

On a question of Kertész

By HANNA NEUMANN (Manchester)

During a recent visit to Debrecen I learnt from A. KERTÉSZ of a way of constructing semigroups from groups. If G is a given group, written multiplicatively, define a new operation $x \circ y$ on the elements of G , setting $x \circ y = f(x, y)$, where $f(x, y)$ is one of the following five types of function: a constant a , x , y , xay , or yax . In each case the new operation is associative; moreover the elements of G form a group G_1 under the operation $x \circ y = xay$, a group G_2 under the operation $x \circ y = yax$. G_1 is an isomorphic image of G under the mapping $x \rightarrow xa^{-1}$, similarly G_2 is an anti-isomorphic image of G under the same mapping. A. KERTÉSZ conjectured that the five functions he found are in fact the only functions defining associative operations. In this note I give a proof of KERTÉSZ' conjecture.

§ 1. Preliminaries

The function $f(x, y)$ defined on the group G is a word in the variables x and y and constant elements of G ; that is, it is a product of powers x^{α_i} , y^{β_i} , possibly separated from each other by various constants $a, b, \dots$. We assume the word to be *reduced*, that is, no two adjacent letters represent elements inverse to each other in G . Words in three variables will be used as well. Our remarks naturally extend to these *mutatis mutandis*.

The number $m = \sum |\alpha_i|$ is called the *x-length* of $f(x, y)$, and the number $n = \sum |\beta_i|$ is called the *y-length* of $f(x, y)$.

We consider the *x-length* and *y-length* of a power of f . If k is a positive integer, cancellations will in general take place between neighbouring factors of the power f^k . To exhibit these, we write $f(x, y)$ in the form

$$f(x, y) = r^{-1}(x, y)s(x, y)r(x, y),$$

where we may assume that the first and last letters of s are not inverse to each other, and that no cancellation takes place between r^{-1} and s , and between s and r . Then

$$f^k(x, y) = r^{-1}(x, y)s^k(x, y)r(x, y)$$

is reduced as written. If, therefore, m_1 and n_1 denote respectively the x -length and the y -length of $s(x, y)$, one has for the x -length μ and the y -length ν of $f^k(x, y)$ the relations

$$(1.1) \quad \mu = m + (k-1)m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = n + (k-1)n_1.$$

We extend this result to a more general situation: Let $w(u, v)$ be a word in the variables u, v and constants; again $w(u, v)$ is assumed reduced. If the u -length of $w(u, v)$ is k , then

(1.2) *the x -length μ and the y -length ν of $w(f(x, y), v)$ are subject to the inequalities*

$$m + (k-1)m_1 \leq \mu \leq km \quad \text{and} \quad n + (k-1)n_1 \leq \nu \leq kn.$$

Similar inequalities obtain, of course, when $f(x, y)$ is substituted for v in $w(u, v)$.

The truth of these inequalities becomes evident, if one considers first the extreme cases leading to the least and greatest possible values for μ or ν . The least value is taken (as a direct application (1.1)) when u occurs only once in $w(u, v)$, and then in the form $u^{\pm k}$. The greatest value is taken when $w(u, v)$ contains the power $u^{\pm 1}$ in k separate places.

Finally we note the following simple fact:

(1.3) *If $x \circ y = f(x, y)$ is an associative operation, then so is $x * y = f(y, x)$.*

For associativity of $x \circ y$ means

$$f(f(x, y), z) = f(x, f(y, z)) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z;$$

therefore in particular also

$$f(f(z, y), x) = f(z, f(y, x)) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z,$$

and this is just the relation expressing associativity of $x * y$.

§ 2. The Theorem

We can now formulate the theorem on associative operations on groups:

(2.1) Theorem. *Let $f(x, y)$ be a reduced word in x, y and certain constants out of the group G . If the operation $x \circ y = f(x, y)$ is associative, then*

$$f(x, y) = a, x, y, xay, \quad \text{or} \quad yax,$$

where a is an arbitrary constant.

PROOF. We write as before $f(x, y) = r^{-1}(x, y)s(x, y)r(x, y)$, where m and m_1 are the x -lengths of f and s respectively, therefore $m_1 \leq m$; and n and n_1 are the y -lengths of f and s respectively, therefore $n_1 \leq n$.

Consider now the expression $f(f(x, y), z)$. Let its x -length be μ , and its z -length be ν_1 ; then (1.2) — with $k=m$ — shows that $\mu_1 \geq m + (m-1)m_1$. Also, clearly, $\nu_1 = n$.

Next consider the x -length μ_2 and the z -length ν_2 of $f(x, f(y, z))$. Obviously $\mu_2 = m$; and, using the analogon to (1.2) for substitution for the second variable, one sees that $\nu_2 \geq n + (n-1)n_1$.

But the assumption that the operation $x \circ y = f(x, y)$ is associative gives us the identity $f(f(x, y), z) = f(x, f(y, z))$. Therefore $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 = \nu_2$, and so

$$m \geq m + (m-1)m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq n + (n-1)n_1.$$

Using $0 \leq m_1 \leq m$ and $0 \leq n_1 \leq n$, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \text{either } m_1 = 0 \text{ or } m_1 = m = 1, \text{ and either } n_1 = 0 \text{ or } n_1 = n = 1.$$

It follows:

(2.3) *The function $f(x, y)$ has one of the following forms:*

$r^{-1}(x, y)ar(x, y)$ where $a \neq 1$, $r^{-1}(y)ax^\varepsilon br(y)$, $r^{-1}(x)ay^\varepsilon br(x)$, $ax^{\varepsilon_1}by^{\varepsilon_2}c$, or $ay^{\varepsilon_1}bx^{\varepsilon_2}c$, where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ have the values $+1$ or -1 .

Because of (1.3), we need now only consider the first, second, and fourth of these possibilities.

(i) When $f = r^{-1}(x, y)ar(x, y)$, then

$$f(f(x, y), z) = r^{-1}(f(x, y), z)ar(f(x, y), z),$$

and

$$f(x, f(y, z)) = r^{-1}(x, f(y, z))ar(x, f(y, z)).$$

With the same notation as before, $\nu_1 = n$ and $\mu_2 = m$ are again obvious. Applying (1.2) — with $m_1 = 0$ — to $r(f(x, y), z)$ we see that $r(f(x, y), z)$ has x -length at least m . Since the constant $a \neq 1$ prevents cancellation between r^{-1} and r , it follows that $f(f(x, y), z)$ has x -length at least $2m$; that is, in the previous notation, $\mu_1 \geq 2m$. Similarly one obtains $\nu_2 \geq 2n$. But again $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and $\nu_1 = \nu_2$, because of the associativity. Therefore $m \geq 2m$ and $n \geq 2n$, and so $m = n = 0$. It follows that $r(x, y)$, and therefore $f(x, y)$, is constant.

(ii) When $f = r^{-1}(y)ax^\varepsilon br(y)$, then

$$f(f(x, y), z) = r^{-1}(z)a[r^{-1}(y)ax^\varepsilon br(y)]^\varepsilon br(z),$$

and

$$f(x, f(y, z)) = r^{-1}(f(y, z))ax^\varepsilon br(f(y, z)).$$

As $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $f(f(x, y), z)$ contains x^{ε^2} and no other power of x , while $f(x, f(y, z))$ contains precisely x^ε , the identity of the two expressions implies therefore $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = 1$.

Further one has again $\nu_1 = n$; and (1.2) shows that $r(f(y, z))$ has z -length at least n , so that $\nu_2 \geq 2n$; therefore $n \geq 2n$ holds again. Thus $n = 0$, which means that $r(y)$ is constant, and so $f(x, y)$ has the form $f(x, y) = a_1 x b_1$. Using the associativity once again, one deduces without difficulty that $a_1 = b_1 = 1$.

The remark (1.3) now shows that this case of (2.3) leads to $f(x, y) = x$ and $f(x, y) = y$ as the only possibilities.

(iii) When, finally, $f = ax^{\varepsilon_1}by^{\varepsilon_2}c$, then

$$f(f(x, y), z) = a[ax^{\varepsilon_1}by^{\varepsilon_2}c]^{\varepsilon_1}bz^{\varepsilon_2}c,$$

and

$$f(x, f(y, z)) = ax^{\varepsilon_1}b[ay^{\varepsilon_1}bz^{\varepsilon_2}c]^{\varepsilon_2}c.$$

Comparing the exponents of x and z in these two expressions, one gets again $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$; and the identity of the expressions then leads to $a^2 = a$, $c^2 = c$, and therefore $a = c = 1$. Using (1.3) once more one obtains from this case the possibilities $f(x, y) = xby$ and $f(x, y) = ybx$, and no others.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

(Received November 2, 1959.)