

## A note on spheres in a Euclidean space

By SHAREIF DESHMUKH (Riyadh)

**Abstract.** For an orientable compact and connected positively curved hypersurface in the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with scalar curvature  $S$ , shape operator  $A$  and mean curvature  $\alpha$ , it is shown that the inequality

$$\|A\|^2 S \geq \frac{1}{2} \|R\|^2 + \|Q\|^2 + 2n(n-1) \|\nabla\alpha\|^2$$

implies that the hypersurface is a sphere, where  $\nabla\alpha$  is the gradient of  $\alpha$ , and  $\|R\|$ ,  $\|Q\|$  are the lengths of the curvature tensor field  $R$ , the Ricci operator  $Q$  of the hypersurface respectively.

### 1. Introduction

The class of positively curved compact hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$  is quite large and therefore it is an interesting question in Geometry to obtain conditions which characterize the spheres in this class. We denote by  $R$  and  $\text{Ric}$  the curvature tensor field and the Ricci curvature tensor field of the hypersurface  $M$  of the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . The Ricci operator  $Q$  is defined as  $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $g$  is the induced metric and  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . For a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  on  $M$  the lengths  $\|R\|$  and  $\|Q\|$  are respectively given by  $\|R\|^2 = \sum_{ijk} \|R(e_i, e_j)e_k\|^2$ ,

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C40.

*Key words and phrases:* orientable, positively curved hypersurface, shape operator, mean curvature.

$\|Q\|^2 = \sum_{ij} g(Qe_i, e_j)^2$ . Let  $A$  be the shape operator of the hypersurface,  $\alpha$  its mean curvature and  $S$  be its scalar curvature. An interesting question in Geometry is using the invariants  $\alpha$ ,  $S$ ,  $\|A\|$ ,  $\|R\|$ ,  $\|Q\|$  of the hypersurface, how to characterize the spheres in  $R^{n+1}$ ? For instance, a sphere  $S^n(c)$  in  $R^{n+1}$ , satisfies the equality

$$\|A\|^2 S = \frac{1}{2} \|R\|^2 + \|Q\|^2 + 2n(n-1) \|\nabla\alpha\|^2$$

$\nabla\alpha$  being the gradient of the mean curvature  $\alpha$ . This raises a question, does a compact hypersurface satisfying above equality necessarily a sphere? In this paper we show that the answer is in affirmative for positively curved hypersurfaces, and indeed we prove the following:

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable compact and connected positively curved hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If the scalar curvature  $S$ , the shape operator  $A$ , the mean curvature  $\alpha$ , the curvature tensor field  $R$  and the Ricci operator  $Q$  of  $M$  satisfy*

$$\|A\|^2 S \geq \frac{1}{2} \|R\|^2 + \|Q\|^2 + 2n(n-1) \|\nabla\alpha\|^2,$$

*then  $\alpha$  is a constant and  $M = S^n(\alpha^2)$ .*

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an orientable hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . We denote the induced metric on  $M$  by  $g$ . Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  be the Euclidean connection and  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection on  $M$  with respect to the induced metric  $g$ . Let  $N$  be the unit normal vector field and  $A$  be the shape operator. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas for the hypersurface are

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -AX, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . We also have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations

$$R(X, Y)Z = g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY \quad (2.2)$$

$$(\nabla A)(X, Y) = (\nabla A)(Y, X), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (2.3)$$

where  $R$  is the curvature tensor field of the hypersurface and  $(\nabla A)(X, Y) = \nabla_X AY - A\nabla_X Y$ . The mean curvature  $\alpha$  of the hypersurface is given by  $n\alpha = \sum_i g(Ae_i, e_i)$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ . If  $A = \lambda I$  holds for a constant  $\lambda$ , then the hypersurface is said to be totally umbilical. The square of the length of the shape operator  $A$  is given by

$$\|A\|^2 = \sum_{ij} g(Ae_i, e_j)^2 = \text{tr}.A^2.$$

From equation (2.2) we get the following expression for the Ricci tensor field

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = n\alpha g(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY). \quad (2.4)$$

The scalar curvature  $S$  of the hypersurface is given by

$$S = n^2\alpha^2 - \|A\|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

The Ricci operator  $Q$  is the symmetric operator  $Q : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  defined by  $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then from equation (2.4) we get

$$Q = n\alpha A - A^2. \quad (2.6)$$

### 3. Some lemmas

Let  $M$  be a hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$  and  $\nabla\alpha$  be the gradient of the mean curvature function  $\alpha$ . Then we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a local orthonormal frame on the hypersurface  $M$ . Then*

$$\sum_i (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i) = n\nabla\alpha.$$

The proof is straightforward and follows from the symmetry of  $A$  and the equation (2.3).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$ . Then the length  $\|R\|$  of the curvature tensor field of the hypersurface  $M$  is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|R\|^2 = \|A\|^4 - \|A^2\|^2.$$

The proof follows immediately from equation (2.2).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$ . Then the length  $\|Q\|$  of the Ricci operator  $Q$  of the hypersurface  $M$  is given by*

$$\|Q\|^2 = n^2 \alpha^2 \|A\|^2 + \|A^2\|^2 - 2n\alpha(\text{tr}.A^3)$$

The proof follows immediately from the equation (2.6).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\|\nabla A\|^2 \geq n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2,$$

where  $\|\nabla A\|^2 = \sum_{ij} \|(\nabla A)(e_i, e_j)\|^2$  for a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  on  $M$ , moreover for a positively curved  $M$  if the equality holds then  $M$  is totally umbilical.

PROOF. Define an operator  $B : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  by  $B = A - \alpha I$ . Then we have

$$(\nabla B)(X, Y) = (\nabla A)(X, Y) - (X\alpha)Y,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla B\|^2 &= \|\nabla A\|^2 + n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 - 2 \sum_{ij} g((\nabla A)(e_i, e_j), e_j) g(\nabla \alpha, e_i) \\ &= \|\nabla A\|^2 + n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 - 2 \sum_j g(\nabla \alpha, (\nabla A)(e_j, e_j)) \\ &= \|\nabla A\|^2 - n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\|\nabla A\|^2 \geq n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2$ . The equality holds if and only if  $\nabla B = 0$ . If  $M$  is positively curved, then in this case we shall have  $B = \lambda I$  for some constant  $\lambda$  (as  $M$  is irreducible being positively curved). However  $B = A - \alpha I$  gives that  $\text{tr}.B = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda = 0$  and consequently  $B = 0$  that is  $A = \alpha I$  and thus  $M$  is totally umbilical ( $\alpha = \text{a constant}$ , follows from equation (2.3) and  $\dim M \geq 2$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable compact hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . Then*

$$\int_M \left( \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla\alpha), Ae_i) \right) dV = -n \int_M \|\nabla\alpha\|^2 dV$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ .

PROOF. Choosing a point wise covariant constant local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  on  $M$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A(\nabla\alpha)) &= \sum_i e_i g(\nabla\alpha, Ae_i) \\ &= \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla\alpha), Ae_i) + \sum_i g(\nabla\alpha, (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i)) \\ &= \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla\alpha), Ae_i) + n \|\nabla\alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equation we get the lemma.  $\square$

We define the second covariant derivative  $(\nabla^2 A)(X, Y, Z)$  as

$$(\nabla^2 A)(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X(\nabla A)(Y, Z) - A(\nabla_X Y, Z) - A(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$

then using the Ricci identity we get

$$(\nabla^2 A)(X, Y, Z) - (\nabla^2 A)(Y, X, Z) = R(X, Y)AZ - AR(X, Y)Z. \quad (3.1)$$

#### 4. Proof of the theorem

Let  $M$  be an orientable compact and connected hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . Define a function  $f : M \rightarrow R$  by  $f = \frac{1}{2} \|A\|^2$ . Then by a straightforward computation we get the Laplacian  $\Delta f$  of the smooth function  $f$  as

$$\Delta f = \|\nabla A\|^2 + \sum_{ij} g((\nabla^2 A)(e_j, e_j, e_i), Ae_i) \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is local orthonormal frame on  $M$ .

Using the equation (2.3), we arrive at

$$g((\nabla^2 A)(e_j, e_j, e_i), Ae_i) = g((\nabla^2 A)(e_j, e_i, e_j), Ae_i).$$

Now using the Ricci identity (3.1) in above equation we get

$$g((\nabla^2 A)(e_j, e_j, e_i), Ae_i) = g((\nabla^2 A)(e_i, e_j, e_j), Ae_i) + g(R(e_j, e_i)Ae_j, Ae_i) - g(R(e_j, e_i)e_j, A^2e_i).$$

Thus in light of this equation the equation (4.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= \|\nabla A\|^2 + \sum_{ij} g((\nabla^2 A)(e_i, e_j, e_j), Ae_i) \\ &+ \sum_{ij} [g(R(e_j, e_i)Ae_j, Ae_i) - g(R(e_j, e_i)e_j, A^2e_i)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\sum_j (\nabla^2 A)(e_i, e_j, e_j) = n\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla\alpha). \quad (4.3)$$

Now we use equations (2.2) and (2.4) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{ij} [g(R(e_j, e_i)Ae_j, Ae_i) - g(R(e_j, e_i)e_j, A^2e_i)] \\ &= \|A^2\|^2 - \|A\|^4 - [\|A^2\|^2 - n\alpha(\text{tr}.A^3)] = n\alpha(\text{tr}.A^3) - \|A\|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Using this last equation together with (4.3) in (4.2), we arrive at

$$\Delta f = \|\nabla A\|^2 + n \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla\alpha), Ae_i) + n\alpha(\text{tr}.A^3) - \|A\|^4.$$

Integrating this equation and using Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5 and equation (2.5) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M \left\{ \left[ \|\nabla A\|^2 - n\|\nabla\alpha\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2}\|A\|^2 S \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left( \|Q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|R\|^2 + 2n(n+1)\|\nabla\alpha\|^2 \right) \right\} dV = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The condition in the statement of the theorem together with Lemma 3.4 and above equation yields

$$\|\nabla A\|^2 = n \|\nabla \alpha\|^2.$$

Since  $M$  is positively curved, the above equality again in view of Lemma 3.4 gives that  $M$  is totally umbilical hypersurface of  $R^{n+1}$  and thus the theorem is proved.

### References

- [1] B. Y. CHEN, Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type, *World Scientific*, 1983.
- [2] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations in Differential Geometry, *Wiley-Interscience, New York*, 1969.

SHARIEF DESHMUKH  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE  
KING SAUD UNIVERSITY  
P.O. BOX # 2455, RIYADH-11451  
SAUDI ARABIA

*E-mail:* shariefd@ksu.edu.sa

*(Received August 12, 2002; accepted February 19, 2003)*