

A new Hilbert-type operator and applications

By BICHENG YANG (Guangzhou)

Abstract. By using the way of weight coefficient and the theory of operators, we define a new Hilbert-type operator with the non-decreasing homogeneous kernel and obtain its norm. As applications, an extended theorem on Hilbert-type inequalities with the homogeneous kernel of $-\lambda$ -degree is established, and some particular cases are considered.

1. Introduction

In 1908, H. WEYL published the well known Hilbert's inequality as: If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are real sequences, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$, then (cf. [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \pi \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible.

In 1925, G. H. HARDY gave a best extension of (1) by introducing one pair of conjugate exponents (p, q) ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$) as (cf. [2]): If $p > 1$, $a_n, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2)$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A07, 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Hilbert-type operator, weight coefficient, kernel.

This work is supported by the Emphases Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Institution, Higher Learning, College and University (No. 05Z026), and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (No. 7004344).

We named of (2) Hardy–Hilbert’s inequality. In 1934, HARDY et al. [3] gave a basic theorem with the general kernel as follows (see [3], Theorem 318):

Theorem A. Suppose that $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $k(x, y)$ is a homogeneous function of -1 -degree, and $k = \int_0^\infty k(u, 1)u^{-1/p}du$ is a positive number. If both $k(u, 1)u^{-1/p}$ and $k(1, u)u^{-1/q}$ are strictly decreasing functions for $u > 0$, $a_n, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \|a\|_p = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$, $0 < \|b\|_q = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k(m, n)a_m b_n < k\|a\|_p\|b\|_q; \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty k(m, n)a_m \right)^p < k^p\|a\|_p^p, \quad (4)$$

where the constant factors k and k^p are the best possible.

Note. In particular, we find some classical Hilbert-type inequalities as:

- (i) For $k(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}$, since $k = \pi/\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})$, (3) reduces (2);
- (ii) for $k(x, y) = \frac{1}{\max\{x, y\}}$, $\frac{\ln(x/y)}{x-y}$, (3) reduces to (see [3], Theorem 341, Theorem 342)

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < pq \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}; \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{\ln(m/n)a_m b_n}{m-n} < \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \right]^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (6)$$

Hardy also gave a multiple extension of (3) (see [3], Theorem 322).

In 2001, YANG [4] gave an extension of (1) as: For $0 < \lambda \leq 4$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{1-\lambda} b_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7)$$

where the constant factor $B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ is the best possible ($B(u, v)$ is the Beta function). And YANG [5] also gave an extension of (2) as:

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m^\lambda + n^\lambda} < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (8)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 2$) is the best possible.

In 2004, YANG [6] published the dual form of (2) as follows

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-2} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (9)$$

where the constant factor $\pi/\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})$ is the best possible. For $p = q = 2$, both (9) and (2) reduce to (1). It means that there are more than two different best extensions of (1). For united expressing (2) and (9), in 2005, YANG [7] gave an extension of (7)–(9) with two pairs of conjugate exponents (p, q) , (r, s) ($p, r > 1$) and two parameters $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ ($\alpha\lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}$) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m^\alpha + n^\alpha)^\lambda} < k_{\alpha\lambda}(r) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\frac{\alpha\lambda}{r})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\alpha\lambda}{s})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (10)$$

where the constant factor $k_{\alpha\lambda}(r) = \frac{1}{\alpha} B(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s})$ is the best possible. Setting some particular parameters in (10), it reduces to (2) and (7)–(9). T. K. POGANY [8] also considered a best extension of (2) with the general kernel as $\frac{1}{(\lambda_m + \rho_n)^\mu}(\mu, \lambda_m, \rho_n > 0)$.

In 2006–2008, some authors also considered the operator expressing of (3)–(4). Suppose that $k(x, y)(\geq 0)$ is a symmetric function with $k(y, x) = k(x, y)$, and $k_0(p) := \int_0^\infty k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy$ ($r = p, q; x > 0$) is a positive number independent of x . Define an operator $T: l^r \rightarrow l^r$ ($r = p, q$) as: for $a_m \geq 0, a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^p$, there exists only $Ta = c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^p$, satisfying

$$(Ta)(n) = c_n := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (11)$$

Then we may define the formal inner product of Ta and b as

$$(Ta, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m b_n. \quad (12)$$

In 2007, YANG [9] proved that if for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ small enough, $k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r}}$ is strictly decreasing for $y > 0$, the integral $\int_0^\infty k(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r}} dy = k_\varepsilon(p)$ is also a positive number independent of $x > 0$, $k_\varepsilon(p) = k_0(p) + o(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$, and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 k(m, t) \left(\frac{m}{t}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r}} dt = O(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+; r = p, q), \quad (13)$$

then $\|T\|_p = k_0(p)$; in this case, if $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^q$, $\|a\|_p, \|b\|_q > 0$, then we have two equivalent inequalities as:

$$(Ta, b) < \|T\|_p \|a\|_p \|b\|_q; \|Ta\|_p < \|T\|_p \|a\|_p, \quad (14)$$

where the constant $\|T\|_p$ is the best possible. In particular, for $k(x, y)$ being -1 -degree homogeneous, inequalities (14) reduce to (3)–(4) (in the symmetric kernel, cf. [10]). YANG et al. [11] also considered (14) in l^2 . On the integral analogues of (14), ÁRPÁD BÉNYI et al. [12], [13], [14], [15] and [16] gave some new results.

In this paper, by using the way of weight coefficient and the theory of operators as [9], we define a new Hilbert-type operator with the non-decreasing homogeneous kernel and obtain its norm. As applications, an extended theorem on Hilbert-type inequality with the non-decreasing homogeneous kernel of $-\lambda$ -degree is established, and some particular cases are considered.

2. A new Hilbert-type operator and its norm

If $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is a measurable function, satisfying for $\lambda, u, x, y > 0$, $k_\lambda(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda} k_\lambda(x, y)$, then we call $k_\lambda(x, y)$ the homogeneous function of $-\lambda$ -degree.

Lemma 1. *If $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$ is a homogeneous function of $-\lambda$ -degree, and $k_\lambda(r) := \int_0^\infty k(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du$ is a positive number, then, (i) $\int_0^\infty k(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du = k_\lambda(r)$; (ii) for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, setting*

$$\omega_\lambda(r, y) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{y^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}} dx, \quad \varpi_\lambda(s, x) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} dy, \quad (15)$$

then we have $\omega_\lambda(r, y) = \varpi_\lambda(s, x) = k_\lambda(r)$.

PROOF. (i) Setting $v = \frac{1}{u}$, by the assumption, we obtain $\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(v, 1) v^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dv = k_\lambda(r)$.

(ii) Setting $x = yu$ in the integrals $\omega_\lambda(r, y)$ and $\varpi_\lambda(s, x)$, in view of (i), we still find that $\omega_\lambda(r, y) = \varpi_\lambda(s, x) = k_\lambda(r)$. The lemma is proved.

For $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we set $\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}$, $\psi(x) = x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}$ and $\psi^{p-1}(x) = x^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}$, $x \in (0, \infty)$. Define the space of real sequences as: $l_\phi^p := \{a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty; \|a\|_{p,\phi} := \{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi(n) |a_n|^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$. We may still define l_ψ^q and $l_{\psi^{1-p}}^p$. Define the weight coefficient $W_\lambda(r, n)$ and $\widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m)$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) as

$$W_\lambda(r, n) := \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{n^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}}{m^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}}, \quad \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}}. \quad (16)$$

□

Lemma 2. As the assumption of Lemma 1, for $a_m \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_\phi^p$, setting $c_n = \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m$, if

$$W_\lambda(r, n) < k_\lambda(r), \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m) < k_\lambda(r) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (17)$$

then $c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{\psi^{1-p}}^p$.

PROOF. By Hölder's inequality [17] and (16)–(17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^p &= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \left[\frac{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}}{n^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}} a_m \right] \left[\frac{n^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}}{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}} \right] \right\}^p \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})p/q}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} a_m^p \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{n^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})q/p}}{m^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}} \right]^{p-1} \\ &= \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})p/q}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} a_m^p \right] [n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} W_\lambda(r, n)]^{p-1} \\ &\leq k_\lambda^{p-1}(r) n^{1-\frac{p\lambda}{s}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})p/q}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} a_m^p; \\ \|c\|_{p, \psi^{1-p}} &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{1-\frac{p\lambda}{s}} c_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) a_m \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(r) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})p/q}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(r) \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) \frac{m^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}}{n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} \right] m^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= k_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q}}(r) \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m) m^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < k_\lambda(r) \|a\|_{p, \phi}. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{\psi^{1-p}}^p$. The lemma is proved.

For $a_m \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_\phi^p$, define a Hilbert-type operator $T : l_\phi^p \rightarrow l_{\psi^{1-p}}^p$ as: $Ta = c$, satisfying $c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$,

$$(Ta)(n) := c_n = \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (19)$$

In view of Lemma 2, $c \in l_{\psi^{1-p}}^p$ and the operator T exists. If there exists $M > 0$, such that for any $a \in l_\phi^p$, $\|Ta\|_{p, \psi^{1-p}} \leq M\|a\|_{p, \phi}$, then

$\|T\| = \sup_{\|a\|_{p, \phi}=1} \|Ta\|_{p, \psi^{1-p}} \leq M$. Hence by (18), we find $\|T\| \leq k_\lambda(r)$ and T is bounded.

Theorem 1. *As the assumption of Lemma 2, if for any $r > 1$,*

$$k_\lambda(r) - O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r)}}\right) \leq \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m) (\lambda(r) > 0; \quad m \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (20)$$

then we have $\|T\| = k_\lambda(r)$.

PROOF. For $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_\phi^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\psi^q$, $\|a\|_{p,\phi} > 0$, $\|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, by Hölder's inequality [?], we find

$$\begin{aligned} (Ta, b) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[n^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{p}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) a_m \right] \left[n^{-\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{p}} b_n \right] \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\frac{p\lambda}{s} - 1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) a_m \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|b\|_{q,\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Then by (18), we have

$$(Ta, b) < k_\lambda(r) \|a\|_{p,\phi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}. \quad (22)$$

For $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left\{\frac{p\lambda}{r}, \frac{q\lambda}{s}\right\}$, setting $\tilde{a} = \{\tilde{a}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\tilde{b} = \{\tilde{b}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ as $\tilde{a}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1}$, $\tilde{b}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if there exists a constant $0 < k \leq k_\lambda(r)$, such that (22) is still valid when we replace $k_\lambda(r)$ by k , then,

$$(T\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k(m, n) \tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n < k \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\phi} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q,\psi} = k \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}; \quad (23)$$

$$(T\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) m^{\lambda(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda})} n^{\lambda(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda}) - 1}. \quad (24)$$

Setting (r', s') as $\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda} > 0$, $\frac{1}{s'} = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda} > 0$, then $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$ with $r' > 1$. Hence by (20),

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n) m^{\lambda(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda})} n^{\lambda(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q\lambda}) - 1} = \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s', m) \geq k_\lambda(r') - O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r')}}\right),$$

and then by (23) and (24), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} &> (T\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \widetilde{W}_\lambda(s', m) \geq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[k_\lambda(r') - O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r')}}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} k_\lambda(r') - \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r')}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[k_{\lambda}(r') - \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r')}}\right) \right]; \\
&k > k_{\lambda}(r') - \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} O\left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r')}}\right) \quad (\lambda(r') > 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Since by Watou's Lemma,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} k_{\lambda}(r') \geq \int_0^{\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} k(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r'}-1} du = k_{\lambda}(r),$$

then $k \geq k_{\lambda}(r)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$, and $k = k_{\lambda}(r)$ is the best value of (22). We conform that $k_{\lambda}(r)$ is the best value of (18). Otherwise we can get a contradiction by (21) that the constant factor in (22) is not the best possible. It follows that $\|T\| = k_{\lambda}(r)$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

3. Some applications

Lemma 3. *As the assumption of Lemma 1, if $k_{\lambda}(u, 1)u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}$ and $k_{\lambda}(1, u)u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}$ are decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ and strictly decreasing in a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$, and $k_{\lambda}(1, u) = o(\frac{1}{u^{\alpha}})(u \rightarrow 0^+; 0 < \alpha < \frac{\lambda}{s})$, then both (17) and (20) are valid.*

PROOF. By the assumption and Lemma 1, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\lambda}(r) - \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du &= \int_1^{\infty} k_{\lambda}\left(1, \frac{y}{m}\right) \left(\frac{y}{m}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} d\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) \leq \widetilde{W}_{\lambda}(s, m) \\
&= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}\left(1, \frac{n}{m}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} < \int_0^{\infty} k_{\lambda}\left(1, \frac{y}{m}\right) \left(\frac{y}{m}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} d\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) \\
&= \varpi_{\lambda}\left(s, \frac{y}{m}\right) = k_{\lambda}(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u^{\alpha}k_{\lambda}(1, u) \rightarrow 0$ ($u \rightarrow 0^+$), there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$, such that for $u \in (0, \delta)$, $u^{\alpha}k_{\lambda}(1, u) \leq 1$. Since in $[\delta, 1]$, $k_{\lambda}(1, u) \leq k_{\lambda}(1, \delta) \leq \frac{L}{u^{\alpha}}$ ($L > 1$), then $k_{\lambda}(1, u) \leq \frac{L}{u^{\alpha}}$, $u \in (0, 1]$. Hence, setting $\lambda(r) = \frac{\lambda}{s} - \alpha > 0$, it follows

$$0 \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du \leq L \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} u^{\lambda(r)-1} du = \frac{L}{\lambda(r)} \left(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda(r)}} \right),$$

and (20) is valid. By the same way, it follows $W_{\lambda}(r, n) < k_{\lambda}(r)$, and then we have (17). The lemma is proved. $\square$

Theorem 2. Suppose that $p, r > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $k_\lambda(x, y)$ (≥ 0) is a homogeneous function of $-\lambda$ -degree, $k_\lambda(r) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}du$ is a positive number, and the weight coefficients $W_\lambda(r, n)$ and $\widetilde{W}_\lambda(s, m)$ satisfy inequalities (17) and (20). If $a_n, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\phi^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\psi^q$, $\|a\|_{p,\phi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Ta, b) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m b_n < k_\lambda(r)\|a\|_{p,\phi}\|b\|_{q,\psi}; \quad (25)$$

$$\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m \right)^p < k_\lambda^p(r)\|a\|_{p,\phi}^p, \quad (26)$$

where the constant factors $k_\lambda(r)$ and $k_\lambda^p(r)$ are the best possible.

Replacing the conditions (17) and (20) by (a) $k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}$ and $k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}$ are decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ and strictly decreasing in a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$; (b) $k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} = o(\frac{1}{u^\alpha})$ ($u \rightarrow 0^+$; $0 < \alpha < \frac{\lambda}{s}$), we still have (25) and (26).

PROOF. In view of (22) and (18), we have (25) and (26). Base on the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that the both the constant factors in (25) and (26) are the best possible.

If (26) is valid, then by (21), we have (25). Suppose that (25) is valid. By (18), $\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p < \infty$. If $\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p = 0$, then (26) is naturally valid; if $\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p > 0$, setting $b_n = n^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}(\sum_{m=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m)^{p-1}$, then we find $0 < \|b\|_{q,\psi}^q = \|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p < \infty$. By (25), we obtain

$$\|b\|_{q,\psi}^q = \|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}^p = (Ta, b) < k_\lambda(r)\|a\|_{p,\phi}\|b\|_{q,\psi};$$

$$\|b\|_{q,\psi}^{q-1} = \|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < k_\lambda(r)\|a\|_{p,\phi},$$

and we have (26). Hence (25) and (26) are equivalent.

By (a), (b) and Lemma 3, we still have (25)–(26). The theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark. (i) For $\lambda = 1$, $s = p$, $r = q$, (25) and (26) reduce respectively to (6) and (7). It is obvious that Theorem 2 is an extension of Theorem 1.

(ii) If we reserve (b) and replace the condition (a) by (a)' for $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}$, $k_\lambda(u, 1)$ and $k_\lambda(1, u)$ are decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ and strictly decreasing in a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$, then (25)–(26) are still valid. Hence in particular, for $k_{\alpha\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(x^\alpha + y^\alpha)^\lambda}$ ($\alpha, \lambda > 0, \alpha\lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}$) in (25), we find

$$k_{\alpha\lambda}(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\alpha\lambda}{r}-1}}{(u^\alpha + 1)^\lambda} du = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{(v+1)^\lambda} dv = \frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right),$$

$0 \leq k_{\alpha\lambda}(1, u) \leq 1$, and then it deduces to (10); for $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\max\{x, y\})^{\lambda}}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}$) in (25), we find

$$k_{\lambda}(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\max\{u, 1\})^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du = \frac{rs}{\lambda},$$

$0 \leq k_{\lambda}(1, u) \leq 1$ ($u \in (0, 1]$) and it deduces to the best extension of (5) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(\max\{m, n\})^{\lambda}} < \frac{rs}{\lambda} \|a\|_{p,\phi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}; \quad (27)$$

for $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^{\lambda}-y^{\lambda}}$ ($0 < \lambda \leq \min\{r, s\}$) in (25), we find (cf. [3])

$$k_{\lambda}(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\ln u}{u^{\lambda}-1} u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du = \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} \right]^2,$$

$k_{\lambda}(1, u) = o(\frac{1}{u^{\alpha}})$ ($u \rightarrow 0^+; 0 < \alpha < \frac{\lambda}{s}$) and $(\frac{\ln u}{u^{\lambda}-1})' < 0$, then it deduces to the best extension of (6) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(m/n) a_m b_n}{m^{\lambda} - n^{\lambda}} < \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} \right]^2 \|a\|_{p,\phi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}. \quad (28)$$

References

- [1] H. WEYL, Singuläre Integralgleichungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Fourierschen Integral Theorems, Inaugural-Dissertation, *Göttingen*, 1908.
- [2] G. H. HARDY, Note on a theorem of Hilbert concerning series of positive term, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1925), 45–46.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. POLYA, Inequalities, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1934.
- [4] B. YANG, On a generalization of Hilbert double series theorem, *J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biq.* **18**(1) (2001), 145–152.
- [5] B. YANG, On an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, *Chinese Annals of Math.* **23A**(2) (2002), 247–254.
- [6] B. YANG, On new extensions of Hilbert's inequality, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **104**(4) (2004), 291–299.
- [7] B. YANG, On best extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with two parameters, *J. Ineq. in Pure & Applied Math.* **6**(3) (2005), 1–15, Art. 81.
- [8] T. K. POGANY, Hilbert's double series theorem extended to the case of non-homogeneous kernels, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342** (2008), 1485–1489.
- [9] B. YANG, On the norm of a Hilbert's type linear operator and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **325** (2007), 529–541.

- [10] B. YANG, On a Hilbert-type operator with a symmetric homogeneous kernel of -1-order and applications, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* **2007** (2007), 1-9.
- [11] B. YANG, On the norm of a self-adjoint operator and applications to the Hilbert's type inequalities, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **13** (2006), 577-584.
- [12] ÁRPÁD BÉNYI and CHOONGHONG OH, Best constants for certain multilinear integral operator, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2006** (2006), 1-12.
- [13] B. YANG, On the norm of an integral operator and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321** (2006), 182-192.
- [14] B. YANG, On the norm of a self-adjoint operator and a new bilinear integral inequality, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **23**(7) (2007), 1311-1316.
- [15] W. ZHONG, The Hilbert-type integral inequalities with homogeneous kernel of $-\lambda$ -degree, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008** (2008), 1-12.
- [16] B. YANG, On the norm of a linear operator and its applications, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39**(3) (2008), 237-250.
- [17] J. KUANG, Applied Inequalities, *Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan*, 2004.

BICHENG YANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GUANGDONG EDUCATION INSTITUTE
GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510303
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn

(Received October 2, 2008)