

On a system of norm-equations over cyclic cubic number fields

By ATTILA PETHŐ* (Debrecen) and HORST G. ZIMMER (Saarbrücken)

Abstract. We determine all units η belonging to the ring of integers of cyclic cubic number fields and such that the absolute norm of $\eta^2 - 11\eta - 1$ is $\pm 5^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 0$. Applying this result we determined all elliptic curves over cyclic cubic number fields such that their torsion groups are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction and the Theorem

Let $\text{Norm}(\alpha)$ respectively $\text{Disc}(\alpha)$ denote the (absolute) norm respectively the (absolute) discriminant of an algebraic integer α . In the present paper we determine all cubic algebraic integers η , which satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $\text{Norm}(\eta) = \varepsilon$,
- (2) $\text{Norm}(\eta^2 - 11\eta - 1) = \varepsilon_1 \cdot 5^n$,
- (3) $\text{Disc}(\eta) = y^2$,

where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}$ and $n \geq 0$ is an integer.

This task arose in connection with our investigation of elliptic curves over algebraic number fields. Specifically, in the papers [3], [6], [7], [10], [11], [13] we determined all torsion groups of elliptic curves E with integral j -invariant over quadratic, cubic and certain biquadratic number fields $\mathbb{K}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G05, 11B39, 11D61.

*Research partially supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. 16791/95.

Under these restrictions on the curves E and fields $\mathbb{K}$, there is only a finite family of possible torsion groups $E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K})$ of E over $\mathbb{K}$. This is due to the fact that the restrictions entail bounds for the order of $E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K})$ which are stronger than the bound established by MEREL [5] in the general case of an arbitrary elliptic curve over any algebraic number field $\mathbb{K}$.

Furthermore, with the exception of groups of small order in the family, the possible torsion groups $E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K})$ can occur only for a finite set (up to isomorphism) of elliptic curves E over a finite set of number fields $\mathbb{K}$, and these finite sets can all be computed by solving certain norm equations for a parameter η by which both the curves and the fields are determined.

The exceptional torsion groups of small order occurring for infinitely many curves E and fields $\mathbb{K}$ are those exhibited in the following list. Over quadratic and pure cubic fields these are the groups

$$E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

and over cyclic cubic fields, the group

$$E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

has to be added to the list.

It was proved in [11], Corollary (13), that an elliptic curve E over a cubic number field $\mathbb{K}$ has a torsion group containing $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\eta)$ for a cubic algebraic integer η satisfying (1) and (2) with $0 \leq n \leq 9$. We proved in [9] that there exist, for any fixed $n \neq 1$, infinitely many cubic algebraic integers η satisfying (1) and (2). (See also the remark after Lemma 1 below.) Hence over general cubic fields, the group

$$E_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$$

also appears in the list of exceptional groups. Is this true also for curves E over *cyclic* cubic fields $\mathbb{K}$?

The determination of all elliptic curves with integral j -invariant over cyclic cubic fields having torsion group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to the system of equations (1)–(3). Solving these equations represents an interesting diophantine problem, because it leads to a mixed exponential-polynomial equation (equation (10) below), for which no general theory exists. *A priori*, not even the finiteness of the number of solutions is guaranteed. However, by employing properties of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers and by using generalizations of sieving methods of COHN [1] and RIBENBOIM [12], we are able to completely solve the system of equations (1)–(3). In fact we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer, $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}$, and denote by $\mathbb{K}$ a cyclic cubic number field. Assume that there exists an $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$, the ring of integers of $\mathbb{K}$, which satisfies the system of equations (1)–(3). Then either η or $-1/\eta$ is a zero of one of the eight polynomials $P(z)$ listed in Table 1 below, and we have $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\eta)$.

In Table 1, $D(P(z))$ and $D_{\mathbb{K}}$ denote the discriminant of $P(z)$ and $\mathbb{K}$, respectively.

No.	$P(z)$	$D(P(z))$	$D_{\mathbb{K}}$	n
1	$z^3 - 12z^2 + 9z + 1$	$(3^2 \cdot 13)^2$	$(3^2 \cdot 13)^2$	0
2	$z^3 - 12z^2 + 35z + 1$	$(5 \cdot 13)^2$	13^2	4
3	$z^3 + 3z^2 - 160z + 1$	$(5^2 \cdot 163)^2$	163^2	4
4	$z^3 - 17z^2 - 25z + 1$	$(2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13)^2$	13^2	5
5	$z^3 - 13z^2 + 10z + 1$	139^2	139^2	0
6	$z^3 - 14z^2 + 11z + 1$	163^2	163^2	2
7	$z^3 - 9z^2 + 6z + 1$	$(3^2 \cdot 7)^2$	$(3^2 \cdot 7)^2$	3
8	$z^3 + 3z^2 - 10z + 1$	$(5 \cdot 13)^2$	13^2	5

Table 1.

2. Auxiliary results

In the sequel we denote by $\{F_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{L_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ the sequence of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively. They are given by the initial conditions $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$ and satisfy the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + x_{n-1}.$$

For later applications, we list several well known properties of these sequences. The proofs can be found in [1] or can be easily given by using the methods of [1].

(P1) If $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of the diophantine equation

$$x^2 - 5y^2 = \pm 4$$

then $(x, y) = (\pm L_m, \pm F_m)$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(P2)

$$F_{-n} = \begin{cases} F_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ -F_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_{-n} = \begin{cases} -L_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ L_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(P3) $2F_{n+m} = F_m L_n + F_n L_m$.(P4) $2L_{n+m} = L_m L_n + 5F_m F_n$.

(P5) Let $n = \pm 2^\alpha \cdot 3^\beta \cdot k$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(k, 6) = 1$. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 $F_{n+m} \equiv -F_m \pmod{L_{2^{\alpha-2}k}}$ and
 $L_{n+m} \equiv -L_m \pmod{L_{2^{\alpha-2}k}}$.

(P6) For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the modular sequences $\{F_m \bmod M\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{L_m \bmod M\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ are periodic.

The minimal length of period of the corresponding modular sequence will be denoted by $r(M) = r_F(M)$ and $r_L(M)$, respectively. We have $r_L(M) \mid r_F(M)$.

(P7) $5 \mid F_n$ if and only if $5 \mid n$.(P8) If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd, then $L_n \mid L_{kn}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using these properties of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers we first characterize the solutions of the system (1) and (2) in cubic fields.

Lemma 1. *Let $\varepsilon = -1$, $\mathbb{K}$ a cubic number field and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ a solution of the system (1) and (2). Then there exist an $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{1, -1\}$ such that η is a zero of the polynomial*

$$\begin{aligned} P(z) := P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) &= z^3 + (-12 + \varepsilon_2 5^k G_m) z^2 \\ &+ (10 + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k G_{m-5\varepsilon_3}) z + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_m = \begin{cases} F_m, & \text{if } n = 2(k+1), k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ L_m, & \text{if } n = 2(k+1) + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ F_{5m}, & \text{if } n = 0, k = -1. \end{cases}$$

For $n = 1$, the system (1) and (2) has no solution.

Conversely, if η is a zero of the polynomial $P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\eta)$, then η is a solution of the system (1) and (2) in $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Remarks. (a) It follows immediately from Lemma 1 that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $n \neq 1$, there exist infinitely many cubic fields in which the system (1) and (2) is solvable.

(b) Let us fix a cubic number field $\mathbb{K}$. By the same argument as in FUNG et al. [3], one can easily show that n is bounded and the system (1) and (2) has only finitely many effectively computable solutions $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$. But their method appears to be not capable of showing that there exist only finitely many cyclic cubic fields, for which the system (1) and (2) is solvable.

The Lemma could be proved by using the Theorem of [9], but we prefer here to argue directly.

PROOF of Lemma 1. Suppose that $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{K}}$ solves (1) and (2). Let $P(z) = z^3 - vz^2 + m_1z + 1$ and let $Q(z)$ denote the minimal polynomial of η and $\eta^2 - 11\eta - 1$, respectively. We wish to determine the coefficients v and m_1 . To this end we put $S(z) = z^2 - 11z - 1$. Then $Q(z)$ divides the resultant

$$Q_1(z) = \text{Res}_y(z - S(y), P(y))$$

by Theorem 8 in [2]. A simple computation using MAPLE V results in

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(z) = & z^3 - (v^2 - 11v - 2m_1 - 3)z^2 \\ & - (2v^2 - 24v + 11vm_1 - m_1^2 - 125m_1 + 30)z \\ & - v^2 + 134v - 11vm_1 + m_1^2 + 112m_1 - 1364, \end{aligned}$$

thus $Q_1(z) = Q(z)$. Since the constant term of $Q(z)$ is the negative of the norm of $\eta^2 - 11\eta - 1$, i.e. the negative of $\varepsilon_1 5^n$, we obtain the following quadratic equation for the integer m_1 :

$$m_1^2 - m_1(11v - 112) - v^2 + 134v - 1364 - \varepsilon_1 5^n = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by 4 and putting $w = 2m_1 - 11v + 112$ we obtain the equations

$$(4) \quad Q_1(0) = w^2 - 125(-v + 12)^2 = -4\varepsilon_1 \cdot 5^n = \pm 4 \cdot 5^n,$$

$$(5) \quad m_1 = \frac{11v - 112 + w}{2}.$$

Equation (4) is obviously unsolvable for $n = 1$, hence our assertion is true in this case. Now, in order to determine v and w and *a fortiori* m_1 , we distinguish three cases.

Case 1. Let $n = 2(k + 1)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and suppose that $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ represent a solution of (4). We claim that there exists an $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $w = \varepsilon_4 \cdot 5^{k+1} L_m$ and $-v + 12 = \varepsilon_2 \cdot 5^k \cdot F_m$ with $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4 \in \{1, -1\}$. Once these expressions for w and v have been established, the assertion of Lemma 1 follows immediately.

Of course, the claim is true for $k = 0$, for we then have $w = 5w_1$ with $w_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and, after division by 25, equation (4) becomes

$$w_1^2 - 5(-v + 12)^2 = \pm 4.$$

We can then apply (P1) to get the asserted expressions for v and w .

Suppose now that the claim is true for a $k \geq 0$. Then, as

$$w^2 - 125(-v + 12)^2 = \pm 4 \cdot 5^{2(k+2)},$$

we have $w = 5w_1$ with $w_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $5 \mid (-v + 12)$. This yields

$$w_1^2 - 125 \left(\frac{-v + 12}{5} \right)^2 = \pm 4 \cdot 5^{2(k+1)}.$$

The claim is thus proved by induction on k .

Next we are going to determine m_1 . On inserting the values of v and w into (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{11(12 - \varepsilon_2 \cdot 5^k F_m) - 112 + \varepsilon_4 \cdot 5^{k+1} L_m}{-2} \\ &= 10 + 5^k \frac{-11\varepsilon_2 F_m + 5\varepsilon_4 L_m}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $F_5 = F_{-5} = 5$ and $-L_5 = L_{-5} = -11$ by (P2), hence by (P3)

$$m_1 = \begin{cases} 10 + 5^k \varepsilon_2 L_{m-5}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_4 \\ 10 - 5^k \varepsilon_2 F_{m+5}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_4, \end{cases}$$

which can be summarized in the form $m_1 = 10 + 5^k \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 F_{m-5\varepsilon_3}$. This proves Lemma 1 in Case 1.

Case 2. Let $n = 2(k + 1) + 1$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This case can be treated analogously to Case 1. One needs only observe that, for odd n 's, the roles of w and $-v + 12$ are to be interchanged. Furthermore, in the final step, one has to use (P4) instead of (P3).

Case 3. Let $n = 0$. Then (4) becomes

$$w^2 - 5(5(-v + 12))^2 = \pm 4.$$

Hence, by (P1), we have $w = \varepsilon_3 L_{m'}$ and $5(-v + 12) = \varepsilon_2 \cdot F_{m'}$ for some $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and with $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{1, -1\}$. By (P7), we know that $5 \mid m'$ and hence, on putting $m' = 5m$, the relations

$$-v = -12 + \varepsilon_2 \cdot 5^k F_{5m} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \varepsilon_3 L_{5m}$$

hold for $k = -1$. Now m_1 can be transformed into the asserted form as in Case 1.

Finally we prove the converse assertion. Let η be a zero of the polynomial $P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ and put $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\eta)$. It is easy to see that $P(z)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ for any choice of the parameters $k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$. Thus η has $\text{Norm}(\eta) = -1$.

Using the notation of the beginning of the proof, we obtain

$$v = 12 - \varepsilon_2 5^k G_m \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 = 10 + \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k G_{m-5\varepsilon_3}.$$

This implies

$$w = 2m_1 - 11v + 112 = \varepsilon_2 5^k (2\varepsilon_3 G_{m-5\varepsilon_3} + 11G_m).$$

Let, for example, $n = 2(k + 1)$, hence $G_m = F_m$. Then we obtain

$$w = \varepsilon_2 5^k (2\varepsilon_3 F_{m-5\varepsilon_3} + 11F_m) = \pm \varepsilon_2 5^{k+1} L_m$$

by (P3) and (P2). Thus,

$$4Q_1(0) = w^2 - 125(-v + 12)^2 = 5^{2(k+1)}(L_m^2 - 5F_m^2) = \pm 4 \cdot 5^n$$

by (4) and (P1). Hence $\text{Norm}(\eta^2 - 11\eta - 1) = \pm 5^n$ as asserted. The proofs of the other cases are similar. $\square$

In the sequel, $\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$ will denote the Jacobi symbol for coprime integers x, m . Further, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \pmod{m}$ will denote the smallest non-negative residue of x with respect to the modulus m . The following two lemmata play a crucial role in the proof of the Theorem. They are generalizations of Lemmata 2 and 3 in [2].

Lemma 2. Fix an integer h , a polynomial $H(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, a set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ of primes, and let $\{G_m\}$ be one of the sequences defined in Lemma 1. Let $r(p)$ denote the minimal period of the modular sequence $\{G_m \bmod p\}$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, put $\text{lcm}[r(p_1), \dots, r(p_t)] = R$ and choose $\mathcal{M} = \{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$ as a set of integers satisfying $0 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_s < R$. If, for each $m \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$(6) \quad \left(\frac{H(G_m, G_{m+h})}{p} \right) = -1,$$

then any solution $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the diophantine equation

$$(7) \quad H(G_x, G_{x+h}) = z^2$$

satisfies the incongruences $x \not\equiv m_i \pmod{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Before giving the proof, we formulate a simple consequence of Lemma 2, which is very useful with respect to proofs of the unsolvability of diophantine equations of form (7).

Corollary. If, for each $0 \leq m < R$, there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that (6) holds, then (7) has no solution $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF of Lemma 2. Suppose that $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of (7) such that $x \pmod{R} \in \mathcal{M}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $x \equiv m_1 \pmod{R}$. For $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ to be a solution of (7), it is necessary that

$$\left(\frac{H(G_x, G_{x+h})}{p} \right) = 1$$

for any prime number p .

On the other hand, by hypothesis, there exists a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{H(G_{m_1}, G_{m_1+h})}{p} \right) = -1.$$

As $x \equiv m_1 \pmod{R}$ and $r(p) \mid R$, we have *a fortiori* $x \equiv m_1 \pmod{r(p)}$, so that $G_x \equiv G_{m_1} \pmod{p}$ and $G_{x+h} \equiv G_{m_1+h} \pmod{p}$. Hence

$$H(G_x, G_{x+h}) \equiv H(G_{m_1}, G_{m_1+h}) \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore, the above two equations for the Legendre symbol are contradictory. This proves Lemma 2. $\square$

Lemma 2 serves the purpose of restricting the solutions of (7) to a set of fixed residue classes mod R . By enlarging the set $\mathcal{P}$, we end up with another set of residue classes with respect to a larger modulus $R' > R$, whose elements are potential solutions of (7). Unfortunately, in this way one does not obtain a complete set of solutions of (7). For if we fix a set $\mathcal{P}$ and the corresponding modulus R , then for one solution $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ of (7), the quantity $H(G_x, G_{x+h})$ is a quadratic residue mod R for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ appertaining to the residue class $x_0 \pmod{R}$. Therefore, already for a fixed modulus R , all elements x in the residue class $x_0 \pmod{R}$ constitute potential solutions of (7).

This observation shows that, in order to solve (7), we need another auxiliary result. The next lemma will show that, in a fixed residue class with respect to a sufficiently large modulus R , at most one integer x can constitute a solution of (7). The lemma at the same time also provides a method for constructing the modulus R .

Lemma 3. *Let $H(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be a polynomial, take $m_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and choose $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ as a set of primes with $p_i \geq 5$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose that there exist $a, b_1, \dots, b_t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any integer $\alpha \geq a - 1$, there are integers $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$ with $0 \leq \beta_i \leq b_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) for which*

$$(8) \quad \left(\frac{H(-G_{m_0}, -G_{m_0+h})}{L_{2^\alpha p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_t^{\beta_t}}} \right) = -1.$$

Then equation (7) has at most one solution $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with x satisfying the congruence

$$x \equiv m_0 \pmod{2^{a+1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}},$$

namely $x = m_0$.

PROOF. Let $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a solution of (7) with $x = m_0 + 2^{a+1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t} \cdot n$ for $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $n = \pm 2^c \cdot 3^d n_1$ with n_1 odd and $3 \nmid n_1$. Then we have $L_{2^{a+c-1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}} \mid L_{2^{a+c-1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t} \cdot n_1}$ by (P8), and by (P5) it then follows that

$$G_x \equiv -G_{m_0} \pmod{L_{2^{a+c-1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}}}$$

and

$$G_{x+h} \equiv -G_{m_0+h} \pmod{L_{2^{a+c-1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(9) \quad H(G_x, G_{x+h}) \equiv H(-G_{m_0}, -G_{m_0+h}) \pmod{L_{2^{a+c-1}p_1^{b_1}\dots p_t^{b_t}}}.$$

Choose $\alpha = a + c - 1 \geq a - 1$. Then, by hypothesis, (8) holds for some $(\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t)$ with $0 \leq \beta_i \leq b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. By (P8), we know that $L_{2^\alpha p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_t^{\beta_t}} \mid L_{2^\alpha p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}}$, and then (9) yields

$$H(G_x, G_{x+h}) \equiv H(-G_{m_0}, -G_{m_0+h}) \pmod{L_{2^\alpha p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_t^{\beta_t}}}.$$

This congruence, together with (8), contradicts the hypothesis that $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a solution of (7). The lemma is proved. $\square$

3. Proof of the Theorem

At this stage we have at hand most of the auxiliary results which we need in order to prove our Theorem. We shall see that the Theorem is a direct consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition. *Put*

$$D(u, w) = 15125 + 1464w - 3948u - 462uw + 24w^2 \\ - 24uw^2 + 244u^2 + 20u^2w + u^2w^2 - 4u^3 - 4w^3$$

and let $\{G_m\}_{-\infty}^\infty$ be one of the sequences defined in Lemma 1. Then the diophantine equation

$$(10) \quad D(\varepsilon_2 5^k G_m, \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k G_{m-5\varepsilon_3}) = y^2$$

has only the following solutions in non-negative integers k, m, y , and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{-1, 1\}$:

$F_m :$	$(k, m, y, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$	$(1, 0, 65, 1, 1)$	$(1, 4, 4075, 1, -1)$	$(0, 3, 163, -1, 1)$
$L_m :$		$(1, 1, 520, -1, 1)$	$(0, 2, 63, 1, 1)$	$(1, 2, 65, 1, 1)$
$\frac{F_{5m}}{5} :$		$(-1, 0, 117, 1, -1)$	$(-1, 5, 139, -1, 1)$	

Before proving the Proposition we shall show how it implies the Theorem.

PROOF of the Theorem. Let η be a solution of (1) and (2) with $\varepsilon = -1$. Then $-1/\eta$ solves (1) and (2) with $\varepsilon = 1$, thus, in the sequel, we may

assume that $\varepsilon = -1$. Then, by Lemma 1, η is a zero of $P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ for some values of the parameters $k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$. It is well-known that the discriminant of a defining polynomial of a cyclic cubic number field is the square of an integer. Therefore the discriminant of η has to be a square.

Let

$$p(z; u, w) = z^3 + (-12 + u)z^2 + (10 + w)z + 1$$

so that we have

$$p(z; \varepsilon_2 5^k G_m, \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k G_{m-5\varepsilon_3}) = P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3).$$

A simple computation shows that the discriminant of $p(z; u, w)$ is $D(u, w)$. Thus to determine all cyclic cubic number fields which contain an element η satisfying (1) and (2), it is enough, by Lemma 1, to solve (10) for the recursive sequences $G_m = F_m$, L_m and $F_{5m}/5$.

The solutions of (10) given in the Proposition yield the number fields listed in the Theorem as 2., 3. and 5. for the Fibonacci sequence, as 4., 7. and 8. for the Lucas sequence and as 1. and 6. for $F_{5m}/5$. The Theorem is thus proved. $\square$

4. Proof of the Proposition

We first require another lemma.

Lemma 4. *Equation (10) has no solution for $k \geq 2$, $m \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let us first treat the case $k \geq 3$, $m \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{-1, 1\}$. Then $D = D(\varepsilon_2 5^k G_m, \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k G_{m-5\varepsilon_3})$ is an integer. We shall prove that $5^4 \mid (D - 15125)$. As $5^3 \parallel 15125$, this implies that $5^3 \parallel D$ and D cannot be the square of an integer. In fact this assertion is trivially true for $k \geq 4$. Define $A = 1464\varepsilon_3 G_{m-5\varepsilon_3} - 3948G_m$. For $k = 3$, we have $5^6 \mid (D - \varepsilon_2 \cdot 5^k A - 15125)$, and we want to prove that $5 \mid A$.

We obviously have

$$A \equiv 4\varepsilon_3 G_{m-5\varepsilon_3} + 2G_m \pmod{5}.$$

It is easy to see that $G_{m+5} = 8G_m + 5G_{m-1}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and this implies that

$$A \equiv \begin{cases} -32G_m + 2G_m, & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = -1 \\ 4G_{m-5} + 16G_{m-5}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = 1 \end{cases} \pmod{5}.$$

Thus $A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ in both cases. Therefore equation (10) is not solvable for $k \geq 3$.

Now we consider the case $k = 2$ and suppose first that n is odd. Then, by Lemma 1, $G_m = L_m$. Since the relation $5 \mid A$ holds also for $k \leq 2$, we have $5^3 \mid D$. Assume that (10) is solvable. Then we must even have $5^4 \mid D$ since D is a square. We shall prove that this is impossible. In fact, if $5^4 \mid D$, then

$$D \equiv 15125 + \varepsilon_2 5^k (1464\varepsilon_3 L_{m-5\varepsilon_3} - 3948L_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^4}.$$

On dividing by 25, we see that the quantity $D_1 := D/5^3$ satisfies the congruence

$$5D_1 \equiv 5 + \varepsilon_2 (14\varepsilon_3 L_{m-5\varepsilon_3} + 2L_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{25}.$$

By virtue of the identity $L_{m+5} = 8L_m + 5L_{m-1}$ we obtain

$$5D_1 \equiv \begin{cases} 5(1 - 2\varepsilon_2(L_m + 2L_{m-1})), & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = -1 \\ 5(1 + \varepsilon_2(L_{m-5} + 2L_{m-6})), & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = 1 \end{cases} \pmod{25}.$$

But it is easy to check that $L_m + 2L_{m-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ holds for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $D_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, in contradiction to $5^4 \mid D$.

In the remaining case, when $k = 2$ and n is even, the solvability of equation (8) cannot be disproved in the same way. This can be seen as follows: We have $G_m = F_m$ and obtain, by the same computation as before, the condition

$$0 \equiv D_1 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 - 2\varepsilon_2(F_m + 2F_{m-1}), & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = -1 \\ 1 + \varepsilon_2(F_{m-5} + 2F_{m-6}), & \text{if } \varepsilon_3 = 1 \end{cases} \pmod{5}.$$

Since it is easy to show that $F_m + 2F_{m-1} \equiv L_m \pmod{5}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ holds for any choice of ε_2 and ε_3 .

Therefore we must use a different argument. We invoke the Corollary of Lemma 2, choosing $H(x, y; \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = D(\varepsilon_2 \cdot 5^2 x, \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^2 y)$, $h = -5\varepsilon_3$ and the set of primes $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 = \{3, 11, 17, 19, 31, 41, 61, 107, 181, 541, 2521\}$. Then one easily checks that $r(p) \mid 360$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$. We compute

$$J(m, p; \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = \left(\frac{H(F_m, F_{m+h})}{p} \right)$$

for each $0 \leq m < 360$ and each $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and find a $p = p(m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) \in \mathcal{P}_1$ with $J(m, p; \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = -1$ for every possible choice of $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{-1, 1\}$ and each $0 \leq m < 360$. Hence, by the Corollary, (8) is not solvable for $k = 2$ and n even, and thus Lemma 4 is completely proved. $\square$

PROOF of the Proposition. By Lemma 4, we need consider equation (10) only for $k = -1, 0, 1$. The proof, carried out essentially by means of a computer, is divided into three steps.

Step 1. Exclusion of those triples $(k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ for which (10) is unsolvable and computation of the small solutions m_0 of (10) in the case of solvability. This is achieved by means of Lemma 2.

Step 2. This is a search for a small set of primes which enables us to exclude solutions of (10) by means of Lemma 3.

Step 3. By virtue of Lemma 2, we prove that if, for some triple $(k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$, m is a solution of (10), then

$$m \equiv m_0 \pmod{2^{a+1} p_1^{b_1} \dots p_t^{b_t}}$$

for some suitable primes $p_1, \dots, p_t$ and integers $a, b_1, \dots, b_t$.

In what follows we specify the parameters used in each step and display the results of the computations.

In Step 1 we tested (10) for any possible choice of the parameters $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, n, k)$, applying Lemma 2 with the set of primes $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \{5, 7, 23, 241, 2161\}$. We have $r(p) \mid 720$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_2$. In Table 2, we display the result of the test. A number m_0 in the table indicates that, if m is a solution of (10), then $m \equiv m_0 \pmod{720}$, while an asterisk $*$ indicates that, for that choice of parameters, (10) is not solvable.

	(n, k)	$(5, 1)$	$(3, 0)$	$(4, 1)$	$(2, 0)$	$(0, -1)$
$(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$						
$(1, 1)$		2	2	0	*	*
$(1, -1)$		718, 719	718	4	*	0
$(-1, -1)$		*	*	0	717	719
$(-1, 1)$		1	*	716	3	0, 1

Table 2.

Using (P2), it is easy to check that

$$P(z; k, -m, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = \begin{cases} P(z; k, m, \varepsilon_2, -\varepsilon_3), & \text{if } m+n \text{ is odd} \\ P(z; k, m, -\varepsilon_2, -\varepsilon_3), & \text{if } m+n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by Table 2, it is enough to consider the following quadruples:
 $(n, k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (5, 1, 1, 1), (5, 1, -1, 1), (3, 0, 1, 1), (4, 1, 1, 1), (4, 1, 1, -1),$
 $(2, 0, -1, 1), (0, -1, 1, -1), (0, -1, -1, 1)$. Let $m_0 = m_0(n, k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ denote the value shown at the corresponding place in Table 2. Define the polynomial

$$H(x, y) = H(x, y; k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = D(\varepsilon_2 5^k x, \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 5^k y).$$

In Step 2 we search for suitable sets $\mathcal{P}$ of primes for which we can apply Lemma 3 with appropriate exponents $a, b_1, \dots, b_t$. In Table 3, we summarize the result of this search. In the column D_{m_0} , we list the value of $D(-G_{m_0}, -G_{m_0-5\varepsilon_3})$ and in the rows, headed columnwise by the primes 2, $p_1 = 5, \dots, p_7 = 37$, we display the respective exponents $a, b_1, \dots, b_t$ for which we were able to verify the hypothesis of Lemma 3. Here a hyphen indicates that the corresponding prime did not enter into the calculation.

$(n, k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$	m_0	D_{m_0}	2	5	7	11	13	17	31	37
$(5, 1, 1, 1)$	2	$3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 907$	4	2	2	1	—	—	—	—
$(5, 1, -1, 1)$	1	$-2^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 337$	3	2	1	—	1	—	—	—
$(3, 0, 1, 1)$	2	$47 \cdot 911$	5	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
$(4, 1, 1, 1)$	0	$3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 83$	4	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
$(2, 0, -1, 1)$	3	7537	3	2	1	1	—	1	1	1
$(4, 1, 1, -1)$	4	$3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 419$	4	2	1	—	1	—	—	—
$(0, -1, 1, -1)$	0	$17 \cdot 977$	3	1	2	1	—	—	—	—
$(0, -1, -1, 1)$	1	$7^2 \cdot 233$	3	1	2	—	—	—	—	—

Table 3.

In Step 3 we prove that, if $m = m(n, k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ solves (10), then

$$(11) \quad m \equiv m_0 \pmod{2^a \cdot 5^{b_1} \cdot 7^{b_2} \cdot 11^{b_3} \cdot 13^{b_4} \cdot 17^{b_5} \cdot 31^{b_6} \cdot 37^{b_7}}$$

for the numbers $a, b_1, \dots, b_7$ listed in the row $(n, k, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ of Table 3. Indeed, if we are able to verify (11), then, by Lemma 3, we conclude that $m = m_0$.

For this purpose we once again apply Lemma 2, this time for the following eight sets of primes corresponding to the eight cases of Table 3. The associated values of R are also listed.

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = \{3, 7, 11, 13, 29, 41, 71, 97, 101, 151, 281, 401, 491, 701, 911, 1471, \\ 2161, 2801, 3001\},$$

$$R = 16900 = 2^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = \{13, 17, 19, 29, 83, 97, 107, 167, 211, 281, 293, 421, 503, 587, 1009, \\ 1427, 3527, 3529\},$$

$$R = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2$$

$$\mathcal{P}_5 = \{3, 7, 23, 47, 127, 383, 769, 1087, 1103, 2207, 3167\},$$

$$R = 2^8 \cdot 3$$

$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \{43, 89, 197, 199, 263, 307, 331, 661, 881, 967, 991, 1321, 2179, 2731, \\ 3169\},$$

$$R = 7920 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 5$$

$$\mathcal{P}_7 = \{79, 103, 131, 233, 467, 521, 859, 1171, 1249, 1637, 1951, 2081, 2341, \\ 2731, 3121\},$$

$$R = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 = 9360$$

$$\mathcal{P}_8 = \{3, 7, 11, 23, 31, 41, 61, 67, 409, 919, 1021\},$$

$$R = 4080 = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$$

$$\mathcal{P}_9 = \{3, 7, 11, 23, 31, 41, 61, 557, 743, 2417, 311, 1489, 1861, 2791, 3347\},$$

$$R = 22320 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 31$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{10} = \{3, 7, 11, 23, 31, 41, 61, 73, 149, 443, 887, 2663, 1481, 3331, 2221\},$$

$$R = 8880 = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37.$$

On employing these sets of primes, one verifies that Table 3 contains all solutions of equation (8). This proves the Proposition. $\square$

References

- [1] J. H. E. COHN, On square Fibonacci numbers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **39** (1964), 537–540.
- [2] I. GAÁL, A. PETHŐ and M. POHST, On the resolution of index form equations in dihedral quartic number fields, *Experimental Math.* **3** (1994), 245–254.
- [3] G. W. FUNG, H. STRÖHER, H. C. WILLIAMS and H. G. ZIMMER, Torsion groups of elliptic curves with integral j -invariant over pure cubic number fields, *J. Number Theory* **36** (1990), 12–45.
- [4] R. LOOS, Computing in algebraic extensions, In: Computer Algebra Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (B. Buchberger, G.E. Collins and R. Loos in cooperation with R. Albrecht, eds.), *Springer Verlag*, 1983, 173–187.
- [5] L. MEREL, Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres, *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), 437–449.
- [6] H. H. MÜLLER, H. STRÖHER and H. G. ZIMMER, Torsion groups of elliptic curves with integral j -invariant over quadratic fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **397** (1989), 100–161.
- [7] H. H. MÜLLER, H. STRÖHER and H. G. ZIMMER, Complete determination of all torsion groups of elliptic curves with integral absolute invariant over quadratic and pure cubic fields, In: Number Theory (J.-M. De Koninck and C. Levesque, eds.), *W. de Gruyter, Berlin, New York*, 1989, 671–698.
- [8] A. PETHŐ, Full cubes in the Fibonacci sequences, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **30** (1983), 117–127.
- [9] A. PETHŐ, Application of Gröbner basis to the resolution of systems of norm equations, In: Proc. ISSAC'91 (S. M. Watt, ed.), *ACM Press*, 1991, 144–150.
- [10] A. PETHŐ, Systems of norm equations over cubic number fields, *Österr.- Ungar.- Slow. Kolloq. über Zahlentheorie publaddr Graz*, 1992; *Grazer Math. Ber.* **318** (1992), 111–120.
- [11] A. PETHŐ, TH. WEIS and H. G. ZIMMER, Torsion groups of elliptic curves with integral j -invariant over general cubic number fields, *International J. Algebra and Comp.* **7** (1997), 353–413.
- [12] P. RIBENBOIM, Square classes of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, *Portugaliae Math.* **46** (1989), 159–175.
- [13] H. G. ZIMMER, Torsion groups of elliptic curves over cubic and certain biquadratic number fields, *Contemporary Math.* **174** (1994), 203–220.

ATTILA PETHŐ
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
 LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY
 H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12
 HUNGARY

E-mail: pethoe@math.klte.hu

HORST G. ZIMMER
 FACHBEREICH 9 MATHEMATIK
 UNIVERSITÄT DES SAARLANDES
 D-66041 SAARBRÜCKEN
 GERMANY

E-mail: zimmer@math.uni-sb.de

(Received June 10, 1997; revised December 17, 1997)