

## On a theorem of H. Daboussi

By K.-H. INDLEKOFER (Paderborn) and I. KÁTAI (Budapest)

**Abstract.** The main result is a generalization of Daboussi's theorem: If  $f$  is a uniformly summable multiplicative function with a void Bohr–Fourier spectrum, and if  $g$  is a  $q$ -multiplicative function with  $|g(n)| = 1$  for all  $n$ , then we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)g(n) = o(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

### 1. Introduction

Let  $e(\alpha) = \exp(2\pi i\alpha)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of natural numbers, integers, real and complex numbers, respectively.

Furthermore, let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Let  $q \geq 2$  and let  $n = \sum \varepsilon_j(n)q^j$  be the  $q$ -ary expansion of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with digits  $\varepsilon_j(n) \in \mathbb{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ . A function  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called  $q$ -multiplicative if  $g(0) = 1$ , and

$$g(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} g(\varepsilon_j(n)q^j).$$

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$  be the class of  $q$ -multiplicative functions with modulus 1: i.e.  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , if  $g$  is  $q$ -multiplicative and  $|g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ).

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11A63, 11N37.

*Key words and phrases:* uniformly summable functions,  $q$ -multiplicative functions, Bohr–Fourier spectrum.

Supported by WTZ-OMFB D-41/97, and by OTKA 2153.

Similarly,  $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $q$ -additive if  $f(0) = 0$ , and

$$f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(\varepsilon_j(n)q^j).$$

A sequence  $x_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of real numbers is said uniformly distributed mod 1, if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \# \{n \leq M \mid \{x_n\} \subseteq (\alpha, \beta]\} = \beta - \alpha,$$

for all  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ , where  $\{y\}$  denotes the fractional part of  $y$ .

A classical theorem of H. Weyl asserts that  $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 if and only if for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M e(kx_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

A function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called uniformly summable, if

$$C(K) := \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ |f(n)| \geq K}} |f(n)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } K \rightarrow \infty.$$

The notion of uniformly summable arithmetical functions was introduced and studied by K.-H. INDLEKOFER in [11]. The space of uniformly summable arithmetical functions can be considered as the closure of the  $l_1$  space.

Let  $f$  be a uniformly summable function. We say that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  belongs to its Bohr–Fourier spectrum, if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)e(-n\alpha) \right| > 0.$$

This notion originally was introduced for the space of almost periodic (arithmetical) functions and later extended to wider spaces.

According to a nice theorem of H. DABOUSSI [1], if  $f$  is a multiplicative function,  $|f(n)| \leq 1$ , then

$$(1.1) \quad x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)e(n\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

for each irrational  $\alpha$ .

There are several generalizations of this theorem. (See e.g. [2–9].)

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be that class of arithmetical functions  $t$ , for which for each  $K > 0$  there exist suitable prime numbers  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_R$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^R 1/p_j > K$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m < x} e(t(p_i m) - t(p_j m)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

for every  $i \neq j$ .

In our paper [7] we proved

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary uniformly summable multiplicative function,  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then*

$$\lim \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(t(n)) = 0.$$

In a recent paper [10] we proved the following theorem which we quote now as

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $1 \leq a < b$   $(a, b) = 1$   $(ab, q) = 1$ ,  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$ .*

*If*

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n < x} g(an) \bar{g}(bn) \right| > 0,$$

*then there exists such an  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 - e \left( \frac{-rcq^j}{b-a} \right) g(cq^j) \right) < \infty.$$

Hence, and from Theorem A we deduce

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $f$  is a uniformly summable multiplicative function,  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , and that*

$$\limsup_x \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) g(n) \right| > 0.$$

Then  $g(n)$  can be written as  $g(n) = e\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)h(n)$  with a suitable rational number  $\frac{r}{D}$  and with a function  $h \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$  for which

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \operatorname{Re}(1 - h(cq^j)) < \infty$$

holds.

If the Bohr–Fourier spectrum of  $f$  is empty, then

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)g(n) \rightarrow 0$$

for each  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$ .

*Remark.* Since  $e(\alpha n) \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , Theorem 1 contains the theorem of Daboussi.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us write  $g(n)$  as  $e(t(n))$  where  $t(cq^j) \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , and is extended as a  $q$ -additive function. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\|x\|$  the distance of  $x$  to the closest integer.

If  $p_1 \neq p_2$  primes,  $(p_1 p_2, q) = 1$ , then either (1.2) holds, or by Lemma 1 there exists an integer  $r = r(p_1, p_2)$ ,  $|r| \leq |p_2 - p_1|$ , such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \left\| \frac{rcq^j}{p_2 - p_1} - t(cq^j) \right\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is clear that no more than one rational number  $\frac{k}{l}$  may exist in  $[0, 1]$  for which

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \left\| \frac{k}{l} cq^j - t(cq^j) \right\|^2 < \infty.$$

Thus, either (1.2) holds for each prime pairs  $p_1, p_2 > q$ ,  $p_1 \neq p_2$ , or (2.1) holds. Then (1.4) holds with  $h(n) := e\left(-\frac{k}{l}n\right)g(n)$ .

Assume that

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e\left(\frac{k}{l}n\right) h(n) \right| > 0.$$

Let  $R \geq 1$  be an arbitrary integer,

$$h_R(n) = \prod_{j=0}^R h(\varepsilon_j(n)q^j), \quad s_R(n) = \prod_{j=R+1}^{\infty} h(\varepsilon_j(n)q^j).$$

Let  $\lambda(n)$  be defined as a  $q$ -additive function, where  $\lambda(cq^l)$  is defined as the fractional part of  $t(cq^l) - \frac{k}{l}cq^l$ .

Let

$$M_{R,N} := \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=R}^{R+N-1} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \lambda(cq^j)$$

$$D_{R,N}^2 = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=R}^{R+N-1} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \lambda^2(cq^j),$$

$\xi_{R,N} := e(M_{R,N})$ . Since  $|1 - e(\eta)| \leq c_1|\eta|$ , we have

$$\sum_{n < q^{R+N}} |1 - \bar{\xi}_{R,N} s_R(n)|^2 \leq cq^R \sum_{\nu < q^N} (\lambda(\nu q^R) - M_{R,N})^2.$$

We shall prove that the right hand side is less than  $c_2 q^{R+N} D_{R,N}^2$ . If we consider  $\lambda(\nu q^R) - M_{R,N}$  as a random variable defined on  $\nu \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^N - 1\}$ , then it is the sum of the independent random variables  $\eta_l$  ( $l = 0, \dots, N-1$ ), where

$$P(\eta_l = \lambda(cq^{l+R}) - m_l) = 1/q \quad (c \in \mathbb{A}), \quad m_l = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \lambda(cq^{l+R}).$$

Thus the right hand side is less than  $c_2 q^{R+N}$  times  $\sum D^2 \eta_l \leq c_2 D_{R,N}^2$ .

Here  $c_2$  is an absolute constant.

Since  $D_{R,N}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , if  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e\left(\frac{k}{l}n\right) h_R(n) \right| > 0$$

holds, if  $R$  is large enough.

Let us fix an  $R$  for which (2.3) holds. The function  $h_R(n)$  is periodic mod  $q^R$ , therefore it can be expanded in a finite Fourier series:

$$h_R(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{q^R-1} d_j e\left(\frac{jn}{q^R}\right).$$

Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e \left( \left( \frac{k}{l} + \frac{j}{q^R} \right) n \right) \right| > 0$$

for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, q^R - 1\}$ .

The theorem is proved.

### 3. Further remarks

From a theorem of Delange we know that for  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_q$  the mean value

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n < x} g(n)$$

tends to zero if and only if either

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} g(cq^j) = 0$$

for some  $j$ , or

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \operatorname{Re}(1 - g(cq^j)) = \infty.$$

Hence, by using Weyl's criterion, the following assertion which we state now as Lemma 2 follows easily:

**Lemma 2.** *A  $q$ -additive function  $\varphi : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 if and only if either for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists such a  $j$  for which*

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} e(k\varphi(cq^j)) = 0,$$

or

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \|\varphi(cq^j)\|^2 = \infty.$$

Hence we obtain

**Lemma 3.** For a  $q$ -additive function  $\varphi$  the sequence  $\varphi(nq^R)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) is uniformly distributed mod 1 for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , if and only if the sum

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} \|\varphi(cq^j)\|^2$$

is divergent.

PROOF. The divergence of (3.2) implies the uniform distribution mod 1 of  $\varphi(nq^R)$  for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Assume that (3.2) is convergent. Since  $\|\varphi(cq^j)\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ), therefore

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{A}} e(\varphi(cq^j)) = 0$$

cannot hold if  $j \geq R$ ,  $R$  is large enough.

For such an  $R$   $\varphi(nq^R)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) due to Lemma 2 cannot be uniformly distributed mod 1.  $\square$

From Theorem 1 we obtain immediately

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\varphi$  is  $q$ -additive and  $\varphi(nq^R)$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then for each additive function  $F(n)$ , the sequence

$$F(n) + \varphi(nq^R) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

is uniformly distributed mod 1 for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

### References

- [1] H. DABOUSSI and H. DELANGE, Quelques propriétés des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus égal à 1, *C.R. Acad. Paris, Sér. A* **278** (1974), 657–660.
- [2] H. DABOUSSI and H. DELANGE, On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose module does not exceed one, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **26** (1982), 245–264.
- [3] H. DABOUSSI and H. DELANGE, On a class of multiplicative functions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **49** (1985), 143–149.
- [4] K.-H. INDLEKOFER, Properties of uniformly summable functions, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **17** (1986), 143–161.
- [5] I. KÁTAI, A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hung.* **47** (1986), 223–225.
- [6] I. KÁTAI, Uniform distribution of sequences connected with arithmetical functions, *Acta Math. Hung.* **51** (1988), 401–408.

- [7] K.-H. INDLEKOFER and I. KÁTAI, Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, *Acta Math. Hung.* **54** (1989), 263–268.
- [8] H. L. MONTGOMERY and R. C. VAUGHAN, Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, *Invent. Math.* **43** (1977), 69–82.
- [9] H. DELANGE, Generalization of Daboussi's theorem, Topics in Classical Number Theory, Vol. I., II. (Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 34), *Budapest*, 1984, 305–318.
- [10] K.-H. INDLEKOFER and I. KÁTAI, Investigations in the theory of  $q$ -additive and  $q$ -multiplicative functions, I, *Acta Math. Hung.*, (*submitted*).
- [11] K.-H. INDLEKOFER, Remarks on a theorem of G. Halász, *Archív Math.* **36**, 145–151.

K.-H. INDLEKOFER  
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PADERBORN  
WARBURGER STRASSE 100  
33098 PADERBORN  
GERMANY

I. KÁTAI  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ALGEBRA  
LORÁND EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY  
H-1518 BUDAPEST P.O. BOX 32  
HUNGARY

*(Received May 10, 1999; revised October 28, 1999)*