

## On the Daróczy–Kátai–Birthday expansion

By MIN-YOUNG LEE (Seoul)

**Abstract.** The recently introduced Daróczy–Kátai–Birthday (DKB) expansion is a special Oppenheim expansion with fundamental inequality  $d_{n+1} \geq d_n^3 - d_n^2 + 1$  for the denominators. We refer to expansions in which ultimately equation holds in the fundamental inequality as series with minimal growth rate. It is shown that the minimum growth rate is the same magnitude as the growth rate valid with probability one. Furthermore, we establish the surprising result that, for almost all  $x$  in  $(0, 1)$ ,  $d_{n+1} \geq d_n^3 + \frac{d_n^3}{(\log d_n)^c}$  with some constant  $c > 0$ .

### Introduction

The Oppenheim series expansion of real numbers  $0 < x \leq 1$  is defined by the algorithm:  $d_n, n \geq 1$  are integers

$$(1) \quad x = x_1, \quad \frac{1}{d_n} < x_n \leq \frac{1}{d_n - 1}$$

and

$$(2) \quad x_{n+1} = \left( x_n - \frac{1}{d_n} \right) \frac{1}{r_n(d_n)},$$

where  $r_n(j) = \frac{h_n(j)}{j(j-1)}$ , and  $h_n(j) \geq 1$  is an integer valued function,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $j \geq 1$ . The integers  $d_n = d_n(x)$  are called the digits of the expansion, and they satisfy the fundamental inequality:

$$(3) \quad d_{n+1} \geq h_n(d_n) + 1, \quad n \geq 1.$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11J70, 11A67, 11K55.

*Key words and phrases:* series expansion, rational approximation, Oppenheim series, Daróczy–Kátai–Birthday expansion, growth rate of the denominator, metric results.

The theory of Oppenheim series was developed by J. GALAMBOS in a series of papers, which is presented in a unified way in the book GALAMBOS [1]; see particularly sections 1.3, 2.2, 5.3, and all sections of Chapter VI.

In a recent paper, GALAMBOS [2] introduced and studied the special case  $h_n(j) = j^2(j-1)$ , and called the resulting algorithm and series expansion Daróczy–Kátai-Birthday expansion, or DKB-expansion. Repeated applications of (1) and (2) lead to the series representation.

$$(4) \quad x = \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{d_1}{d_2} + \frac{d_1 d_2}{d_3} + \cdots + \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n}{d_{n+1}} + \cdots$$

in which (3) takes the form

$$(3a) \quad d_{n+1} \geq d_n^2(d_n - 1) + 1 = d_n^3 - d_n^2 + 1.$$

It also follows from the cited general theory of Oppenheim series that if  $x$  is given by a series of the form of (4) satisfying (3a) then (4) is produced by the algorithm (1) and (2). In particular, if at (4)  $d_n = V_n$  with  $V_{n_0}$  fixed,

$$(5) \quad V_{n+1} = V_n^3 - V_n^2 + 1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

and (3a) holds for  $n < n_0$ , then (4) is a DKB-expansion.

The sequence generated in (5) will be referred to as a DKB-series with minimum growth rate. In the present paper I study the sequence  $V_n$  of minimal growth rate as defined at (5) and compare with the result

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{3^n} = G(x), \quad G(x) > 0 \text{ finite,}$$

(see GALAMBOS [1], Theorem 6.13).

In contrast to the minimal growth for  $d_n$ , one can consider the extent to which the fundamental inequality (3a) governs the growth rate for almost all  $x$ . In this direction I shall show that, for almost all  $x$ ,

$$(7) \quad d_{n+1} \geq d_n^3 + \frac{d_n^3}{(\log d_n)^c} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0,$$

with some constant  $c = c(x) > 0$ . For proving (7), a general representation of  $d_{n+1}$ , as quoted in GALAMBOS [2] at (12), p. 380, will be the major tool, together with a Borel–Cantelli-type of argument. In all statements, probability is Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets of  $(0, 1]$ .

### The results and proofs

**Theorem 1.** *For the sequence  $V_n$  of integers, defined at (5) with  $n_0 = 1$  and  $V_1 = 2$ , we have, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^{-n} \log V_n < +\infty.$$

PROOF of Theorem 1. From

$$3^{-(n+1)} \log V_{n+1} - 3^{-n} \log V_n = 3^{-(n+1)} \log \left( 1 - \frac{V_n^2 - 1}{V_n^3} \right) < 0$$

it follows that  $3^{-n} \log V_n$  decreases for increasing  $n$  and converges to a positive limit  $V$ . Furthermore

$$V_{n+1} - \frac{2}{3} > \left( V_n - \frac{2}{3} \right)^3$$

so

$$\log V_n > \log \left( V_n - \frac{2}{3} \right) > 3^{n-k} \log \left( V_k - \frac{2}{3} \right) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Applying this formula  $k = 1$  we obtain

$$\log V_n > 3^n \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{4}{3}$$

so

$$V = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^{-n} \log V_n \geq \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{4}{3} > 0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For the next result I quote a representation of  $d_n$  from GALAMBOS [2], p. 380. The sequence  $d_n = d_n(x)$  has the same distributional properties as the stochastic sequence  $D_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , defined as  $D_1 = [\exp(x_1)] + 1$ , and

$$(8) \quad D_{n+1} = [(D_n^3 - D_n^2) \exp(x_{n+1})] + 1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are independent unit exponential variables, that is,  $F(x) = P(x_j \leq x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , where  $P$  is any probability measure on an arbitrary abstract probability space. The space, of course, can be chosen as Borel sets of  $(0, 1]$ ,  $P$  as Lebesgue measure and  $x_j = -\log u_j$ , where  $0 < u_j \leq 1$  are independent uniformly chosen points in  $(0, 1]$ . So, we can use the same underlying probability space for (8) as for (1) and (2).

**Theorem 2.** *For almost all  $x$ , there is an  $n_0 = n_0(x)$  such that*

$$d_{n+1} \geq d_n^3 + \frac{d_n^3}{(\log d_n)^c}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

with some constant  $c > 0$ .

PROOF of Theorem 2. In order to emphasize the role of the fundamental inequality (3a), we determine  $c_k = c_k(x)$  such that  $c_k$  is of smaller magnitude than  $d_n^3$  and

$$(9) \quad d_{k+1} \leq d_k^3 - d_k^2 + c_k + 1$$

should not hold for infinitely many  $k$ . We turn to (8), and calculate the probability of

$$(10) \quad D_{k+1} = [(D_k^3 - D_k^2) \exp(x_{k+1})] + 1 \leq D_k^3 - D_k^2 + c_k + 1.$$

From the above inequality we obtain

$$x_{k+1} \leq \log \left( 1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2} \right).$$

Since  $D_k$  is defined through (8) by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , the random variable  $D_k$  and  $x_{k+1}$  are independent. Consequently, upon conditioning on  $D_k$ ,  $x_{k+1}$  remains exponential, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} P \left( x_{k+1} \leq \log \left( 1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2} \right) \mid D_k = y \right) \\ = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\log \left( 1 + \frac{c_k}{y^3 - y^2} \right) \right\} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c_k}{y^3 - y^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us take expectations; we get

$$P \left( x_{k+1} \leq \log \left( 1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2} \right) \right) = E \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2}} \right).$$

But  $D_k$  and  $d_k$  are identically distributed, which entails

$$(11) \quad P \left( x_{k+1} \leq \log \left( 1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2} \right) \right) = E \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c_k}{d_k^3 - d_k^2}} \right).$$

Let us choose  $c_k = \frac{d_k^3}{(\log d_k)^c}$ , with some  $c > 0$ . Then (11) implies

$$P\left(x_{k+1} \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2}\right)\right) = E\left(\frac{c_k}{d_k^3 - d_k^2}(1 + o(1))\right),$$

and thus

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} P\left(x_{k+1} \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{c_k}{D_k^3 - D_k^2}\right)\right) = E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c_k}{d_k^3 - d_k^2}(1 + o(1))\right\},$$

where we interchanged summation and taking expectation on the right hand side (allowed because the terms are positive) and we note that the error terms  $o(1)$  are irrelevant for our purposes since our only aim with (12) is to establish that the right hand side of (12) is finite (so, the boundedness of  $o(1)$  suffices for us). Now, if we put

$$a_k = \frac{c_k}{d_k^3 - d_k^2} = \frac{d_k^3}{(d_k^3 - d_k^2)(\log d_k)^c},$$

we apply the ratio test. We have from (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} &= \frac{c_{k+1}}{d_{k+1}^3 - d_{k+1}^2} \frac{d_k^3 - d_k^2}{c_k} \\ &= \frac{1 - \frac{1}{d_k}}{1 - \frac{1}{d_{k+1}}} \left(\frac{\frac{\log d_k}{3^k}}{\frac{\log d_{k+1}}{3^{k+1}}}\right)^c \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^c \longrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^c < 1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This rate of convergence guarantees not only that the sum on the right hand side of (12) converges but that its integral (expectation) is finite as well. We thus have that the left hand side of (12) is finite, implying via the Borel–Cantelli lemma that, with our choice of  $c_k$ , (10) can occur only a finite number of times with probability one. Since  $D_k$  and  $d_k$  are identically distributed, the theorem is established since

$$d_{k+1} \geq d_k^3 - d_k^2 + c_k + 1$$

with some  $c > 0$  in  $c_k = \frac{d_k^3}{(\log d_k)^c}$  implies that

$$d_{k+1} \geq d_k^3 + \frac{d_k^3}{(\log d_k)^c}$$

with another  $c > 0$ .

*Acknowledgement.* I wish to thank the referee for careful reading of the first version of the manuscript, and for his pointing out an error in that form.

### References

- [1] J. GALAMBOS, Representations of real numbers by infinite series, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 502, *Springer, Heidelberg*, 1976.
- [2] J. GALAMBOS, Further metric results on series expansion, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen* **52** (1998), 377–384.

MIN-YOUNG LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
DANKOOK UNIVERSITY  
CHEONAN 330-714, CHUNGNAM  
KOREA

*E-mail:* mylee@anseo.dankook.ac.kr

*(Received April 2, 1999; revised October 18, 1999)*