

## On conformally flat special quasi Einstein manifolds

By U. C. DE (Kalyani) and GOPAL CHANDRA GHOSH (Kalyani)

**Abstract.** The object of the present paper is to study conformally flat special quasi Einstein manifold.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of quasi Einstein manifold was introduced by M. C. CHAKI and R. K. MAITY [1]. A non-flat Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n > 2$ ) is defined to be a quasi Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor  $S$  of type  $(0, 2)$  is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = a g(X, Y) + b A(X)A(Y) \quad (1)$$

where  $a, b$  are scalars of which  $b \neq 0$  and  $A$  is a non-zero 1-form such that

$$g(X, \rho) = A(X) \quad (2)$$

for all vector fields  $X$ ;  $\rho$  being a unit vector field. If  $b = 0$ , then the manifold reduces to an Einstein manifold. In such a case  $a, b$  are called associated scalars,  $A$  is called the associated 1-form and  $\rho$  is called the generator of the manifold. An  $n$ -dimensional manifold of this kind is denoted by the symbol  $(QE)_n$ . Throughout this paper we assume that the associated scalar  $a$  is constant. We call such a quasi Einstein manifold a special quasi Einstein manifold and such a manifold is denoted by  $S(QE)_n$ .

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C25.

*Key words and phrases:* special quasi Einstein manifold, conformally flat manifold.

The object of the present paper is to study conformally flat special quasi Einstein manifold. In Section 2, it is shown that a conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  is of quasi-constant curvature [2] and such a manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of KAGAN [3]. Also it is shown that a conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  can be expressed as a warped product  $I X_{eq} M^*$  where  $M^*$  is an Einstein manifold. The notion of a special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of a subprojective manifold [4] was introduced by CHEN and YANO [5]. In Section 4 of this paper it is shown that a conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  satisfying  $a(n-2) - b > 0$  is a special conformally flat manifold. Furthermore using a theorem of Chen's and Yano's paper referred to above, it is shown that a simply connected conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  ( $n > 3$ ) satisfying  $a(n-2) - b > 0$  can be isometrically immersed in an Euclidean space  $E^{n+1}$  as a hypersurface.

## 2. Conformally flat $S(QE)_n$

In this section we assume that the manifold  $S(QE)_n$  is conformally flat. Then  $div C = 0$  where  $C$  denotes the Weyl's conformal curvature tensor and 'div' denotes divergence.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y, X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [g(Y, Z) dr(X) - g(X, Y) dr(Z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Contracting (1) we get

$$r = an + b. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2) it follows that

$$dr(X) = db(X), \quad \text{since } a \text{ is a constant.} \quad (2.3)$$

(1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) &= db(Z)A(X)A(Y) \\ &+ b[(\nabla_Z A)(X)A(Y) + A(X)(\nabla_Z A)(Y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Substituting (2.4) in (2.1) and using (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & dr(X)A(Z)A(Y) + b[(\nabla_X A)(Z)A(Y) + A(Z)(\nabla_X A)(Y)] \\ & \quad - dr(Z)A(Y)A(X) - b[(\nabla_Z A)(Y)A(X) + A(Y)(\nabla_Z A)(X)] \quad (2.5) \\ & = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)dr(X) - g(X, Y)dr(Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Puttint  $Y = Z = e_i$  in the above expression where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2}dr(X) = dr(\rho)A(X) + b(\nabla_\rho A)(X) + b(\nabla_{e_i} A)(e_i)A(X). \quad (2.6)$$

Again putting  $Y = Z = \rho$  in (2.5) yields

$$b(\nabla_\rho A)(X) = \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)}[dr(X) - dr(\rho)A(X)]. \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.7) in (2.6) we get

$$\frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}dr(X) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}dr(\rho)A(X) + b(\nabla_{e_i} A)(e_i)A(X) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Now putting  $X = \rho$  in (2.6) yields

$$b(\nabla_{e_i} A)(e_i) = -\frac{1}{2}dr(\rho). \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that

$$dr(X) = dr(\rho)A(X). \quad (2.10)$$

Putting  $Y = \rho$  in (2.5) and using (2.10) we obtain

$$(\nabla_Z A)(X) - (\nabla_X A)(Z) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

which implies that the 1-form  $A$  is closed. From (2.7) we get by virtue of (2.10)

$$(\nabla_\rho A)(Z) = 0, \quad \text{since } b \neq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Now we consider the scalar function

$$f = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{dr(\rho)}{b}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_X f = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{dr(\rho)}{b^2} dr(X) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)b} d^2r(\rho, X). \quad (2.13)$$

On the otherhand, (2.10) implies

$$d^2r(Y, X) = d^2r(\rho, Y)A(X) + dr(\rho)(\nabla_X A)(Y)$$

from which we get

$$d^2r(\rho, Y)A(X) = d^2r(\rho, X)A(Y). \quad (2.14)$$

Putting  $X = \rho$  in (2.14) it follows that

$$d^2(\rho, Y) = d^2r(\rho, \rho)A(Y) = hA(Y), \quad \text{where } h \text{ is a scalar function.}$$

Thus

$$\nabla_X f = \mu A(X) \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\mu = \frac{1}{2(n-1)b} [h + \frac{dr(\rho)}{b} dr(\rho)]$ , using (2.10).

Using (2.15) it is easy to show that  $\omega(X) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{dr(\rho)}{b} A(X) = f A(X)$  is closed. In fact,

$$d\omega(X, Y) = 0.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & b[A(Z)(\nabla_X A)(Y) - A(X)(\nabla_Z A)(Y)] \\ &= \frac{dr(\rho)}{2(n-1)} [g(Y, Z)A(X) - g(X, Y)A(Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now putting  $Z = \rho$  in the above expression yields

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{dr(\rho)}{b} [A(X)A(Y) - g(X, Y)]. \quad (2.16)$$

Thus (2.16) can be written as follows:

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y) = -fg(X, Y) + \omega(X)A(Y) \quad (2.17)$$

where  $\omega$  is closed. But this means that the vector field  $\rho$  corresponding to the 1-form  $A$  defined by  $g(X, \rho) = A(X)$  is a proper concircular vector field ([4], [6]).

Hence we can state the following:

**Theorem 1.** *In a conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  ( $n > 3$ ), the vector field  $\rho$  defined by  $g(X, \rho) = A(X)$  is a proper concircular vector field.*

It is known [3] that if a conformally flat manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n > 3$ ) admits a proper concircular vector field, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan. Since a conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  admits a proper concircular vector field, namely the vector field  $\rho$ , we can state as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *A conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan.*

K. YANO [7] proved that in order that a Riemannian space admits a concircular vector field, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a coordinate system with respect to which the fundamental quadratic differential form may be written in the form

$$ds^2 = (dx^1)^2 + e^q g_{\alpha\beta}^* dx^\alpha dx^\beta$$

where  $g_{\alpha\beta}^* = g_{\alpha\beta}^*(x^\gamma)$  are the functions of  $x^\gamma$  only ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ) and  $q = q(x^1) \neq \text{constant}$  is a function of  $x^1$  only. Thus if a  $S(QE)_n$  is conformally flat i.e., if it satisfies (2.1), it is a warped product  $IX_{e^q}M^*$ , where  $(M^*, g^*)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. A. GEBAROWSKI [8] proved that warped product  $IX_{e^q}M^*$  satisfies (2.1) if and only if  $M^*$  is an Einstein manifold. Thus if  $S(QE)_n$  satisfies (2.1), it must be a warped product  $IX_{e^q}M^*$  where  $M^*$  is an Einstein manifold.

Thus we can state the following result:

**Theorem 3.** *A conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  ( $n > 3$ ) can be expressed as a warped product  $IX_{e^q}M^*$  where  $M^*$  is an Einstein manifold.*

A conformally flat manifold  $(M^n, g)$  is said to be of quasi-constant curvature ([2]) if the curvature tensor  $'R$  of type (0, 4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 'R(X, Y, Z, W) = & p[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ & + q[g(X, W)T(Y)T(Z) - g(X, Z)T(Y)T(W)] \quad (2.18) \\ & + g(Y, Z)T(X)T(W) - g(Y, W)T(X)T(Z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are differentiable functions and the vector field corresponding to the 1-form  $T$  is a unit vector and  $'R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$ .

Since the manifold is conformally flat, the curvature tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}'R(X, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{1}{(n-2)}[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + S(X, W)g(Y, Z) - S(Y, W)g(X, Z)] \\ &\quad + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Hence by virtue of (1) we can express (2.19) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}'R(X, Y, Z, W) &= \left( \frac{2a}{n-2} + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) \\ &\quad \times [g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{n-2}[g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \\ &= p[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ &\quad + q[g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = \frac{2a}{n-2} + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}$  and  $q = \frac{b}{n-2}$ .

Hence we can state the following:

**Theorem 4.** *A conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  ( $n > 3$ ) is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature.*

### 3. Special conformally flat $S(QE)_n$ ( $n > 3$ )

The notion of a special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of subprojective manifold was introduced by CHEN and YANO [5]. According to them a conformally flat manifold is said to be a special conformally flat manifold if the tensor  $H$  of type  $(0, 2)$  defined by

$$H(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)}S(X, Y) + \frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)}g(X, Y) \quad (3.1)$$

is expressible in the form

$$H(X, Y) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}g(X, Y) + \beta(X\alpha)(Y\alpha) \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two scalars such that  $\alpha$  is positive. In virtue of (1) we can express (3.1) as

$$H(X, Y) = \left[ -\frac{a}{n-2} + \frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \right] g(X, Y) - \frac{b}{n-2} A(X)A(Y). \tag{3.3}$$

We now put

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{2a}{n-2} - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \frac{a(n-2) - b}{(n-1)(n-2)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$2\alpha(X\alpha) = -\frac{dr(\rho)}{(n-1)(n-2)} A(X), \quad \text{using (2.10)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence (3.3) can be expressed as

$$H(X, Y) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}g(X, Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) \tag{3.6}$$

where  $\beta = \frac{4b(r-2an+2a)(n-1)}{\lambda^2}$ ,  $\lambda = dr(\rho)$ .

Suppose that  $a(n-2) - b > 0$ , then  $\alpha$  is not zero. Hence from (3.4) it follows that  $\alpha$  may be taken as positive. From (3.6) we conclude that the manifold under consideration is a special conformally flat manifold.

It is known from a theorem of CHEN's and YANO's paper [5] that every simply connected special conformally flat manifold can be isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space  $E^{n+1}$  as a hypersurface.

We can therefore state the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Every simply connected conformally flat  $S(QE)_n$  ( $n > 3$ ) satisfying  $a(n-2) - b > 0$  can be isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space  $E^{n+1}$  as a hypersurface.*

### References

- [1] M. C. CHAKI and R. K. MAITY, On quasi Einstein manifold, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **57** (2000), 297–306.
- [2] B. Y. CHEN and K. YANO, Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space, *Tensor N.S.* **26** (1972), 318–322.
- [3] T. ADATI, On subprojective spaces, III, *Tohoku Math. J.* **3** (1951), 343–358.
- [4] J. A. SCHOUTEN, Ricci-Calculus, *Springer, Berlin*, 1954.
- [5] B. Y. CHEN and K. YANO, Special conformally flat spaces and canal hypersurfaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **25** (1973), 177–184.
- [6] K. YANO, Concircular geometry, I, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **16** (1940), 195–200.
- [7] K. YANO, On the torseforming direction in Riemannian spaces, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **20** (1944), 340–345.
- [8] A. GEBAROWSKI, Nearly conformally symmetric warped product manifolds, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica* **20:4** (1992), 359–371.

U. C. DE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI  
KALYANI 741235  
WEST BENGAL  
INDIA

*E-mail:* ucde@klyuniv.ernet.in

GOPAL CHANDRA GHOSH  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI  
KALYANI 741235  
WEST BENGAL  
INDIA

*(Received August 21, 2003; accepted January 29, 2004)*