/n) - \eta \le \mu_1 - z \le \log(n + 2) + \eta,$$
$$\log \left(1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}\right) - \eta \le \mu_2 - z \le \eta.$$

In this case b < 0 < a and we have

$$|l_2'\mu_1 - l_1'\mu_2| \le \log(n+1) \cdot (z + \log(n+2) + \eta) + \log(n+3) \cdot (z+\eta),$$
  
$$|l_2\mu_1 - l_1\mu_2| \le \log(n+2) \cdot (z+\eta) + \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot (z + \log(n+2) + \eta),$$

thus

(8)<sub>3</sub> 
$$A \le \frac{2\log(n+3)}{R} \left( z + \frac{1}{2}\log(n+2) + \eta \right).$$

Comparing the inequalities  $(8)_i$  we get the following conclusion:

(8) 
$$A \le \frac{2\log(n+3)}{R} \left( z + \frac{1}{2}\log(n+2) + \eta \right).$$

## 3. A study of a linear form in three variables

In our case Siegel's identity is

$$(\xi_i - \xi_j)\xi_k^a\varepsilon_k^b + (\xi_j - \xi_k)\xi_i^a\varepsilon_i^b + (\xi_k - \xi_i)\xi_j^a\varepsilon_j^b = 0$$

which leads to the relation

$$\frac{(\xi_j - \xi_i)\xi_k^a \varepsilon_k^b}{(\xi_k - \xi_i)\xi_j^a \varepsilon_j^b} - 1 = \frac{(\xi_k - \xi_j)\xi_i^a \varepsilon_i^b}{(\xi_i - \xi_k)\xi_j^a \varepsilon_j^b}.$$

We choose j = i+1 and k = i+2, where these values are counted modulo 3, and consider the linear form of three logarithms

$$\Lambda = \log |\delta_i| + a \log |\xi_k/\xi_j| + b \log |\varepsilon_k/\varepsilon_j|,$$

where

$$\delta_i = \frac{\xi_{i+1} - \xi_i}{\xi_{i+2} - \xi_i}.$$

Then elementary computation using estimates of Section 2 show that

(9) 
$$|\Lambda| < \frac{|\xi_k - \xi_j|}{|\xi_i - \xi_k|} \times \frac{1}{0.709n|y|^3} \times \frac{1.02}{|\xi_i - \xi_j|} < \frac{5}{n|y|^3}.$$

Using (8) and (7) this implies

(10) 
$$\log |\Lambda| < -\frac{3A}{2} \frac{R}{\log(n+2)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2$$
$$< -\frac{3A}{2} \frac{\log^2(n-1)}{\log(n+3)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2.$$

Maurice Mignotte

Now, we have to find upper bounds for the heights of the algebraic numbers which appear in  $\Lambda$ .

M1) Measure of  $\delta$ 

We have  $\delta = \frac{\xi_3 - \xi_2}{\xi_1 - \xi_2}$ , this number is reciprocal. The conjugates of modulus > 1 correspond to a numerator which contains the largest of the conjugates of  $\xi$ , this shows that the measure of  $\delta$  is given by the formula

$$M(\delta) = \left| \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_3}{\xi_2 - \xi_3} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{\xi_3 - \xi_1} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2}{\xi_3 - \xi_2} \right| \cdot \left( (\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_2 - \xi_3)(\xi_3 - \xi_1) \right)^2$$
$$= |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^4 |\xi_1 - \xi_3|^2.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{M}(\delta) < (n+2)^6$$

M2) Measure of  $\xi_1/\xi_2$ 

This number is a unit and it is also reciprocal. Its conjugates of modulus > 1 correspond to a numerator which contains the largest of the conjugates of  $\xi$ , or to the denominator equal to the smallest conjugate. Thus

$$M(\xi_1/\xi_2) = \left| (\xi_1/\xi_2) \cdot (\xi_1/\xi_3) \cdot (\xi_2/\xi_3) \right|$$
$$= (\xi_1/\xi_3)^2 < \left(\frac{n+1+1/n}{1/n}\right)^2 < (n+2)^4.$$

M3) Measure of  $\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2$ 

The same arguments than for the study of  $\delta$  apply and show that the measure of  $\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2) = \left|\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2}\right| \cdot \left|\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_3}\right| \cdot \left|\frac{\varepsilon_3}{\varepsilon_2}\right| \cdot (\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3)^2 = \varepsilon_1^4\varepsilon_3^2.$$

This easily leads to the estimate

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2) < (n+2)^4.$$

We quote the result of [LMN] that we shall use three times.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  be nonzeroalgebraic numbers, and let  $\log \alpha_1$  and  $\log \alpha_2$  be any determinations of their logarithms. Consider the linear form

$$\Lambda = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive integers. We suppose that  $|\alpha_1|$  and  $|\alpha_2|$  are  $\geq 1$ . Put

$$D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{Q}] / [\mathbb{R}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{R}]$$

Let  $a_1, a_2, h, \rho$  be positive real numbers, with  $\rho > 1$ . Put  $\lambda = \log \rho$  and suppose that

(i) 
$$h \ge \max\left\{\frac{D}{2}, 5\lambda, D\left(\log\left(\frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_1}\right) + \log\lambda + 2.1\right)\right\},$$

(ii) 
$$a_i \ge \max\left\{2, 2\lambda, \rho |\log \alpha_i| - \log |\alpha_i| + 2Dh(\alpha_i)\right\}, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

When  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent, we have

$$\log |\Lambda| \ge -\frac{\lambda a_1 a_2}{9} \left(\frac{4h}{\lambda^2} + \frac{4}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{h}\right)^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{3} (a_1 + a_2) \left(\frac{4h}{\lambda^2} + \frac{4}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{h}\right)$$
(iiii) 
$$-\frac{16\sqrt{2a_1 a_2}}{3} \left(1 + \frac{h}{\lambda}\right)^{3/2} - 2(\lambda + h) - \log\left(a_1 a_2 \left(1 + \frac{h}{\lambda}\right)^2\right)$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2} + \log \lambda - 0.15.$$

Now we consider the three cases for i.

$$i = 1$$

We have seen above that in this case a < 0, b < 0 and  $a \approx b$ , for this reason we put c = a - b and rewrite the linear form  $\Lambda$  as

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda = \log |\delta_1| - c \log \left| \frac{\varepsilon_3}{\varepsilon_2} \right| + a \log \left| \frac{\xi_3 \varepsilon_3}{\xi_2 \varepsilon_2} \right| = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

with

$$b_1 = |a|, \ \alpha_1 = \left|\frac{\xi_3\varepsilon_3}{\xi_2\varepsilon_2}\right|, \ b_2 = 1, \ \alpha_2 = \left|\delta_1(\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3)^c\right|^{\sigma} \text{ where } \sigma \in \{-1, +1\}.$$

Put  $\ell_1 = \log \alpha_1$ ,  $\ell'_1 = \log \alpha_2$  then

$$\Lambda = |a|\ell_1 - \ell_1'.$$

# 1. Estimating $\ell_1$

One can verify that the minimal polynomial for  $\varepsilon \xi = \xi + \xi^2$  is

$$G(X) = X^{3} - (n^{2} + 3n + 2)X^{2} - (2n + 3)X - 1$$

and that

$$G\left(-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) > 0,$$
  
$$G\left(-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n^3}\right) < 0,$$
  
$$G\left(-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} - \frac{4}{n^3}\right) < 0,$$
  
$$G\left(-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} - \frac{5}{n^3}\right) > 0.$$

Since the function  $x \mapsto x(1-x)$  is increasing for 0 < x < 0.5, we have

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\frac{1}{n} < |\xi_3\varepsilon_3| < \left(1-\frac{1}{n-1}\right)\frac{1}{n-1}.$$

For similar reasons,

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\frac{1}{n+1} < |\xi_2\varepsilon_2| < \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\frac{1}{n}.$$

Which implies  $|\xi_3 \varepsilon_3| / |\xi_2 \varepsilon_2| > 1$ .

These remarks show that

$$\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} < |\xi_3 \varepsilon_3| < \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^3}$$
$$\frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n^3} < |\xi_2 \varepsilon_2| < \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{5}{n^3}$$

thus

$$1 + \frac{1}{n+5} \le \frac{n^2 - n}{n^2 - 2n + 5} < \left| \frac{\xi_3 \varepsilon_3}{\xi_2 \varepsilon_2} \right| < \frac{n^2 - n + 1}{n^2 - 2n + 4} < 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n+6} < \ell_1 < \frac{1}{n}.$$

2. Estimating  $\ell_1'$ 

We have

$$1 + \frac{1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}}{n + 2} < \delta_1 = 1 + \frac{\xi_3 - \xi_2}{\xi_1 - \xi_3} < 1 + \frac{1}{n + 1},$$

thus  $0 < \log \delta_1 < \frac{1}{n+1}$ , and moreover

$$n - 1.5 < n - 1 - \frac{1}{n - 1} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{n - 1}}{1/n} < \left|\frac{\varepsilon_3}{\varepsilon_2}\right| < \frac{1 - \frac{1}{n}}{1/(n + 1)} = n - \frac{1}{n}.$$

So that

$$|c|\log(n-1.5) - \frac{1}{n+1} < \ell_1' < |c|\log n + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

As a consequence of the estimates of  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_1'$  and  $|\Lambda|$ , we have

$$n(|c|\log(n-1.5) - 1/n) \le |a| \le (n+6)(|c|\log n + 1/n).$$

## 3. Estimating measures

We have

$$M(\xi_3\varepsilon_3/(\xi_2\varepsilon_2)) = |\xi_1\varepsilon_1|^4 |\xi_2\varepsilon_2|^2 < (n+2)^4(n+3)^4 n^{-2} < (n+4)^6$$

and

$$M(\xi_3/\xi_2) \le (n+2)^4$$
,  $M(\delta_1) \le (n+2)^6$ .

4. Application of Proposition 1

We have to take

$$h \ge \max\left\{5\lambda, \ D\log\left(\frac{|a|}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1}\right) + \log\lambda + 1.56\right\},$$

by the upper bound of |a| we choose

$$h = \max\left\{5\lambda, \ 6\log\left(\frac{(n+6)(|c|\log n + 1/n)}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1}\right) + \log\lambda + 1.56\right\},\$$

and we can choose

$$a_{1} = \max\left\{2\lambda, \ (\rho - 1)/n + 12\log(n + 4)\right\},\$$
$$a_{2} = \max\left\{2\lambda, \ (\rho - 1)\left(|c|\log n + |, 1/n\right) + 12\left(1 + \frac{2|c|}{3}\right)\log(n + 4)\right\}.$$

Applying inequality (iii), we get,

$$\log |\Lambda_1| \ge -L_1$$
, (say).

# 5. Upper bound on n

In this case, using  $(8)_1$  and (7), we get

$$\begin{split} \log |\Lambda_1| &\leq -3A \frac{R}{\log(n+3)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2 \\ &\leq -3A \frac{\log^2(n-1)}{\log(n+3)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2, \end{split}$$

where  $A \geq |a|$ .

We have already seen that

$$|a| \ge n(|c|\log(n-1.5) - 1/n).$$

When  $c \neq 0$ , choosing  $\rho = 67.1$  and combining the previous inequalities, we get

$$n \le 150000.$$

6. The case c = 0

In the special case c = 0, we have

$$\Lambda_1 = \log |\delta_1| + a \log \left| \frac{\xi_3 \varepsilon_3}{\xi_2 \varepsilon_2} \right|.$$

By the estimates of  $\delta_1$ ,  $\frac{\xi_3\varepsilon_3}{\xi_2\varepsilon_2}$  and  $|\Lambda|$  we have

$$|a| \le \frac{1/n}{1/(n+6)} = \frac{n+6}{n} < 2.$$

The case a = b = 1 gives  $x - \xi y = \xi + \xi^2$  which is impossible. Whereas the case a = b = -1 gives

$$|y| = \left| \frac{(\xi_1 \varepsilon_1)^{-1} - (\xi_2 \varepsilon_2)^{-1}}{\xi_2 - \xi_1} \right| < \frac{\left( \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \frac{1}{n+1} \right) + (n+1)^{-2}}{n+1}$$
$$< \frac{\frac{n(n+1)}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n-1}}{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{n-1},$$

so that  $|y| \leq 1$ , and this has been studied above.

$$i=2$$

Here we choose j = 3, k = 1 and put b = -2a + c - 1 (recall that a < 0 and b > 0) and rewrite  $\Lambda$  as

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda = \log \delta_2' + c \log \left| \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_3} \right| + a \log \left| \frac{\xi_1 \varepsilon_3^2}{\xi_3 \varepsilon_1^2} \right| = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

with

$$b_1 = |a|, \ \alpha_1 = \left|\frac{\xi_3\varepsilon_1^2}{\xi_1\varepsilon_3^2}\right|, \ b_2 = 1, \ \alpha_2 = |\delta_2'(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_3)^c|^{\sigma} \text{ where } \sigma \in \{-1, +1\},$$

and where

$$\delta_2' = \frac{\varepsilon_3(\xi_1 - \xi_2)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_3 - \xi_2)}$$

Now we put  $\ell_2 = \log \alpha_1$ ,  $\ell'_2 = \log \alpha_2$  then

$$\Lambda_2 = |a|\ell_2 - \ell_2'$$

1. Estimating  $\ell_2$ 

Using the estimate R3 we get

$$\frac{(n+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{n-1/(n^2-n-1)}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n-1/(n^2-n)}(n+2+n^{-2})^2} \le \alpha_1 = \left|\frac{\xi_1\varepsilon_3^2}{\xi_3\varepsilon_1^2}\right| \le \frac{(n+1+n^{-2})\left(1-\frac{1}{n-1/(n^2-n)}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n-1/(n^2-n-1)}(n+2)^2},$$

from which we can deduce

$$1 + \frac{5}{n} < \alpha_1 < 1 + \frac{5}{n} + \frac{11}{n^2}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{5}{n+3} < \frac{5}{n} - \frac{13}{n^2} < \ell_2 < \frac{5}{n} + \frac{11}{n^2} < \frac{5}{n-3}.$$

2. Estimating  $\ell'_2$ 

Here,

$$\delta_2' = \frac{\varepsilon_3(\xi_1 - \xi_2)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_3 - \xi_2)} = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_2(\xi_1 - \xi_3)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_3 - \xi_2)},$$

which implies

$$1 + \frac{1}{n} < 1 + \frac{1}{(n+2)\left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right)} < \delta_2' < 1 + \frac{\frac{n+2}{n}}{(n+1)\left(1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}\right)}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{1 + 2/n}{n - 1 - 2/(n-1)} < 1 + \frac{n - 1}{(n-1)^2 - 2} + \frac{2}{(n-1)^2 - 2} = 1 + \frac{n + 1}{n^2 - 2n - 1},$$

so that  $1 + \frac{1}{n} < \delta'_2 < 1 + \frac{1}{n-3}$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Also

$$n+3 < \frac{n+2}{1-\frac{1}{n-1}} < \left|\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_3}\right| < \frac{n+2+1/n^2}{1-\frac{1}{n}} < n+4.$$

So that

$$|c|\log(n+3) - \frac{1}{n-3} < \ell_2' < |c|\log(n+4) + \frac{1}{n-3}.$$

As a consequence of the estimates of  $\ell_2, \ \ell_2'$  and  $|\Lambda|$ , we have

$$\frac{n-3}{5} \left( |c| \log(n+3) - 1/(n-4) \right) < |a| < \frac{n+3}{5} \left( |c| \log(n+4) + 1/(n-4) \right).$$

3. Estimating measures

Here

$$\delta_2' = \frac{\varepsilon_1(\xi_2 - \xi_3)}{\varepsilon_3(\xi_2 - \xi_1)},$$

and

$$h(\delta'_2) \le \frac{5}{3}\log(n+2), \quad h\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_3}\right) \le \frac{2}{3}\log(n+2).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\xi_1\varepsilon_3^2/(\xi_3\varepsilon_1^2)\right) \le (n+2)^6.$$

[Look at the conjugates of modulus > 1 of this number.]

4. Application of Proposition 1

We take

$$h = \max\left\{5\lambda, \ 6\log\left(\frac{(n+3)(|c|\log(n+4)+1))}{5a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1}\right) + \log\lambda + 1.56\right\}$$

and we can choose

$$a_{1} = \max\left\{2\lambda, \frac{5}{n-3}(\rho-1) + 12\log(n+4)\right\},\$$
$$a_{2} = \max\left\{2\lambda, (\rho-1)\left(|c|\log(n+4) + 1/(n-4)\right) + 12\left(\frac{5}{3} + \frac{2}{3}|c|\right)\log(n+4)\right\}.$$

By Proposition 1,

$$\log |\Lambda_2| \ge -L_2$$
, (say).

 $5. \ Upper \ bound \ on \ n$ 

By (8),

$$\log |\Lambda_2| \le -\frac{3A}{2} \frac{R}{\log(n+3)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2.$$

We have seen that

$$|a| \ge \frac{n-3}{5} (|c|\log(n+3) - 1/(n-4)),$$

this implies

$$A = |b| \ge |a| \left(2 - \frac{5}{(n-3)\log n}\right) - 2.$$

When  $c \neq 0$ , choosing  $\rho = 81.2$  we get

$$n \leq 810000.$$

6. The special case c = 0

If c = 0 then the relations  $\Lambda_2 = |a|\ell_2 - \log \delta'_2$ ,  $\ell_2 > 5/(n-3)$  and  $\log(1+1/n) < \log \delta'_2 < 1/(n-3)$  imply

$$|\Lambda_2| \ge \min\left\{\frac{5}{n+3} - \frac{1}{n-3}, \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n^2}\right\}$$

in contradiction with (9).

i = 3

Here j = 1 and k = 2, put a = -2b + c + 1 and rewrite  $\Lambda$  as

$$\Lambda_3 = \Lambda = \log \delta'_3 + c \log \left| \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1} \right| + b \log \left| \frac{\xi_1^2 \varepsilon_2}{\xi_2^2 \varepsilon_1} \right| = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

with

$$b_1 = |b|, \ \alpha_1 = \left|\frac{\xi_1^2 \varepsilon_2}{\xi_2^2 \varepsilon_1}\right|, \ b_2 = 1, \ \alpha_2 = \left|\delta'_3 (\xi_2/\xi_1)^c\right|^{\sigma} \text{ where } \sigma \in \{-1, +1\},$$

and where

$$\delta_3' = \frac{\xi_2(\xi_1 - \xi_3)}{\xi_1(\xi_2 - \xi_3)}.$$

Put  $\ell_3 = \log \alpha_1$ ,  $\ell'_3 = \log \alpha_2$  then

$$\Lambda_3 = |b|\ell_3 - \ell_3'$$

.

# 1. Estimating $\ell_3$

One can prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} < \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{3}{n^2}\right) < \ell_3 < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{4}{n^2}.$$

# 2. Estimating $\ell_3'$

One can also prove that

$$|c|\log(n+1) - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{5}{n^2} < \ell_3' < |c|\log(n+4) + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{5}{n^2}$$

As a consequence of the estimates of  $\ell_3,\,\ell_3'$  and  $|\Lambda|,$  we have

$$\frac{n}{1+4/n} \left( |c| \log(n+1) - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{5}{n^2} \right) < |b| < n \left( |c| \log(n+4) + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{5}{n^2} \right).$$

3. Estimating measures

One has

$$h(\delta_3) \le \log(n+2), \quad h(\xi_2/\xi_1) \le \frac{2}{3}\log(n+2), \quad h\left(\frac{\xi_1^2\varepsilon_2}{\xi_2^2\varepsilon_1}\right) \le \log n.$$

4. Application of Proposition 1

We take

$$h = \max\left\{5\lambda, \ 6\log\left(\frac{n(|c|\log(n+1)+1)}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1}\right) + \log\lambda + 1.56\right\}$$

and we can choose

$$a_{1} = \max\left\{2\lambda, \ \frac{1}{n}(\rho - 1) + 12\log(n + 4)\right\},\$$
$$a_{2} = \max\left\{2\lambda, \ \left(|c| + \frac{1}{n}\right)(\rho - 1)\log(n + 4) + 12\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}|c|\right)\log(n + 4)\right\}$$

By Proposition 1,

$$\log |\Lambda_3| \ge -L_3$$
, (say).

Maurice Mignotte

5. Upper bound on n

We have

$$\log |\Lambda_3| \le \frac{3A}{2} \frac{R}{\log(n+3)} + \frac{3}{2} \log(n+3) + 2.$$

We have seen that

$$|b| \ge \frac{n}{1+4/n} \left( |c| \log(n+1) - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{5}{n^2} \right),$$

this implies

$$A = |a| \ge |b| \left(2 - \frac{1 + 4/n}{n \log n}\right) - 2.$$

When  $c \neq 0$ , choosing  $\rho = 48.3$  we get

$$n \le 260000.$$

6. The special case c = 0

If c = 0 then b = -1 and a = 3, and

$$|y| = \left|\frac{\xi_1^3 \varepsilon_1^{-1} - \xi_2^3 \varepsilon_2^{-1}}{\xi_2 - \xi_1}\right| < \frac{(n+1+1/n)^2}{n+2 - 1/n} < n+2,$$

in contradiction with the hypothesis  $|y| \ge (n^2 - 3)/2$ .

Application of a theorem of M. Waldschmidt

Let  $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  be non-zero algebraic numbers and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$  be positive rational integers and suppose that the number

$$\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + b_n \log \alpha_n$$

is not zero. We apply a theorem of M. WALDSCHMIDT [W], Corollaire 1.5.

Put  $D = [Q(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) : Q]$  and  $g = [R(\log \alpha_1, \ldots, \log \alpha_n) : R]$ . For  $1 \le i \le n$ , let  $A_i > 1$  be real numbers such that  $\log A_i \ge h(\alpha_i)$ . Then the quoted result is the following:

**Proposition 2.** Let E and f be positive real numbers,  $E \ge e$  such that,

$$E \le \min\left\{A_1^D, \dots, A_n^D, \frac{nD}{f}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\log \alpha_i|}{|\log A_i|}\right)^{-1}\right\}.$$

Put

$$Z_0 = \max\left\{7 + 3\log n, \frac{g}{D}\log E, \log\left(\frac{D}{\log E}\right)\right\},$$
$$M = \max_{1 \le j < n} \left\{\frac{b_n}{\log A_j} + \frac{b_j}{\log A_n}\right\},$$
$$G_0 = \max\{4nZ_0, \log M\},$$
$$U_0 = \max\{D^2 \log A_1, \dots, D^2 \log A_n, D^{n+2}G_0Z_0 \log A_1 \cdots \dots \log A_n (\log E)^{-n-1}\}.$$

Then

$$|\Lambda| \ge \exp\{-1500 \, g^{-n-2} \, 2^{2n} \, n^{3n+5} (1+g/f)^n U_0\}.$$

In the present case we have three logarithms, D=6,~g=1 and, for  $n\geq 3$  (here n is again the parameter of our cubic equations), we can take

$$\log A_1 = \log(n+2), \quad \log A_2 = \log A_3 = \frac{2}{3}\log(n+2),$$

and

$$E = e, \quad f = 3/e, \quad Z_0 = 7 + 3\log 3, \quad G_0 = \max\{12Z_0, \log M\}.$$

A short computation shows that Proposition 2 implies

$$\log |\Lambda| > \begin{cases} -1.398 \times 10^{19} \times \log^3(n+2), & \text{if } \log M < 123.6, \\ -1.132 \times 10^{17} \times \log M \times \log^3(n+2), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can take

$$M = \frac{3}{2\log(n+2)} + \frac{A}{\log(n+2)} < \frac{A+2}{\log(n+2)}.$$

Using the upper bound (10) on  $\log |\Lambda|$  proved before (we get

$$\frac{3A}{2} \frac{R}{\log(n+3)} \le C \max\left\{123.6, \log\left(\frac{A+2}{\log(n+2)}\right)\right\} \times \log^3(n+2) + 2\log(n+3) + 3,$$

where  $C = 1.398 \times 10^{19}$ . Which gives the following upper bound for A in terms of n:

$$\begin{split} A &\leq \left(\frac{2C}{3} \max\left\{123.6, \log\left(\frac{A+2}{\log(n+2)}\right)\right\} \times \log^3(n+2) + 2\log(n+3) + 3\right) \\ &\qquad \times \frac{\log(n+3)}{R}. \end{split}$$

Using the upper bound on n, we find  $A < 1.1 \times 10^{23}$ .

## 4. Application of Diophantine approximation

We use the following lemma which is a variant of a result of Baker– Davenport.

**Lemma.** Let  $\Lambda = u\alpha + v\beta + \gamma$ , where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are nonzero real numbers and where u and v are rational integers, with  $|u| \leq A$ . Let Q > 0 be a real number. Suppose that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  satisfy

$$|\theta_1 - \alpha/\beta| < \frac{1}{100Q^2}, \text{ and } |\theta_2 - \gamma/\beta| < \frac{1}{Q^2}.$$

Let p/q be a rational number with  $1 \le q \le Q$  and  $|\theta_1 - p/q| < 1/q^2$  and suppose that  $q||q\theta_2|| \ge 1.01, A+2$ , [where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the distance to the nearest integer] then

$$|\Lambda| \ge \frac{|\beta|}{Q^2}.$$

PROOF. Put  $|\Lambda| = \eta$ , then

$$\left|q\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right| = \left|uq\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \theta_1\right) + u(q\theta_1 - p) + pu + vq + q\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \theta_2\right) + q\theta_2\right| = \frac{q\eta}{|\beta|}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} q \|q\theta_2\| &\leq q \left( \frac{q\eta}{|\beta|} + |uq| \left| \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \theta_1 \right| + \frac{|u|}{q} + q \left| \frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \theta_2 \right| \right) \\ &< \frac{q^2\eta}{|\beta|} + \frac{|u|q^2}{100Q^2} + |u| + \frac{q^2}{Q^2} \leq \frac{Q^2\eta}{|\beta|} + 1.01A + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which leads at once to the result.

We applied the above lemma for  $n \leq 150000$ ,  $n \leq 810000$  and  $n \leq 260000$  respectively in the three cases i = 1, 2 and 3. We found no non-trivial solution for  $n \geq 10$ . The verification took less than six hours on a DEC alpha Station 1000A.

Thus, we have proved the Theorem stated in the Introduction.

#### References

- [LMN] M. LAURENT, M. MIGNOTTE and Y. NESTERENKO, Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d'interpolation, J. Number Theory 55 (1995), 285–321.
- [MT] M. MIGNOTTE and N. TZANAKIS, On a family of cubic equations, J. Number Theory 44 (1992), 41–49.
- [P] A. PETHŐ, On the representation of 1 by binary cubic forms with positive discriminant, Proceedings of Coll. on Number Theory, Ulm 1987, Lecture Notes in Math., 1380, Springer, Berlin, 1989, 185–196.
- [T] E. THOMAS, Fondamental units for orders in certain cubic number fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 310 (1979), 33–55.
- [W] M. WALDSCHMIDT, Minorations de combinaisons linéaires de logarithmes de nombres algébriques, Canadian J. Math. 45 (1993), 176–224.

MAURICE MIGNOTTE UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE 7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES 67084, STRASBOURG FRANCE *E-mail*: mignotte@math.u-strasbg.fr

(Received September 28, 1998; revised March 16, 1999)