

On harmonic sequences

By LI-XIA DAI (Nanjing) and YONG-GAO CHEN (Nanjing)

Abstract. A sequence $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ of positive integers is called *harmonic* if there exist k integers $a_1, \dots, a_k$ such that for every integer b there exists at most one i with $b \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$. In this paper, for $k \leq 14$, we prove that if $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then $(n_i, n_j) = k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

1. Introduction

For two integers a, n , let $a \pmod{n} = \{a + nt : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $n_1, \dots, n_k$ be k positive integers and $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be k integers. It is well known that if $(n_i, n_j) \mid a_i - a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

has solutions. That is,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k a_i \pmod{n_i} \neq \emptyset.$$

When are $\{a_i \pmod{n_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ disjoint, that is,

$$a_i \pmod{n_i} \cap a_j \pmod{n_j} = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq k?$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A07, 11B25.

Key words and phrases: covering systems, odd numbers, sums of prime powers.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 10171046 and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Nanjing Normal University.

A sequence $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ of positive integers is called *harmonic* if there exist k integers $a_1, \dots, a_k$ such that $\{a_i \pmod{n_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ are disjoint, that is, $a_i \pmod{n_i} \cap a_j \pmod{n_j} = \phi$, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. In 1982, A. P. HUH and L. MEGYESI [3] proved that if all $(n_i, n_j) > 1$ and (n_i, n_j) ($1 \leq i < j \leq k$) are distinct, then $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic. Z. W. SUN [5] improved this by proving that if the number of pairs $\{i, j\}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $(n_i, n_j) = d$ is less than $\sqrt{(d+7)/8}$ for all $d \leq 2^{k-2}$, then $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic. Y. G. CHEN [1] proved that if the number of pairs $\{i, j\}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $(n_i, n_j) \leq d$ is less than $\frac{1}{8}(d^2 + d) + \frac{1}{2}$ for all $d \leq 2k - 2$, then $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic. Y. G. CHEN [2] proved that if the number of pairs $\{i, j\}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $(n_i, n_j) \leq d$ is less than d for all $d \leq k - 1$, then $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic. The following conjecture appeared in M. R. SUN [4].

Conjecture. *If $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then $(n_i, n_j) = k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.*

M. R. SUN [4] proved that the conjecture is true for $2 \leq k \leq 7$. In this paper, we prove that the conjecture is true for $8 \leq k \leq 14$.

Theorem. *Let $8 \leq k \leq 14$. If $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then $(n_i, n_j) = k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.*

Remark. For given k , by $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ and Lemma 1 in Section 2 we may assume that every prime power divisor of n_i is less than k . Hence each n_i can take only finitely many possible values. We may also assume that $0 \leq a_i < n_i$. Thus we change the problem into finite calculation. But it has many troubles except for several small k .

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1. *$a \pmod{m} \cap b \pmod{n} = \phi$ if and only if $(m, n) \nmid a - b$.*

Proof is clear. Thus, if $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is harmonic, then $(n_i, n_j) > 1$ for all i, j .

Lemma 2 (M. R. SUN [4]). *Let $l \geq 3$. If the conjecture is true for all $2 \leq k \leq l-1$ and $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^l$ is a harmonic sequence with $(n_i, n_j) \leq l$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, then there are at least three integers of $n_1, \dots, n_l$ which are divisible by l .*

PROOF. Suppose that there are at most two integers of $n_1, \dots, n_l$ which are divisible by l . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l \nmid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l-2$). Then $(n_i, n_j) \leq l-1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ and $1 \leq i \leq l-2$. Since the conjecture is true for $k = l-1$, by considering $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{l-1}$ and $\{n_i\}_{i=1, i \neq l-1}^l$, we have $(n_i, n_j) = l-1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ and $1 \leq i \leq l-2$. Thus $l-1 \mid n_{l-1}$ and $l-1 \mid n_l$. By $(n_{l-1}, n_l) \leq l$ we have $(n_{l-1}, n_l) = l-1$. Hence $(n_i, n_j) = l-1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$. Since $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^l$ is a harmonic sequence, by Lemma 1, there exist l integers $a_1, \dots, a_l$ such that $(n_i, n_j) \nmid a_i - a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, that is, $a_1, \dots, a_l$ are incongruent each other modulo $l-1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $d \geq 2$, $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{dh}$ be a harmonic sequence with $(n_i, n_j) \leq dh$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq dh-r$ and $h \mid n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq dh-r$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_{dh-r}$ be integers with $(n_i, n_j) \nmid a_i - a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq dh-r$. If the conjecture is true for all $2 \leq k \leq d+1$, then there are at least $h-r$ residue classes modulo h in each of which there are exactly d integers of $a_1, \dots, a_{dh-r}$ and $dh \mid n_i$ when a_i are in these $h-r$ residue classes. Thus $dh \mid n_i$ for at least $d(h-r)$ of $i \in \{1, \dots, dh-r\}$.*

PROOF. If there are $d+1$ integers of $a_1, \dots, a_{dh-r}$ in the same residue class modulo h , say $a_{i_j} = hb_{i_j} + s$, $j = 1, \dots, d+1$, then, by $(n_{i_u}, n_{i_v}) \nmid a_{i_u} - a_{i_v}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{n_{i_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{i_v}}{h}\right) \nmid b_{i_u} - b_{i_v}$$

for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d+1$. Thus $\{\frac{n_{i_j}}{h}\}_{j=1}^{d+1}$ is harmonic. By $(\frac{n_{i_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{i_v}}{h}) \leq d$ for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d+1$ and the conjecture being true for $k = d+1$, we have $(\frac{n_{i_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{i_v}}{h}) = d+1$ for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d+1$, a contradiction with $(\frac{n_{i_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{i_v}}{h}) \leq d$. Hence there are at most d integers of $a_1, \dots, a_{dh-r}$ in each residue class modulo h . By $(h-r-1)d + (r+1)(d-1) < dh-r$, there

exist at least $h - r$ residue classes modulo h in each of which there are exactly d integers of $a_1, \dots, a_{dh-r}$.

Let $a_{w_j} = hb_{w_j} + t$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, then, by $(n_{w_u}, n_{w_v}) \nmid a_{w_u} - a_{w_v}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{n_{w_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{w_v}}{h}\right) \nmid b_{w_u} - b_{w_v}$$

for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d$. Thus $\{\frac{n_{w_j}}{h}\}_{j=1}^d$ is harmonic. By $(\frac{n_{w_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{w_v}}{h}) \leq d$ for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d$ and the conjecture being true for $k = d$, we have $(\frac{n_{w_u}}{h}, \frac{n_{w_v}}{h}) = d$ for all $1 \leq u < v \leq d$. Hence $dh \mid n_i$ for at least $(h - r)d$ of $i \in \{1, \dots, dh - r\}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let p be a prime with $p \mid l + 1$. If the conjecture is true for all $2 \leq k \leq l$, $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{l+1}$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq l + 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l + 1$ and $p \mid n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l + 1$, then $(n_i, n_j) = l + 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l + 1$.*

Proof follows from Lemma 3 immediately.

Lemma 5. *Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. If $k \mid n_1, \dots, k \mid n_t$, then, either $(n_i, k) > 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ or $t \leq \pi(k) - \omega(k)$, where $\pi(k)$ and $\omega(k)$ denote the number of primes not exceeding k and the number of distinct prime factors of k respectively. Furthermore, if $(n_j, k) = 1$, then n_j has at least t distinct prime factors which are less than k .*

PROOF. Assume that $(n_{i_0}, k) = 1$ for some i_0 . Since $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq t$, we have $(\frac{n_i}{k}, \frac{n_j}{k}) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq t$. By $k \geq (n_{i_0}, \frac{n_i}{k}) = (n_{i_0}, n_i) > 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, we have n_{i_0} has at least t distinct prime factors which are less than k . Noting that $(n_{i_0}, k) = 1$, we have $t \leq \omega(n_{i_0}) \leq \pi(k) - \omega(k)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let d be a positive odd integer with $d \geq 3$ and r a positive integer. If the conjecture is true for $k < 2d$ and $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{2d}$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq 2d$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 2d$ such that $2 \mid n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2d - r$ and $2 \nmid n_j$, $d \mid n_j$ for $2d - r + 1 \leq j \leq 2d$, then $r \geq 2$.*

PROOF. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{2d}$ be integers with $(n_i, n_j) \nmid a_i - a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 2d$. If $r = 1$, then by Lemma 3 there are d integers of $a_1, \dots, a_{2d-1}$

which are in the same residue class modulo 2, say $a_1, \dots, a_d$, and $2d \mid n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Since $d \mid n_{2d}$ and $2d \nmid n_{2d}$, we have $(n_{2d}, n_i) = d$ by $(n_{2d}, n_i) \leq 2d$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus $a_i \not\equiv a_{2d} \pmod{d}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. That is, a_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) are in $d-1$ residue classes modulo d . Hence there are two integers of a_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) which are congruent modulo $2d$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 6. $\square$

Lemma 7. *Let p be an odd prime and r, s be positive integers. Suppose that the conjecture is true for all $2 \leq k < 2p$ and $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ is harmonic with $(n_i, n_j) \leq 2p$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 2p$,*

$$2 \mid n_i, \quad p \nmid n_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq s), \quad 2p \mid n_j(s+1 \leq j \leq 2p-r),$$

$$p \mid n_u, \quad 2 \nmid n_u(2p-r+1 \leq u \leq 2p).$$

Then each n_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) has at least $\max\{r, p-s+r-1\}+1$ distinct prime factors which are less than $2p$ and

$$\max\{r, p-s+r-1\} \leq \pi(2p) - 2.$$

PROOF. By $(n_u, n_{u'}) \leq 2p$ we have $(n_u, n_{u'}) = p$ for $2p-r+1 \leq u < u' \leq 2p$. Hence, all a_u are incongruent modulo p and then $r \leq p$. Since $(n_j, n_u) = p$, we have that all a_j are in at most $p-r$ residue classes modulo p . Given $1 \leq i \leq s$. If there are $p-r+2$ of n_j which have no prime factors of n_i beyond 2, then there are $p-r+1$ of n_j with $4 \nmid n_j$ which have no prime factors of n_i beyond 2. For these n_j , we have $(n_i, n_j) = 2$ and then $a_j \not\equiv a_i \pmod{2}$. Thus, these corresponding a_j are congruent modulo 2. Since these a_j are in at most $p-r$ residue classes modulo p , there exist j, j' with $a_j \equiv a_{j'} \pmod{2p}$, a contradiction. Hence there are at most $p-r+1$ of n_j which have no prime factors of n_i beyond 2. By $(n_i, n_u) > 1$, $2 \nmid (n_i, n_u)$ and $p \nmid (n_i, n_u)$, we have that each n_u has at least one prime factor of n_i beyond 2. Since

$$\left(\frac{n_j}{p}, \frac{n_{j'}}{p}\right) = 2, \quad \left(\frac{n_u}{p}, \frac{n_{u'}}{p}\right) = 1, \quad \left(\frac{n_j}{p}, \frac{n_u}{p}\right) = 1,$$

we have that each n_i has at least $r + \max\{0, 2p-r-s-(p-r+1)\} + 1 = \max\{r, p-s+r-1\} + 1$ distinct prime factors which are less than $2p$ and

then

$$\max\{r, p - s + r - 1\} \leq \pi(2p) - 2.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7. $\square$

3. Proof of the theorem

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a harmonic sequence with $(n_i, n_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be integers with $(n_i, n_j) \nmid a_i - a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. For $k \geq 3$, by Lemma 2, we may assume that $k \mid n_1$, $k \mid n_2$ and $k \mid n_3$. It is enough to prove that $k \mid n_i$ for all i . For $d \geq 2$, let

$$A_d = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq k, d \mid n_i\}$$

and

$$\overline{A_d} = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq k, d \nmid n_i\}.$$

By Lemma 4 we may assume that $|\overline{A_d}| \geq 1$ and $|A_d| \leq k - 1$.

For $2 \leq k \leq 7$, M. R. SUN [4] proved that the conjecture is true. In fact, the cases $k = 2, 3$ are clear.

Case $k = 4$: By Lemma 5 we have $(4, n_i) > 1$. Thus $2 \mid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$). Then, by Lemma 4 we obtain a proof.

Case $k = 5$: By Lemma 5 we have $5 \mid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$).

Case $k = 6$: By Lemma 5 we have $(6, n_i) > 1$ ($i = 4, 5, 6$). By Lemmas 4 and 6 we may assume that $2 \nmid n_4$, $2 \nmid n_5$ and $3 \nmid n_6$. By Lemma 7 we have $\max\{2, 3 - 1 + 2 - 1\} \leq \pi(6) - 2$, a contradiction.

Case $k = 7$: If there are four of n_i which are divisible by 7, then by Lemma 5 we have $7 \mid n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$. Now assume that $7 \nmid n_i$ ($4 \leq i \leq 7$). By Lemma 5 each of n_i ($4 \leq i \leq 7$) has at least three distinct prime factors which are less than 7. This means that $30 \mid n_i$ ($4 \leq i \leq 7$), a contradiction with $(n_i, n_j) \leq 7$.

Case $k = 8$: By Lemma 5 we know that if $2 \nmid n_i$, then n_i has at least three prime factors which are less than 8. Hence n_i must be divisible by 3, 5 and 7. Thus there are at most one n_i with $2 \nmid n_i$. By Lemma 3 there are

at least four of n_i with $8 \mid n_i$. By $4 > \pi(8) - \omega(8)$ and Lemma 5 we have $2 \mid n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 8$. By Lemma 4 we have $8 \mid n_i$ for all i .

Case $k = 9$: By Lemma 5 we know that if $3 \nmid n_i$, then n_i has at least three prime factors which are less than 9. Hence n_i must be divisible by 2, 5 and 7. Thus there are at most one n_i with $3 \nmid n_i$. By Lemma 3 there are at least six of n_i with $9 \mid n_i$. By $6 > \pi(9) - \omega(9)$ and Lemma 5 we have $3 \mid n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 9$. By Lemma 4 we have $9 \mid n_i$ for all i .

Case $k = 10$: By $3 > \pi(10) - \omega(10)$ and Lemma 5 we have $(10, n_i) > 1$ for all i . By Lemmas 4 and 6 we have $s \geq 1$, $r \geq 2$, where r, s are as in Lemma 7. By Lemma 7 we have that if $5 \nmid n_i$, then n_i has at least 3 distinct prime factors which are less than 10 and thus $42 \mid n_i$. Hence $s = 1$. By Lemma 7, $5 - 1 + r - 1 \leq \pi(10) - 2$, a contradiction.

Case $k = 11$: By Lemma 5, if $i \in \overline{A_{11}}$, then n_i must be divisible by at least three of 2, 3, 5 and 7. Noting that $(n_i, n_j) \leq 11$, we have $|\overline{A_{11}}| \leq 4$. That is, $|A_{11}| \geq 7$. By Lemma 5 we have $|A_{11}| = 11$.

Case $k = 12$: Subcase 12.1: $|A_2 \cup A_3| \leq 11$. If $i \notin A_2 \cup A_3$ and $j \in A_3$, then $(n_i, n_j) = 5, 7, 11$. Since $|A_3| \geq 3$ and each of 5, 7 and 11 divides at most one of n_j with $j \in A_3$ by $(n_j, n_{j'}) \leq 12$, we have $A_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and n_i must be divisible by $5 \times 7 \times 11$. Thus $|A_2 \cup A_3| = 11$. By $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq A_2$ we have $|A_2| = 11$. By Lemma 3 we have $|A_{12}| \geq 6$. This contradicts with $|A_3| = 3$.

Subcase 12.2: $|A_2 \cup A_3| = 12$ and $|A_2| = 11$. By Lemma 3, without loss of generality, we may assume that a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) are in one residue class modulo 2 and $12 \mid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$). Since $|A_9 \cap A_2| \leq 1$, we may assume that $9 \nmid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$). Let $j \notin A_2$. Then $(n_i, n_j) = 3$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$). Thus $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{3}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$). That is, a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) are in two residue classes modulo 3. Hence a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) are in four residue classes modulo 12, a contradiction.

Subcase 12.3: $|A_2 \cup A_3| = 12$ and $|A_3| = 11$. By Lemma 3, without loss of generality, we may assume that a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8$) are in two residue classes modulo 3 and $12 \mid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$). Since $|A_3 \cap A_8| \leq 1$ and $|A_3 \cap A_5| \leq 1$, we may assume that $8 \nmid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$, $i \neq i_0$) and $5 \nmid n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$, $i \neq j_0$).

Let $j \notin A_3$. If $4 \nmid n_j$, then $(n_i, n_j) = 2$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq j_0$). Thus $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{2}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq j_0$). That is, a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq j_0$) are in one residue class modulo 2. Hence a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq j_0$) are in four residue classes modulo 12, a contradiction. If $4 \mid n_j$, then $(n_i, n_j) = 4$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq i_0$). Thus $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{4}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq i_0$). That is, a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq i_0$) are in three residue classes modulo 4. Hence a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8, i \neq i_0$) are in six residue classes modulo 12, a contradiction.

Subcase 12.4: $|A_2 \cup A_3| = 12$, $|A_2| \leq 10$ and $|A_3| \leq 10$. For $i \notin A_2$ and $j \notin A_3$, we have $(n_i, n_j) = 5, 7, 11$ and $i \in A_3, j \in A_2$. Since each of 5, 7 and 11 divides at most one of n_i with $i \in A_3$, we have that if $|\overline{A_2}| \geq 3$, then n_j must be divisible by $5 \times 7 \times 11$, a contradiction with $|\overline{A_3}| \geq 2$ and $(n_j, n_{j'}) \leq 12$. Hence $|\overline{A_2}| = 2$. Thus n_j must be divisible by at least two of 5, 7, 11. Since for $j, j' \notin A_3$, $(n_j, n_{j'}) \leq 12$, we have $|\overline{A_3}| = 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \mid n_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq 8), \quad 2 \mid n_9, \quad 2 \mid n_{10}, \quad 3 \nmid n_9, \\ 3 \nmid n_{10}, \quad 2 \nmid n_{11}, \quad 2 \nmid n_{12}, \quad 3 \mid n_{11}, \quad 3 \mid n_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By $(n_i, n_j) = 5, 7$ and 11 ($i = 9, 10; j = 11, 12$), without loss of generality, we may assume that $5 \times 7 \mid n_9$, $5 \times 11 \mid n_{10}$, $5 \mid n_{11}$ and $7 \times 11 \mid n_{12}$. Further, we may assume that $4 \nmid n_9$. Thus

$$(n_i, 5 \times 7 \times 11) = 1, \quad (n_i, n_9) = 2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 8).$$

So $a_i \not\equiv a_9 \pmod{2}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$). Hence there are $1 \leq i < i' \leq 8$ with $a_i \equiv a_{i'} \pmod{12}$, a contradiction.

Case $k = 13$: By Lemma 5, for $i \notin A_{13}$, n_i must be divisible by at least three of 2, 3, 5, 7 and 11. Since $|A_{77}| \leq 1$, $|A_{55}| \leq 1$ and $|A_{35}| \leq 1$, we have $|\overline{A_{13}}| \leq 6$. Thus $|A_{13}| \geq 7$. By Lemma 5 we have $13 \mid n_i$ for all i .

Case $k = 14$: Subcase 14.1: $|\overline{A_2} \cap \overline{A_7}| \geq 2$. We may assume that $(n_{13}, 14) = 1$, $(n_{14}, 14) = 1$. By Lemma 5 we have that n_{13}, n_{14} must be divisible by at least three of 3, 5, 11 and 13. Thus $(n_{13}, n_{14}) \geq 15$. This contradicts with $(n_{13}, n_{14}) \leq 14$.

Subcase 14.2: $|\overline{A_2} \cap \overline{A_7}| = 1$. We may assume that $(n_{14}, 14) = 1$, $(n_i, 14) > 1, 1 \leq i \leq 13$, then $(n_i, n_{14}) = 3, 5, 9, 11$ and 13 ($1 \leq i \leq 13$).

If 7 divides five of n_i with $1 \leq i \leq 13$, then one of 3, 5, 11 and 13 must divide at least two of the five integers. Thus the largest common divisor of the two integers is not less than 21, a contradiction. So $|A_7| \leq 4$. By Lemma 2 there are at least three n_i with $i \in A_7$ which must be divisible by 2. Thus there are at least twelve even integers in $n_1, \dots, n_{13}$. If $n_1, \dots, n_{13}$ are all even integers, then by Lemma 3 we know that 14 must divide at least seven integers in $n_1, \dots, n_{13}$, a contradiction. Now we may assume that

$$14 \mid n_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3), \ 7 \mid n_4, \ 2 \nmid n_4, \ 2 \mid n_j, \ 7 \nmid n_j \ (5 \leq j \leq 13).$$

Since $(n_{14}, n_i) = 3, 5, 9, 11, 13$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and each of 3, 5, 11 and 13 divides at most one of n_1, n_2, n_3 and n_4 otherwise $(n_i, n_j) \geq 21$ for some $i \neq j$, we have that $3 \times 5 \times 11 \times 13 \mid n_{14}$ and may assume that $p_i \mid n_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), where p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 is a permutation of 3, 5, 11 and 13. By $(n_4, n_i) = 3, 5, 9, 11$ and 13 ($5 \leq i \leq 13$), we have $p_4 \mid n_i$ ($5 \leq i \leq 13$). Thus $(p_1 p_2 p_3, n_i) = 1$ ($5 \leq i \leq 13$) otherwise $(n_i, n_{14}) \geq 15$. Since at most one of n_1, n_2 and n_3 is divisible by 4, we may assume that $4 \nmid n_1$. Then $(n_i, n_1) = 2$ and $a_i \not\equiv a_1 \pmod{2}$ ($5 \leq i \leq 13$). Let $a_i = 2b_i + a_1 + 1$ ($5 \leq i \leq 13$). Then

$$\left(\frac{n_i}{2}, \frac{n_j}{2}\right) \nmid b_i - b_j, \quad \left(\frac{n_i}{2}, \frac{n_j}{2}\right) \leq 7, \quad 5 \leq i < j \leq 13.$$

This contradicts Case $k = 9$.

Subcase 14.3: $|\overline{A_2} \cap \overline{A_7}| = 0$. That is $(n_i, 14) > 1$, $1 \leq i \leq 14$. Let

$$2 \mid n_i, \ 7 \nmid n_i \ (1 \leq i \leq s), \quad 14 \mid n_j \ (s+1 \leq j \leq 14-r),$$

$$7 \mid n_u, \ 2 \nmid n_u \ (15-r \leq u \leq 14).$$

By Lemma 4 we may assume that $s \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. By Lemma 2 we have $s+r \leq 11$. By Lemma 7, each n_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) must be divisible by at least $\max\{r, 6-s+r\}$ of 3, 5, 11 and 13, and $\max\{r, 6-s+r\} \leq 4$. By Lemma 6 we have $r \geq 2$. Thus $s \geq 2+r \geq 4$. Since each of 11 and 13 divides at most one of $n_1, \dots, n_s$, there are at least two of $n_1, \dots, n_s$ which must be divisible by 3×5 , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Case $k = 14$.

References

- [1] Y. G. CHEN, On m -Harmonic Sequences, *Discrete Math.* **162** (1996), 273–280.
- [2] Y. G. CHEN, A Theorem on Harmonic Sequences, *Discrete Math.* **186** (1998), 287–288.
- [3] A. P. HUHN and L. MEGYESI, On disjoint residue classes, *Discrete Math.* **41** (1982), 327–330.
- [4] M. R. SUN, A Note on Harmonic Sequences, *J. Nanjing Normal Univ.* **4** (2002), 31–35.
- [5] Z. W. SUN, On disjoint residue classes, *Discrete Math.* **104** (1992), 321–326.

LI-XIA DAI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
NANJING 210097
CHINA

YONG-GAO CHEN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
NANJING 210097
CHINA

E-mail: ygchen@pine.njnu.edu.cn

(Received August 19, 2003; revised January 21, 2004)