

## Linear iterative equations of higher orders and random-valued functions

By KAROL BARON (Katowice)

*Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on his 70th birthday*

**Abstract.** Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ , a separable metric space  $X$  with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of all its Borel subsets and a  $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ -measurable  $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  we consider the equation

$$(E) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(x, \omega)) P(d\omega)$$

and iterates  $f^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of  $f$  defined on  $X \times \Omega^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $f^1(x, \omega) = f(x, \omega_1)$  and  $f^{n+1}(x, \omega) = f(f^n(x, \omega), \omega_{n+1})$ . Assuming that for every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in law and  $\pi(x, \cdot)$  denotes the limit distribution we show that for every Borel and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $x \mapsto \int_X u(y) \pi(x, dy)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is a Borel solution of (E) and we study regularity of these solutions.

**1.** Throughout the paper  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  is a probability space and  $(X, \varrho)$  is a separable metric space.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a *random-valued function* (shortly: an *rv-function*) if it is measurable with respect to the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . The iterates of such an rv-function are

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 39B12, 39B22, 39B52.

*Key words and phrases:* linear iterative equations, Borel and Lipschitzian solutions, random-valued functions, iterates.

The research was supported by the Silesian University Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations and Real Analysis program).

given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^1(x, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) &= f(x, \omega_1), \\ f^{n+1}(x, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) &= f(f^n(x, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots), \omega_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x$  from  $X$  and  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$  from  $\Omega^\infty$  defined as  $\Omega^\mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $f^n : X \times \Omega^\infty \rightarrow X$  is an rv-function on the product probability space  $(\Omega^\infty, \mathcal{A}^\infty, P^\infty)$ . More exactly, the  $n$ -th iterate  $f^n$  is  $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable, where  $\mathcal{A}_n$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all the sets of the form

$$\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty : (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in A\}$$

with  $A$  from the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}^n$ . (See [6; Section 1.4], [3], [4].)

Fix an rv-function  $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow X$ .

According to R. KAPICA [4; Theorem 2] the probability distribution of the limit in measure of  $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  always produces a bounded solution of the equation

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(x, \omega)) P(d\omega) \quad (1)$$

which in addition is nonconstant provided the limit really depends on  $x$ . We generalize this theorem to the weak convergence of distributions and show that a simple additional condition guarantees that both the limit distribution and solutions of (1) generated by this limit are Lipschitzian.

By a distribution (on  $X$ ) we mean any probability measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Recall that a sequence  $(\pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of distributions converges weakly to a distribution  $\pi$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X u(x) \pi_n(dx) = \int_X u(x) \pi(dx)$$

for any continuous and bounded function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known (see, [1; Theorem 11.3.3]) that this convergence is metrizable by the (Fortet–Mourier, Lévy–Prohorov, Wasserstein) metric:

$$\|\pi_1 - \pi_2\|_W = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X u d\pi_1 - \int_X u d\pi_2 \right| : u \in \text{Lip}_1(X), \|u\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\},$$

where

$$\text{Lip}_1(X) = \{u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid |u(x) - u(z)| \leq \varrho(x, z) \text{ for } x, z \in X\}$$

and  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup\{|u(x)| : x \in X\}$  for a bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**2.** Let  $\pi_n(x, \cdot)$  denote the distribution of  $f^n(x, \cdot)$ , i.e.,

$$\pi_n(x, B) = P^\infty(f^n(x, \cdot) \in B) \quad (2)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Clearly,  $\pi_1(x, \cdot)$  is the distribution of  $f(x, \cdot)$ :

$$\pi_1(x, B) = P(f(x, \cdot) \in B) \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (3)$$

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the function  $\pi_n(\cdot, B)$  given by (2) is Borel and*

$$\pi_{n+1}(x, B) = \int_{\Omega} \pi_n(f(x, \omega), B) P(d\omega) \quad \text{for } x \in X; \quad (4)$$

moreover, if  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Borel and bounded, then the function

$$x \mapsto \int_X u(y) \pi_n(x, dy), \quad x \in X, \quad (5)$$

is Borel, for every  $x \in X$  the function

$$\omega \mapsto \int_X u(y) \pi_n(f(x, \omega), dy), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad (6)$$

is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable and

$$\int_X u(y) \pi_{n+1}(x, dy) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_X u(y) \pi_n(f(x, \omega), dy) \right) P(d\omega). \quad (7)$$

PROOF. Since

$$C := \{(x, \omega) \in X \times \Omega^\infty : f^n(x, \omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}^\infty,$$

the function

$$x \mapsto P^\infty(C_x), \quad x \in X,$$

i.e.  $\pi_n(\cdot, B)$ , is (see, e.g., [7; Theorem 6.3.1]) Borel. To get (4) note that (by induction)

$$f^{n+1}(x, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) = f^n(f(x, \omega_1), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots)$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty$ , and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+1}(x, B) &= P^\infty(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty : f^n(f(x, \omega_1), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots) \in B\}) \\ &= P^\infty(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega^\infty : (\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots) \in C_{f(x, \omega_1)}\}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} P^\infty(C_{f(x, \omega_1)})(P(d\omega_1)) = \int_{\Omega} \pi_n(f(x, \omega_1), B) P(d\omega_1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in X$ .

If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $u = \mathbf{1}_B$ , then (5) is the function  $\pi_n(\cdot, B)$  – and we already have shown that it is Borel – whereas (6) is the function  $\omega \mapsto \pi_n(f(x, \omega), B)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , which is clearly  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, and (7) reduces to (4) for every  $x \in X$ . A pass to the general case is standard.  $\square$

Now we assume the following condition.

(H) For every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(\pi_n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by (2) converges weakly to a distribution  $\pi(x, \cdot)$ .

The following theorem generalizes [4; Theorem 2].

**Theorem 1.** *If (H) holds, then for every Borel and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$\varphi(x) = \int_X u(y) \pi(x, dy) \quad (8)$$

*is a Borel and bounded solution of (1); in particular, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the function  $\pi(\cdot, B)$  is a Borel solution of (1).*

PROOF. Assume first that  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and bounded. Since for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function (5) is Borel, so is (see [1; Theorem 4.2.2]) the pointwise limit

$$x \mapsto \int_X u(y) \pi(x, dy), \quad x \in X, \quad (9)$$

of the sequence built of these functions. Moreover, making use of (7) and applying the Lebesgue dominated theorem we have also

$$\int_X u(y) \pi(x, dy) = \int_\Omega \left( \int_X u(y) \pi(f(x, \omega), dy) \right) P(d\omega) \quad \text{for } x \in X, \quad (10)$$

which means that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) solves (1).

Fix now a Borel and bounded function  $u_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , put

$$M = \|u_0\|_\infty$$

and consider the family  $\mathbf{U}$  of all Borel functions  $u : X \rightarrow [-M, M]$  such that the function (9) is Borel and (10) holds. The previous part of the proof shows that any continuous  $u : X \rightarrow [-M, M]$  is in  $\mathbf{U}$ . Moreover, from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it follows that  $\mathbf{U}$  contains the limit of any pointwise convergent sequence of functions in  $\mathbf{U}$ . Consequently (see [7; Theorem 4.5.2]) every Borel function  $u : X \rightarrow [-M, M]$  belongs to  $\mathbf{U}$ . In particular,  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ . This proves the main part of Theorem 1.

To finish the proof observe that if  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then putting  $u = \mathbf{1}_B$  in (8) we get  $\varphi = \pi(\cdot, B)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Assume (H), let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and

$$P(f(x, \cdot) \in A) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in A. \quad (11)$$

If  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F \subset X$  is closed and

$$P(f(x, \cdot) \in F) \geq p \quad \text{for } x \in A, \quad (12)$$

then

$$\pi(x, F) \geq p \quad \text{for } x \in A. \quad (13)$$

PROOF. By induction, making use of (3) and the recurrence (4), we obtain

$$\pi_n(x, F) \geq p \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

Since  $F$  is closed, this jointly with (H) gives (see [1; Theorem 11.1.1])

$$p \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n(x, F) \leq \pi(x, F)$$

for  $x \in A$ . □

*Remark 2.* Assume (H) and let a finite  $A \subset X$  satisfies (11). If  $x_0 \in X$ ,

$$f(x_0, \cdot) = x_0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (14)$$

and

$$P(f(x, \cdot) = x_0) < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in A, \quad (15)$$

then

$$\pi(x, \cdot) \neq \pi(x_0, \cdot) \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

PROOF. Let  $B(x_0, r)$  denote the open ball with center at  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ . From (15) it follows that

$$0 < P(f(x, \cdot) \in X \setminus \{x_0\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(f(x, \cdot) \in X \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

for  $x \in A$ . Since  $A$  is finite it shows that there is a positive integer  $n$  such that (12) holds with

$$F = X \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad p = \min\{P(f(x, \cdot) \in F) : x \in A\} > 0.$$

By Remark 1 we have (13). On the other hand, from (3) and (14),

$$\pi_1(x_0, B) = P(x_0 \in B) = \mathbf{1}_B(x_0) = \delta_{x_0}(B)$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  which jointly with (4) and (14) shows that  $\pi_n(x_0, \cdot) = \delta_{x_0}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently also

$$\pi(x_0, \cdot) = \delta_{x_0} \quad (16)$$

and since  $x_0 \notin F$  we see that

$$\pi(x_0, F) = 0 < p \leq \pi(x, F)$$

for  $x \in A$ . □

**3.** To obtain more information about the limit distribution and solutions of (1) generated by this limit we assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho(f(x, \omega), f(z, \omega)) P(d\omega) \leq \lambda \varrho(x, z) \quad \text{for } x, z \in X. \quad (17)$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume (H). If (17) holds with a  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , then:

- (i) for every Lipschitzian and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is of the first Baire class and a bounded solution of (1);
- (ii) if  $x, z \in X$  and  $\pi(x, \cdot) \neq \pi(z, \cdot)$ , then (1) has a bounded solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the first Baire class such that  $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(z)$ .

PROOF. From (17) it follows by induction that

$$\int_{\Omega^\infty} \varrho(f^n(x, \omega), f^n(z, \omega)) P^\infty(d\omega) \leq \lambda^n \varrho(x, z) \quad \text{for } x, z \in X \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, if  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and Lipschitzian with a Lipschitz constant  $L$ , then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega^\infty} u(f^n(x, \omega)) P^\infty(d\omega) - \int_{\Omega^\infty} u(f^n(z, \omega)) P^\infty(d\omega) \right| \leq L \lambda^n \varrho(x, z),$$

i.e., by (2),

$$\left| \int_X u(y) \pi_n(x, dy) - \int_X u(y) \pi_n(z, dy) \right| \leq L \lambda^n \varrho(x, z) \quad \text{for } x, z \in X \quad (18)$$

and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is the pointwise limit of Lipschitzian functions

$$x \mapsto \int_X u(y) \pi_n(x, dy), \quad x \in X,$$

hence of the first Baire class. This and Theorem 1 give (i).

To get (ii) it is enough to observe that if  $\pi(x, \cdot) \neq \pi(z, \cdot)$ , then (see [1, Proposition 11.3.2]) there exists a bounded  $u \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$  such that

$$\int_X u(y)\pi(x, dy) \neq \int_X u(y)\pi(z, dy)$$

and to apply part (i).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Assume (H) and let  $X$  be compact. If (17) holds with a  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , then for every continuous  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is of the first Baire class and a bounded solution of (1).*

PROOF. Fix a continuous function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and (see [1; Theorem 11.2.4]) let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of Lipschitzian mappings of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  uniformly convergent to  $u$ . Defining  $\varphi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varphi_n(x) = \int_X u_n(y)\pi(x, dy) \quad (19)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we see that  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  uniformly converges to the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8). It follows from Theorem 2(i) that  $\varphi_n$  is of the first Baire class for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so is (see [7; Theorem 3.5.2]) the uniform limit  $\varphi$ . This and Theorem 1 end the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Assume (H). If (17) holds with a  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , then for every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  the function  $\pi(\cdot, F)$  is of the second Baire class and a solution of (1).*

PROOF. Fix a closed  $F \subset X$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $u_n, \varphi_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$u_n(x) = 1 - \min\{1, n\varrho(x, F)\}$$

and (19). Since  $u_n$  is Lipschitzian, by Theorem 2(i) the function  $\varphi_n$  is of the first Baire class for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and since  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  pointwise converges to  $\mathbf{1}_F$ , by the Lebesgue dominated theorem  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  pointwise converges to  $\pi(\cdot, F)$ .  $\square$

Assuming (17) with  $\lambda = 1$  we can obtain much more.

**Theorem 3.** *Assume (H). If*

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho(f(x, \omega), f(z, \omega))P(d\omega) \leq \varrho(x, z) \quad \text{for } x, z \in X, \quad (20)$$

then

$$\|\pi(x, \cdot) - \pi(z, \cdot)\|_W \leq \varrho(x, z) \quad \text{for } x, z \in X \quad (21)$$

and

- (i) for every Lipschitzian and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is a Lipschitzian and bounded solution of (1);
- (i) if  $x, z \in X$  and  $\pi(x, \cdot) \neq \pi(z, \cdot)$ , then (1) has a Lipschitzian and bounded solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(z)$ .

PROOF. If  $u \in \text{Lip}_1(X)$  is bounded, then we have (18) with  $L = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and passing to the limit we see that (21) holds.

If  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and Lipschitzian with a Lipschitz constant  $L$ , then we have (18) with  $\lambda = 1$  and passing to the limit we see that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is Lipschitzian with a Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

To get (ii) we argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

*Remark 3* (cf. [2; Theorem 5.1]). Assume (H). If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous and bounded solution of (1), then

$$\varphi(x) = \int_X \varphi(y) \pi(x, dy) \quad \text{for } x \in X; \quad (22)$$

in particular, if  $x, z \in X$  and  $\pi(x, \cdot) = \pi(z, \cdot)$ , then  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(z)$ .

PROOF. It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega^\infty} \varphi(f^n(x, \omega)) P^\infty(d\omega) = \int_X \varphi(y) \pi_n(x, dy)$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Passing to the limit we obtain (22).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Assume (H) and (20). If (1) has a nonconstant continuous and bounded solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then it has also a nonconstant Lipschitzian and bounded solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. It is enough to apply Remark 3 and Theorem 3(ii).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** Assume (H) and (20). If (14) holds for an  $x_0 \in X$  and any Lipschitzian and bounded solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (1) is a constant function, then for every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(f^n(x, \cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x_0$  in probability.

PROOF. From (16) and Theorem 3(ii) it follows that

$$\pi(x, \cdot) = \delta_{x_0} \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Applying [1; Proposition 11.1.3] we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* In case of the convergence in probability [5; Theorem 3.4] brings completely different conditions ensuring that for some continuous and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by (8) is continuous and nonconstant. In view of Theorem 1, as the proof of [5; Theorem 3.4(iii)] shows it remains valid also in case (H) and for Borel and bounded  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (3.14) of [5].



## References

- [1] R. M. DUDLEY, Real Analysis and Probability, *Cambridge University Press*, 2007.
- [2] R. KAPICA, Sequences of iterates of random-valued functions and continuous solutions of a linear functional equation of infinite order, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **50** (2002), 447–455.
- [3] R. KAPICA, Convergence of sequences of random-valued vector functions, *Colloq. Math.* **97** (2003), 1–6.
- [4] R. KAPICA, Sequences of iterates of random-valued vector functions and solutions of related equations, *Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber. II* **213** (2004), 113–118.
- [5] R. KAPICA, Sequences of iterates of random-valued vector functions and continuous solutions of related equations, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **42**(62) (2007), 389–399.
- [6] M. KUCZMA, B. CHOCZEWSKI and R. GER, Iterative Functional Equations, *Combridge University Press*, 1990.
- [7] ST. LOJASIEWICZ, An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions, *John Wiley & Sons*, 1988.

KAROL BARON  
 UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI  
 INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI  
 BANKOWA 14  
 PL-40-007 KATOWICE  
 POLAND

*E-mail:* baron@us.edu.pl

*(Received August 26, 2008; revised March 5, 2009)*