

On the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series

By USHANGI GOGINAVA (Tbilisi) and KÁROLY NAGY (Nyíregyháza)

Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

Abstract. In the paper [3] we proved that the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of the 2-dimensional Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{2/3}$ to the space $L_{2/3}$.

Now, in this paper we prove a stronger result, that is there exists a martingale $f \in H_{2/3}$ such that the maximal Marcinkiewicz–Fejér operator with respect to Walsh–Kaczmarz system does not belong to the space $L_{2/3}$.

First, we give a brief introduction to the theory of dyadic analysis [8]. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh–Kaczmarz system, Fejér means, Marcinkiewicz means, maximal operator.

The first author is supported by the Georgian National Foundation for Scientific Research, grant no GNSF/ST07/3-171.

way:

$$I_0(x) := G,$$

$$I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\},$$

($x \in G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$). These sets are called dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$, the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k th Rademacher function. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$), i.e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh–Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh–Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The Walsh–Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 := 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_{|n|-k-1}}.$$

For $A \in \mathbb{N}$ define the transformation $\tau_A : G \rightarrow G$ by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots).$$

By the definition of τ_A (see [11]), we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in G).$$

The space $L_p(G^2)$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ with norms or quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ is defined in the usual way.

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k(x),$$

where $\alpha_k = w_k$ or κ_k . Recall that (see e.g. [8])

$$D_{2^n}(x) := D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n(0), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n(0). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The two-dimensional dyadic cubes are of the form

$$I_{n,n}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_n(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,n}(x, y) : (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ is denoted by $F_{n,n}$.

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,n)}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_{n,n}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e.g. [15]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^\square = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f^{(n,n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^\square(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,n}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,n}(x, y)} f(u, v) d\mu(u, v) \right|, \quad (x, y) \in G \times G.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p^\square(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^\square\|_p < \infty.$$

The Kroneker product $(\alpha_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ of two Walsh(–Kaczmarz) system is said to be the two-dimensional Walsh(–Kaczmarz) system. Thus,

$$\alpha_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_n(x) \alpha_m(y).$$

If $f \in L_1(G^2)$, then the number $\hat{f}^\alpha(n, m) := \int_{G^2} f \alpha_{m,n}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) is said to be the (n, m) th Walsh(–Kaczmarz)–Fourier coefficient of f . We can extend this definition to martingales in the usual way (see WEISZ [14], [15]).

Denote by $S_{n,m}^\alpha$ the (n, m) th rectangular partial sum of the Walsh(–Kaczmarz)–Fourier series of a martingale f . Namely,

$$S_{n,m}^\alpha(f; x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k, i) \alpha_{k,i}(x, y).$$

The Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of a martingale f are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_n^\alpha(f; x, y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_{k,k}^\alpha(f, x, y).$$

The 2-dimensional Dirichlet kernels and Marcinkiewicz–Fejér kernels are defined by

$$D_{k,l}^\alpha(x, y) := D_k^\alpha(x) D_l^\alpha(y), \quad K_n^\alpha(x, y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_{k,k}^\alpha(x, y).$$

For the martingale f we consider the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*} f(x, y) = \sup_n |\mathcal{M}_n^\kappa(f, x, y)|.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube $I \times I$, such that

- a) $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I \times I$.

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

Theorem A (WEISZ [15]). *A martingale $f = (f^{(n,n)} : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is in $H_p^\square$ ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^n} a_k = f^{(n,n)}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\square} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In 1939 for the two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series MARCINKIEWICZ [7] has proved for $f \in L \log L([0, 2\pi]^2)$ that the means

$$\mathcal{M}_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{j,j}(f)$$

converge a.e. to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ZHIZHIASHVILI [16] improved this result for $f \in L([0, 2\pi]^2)$.

For the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series WEISZ [13] proved that the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{w*} f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{j,j}^w(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 2/3$ and is of weak type $(1, 1)$. The first author [4] proved that the assumption $p > 2/3$ is essential for the boundedness of the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{w*}$ from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$.

In 1974 SCHIPP [9] and YOUNG [12] proved that the Walsh–Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. GÁT [1] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function itself. Gát’s Theorem was extended by SIMON [10] to H_p spaces, namely that the maximal operator of Fejér means of one-dimensional Fourier series is bounded from Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ into the space $L_p(G^2)$ for $p > 1/2$.

The second author [6] proved, that for any integrable functions, the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means with respect to the two dimensional Walsh–Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function itself. This Theorem was extended in [2]. Namely, the following is true:

Theorem B. *Let $p > 2/3$, then the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series is bounded from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$.*

In the paper [3] it was proved that the assumption $p > 2/3$ is essential for the boundedness of the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$. Namely,

Theorem C. *The maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{2/3}(G^2)$ to the space $L_{2/3}(G^2)$.*

We will prove a stronger theorem than Theorem C.

Theorem 1. *There exists a martingale $f \in H_{2/3}(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{\kappa,*} f\|_{L_{2/3}} = +\infty.$$

PROOF. Let $\{m_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{2/3}} < \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{8m_k}}{m_k}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{2^{8m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}} < \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \quad (5)$$

We note that such an increasing sequence $\{m_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which satisfies condition (3)–(5) can be constructed. Let

$$f^{(A,A)}(x, y) := \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y), \quad \text{where } \lambda_k := \frac{1}{m_k}$$

and

$$a_k(x, y) := 2^{2m_k} (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)).$$

The martingale $f := (f^{(0,0)}, f^{(1,1)}, \dots, f^{(A,A)}, \dots) \in H_{2/3}^\square(G \times G)$. Indeed,

$$S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x, y), & \text{if } A > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

$$f^{(A,A)}(x) = \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y),$$

from (3) and Theorem A we conclude that $f \in H_{2/3}^\square(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G \times G} f^{(A)}(x, y) \kappa_i(x) \kappa_j(y) d\mu(x, y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ & A = 0, 1, \dots, 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ & A > 2m_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{f}^\kappa(i, j) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}. \end{cases} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Set $q_{A,s} := 2^{2A} + 2^{2s}$ for any $A > s$.

We decompose the $(q_{m_k, s})$ th Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k, s}}^\kappa f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{m_k, s}} S_{j, j}^\kappa f(x, y) = \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{j, j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k, s}} S_{j, j}^\kappa f(x, y) =: I + II. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\}$ for some k . Then from (6) and (4), it is easy to show that

$$|S_{j, j}^\kappa f(x, y)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} |\hat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} |S_{j, j}^\kappa f(x, y)| \leq \frac{c}{q_{m_k, s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k, s}} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq \frac{c2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now, we discuss II .

Let $i \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}$. Then from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i, i}^\kappa f(x, y) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{i-1} \hat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \hat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \hat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x))(D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} (D_i^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x))(D_i^\kappa(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} (q_{m_k, s} - 2^{2m_k} + 1) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} \\
&\quad \times (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x))(D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k, s}} \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} \left(\sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k, s}} (D_i^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x))(D_i^\kappa(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) \right) =: II_1 + II_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4), (5) and $|D_{2^n}(x)| \leq 2^n$, we get that

$$|II_1| \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k, s}}^\kappa f(x, y)| \geq |II_2| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

We can write the n th Dirichlet kernel with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^\kappa(x) &= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + \sum_{k=2^{|n|}}^{n-1} r_{|k|}(x) w_{k-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|k|}(x)) \\
&= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + r_{|n|}(x) D_{n-2^{|n|}}^w(\tau_{|n|}(x)).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By the help of this equation we immediately have for II_2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
II_2 &= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k, s} m_k} r_{2m_k}(x) r_{2m_k}(y) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2s}} D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(y)) \\
&= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k, s} m_k} r_{2m_k}(x) r_{2m_k}(y) 2^{2s} K_{2^{2s}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x), \tau_{2m_k}(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|\mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k, s}}^\kappa f(x, y)| \geq \frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x), \tau_{2m_k}(y))| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

We decompose the set G as the following disjoint union:

$$G = I_A \cup \bigcup_{t=0}^{A-1} J_t^A,$$

where $A > t \geq 1$ and $J_t^A := \{x \in G : x_{A-1} = \dots = x_{A-t} = 0, x_{A-t-1} = 1\}$, $J_0^A := \{x \in G : x_{A-1} = 1\}$. Notice that, by the definition of τ_A we have $\tau_A(J_t^A) = I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$. By Corollary 2.4 in [5], for $(x, y) \in I_A \times I_A$

$$\mathcal{K}_{2^A}^w(x, y) = \frac{(2^A + 1)(2^{A+1} + 1)}{6}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, for $k > C$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \times G} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu &\geq \sum_{t=1}^{2m_k-1} \int_{J_t^{2m_k} \times J_t^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k, s}}^{\kappa}|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} \left(\frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w \circ (\tau_{2m_k} \times \tau_{2m_k})| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{(I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1}) \times (I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1})} \left(\frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

and (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \times G} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu &\geq \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{(I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1}) \times (I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1})} \left| \frac{2^{6s}}{m_k} - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \right|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq c \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \int_{(I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1}) \times (I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1})} \left(\frac{2^{6s}}{m_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq c \sum_{s=\lceil \frac{m_k}{6} \rceil+1}^{m_k-1} \frac{2^{4s}}{m_k^{2/3}} 2^{-4s} \geq cm_k^{1/3} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the main theorem. $\square$

References

- [1] G. GÁT, On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *Studia Math.* **130** (1998), 135–148.

- [2] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA and K. NAGY, On the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* (2009), (to appear).
- [3] U. GOGINAVA and K. NAGY, On the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series, *Math. Pannonica* **19/1** (2008), 49–56.
- [4] U. GOGINAVA, The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *East J. Approx.* **12**, no. 3 (2006), 295–302.
- [5] K. NAGY, Some convergence properties of the Walsh–Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II. Suppl.* **76** (2005), 503–516.
- [6] K. NAGY, On the two-dimensional Marcinkiewicz means with respect to Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory* **142** (2006), 138–165.
- [7] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des series doubles de Fourier, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **8** (1939), 149–160.
- [8] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON and J. PÁL, Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis, *Adam Hilger, Bristol – New York*, 1990.
- [9] F. SCHIPP, Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems, *Analysis Math.* **2** (1976), 63–75.
- [10] P. SIMON, On the Cesàro summability with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory* **106** (2000), 249–261.
- [11] V. A. SKVORTSOV, On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *Anal. Math.* **7** (1981), 141–150.
- [12] W. S. YOUNG, On the a.e. convergence of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 353–358.
- [13] F. WEISZ, Convergence of double Walsh–Fourier series and Hardy spaces, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **17** (2001), 32–44.
- [14] F. WEISZ, Martingale Hardy Spaces and Their Applications in Fourier Analysis, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1994.
- [15] F. WEISZ, Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Space, *Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht*, 2002.
- [16] L. V. ZHIZHASHVILI, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz, *Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR Ser Math.* **32** (1968), 1112–1122.

USHANGI GOGINAVA
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES
 TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY
 CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128
 GEORGIA

E-mail: z_goginava@hotmail.com

KÁROLY NAGY
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES
 COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA
 H-4400, NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166
 HUNGARY

E-mail: nkaroly@nyf.hu

(Received December 11, 2008; revised June 21, 2009)