

Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with only one homogeneous geodesic

By OLDŘICH KOWALSKI (Praha) and ZDENĚK VLÁŠEK (Praha)

*Dedicated to Professor L. Tamássy
at the occasion of his 80th birthday*

Abstract. In [6], the first author and J. SZENTHE proved that each homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits at least one homogeneous geodesic, i.e., a geodesic which is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries. (For Lie groups this result was proved earlier in [1].) In the present article we show that, for each dimension $n \geq 4$, there is an n -dimensional (solvable) Lie group with a left-invariant metric which admits exactly one homogeneous geodesic through each point, up to a parametrization. (For dimension $n = 3$ such example was found in [5].) Hence the result from [6] cannot be improved, in general.

1. Introduction

Consider a Riemannian homogeneous space $(M, g) = G/H$, i.e., such that G is a connected group of isometries acting transitively on (M, g) and H is the isotropy group at a point $o \in M$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ denote the corresponding Lie algebras. It is well-known [2], [6] that G/H always admits an $\text{ad}(H)$ -invariant decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a linear subspace

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C20, 53C22, 53C30.

Key words and phrases: Riemannian manifold, Lie group, geodesics as orbits.

This work was partially supported by the grant GA ČR 201/02/0616 and by the research project MSM 113200007.

and which makes $(G/H, g)$ a *reductive space*. Using this decomposition, one can define on (M, g) the *canonical connection* $\tilde{\nabla}$, which is a metric connection with parallel torsion $\tilde{T}$ and parallel curvature $\tilde{R}$. Moreover, the last tensor fields are expressed through the formulas

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y)_o = -[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad (\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)_o = -[[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Z], \quad \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where the subscripts at the brackets denote the corresponding components, and the elements X, Y are also considered as tangent vectors at o via the natural isomorphism between the subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ and the tangent space T_oM . Because the scalar product g_o is defined on T_oM , this natural isomorphism defines an $\text{ad}(H)$ -invariant scalar product $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{m}$.

We start with the following

Proposition 1.1 ([2], [3], [9]). *Let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $(G/H, g)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the canonical connection corresponding to some $\text{ad}(H)$ -invariant decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$. Denote by D the difference tensor field $\nabla - \tilde{\nabla}$ between the both connections. Then the tensor field D is determined by the algebraic equation*

$$2g(D_Y X, Z) = g(\tilde{T}(X, Y), Z) + g(\tilde{T}(X, Z), Y) + g(\tilde{T}(Y, Z), X)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z . Further, the Riemannian curvature tensor R is given by the algebraic formula

$$R(X, Y) = \tilde{R}(X, Y) + [D_X, D_Y] + D_{\tilde{T}(X, Y)}.$$

Hence we see that the Riemannian curvature tensor R can be calculated in a purely algebraic way, using the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$, the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$ and the scalar product $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{m}$.

Further, a nonzero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a *geodesic vector* if the curve $\gamma(t) = \exp(tX)(o)$ is a geodesic on $(G/H, g)$. The following can be found in [7] or [4]:

Lemma 1.2. *A vector $X \in \mathfrak{g} - (0)$ is a geodesic vector if and only if*

$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\langle, \rangle$ is the $\text{ad}(H)$ -invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{m}$ induced by the Riemannian scalar product on T_oM and the subscripts indicate the corresponding projection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$.

Finally, a *homogeneous geodesic* on a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a geodesic which is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries. In other words, it is a geodesic determined by a geodesic vector which belongs to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(M, g)$.

2. The main example

Consider, for each $n \geq 3$, a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of dimension $n + 1$ which is given, with respect to a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}\}$, by the multiplication table

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i, X_j] &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ [X_{n+1}, X_i] &= a_i X_i + X_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ [X_{n+1}, X_n] &= a_n X_n, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are arbitrary parameters. Define a scalar product $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}_n$ for which the above basis is orthonormal. The family of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_n, \langle, \rangle)$ gives rise to an $(n\text{-parameter})$ family of solvable Lie groups G_n with a set of invariant Riemann metrics g . Here we can assume that G_n is always diffeomorphic to the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space.

Our first aim is to prove that, for a specific choice of the parameters $a_1, \dots, a_n$, all principal Ricci curvatures of (G_n, g) are distinct and hence the group G_n acting on itself by the left translations is the identity component of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(G_n, g)$. This is a nontrivial part of our paper.

The following proposition is a special case of Proposition 1.1 in the situation where $\mathbf{h} = (0)$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([2], [3], [9]). *Let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of (G_n, g) and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the canonical connection on G_n (for which all left-invariant vector fields are parallel). Denote by D the difference tensor field $\nabla - \tilde{\nabla}$ between the both connections. Then the tensor field D is determined by the algebraic equation*

$$2g(D_Y X, Z) = -g([X, Y], Z) - g([X, Z], Y) - g([Y, Z], X) \tag{2}$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z . Further, the Riemannian curvature tensor R is given by the algebraic formula

$$R(X, Y) = [D_X, D_Y] - D_{[X, Y]}. \quad (3)$$

Here we used the fact that the torsion and the curvature of the canonical connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ are given by the formulas $\tilde{T}(X, Y) = -[X, Y]$, $\tilde{R} = 0$. It is obvious that all calculations for determining the curvature tensor R are now reduced to those in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n$. By a routine calculation we obtain the following two propositions:

Proposition 2.2. *The tensor field D is given on $\mathfrak{g}_n$, expressed through the vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$, as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_i} X_j &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \quad |i - j| > 1, \\ D_{X_i} X_j &= \frac{1}{2} X_{n+1} \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \quad |i - j| = 1, \\ D_{X_i} X_i &= a_i X_{n+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_i} X_{n+1} &= -a_i X_i - \frac{1}{2} (X_{i-1} + X_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n, \\ D_{X_1} X_{n+1} &= -a_1 X_1 - \frac{1}{2} X_2, \\ D_{X_n} X_{n+1} &= -a_n X_n - \frac{1}{2} X_{n-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{X_{n+1}} X_i &= \frac{1}{2} (X_{i+1} - X_{i-1}), \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n, \\ D_{X_{n+1}} X_1 &= \frac{1}{2} X_2, \\ D_{X_{n+1}} X_n &= -\frac{1}{2} X_{n-1}, \\ D_{X_{n+1}} X_{n+1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Proposition 2.3. *The curvature tensor field R is expressed on $\mathfrak{g}_n$ through the basic vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X_i, X_{n+1}) X_{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{4} X_{i-2} - a_i X_{i-1} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_i)^2 \right) X_i \\ &\quad - a_{i+1} X_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} X_{i+2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X_1, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} &= -\left(\frac{3}{4} + (a_1)^2\right)X_1 - a_2X_2 - \frac{1}{4}X_3, \\
R(X_2, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} &= -a_2X_1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_2)^2\right)X_2 - a_3X_3 - \frac{1}{4}X_4 \\
&\quad (\text{where one puts } X_4 = 0 \text{ for } n = 3), \\
R(X_{n-1}, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{4}X_{n-3} - a_{n-1}X_{n-2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_{n-1})^2\right)X_{n-1} \\
&\quad - a_nX_n \quad (\text{where one puts } X_0 = 0 \text{ for } n = 3), \\
R(X_n, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{4}X_{n-2} - a_nX_{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - (a_n)^2\right)X_n; \tag{7} \\
R(X_i, X_j)X_k &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j, k \leq n, \quad |i - k| > 1, \quad |j - k| > 1, \\
R(X_i, X_j)X_{n+1} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n, \quad |i - j| > 1. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall calculate the Ricci tensor S .

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$S(X_i, X_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{9}$$

$$S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = -\frac{n-1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j)^2. \tag{10}$$

PROOF. Because $S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R(X_i, X_{n+1})X_{n+1}, X_i \rangle$, we get (10) directly from (7). Further, for $i \leq n$, $S(X_i, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle R(X_j, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_j \rangle$, and according to the second formula of (8), the only nontrivial terms can be $\langle R(X_{i-1}, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_{i-1} \rangle$ and $\langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_{i+1} \rangle$. A direct check shows that they are both equal to zero. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *We have*

$$S(X_i, X_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \leq n \text{ and } |i - j| > 1. \tag{11}$$

PROOF. The proof is routine for $|i - j| > 2$. It remains to check that $S(X_i, X_{i+2}) = 0$ for all $i \leq n - 2$. But due to the first statement of (8) we have $S(X_i, X_{i+2}) = \langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+1} \rangle + \langle R(X_{i+3}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+3} \rangle + \langle R(X_{n+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{n+1} \rangle$ where the last two terms coincide if $i = n - 2$. The middle term can be written in the form $\langle R(X_{i+3}, X_{i+2})X_i, X_{i+3} \rangle$,

which is zero according to (8), unless $i = n - 2$. It remains the sum
 $\langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+1} \rangle + \langle R(X_{n+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{n+1} \rangle =$
 $-\langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{i+1}}X_{i+2}, X_{i+1} \rangle - \langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{n+1}}X_{i+2}, X_{n+1} \rangle -$
 $\langle D_{[X_{n+1}, X_i]}X_{i+2}, X_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$ $\square$

Proposition 2.6.

$$S(X_i, X_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_i - a_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (12)$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_i, X_{i+1}) &= \sum_{j=1, j \neq i, i+1}^n \langle D_{X_j}D_{X_i}X_{i+1}, X_j \rangle - \langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{n+1}}X_{i+1}, X_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle D_{[X_{n+1}, X_i]}X_{i+1}, X_{n+1} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j + \sum_{j=i+2}^n a_j \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}a_i - a_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}a_i. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.7. *The diagonal elements of the Ricci tensor are given by the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_1, X_1) &= -\frac{1}{2} + sa_1, \\ S(X_i, X_i) &= sa_i \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ S(X_n, X_n) &= \frac{1}{2} + sa_n, \\ S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) &= -\frac{n-1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $s = -\sum_{j=1}^n a_j$.

PROOF. We first recall that $S(X_j, X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle R(X_i, X_j)X_j, X_i \rangle$ for all $j=1, \dots, n$. A routine calculation using (3), (4) and also the notation $s = -\sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ leads to the first three formulas of (13). The last formula is identical with (10). $\square$

Let us denote

$$q = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \quad k = \left\lceil \frac{2n+1}{3} \right\rceil \quad \left(\text{the integral part of } \frac{2n+1}{3} \right). \quad (14)$$

We have $n \geq 3$, so k satisfies the inequalities $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Let us fix the parameters $a_1, \dots, a_n$ as follows:

$$a_j = (-2j + \delta_{jk} - \delta_{j,k+1}) qs \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (15)$$

where s is a parameter and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's symbol. Then obviously

$$s = - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j. \quad (16)$$

From (12), (13) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_i, X_{i+1}) &= ((2 - \delta_{i,k-1} + 2\delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1})q + 1)s/2 \\ &\quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ S(X_1, X_1) &= -2qs^2 - 1/2, \\ S(X_i, X_i) &= (-2i + \delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1}) qs^2 \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ S(X_n, X_n) &= (-2n - \delta_{n,k+1}) qs^2 + 1/2, \\ S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) &= - \left(\frac{2}{3}(2n+1) + 6q \right) qs^2 - \frac{n-1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now we shall prove the basic

Proposition 2.8. *For the choice of the parameters a_j as in (15) and for all sufficiently large values of s , the eigenvalues $\rho_i(s)$ of the Ricci matrix $[S(X_i, X_j)]$ are all distinct.*

PROOF. For $s \rightarrow +\infty$, all diagonal elements of the matrix are of order s^2 and all other elements are of a lower order. Therefore we define matrices $P(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$ and a constant matrix Q as follows:

$$P(s) = [S(X_i, X_j)] / qs^2, \quad Q = \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} P(s). \quad (18)$$

The matrix Q is a *diagonal* matrix. We use (17) to calculate its diagonal elements:

$$Q_{ii} = -2i + \delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (19)$$

$$Q_{n+1,n+1} = -\frac{2}{3}(2n+1) - 6q = - \left(\frac{4n+2}{3} + \frac{6}{n(n+1)} \right) \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad (20)$$

where for $n = 3$ we evaluate $Q_{n+1,n+1} = -\frac{31}{6} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and for $n \geq 4$ we estimate $0 < \frac{6}{n(n+1)} \leq \frac{6}{20} < \frac{1}{3}$, so $Q_{n+1,n+1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, too.

We see that all elements Q_{ii} ($i = 1, \dots, n+1$) of the diagonal matrix Q are *distinct*. Consider now the symmetric matrix $\tilde{P}(t) = P(1/t)$. We see easily that the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\tilde{C}(t, \lambda)$ of $\tilde{P}(t)$ are polynomials with respect to t and hence they are continuous at $t = 0$. The roots of the equation $\tilde{C}(0, \lambda) = 0$ are Q_{ii} , and hence all of them are simple roots, which implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{C}(0, \lambda)|_{\lambda=Q_{ii}} \neq 0$ for all i . Using the implicit function theorem, we see that the roots $\tilde{\lambda}_i(t)$ of the equation $\tilde{C}(t, \lambda) = 0$ are continuous functions of t , and because $\tilde{\lambda}_i(0) = Q_{ii}$, they are all distinct in a neighborhood of $t = 0$. Hence all values $\lambda_i(s) = \tilde{\lambda}_i(1/s)$ are distinct for each sufficiently large s . But the same is valid for the eigenvalues $\rho_i(s) = qs^2\lambda_i(s)$ of the Ricci matrix $[S(X_i, X_j)]$. $\square$

Remark. The choice (15) cannot be simplified to the form $a_j = -2jq s$ because, if $2n+1$ is divisible by 3, then the Q_{ii} are not all distinct and the proof does not work.

We can summarize:

Proposition 2.9. *Consider the space (G_n, g) with the parameters a_j as in (15). Then, for any sufficiently large value of s , each isometry preserving the identity $e \in G_n$ can act on the basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}\}$ of $g_n = T_e G_n$ only as a composition of reflections and hence there is only finite number of such isometries. Hence G_n acting on itself by the left translations is the identity component of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(G_n, g)$.*

Corollary 2.10. *For the space (G_n, g) as above, if the parameter s is sufficiently large, then all geodesic vectors are contained in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n$.*

Now, Lemma 1.2 can be applied in the simplified form and we obtain

Theorem 2.11. *For the space (G_n, g) as above, if the numbers a_j are given by (15) and if the parameter s is sufficiently large, then all geodesic vectors $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ are multiples of the vector X_{n+1} . Consequently, there is (up to a parametrization) only one homogeneous geodesic through each point.*

PROOF. Let us denote $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i X_i$ and let us express the condition of Lemma 1.2 in an explicit form. We can write it as

$$\left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i X_i, X_j \right], \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n+1. \quad (21)$$

According to (1), this is reduced to the formulas

$$x_{n+1} \left\langle [X_{n+1}, X_j], \sum_{k=1}^n x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i, X_{n+1} \right], \sum_{k=1}^n x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0.$$

The first equations can be expressed in the form

$$x_{n+1}(a_j x_j + x_{j+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (23)$$

and $x_{n+1} a_n x_n = 0.$

According to our choice, all parameters a_j are negative and hence nonzero. Assuming that x_{n+1} is nonzero, we obtain by induction that all other x_j are zero. Suppose now that $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then using the second equation of (22) we get the following quadratic equation for the components $x_1, \dots, x_n$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j (x_j)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k x_{k+1} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Because all a_j are *negative* constant multiples of s , the equation (24) has only trivial solution for any sufficiently large value of s . This concludes the proof of the main result. $\square$

By a simple modification of the previous procedure, we can easily obtain the following

Theorem 2.12. *Let (p, q) denote the prescribed signature of a quadratic form in n variables, $p + q = n$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$. Then there exists a space (G_n, g) and parameters a_j (with sufficiently large absolute values) such that the family of all geodesic vectors $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ is a disjoint union of $\text{span}(X_{n+1}) - (0)$ and of a real hypercone (with deleted vertex) in the orthogonal complement of X_{n+1} whose signature is equal to (p, q) .*

References

- [1] V. V. KAJZER, Conjugate points of left-invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Soviet Math.* **34** (1990), 32–44.
- [2] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry II, *Interscience Publishers, New York*, 1969.
- [3] O. KOWALSKI, Generalized Symmetric Spaces, Vol. 805, Lecture Notes in Math., *Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York*, 1980.
- [4] O. KOWALSKI and S. Ž. NIKČEVIĆ, On geodesic graphs of Riemannian g.o. spaces, *Arch. Math.* **73** (1999), 223–234.
- [5] O. KOWALSKI, S. Ž. NIKČEVIĆ and Z. VLÁŠEK, Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds – Examples, Preprint *Reihe Mathematik* **665**, *TU Berlin* (2000), Also in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds (Beijing/Berlin 1999) (2000), *World Sci. Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ*, 104–112.
- [6] O. KOWALSKI and J. SZENTHE, On the existence of homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, *Geometriae Dedicata* **81** (2000), 209–214, Erratum: *Geometriae Dedicata* **84** (2001), 331–332.
- [7] O. KOWALSKI and L. VANHECKE, Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* B(7) **5** (1991), 189–246.
- [8] R. A. MARINOSCI, Homogeneous geodesics in a three-dimensional Lie group, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **43**, 2 (2002), 261–270.
- [9] F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE, Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Note Series London Math. Soc. **83**, *Cambridge Univ. Press, London*, 1983.

OLDŘICH KOWALSKI
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
 CHARLES UNIVERSITY
 SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA
 CZECH REPUBLIC
 E-mail: kowalski@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

ZDENĚK VLÁŠEK
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
 CHARLES UNIVERSITY
 SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA
 CZECH REPUBLIC
 E-mail: vlasek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received April 22, 2002; revised August 30, 2002)