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#### Abstract

By solving several functional inequalities we characterize some $t$-norms dominating quasiarithmetic means.


In the framework of the theory of probabilistic metric spaces [7] several studies concerning geometrical properties of associative functions have been made in recent years. The aim of this paper is to analize some dominance relations between Archimedean $t$-norms and quasiarithmetic means. We begin with the following

Definition 1. A $t$-norm is a two-place function $T$ from $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ into $[0,1]$ such that $T$ is associative, commutative, nondecreasing in each place and with 1 as a unit. A $t$-norm $T$ is Archimedean if it is continuous and $T(x, x)<x$ for all $x$ in $(0,1)$.

Archimedean $t$-norms have been characterized in [5] where the following representation is given:

Theorem 1. A $t$-norm $T$ is Archimedean and satisfies $T(x, x)>0$ for all $0<x<1$ if and only if it admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y)=t^{-1}(t(x)+t(y)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ (the additive generator of $T$ ) is a continuous strictly decreasing function from $[0,1]$ onto $[0,+\infty]$ such that $t(1)=0$ and $t(0)=+\infty$.

Definition 2. A quasiarithmetic mean on $[0,1]$ is a two place function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, y)=h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(x)+h(y)}{2}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \operatorname{Max}(h(0), h(1))]$ is a continuous bijection. If $h(0)=0$ and $h(1)=1$ then $M$ will be said to be of sum type (e.g.
$\left.h(x)=x, M(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$. If $h(0)=+\infty$ and $h(1)=0$, then $M$ will be said to be of product type (e.g. $h(x)=-\log x, M(x, y)=\sqrt{x y})$.

Finally, following [7] we recall
Definition 3. Given two binary operations $K, G$ in $[0,1], K$ dominates $G$ if for all $a, b, c, d$ in $[0,1]$ we have

$$
K(G(a, b), G(c, d)) \geq G(K(a, c), K(b, d))
$$

Our chief concern here is to study when $t$-norms $T$ dominate quasiarithmetic means $M$ either of sum or product type, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(M(a, b), M(c, d)) \geq M(T(a, c), T(b, d)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d$ in $[0,1]$.
When $M$ is of sum type then we can show the following
Theorem 2. For any given quasiarithmetic mean $M$ of sum type, Min is the only continuous $t$-norm dominating $M$.

Proof. If a continuous $t$-norm $T$ dominates $M$ as given by (2) with $h(0)=0, h(1)=1$ and $h$ is increasing then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right), h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(c)+h(d)}{2}\right)\right) \geq  \tag{4}\\
& \geq h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(T(a, c))+h(T(b, d))}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we define $H(x, y)=h\left(T\left(h^{-1}(x), h^{-1}(y)\right)\right)$ then $H$ is a continuous $t$-norm which by (4) necessarily is mid-point strictly concave, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) \geq \frac{H(x, u)+H(y, v)}{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the continuity of $H$ condition (5) yields full concavity and therefore, since $H \leq$ Min,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=\operatorname{Min}(x, x) \geq H(x, x)=H(x \cdot 1+ & (1-x) \cdot 0, x \cdot 1+(1-x) \cdot 0) \geq \\
& \geq x H(1,1)+(1-x) H(0,0)=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $H(x, x)=x$ and therefore $T(x, x)=x$ and deduce that $T=$ Min.
Now we turn our attention to the dominance in the case that $M$ is of product type.

Theorem 3. An Archimedean $t$-norm $T$ dominates a quasiarithmetic mean $M$ of product type if and only if $T$ is strictly increasing in each place on $(0,1] \times(0,1]$ and has the form $T(x, y)=h^{-1}(F(h(x), h(y)))$ where $F$ is a continuous convex associative operation on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, non-decreasing in each place.

Proof. If $T$ is an Archimedean $t$-norm that dominates a quasiarithmetic mean $M$ of product type and $T(x, x)=0$ for some $0<x<1$ then choosing $0<a<1$ such that $M(a, 1)=x$, by (3) we have the contradiction

$$
0=T(x, x)=T(M(a, 1), M(a, 1)) \geq M(T(a, 1), T(1, a))=M(a, a)=a
$$

By Theorem $1 T$ is representable in the form $T(x, y)=t^{-1}(t(x)+t(y))$. In this last case we will have that $T(x, y)=h^{-1}(F(h(x), h(y)))$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=f^{-1}(f(x)+f(y)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is generated by $f=t \circ h^{-1}$. Then (3) is equivalent to the convexity of $F$.
In view of the previous result we will turn now our attention to the characterization of convex associative functions on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$of the form (6) with $f(0)=0$ and $f$ strictly increasing. While, in general, it is not possible to find from the convexity of $F$ an equivalent condition for $f$ involving two variables, if some regularity of $f$ is assumed then it is possible to find a suitable characterization.

Theorem 4. Let $F$ be a binary operation on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$representable in the form (6) where the additive generator $f:[0,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ is such that $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ exist and are continuous functions on $(0,+\infty)$ with $f^{\prime}(x), f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x>0$. Then $F$ is convex if and only if there exist a continuous nondrecreasing and superadditive function $S:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
f^{-1}(x)=\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{u} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right] d u
$$

where $k$ is an arbitrary positive constant.
Proof. By the differentiability conditions assumed on $f$ we have that $F$ is twice differentiable on $(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}(x, y)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))}-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(F(x, y))}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))^{3}}  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}(x, y)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(y)}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))}-\frac{f^{\prime}(y)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(F(x, y))}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))^{3}}  \tag{8}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)=-\frac{f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime}(y) f^{\prime \prime}(F(x, y))}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))^{3}} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $F$ is convex then at any point the Hessian matrix of $F$ will be positive semidefinite, i.e., we will have, for all $x, y>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}(x, y)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}(x, y)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)\right)^{2} \geq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (7), (8) and (9) we obtain from (10) that the function $f$ will necessarily satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)^{2}} \geq \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(F(x, y))}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(y)}{f^{\prime}(x)^{2} f^{\prime}(y)^{2}} \geq\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(y)}{f^{\prime}(y)^{2}}\right) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(F(x, y))}{f^{\prime}(F(x, y))^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we introduce the variables $u=f(x), v=f(y)$ and the function $g:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
g(z)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{-1}(z)\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(z)\right)^{2}}
$$

then (11) and (12) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u) \geq g(u+v) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u) g(v) \geq(g(u)+g(v)) g(u+v) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v$ in $(0,+\infty)$. Since $F$ is convex, in particular we have

$$
F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)<\frac{F(x, y)+F(y, x)}{2}=F(x, y)
$$

and therefore $f$ is convex. Thus by the assumption $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ on $(0,+\infty)$ we deduce $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ and $g(x)>0$ for all $x>0$. Consequently by (13) and (14) we have that $g$ is non-increasing and

$$
\frac{1}{g(u+v)} \geq \frac{1}{g(u)}+\frac{1}{g(v)}
$$

i.e., $S(x)=\frac{1}{g(x)}$ is superadditive and non-decreasing. Moreover by the definition of $g$ we obtain by simple integration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{S(t)}=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{-1}(t)\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(t)\right)^{2}} d t=\log \frac{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(1)\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if we call $k=f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(1)\right)>0$, (15) may be rewritten in the form:

$$
\frac{1}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)}=\frac{1}{k} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right]
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d u}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(u)\right)}=\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{u} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right] d u \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely if $f^{-1}$ is given by (16) with $S(x)>0, S$ non-decreasing and superadditive, we obtain immediately that $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(x)=$ $\frac{1}{k} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right]$ and consequently $f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ in $(0,+\infty)$. Therefore $f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)=k \exp \left[\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right]$ yields $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in $(0,+\infty)$. Since $S(x)>0$ and $\frac{1}{S(x)}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)^{2}}$ we necessarily have $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ on $(0,+\infty)$. Finally, our assumptions on $S$ imply that $g(x)=1 / S(x)$ will satisfy (13) and (14). Thus (10) holds and $F$ is convex.

Example 1. If we consider $S(x)=\frac{c}{c-1} x$, with $c>1$, that verifies the hypothesis of theorem 4, we obtain the convex binary operation $F(x, y)=\left(x^{c}+y^{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}}$. Such functions have Gauss curvature zero and were characterized in [3].

Thus we have
Theorem 5. Let $T$ be a continuous $t$-norm generated by $t:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty]$ and $M$ a quasiarithmetic mean of product type generated by $h$. Assume that $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}, h^{\prime}, h^{\prime \prime}$ exist and are continuous on $(0,1)$ with $t^{\prime}(x)$, $h^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in $(0,1)$. Then $T$ dominates $M$ if and only if:

$$
t^{-1}(x)=h^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{u} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right] d u\right]
$$

where $S:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuous, non-decreasing, superadditive and $k>0$

Corollary 1. If $M(x, y)=\sqrt{x y}$, i.e., $h(x)=-\log x$, then a $t$-norm $T$ such that $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$ exist, are continuous and $t^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in $(0,1)$, verifies

$$
T(\sqrt{a b}, \sqrt{c d})>\sqrt{T(a, c), T(b, d)}
$$

if and only if

$$
t^{-1}(x)=\exp -\left[\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{u} \frac{d t}{S(t)}\right] d u\right]
$$

where $S:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuous, non-decreasing, superadditive and $k>0$.

Remark. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be defined by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\log \frac{2}{2-x}, & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\log 2-\frac{1}{2}, & \text { if } x \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Then $f(0)=0$ and $f$ is strictly increasing and strictly convex. Nevertheless the function $F(x, y)=f^{-1}(f(x)+f(y))$ cannot be convex because, e.g., a straigthforward computation shows that the Hessian matrix of $F$ in the point $\left(\frac{\log 2}{2}, \frac{\log 2}{2}\right)$ is not positive semidefinite. Thus the strict convexity of the generator does not imply (even with smooth properties) the strict convexity of $F$.

To end we must mention that inequalities related to $t$-norms dominated by quasiarithmetic means have been studied previously. It is remarkable, for example that, as shown in [2] smooth convex $t$-norms do not exist. This situation clearly contrasts with the case $\mathbb{R}^{+}$studied here in Theorem 3.
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