

Initial value problems of p -Laplacian with a strong singular indefinite weight

By HONG-XU LI (Chengdu)

Abstract. In this paper, we present some existence and uniqueness theorems for initial value problems of p -Laplacian with a strong singular indefinite weight which are related to singular p -Laplacian eigenvalue problems. Our results improve and generalize some recent results.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we establish some existence and uniqueness results for the following initial value problem of p -Laplacian with a strong singular indefinite weight:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_p(u'(t))' + h(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, 1), \\ u(t_0) = 0, \ u'(t_0) = a, & t_0 \in [0, 1], \ a \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{IVP}_{t_0})$$

where $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, $p > 1$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $h \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty))$ may be singular at $t = 0$ and/or $t = 1$.

Problems (IVP_{t_0}) is related to the singular boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_p(u'(t))' + h(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{BVP})$$

In particular, it is helpful to find sign-changing solutions for problem (BVP) (see e.g. [6], [8]).

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A12, 34A34, 34B16.

Key words and phrases: singular indefinite weight, p -Laplace equation, initial value problems, existence, uniqueness.

The studies on the singular initial and boundary value problems with sign-changing nonlinearity are much recent. For the studies on the first-order cases, one may refer to AGARWAL, O'REGAN [1], [2] and AGARWAL, O'REGAN, LAKSHMIKANTHAM and LEELA [3]. For second-order initial value problems, by using the Gronwall inequality, YANG [9] proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_p(u'(t))' + f(t, u(t)) = 0, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = a > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $|f(t, u)| \leq ch(t)|u|^{p-1}$ with $h \in L^q(0, 1)$, $q > 1$.

For $h \in L^1(0, 1)$, ZHANG [10] showed the existence and uniqueness of a solution for (IVP_{t_0}) with $f(u) = \varphi_p(u)$, $t_0 = 0$ and $a = 1$ by transforming to a system and applying Sturmian comparison. One should also notice that GARCÍA-HUIDOBRO, MANÁSEVICH and ÔTANI [5] gave an existence and uniqueness result for initial value problem (IVP_{t_0}) with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, $f(u) = \varphi_p(u)$.

For $h \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A}$ defined by the set

$$\left\{ h \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty)) : \int_0^1 s^\alpha (1-s)^\beta h(s) ds < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta \in (0, p-1) \right\},$$

some existence and uniqueness results were proved by LEE and SIM [7] for three special cases of initial problem (IVP_{t_0}) : $t_0 = 0$, $a = 1$; $t_0 = 1$, $a = -1$ and $a = 0$.

Denote

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ h \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty)) : \int_0^1 (s(1-s))^{p-1} h(s) ds < \infty \right\}.$$

It is clear that $L^1(0, 1) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. For more properties of the classes of singular indefinite weights $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, one may refer to [4], [6]. For $h \in \mathcal{B}$, KAJIKIYA, LEE and SIM [6] obtained some existence and uniqueness results for (IVP_{t_0}) under assumption that $f(t, u(t)) = \lambda \varphi_p(u(t))$ with λ a positive real parameter. Moreover, these results were applied in the study of some eigenvalue problems for p -Laplacian.

The aim of this paper is to present some existence and uniqueness results for problem (IVP_{t_0}) with $h \in \mathcal{B}$, $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ by using Schauder's fixed point theorem (see Theorem 2.1, 2.2 and Corollary 2.3). Our results improve and generalize some results in [6], [7] (see Remark 2.4).

2. Statements of the main results

Recall that a function u is said to be a solution of (IVP_{t_0}) , if $u \in C^1(0, 1) \cap C[0, 1]$ and $\varphi_p(u')$ is absolutely continuous in any compact subinterval of $(0, 1)$ and u satisfies (IVP_{t_0}) .

Let us give the following assumptions on f :

- (H₁) $\exists C > 0$ such that $|f(u)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u)|$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H₂) $\exists C > 0$ such that $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u) - \varphi_p(v)|$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H₂₊) $\forall \Gamma > 0, \exists C > 0$ such that $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u) - \varphi_p(v)|$ for $u, v \in [0, \Gamma]$.
- (H₂₋) $\forall \Gamma > 0, \exists C > 0$ such that $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u) - \varphi_p(v)|$ for $u, v \in [-\Gamma, 0]$.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H₁). Then problem (IVP_{t_0}) has at least one solution. Especially, if $a = 0$, problem (IVP_{t_0}) has only a trivial solution.*

Theorem 2.2. *Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$. The following statements are true.*

- (i) *Suppose that (H₂₊) holds. If $a > 0, t_0 \in [0, 1)$ or $a < 0, t_0 \in (0, 1]$, then problem (IVP_{t_0}) has at most one solution.*
- (ii) *Suppose that (H₂₋) holds. If $a < 0, t_0 \in [0, 1)$ or $a > 0, t_0 \in (0, 1]$, then problem (IVP_{t_0}) has at most one solution.*

It is clear that (H₂) implies (H₁), (H₂₊) and (H₂₋). Then by Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we can get the following result immediately.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H₂). Then problem (IVP_{t_0}) has a unique solution.*

Remark 2.4. (a) Theorem 2.1 generalizes Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 in [7] by extending the class of singular indefinite weights from $h \in \mathcal{A}$ to $h \in \mathcal{B}$.

(b) Theorem 2.2 (i) improves Theorem 1.2 in [7]. In fact, it is clear that assumption (H₂₊) is weaker than the assumption

- (P) $\exists C > 0$ such that $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u) - \varphi_p(v)|$ for $u, v \in [0, \infty)$.

For example, $f(u) = (\varphi_p(u))^2$ does not satisfy (P), but satisfies (H₂₊) and (H₂₋) with $C = 2\varphi_p(\Gamma)$. Then Theorem 2.2 (i) is the same as Theorem 1.2 in [7] if replace “ $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H₂₊)” by “ $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and (P)” and consider the two special cases $t_0 = 0, a = 1$ and $t_0 = 1, a = -1$.

(c) Corollary 2.3 generalizes Theorem 2.2 and 2.3 in [6] by considering the general function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ instead of $\lambda\varphi_p(u)$ with λ a positive real parameter.

3. Proofs of the main results

In the sequel, we always assume that $t_0 \in [0, 1)$ and $h \in \mathcal{B}$. For the case when $t_0 \in (0, 1]$, we can analyze exactly the same way and we omit the details.

Let us start with some lemmas which will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H_1) . If $a = 0$, problem (IVP_{t_0}) has only a trivial solution.*

PROOF. Clearly 0 is a solution of (IVP_{t_0}) if $a = 0$. Let u be a solution of (IVP_{t_0}) . It is enough to prove that $u = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. There are two cases to be considered: $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $t_0 = 0$.

Case 1. $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. For any $t_1 \in (t_0, 1)$, we have $u \in C^1[t_0, t_1]$ and $h \in C[t_0, t_1]$. For $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, it follows from (IVP_{t_0}) that

$$|u(t)| = \left| \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^s h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^t h(s) |f(u(s))| ds \right).$$

Then, by (H_1) we have, for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$,

$$\varphi_p(|u(t)|) \leq \int_{t_0}^t h(s) |f(u(s))| ds \leq C \int_{t_0}^t h(s) \varphi_p(|u(s)|) ds.$$

By the Gronwall inequality we have $\varphi_p(|u(t)|) = 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, that is $u(t) = 0$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. This implies that $u(t) = 0$ for $t \in [t_0, 1]$ since t_1 is arbitrary in $(t_0, 1)$ and u is continuous in $[0, 1]$. Similarly, we can prove that $u(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$ and then $u(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Case 2. $t_0 = 0$. For $t_1 \in (0, 1)$, we have $u \in C^1[0, t_1]$. Let $v(0) = 0$ and $v(t) = u(t)/t$ for $t \in (0, t_1]$. Then $v \in C[0, t_1]$. For $t \in (0, t_1]$, from (IVP_{t_0}) we have

$$|v(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\int_0^s h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\int_0^t h(s) |f(u(s))| ds \right).$$

Thus for $t \in [0, t_1]$, by (H_1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(|v(t)|) &\leq \int_0^t h(s) |f(u(s))| ds \leq \int_0^t h(s) C |\varphi_p(u(s))| ds \\ &= C \int_0^t h(s) s^{p-1} \varphi_p(|u(s)/s|) ds = C \int_0^t h(s) s^{p-1} \varphi_p(|v(s)|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the Gronwall inequality we have $\varphi_p(|v(t)|) = 0$ for $t \in [0, t_1]$. That is $u(t) = 0$, $t \in [0, t_1]$. Therefore, it follows from the arbitrariness of t_1 in $(0, 1)$ and the continuity of u that $u(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. This completes the proof. $\square$

By the Mean Value Theorem and a fundamental calculation, it is easy to get the following inequality which will be used later:

$$|\varphi_p(x) - \varphi_p(y)| \leq (p-1)z^{p-2}|x-y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $z = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$.

Now we assume that $a \neq 0$. Let $K > |a|$ be a constant. Since $h \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $\beta \in (t_0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\beta} h(s)(s-t_0)^{p-1} ds \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1 - \varphi_p(|a|/K)}{C}, \frac{\varphi_p(|a|/K)}{2C} \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where C is the same constant as in (H_1) . Set

$$\begin{aligned} C_0[t_0, \beta] &= \{u \in C[t_0, \beta] : u(t_0) = 0\} \quad \text{with } \|u\| = \max_{t \in [t_0, \beta]} |u(t)| \text{ for } u \in C_0[t_0, \beta], \\ C_0^1[t_0, \beta] &= C_0[t_0, \beta] \cap C^1[t_0, \beta] \quad \text{with } \|u\|_1 = \max_{t \in [t_0, \beta]} |u'(t)| \text{ for } u \in C_0^1[t_0, \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $(C_0[t_0, \beta], \|\cdot\|)$ and $(C_0^1[t_0, \beta], \|\cdot\|_1)$ are Banach spaces and $\|u\| \leq \|u\|_1$ for $u \in C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$. Let

$$M = \{u \in C_0^1[t_0, \beta] : \|u\|_1 \leq K\}.$$

Define $G : C_0^1[t_0, \beta] \rightarrow C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$ by

$$G(u)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \beta].$$

For any $u \in C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$, we have $|u(t)| \leq \|u\|_1(t-t_0)$ for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$. Then by (H_1) we have, for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) C |u(\tau)|^{p-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

So G is well defined. In addition, noticing that

$$(G(u))'(t) = \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right),$$

(3.3) implies that G is bounded, i.e., send bounded subsets of $C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$ into bounded subsets of $C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $u(t)$ is a local solution of problem (IVP_{t_0}) for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$ if and only if u is a fixed point of G in $C_0^1[t_0, \beta]$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H_1) . Then $G(M) \subset M$ and $G : M \rightarrow M$ is continuous.

PROOF. By (H_1) and (3.2), for $u \in M, t \in [t_0, \beta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(G(u))'(t)| &= \left| \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| \\ &\leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(|a|) + \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) C |\varphi_p(u(\tau))| d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(|a|) + C \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(|a|) + CK^{p-1} \frac{1 - \varphi_p(|a|/K)}{C} \right) = K. \end{aligned}$$

That is $\|G(u)\|_1 \leq K$, and then $G(M) \subset M$.

Now we prove the continuity of G . For $u \in M, t \in [t_0, \beta]$, by (H_1) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) C |\varphi_p(u(\tau))| d\tau \leq C \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau \\ &\leq CK^{p-1} \frac{\varphi_p(|a|/K)}{2C} = \varphi_p(|a|)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \leq 3\varphi_p(|a|)/2 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \beta]. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $q = p/(p-1)$, then $\varphi_p^{-1} = \varphi_q$. Denote

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2(q-1)(3\varphi_p(|a|)/2)^{q-2}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta \in (t_0, \beta)$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\eta} h(s)(s - t_0)^{p-1} ds \leq \frac{C_1 \varepsilon}{2CK^{p-1}}$$

since $h \in \mathcal{B}$. Then for $u \in M$, by (H_1) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{\eta} h(s) |f(u(s))| ds &\leq \int_{t_0}^{\eta} h(\tau) C |\varphi_p(u(\tau))| d\tau \leq C \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_{t_0}^{\eta} h(s)(s - t_0)^{p-1} ds \\ &\leq CK^{p-1} \frac{C_1 \varepsilon}{2CK^{p-1}} = \frac{C_1 \varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\{u_n\} \subset M$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in M as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$, by (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(G(u_n))'(t) - (G(u_0))'(t)| \\
 &= \left| \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| \\
 &\leq (q-1)(3\varphi_p(|a|/2)^{q-2} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) |f(u_n(\tau)) - f(u_0(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{2C_1} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) |f(u_n(\tau)) - f(u_0(\tau))| d\tau. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we have two cases to be considered.

Case 1. Suppose $\int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(s) ds = 0$. Since $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, we have $|f(u)| \leq C_2$ for $u \in [-K, K]$ and some $C_2 > 0$. Then by (3.6) and (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(G(u_n))'(t) - (G(u_0))'(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \left(\int_{t_0}^{\eta} + \int_{\eta}^{\beta} \right) h(\tau) (|f(u_n(\tau))| + |f(u_0(\tau))|) d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \left(C_1 \varepsilon + 2C_2 \int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(\tau) d\tau \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $G : M \rightarrow M$ is continuous.

Case 2. Suppose $\int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(s) ds \neq 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous in $[-K, K]$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $u, v \in [-K, K]$, $|u - v| < \rho$ implies

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C_1 \varepsilon \left(\int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(s) ds \right)^{-1}.$$

Meanwhile, there exists $N > 0$ such that $|u_n(t) - u_0(t)| < \rho$ for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$, $n > N$. Thus

$$|f(u_n(t)) - f(u_0(t))| \leq C_1 \varepsilon \left(\int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(s) ds \right)^{-1} \quad \text{for } n > N, t \in [t_0, \beta]. \tag{3.8}$$

Now, for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$ and $n > N$, by (3.6) – (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(G(u_n))'(t) - (G(u_0))'(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \left(\int_{t_0}^{\eta} + \int_{\eta}^{\beta} \right) h(\tau) |f(u_n(\tau)) - f(u_0(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \left(\int_{t_0}^{\eta} h(\tau) (|f(u_n(\tau))| + |f(u_0(\tau))|) d\tau + \int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(\tau) |f(u_n(\tau)) - f(u_0(\tau))| d\tau \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \left(C_1 \varepsilon + \int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(\tau) C_1 \varepsilon \left(\int_{\eta}^{\beta} h(s) ds \right)^{-1} d\tau \right) = \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $G : M \rightarrow M$ is continuous. The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. Assume $h \in \mathcal{B}$ and (H_1) . Then $G : M \rightarrow M$ is compact.

PROOF. Suppose $\{u_n\} \subset M$ is bounded, then $\{u_n\}$ and $\{G(u_n)\}$ are bounded in M . By the Arzela Ascoli Theorem, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{G(u_n)\}$ has a subsequence (denote again by $\{u_n\}$ and $\{G(u_n)\}$, respectively) converging to some u and v in $C_0[t_0, \beta]$, respectively. By (H_1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t)f(u_n(t))| &\leq Ch(t)|\varphi_p(u_n(t))| \leq Ch(t)\varphi_p(\|u_n\|_1(t-t_0)) \\ &\leq CK^{p-1}h(t)(t-t_0)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$. So by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(u_n)(t) &= \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau)f(u_n(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \\ &\rightarrow \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^s h(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right) ds = v(t), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $t \in [t_0, \beta]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (G(u_n))'(t) &= \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau)f(u_n(\tau))d\tau \right) \\ &\rightarrow \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $t \in [t_0, \beta]$. So $v \in C_0^1[0, 1]$ and

$$v'(t) = \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau)f(u(\tau))d\tau \right).$$

Clearly, $\|v\|_1 \leq K$. Therefore, $G : M \rightarrow M$ is compact. The proof is complete. $\square$

Now we are in a position to give the proofs of the main results.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Lemma 3.1 leads to the conclusion for the special case $a = 0$. Now we assume that $a \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 that $G : M \rightarrow M$ is completely continuous. Then by Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem, G has a fixed point in M . That is problem (IVP_{t_0}) has a local solution $u \in M$.

Now we prove the global existence of solutions of problem (IVP_{t_0}) . Let $[t_0, T)$ be the right maximal interval of existence for solution u . It is enough to show

that $T = 1$. Suppose on the contrary that $T < 1$. Then by (H_1) , for $t \in [t_0, T)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_p(u'(t))| &= \left| \varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \leq \varphi_p(|a|) + C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) |u(\tau)|^{p-1} d\tau \\ &\leq \varphi_p(|a|) + C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} \max_{s \in [t_0, \tau]} |u'(s)|^{p-1} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\max_{r \in [t_0, t]} |u'(r)|^{p-1} \leq \varphi_p(|a|) + C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} \max_{s \in [t_0, \tau]} |u'(s)|^{p-1} d\tau.$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{r \in [t_0, t]} |u'(r)|^{p-1} &\leq \varphi_p(|a|) \exp \left(C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \varphi_p(|a|) \exp \left(C \int_{t_0}^T h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that u' is bounded in $[t_0, T)$, and consequently u is bounded in $[t_0, T)$. This contradicts the fact that $[0, T)$ with $T < 1$ is the maximal existence interval for solution u . The proof is complete. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. We prove statement (i). By a similar argument we can prove statement (ii) and we omit the details. Moreover, we only prove statement (i) for the case $a > 0$ and $t_0 \in [0, 1)$. The case $a < 0$ and $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ can be proved similarly and we also omit the details.

Suppose u, v are two solutions of problem (IVP_{t_0}) . It suffices to prove that $u(t) = v(t)$ for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$ with some $\beta \in (t_0, 1)$ which will be determined later.

Let $K > a$ such that $\max\{|u'(t)|, |v'(t)|\} \leq K$ for all $t \in [t_0, (1 + t_0)/2]$. Since $a > 0$, we can choose $\beta_1 \in (t_0, (1 + t_0)/2)$ such that $u(t), v(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (t_0, \beta_1]$. By (H_{2+}) , there exists some $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(u)| \leq C\varphi_p(u), \quad |f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|\varphi_p(u) - \varphi_p(v)| \quad \text{for } u, v \in [0, K]. \quad (3.9)$$

Then

$$|f(u(t))| \leq C\varphi_p(u(t)), \quad |f(v(t))| \leq C\varphi_p(v(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad (3.10)$$

$$|f(u(t)) - f(v(t))| \leq C|\varphi_p(u(t)) - \varphi_p(v(t))| \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \beta_1]. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $h \in \mathcal{B}$, we can choose $\beta \in (t_0, \beta_1)$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\beta} h(s)(s - t_0)^{p-1} ds < \min \left\{ \frac{\varphi_p(a/K)}{2C}, \frac{1}{(3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} K^{p-2} C} \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

where $q = p/(1 - p)$, K and C are the same constants as in (3.9). It is obvious that

$$u, v \in M = \{w \in C_0^1[t_0, \beta] : \|w\|_1 \leq K\}.$$

Then by (3.10), (3.12) and the same way to get (3.4), we can obtain that (3.4) also holds for these u, v . That is

$$\left| \varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(x(\tau)) d\tau \right| \leq 3\varphi_p(a)/2 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \beta], \quad (3.13)$$

where $x = u, v$. Meanwhile, by the Mean Value Theorem, for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$, there exist some $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in (t_0, t)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{u(t)}{t - t_0} \right| = |u'(\theta_1)| \leq \|u\|_1 \leq K, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\left| \frac{v(t)}{t - t_0} \right| = |v'(\theta_2)| \leq \|v\|_1 \leq K, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\left| \frac{u(t) - v(t)}{t - t_0} \right| = |u'(\theta_3) - v'(\theta_3)| \leq \|u - v\|_1. \quad (3.16)$$

Notice that $\varphi_p^{-1} = \varphi_q$ and $(p - 1)(q - 1) = 1$. If $\|u - v\|_1 > 0$, then by (3.1), (3.11)–(3.16), for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |u'(t) - v'(t)| \\ &= \left| \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right) - \varphi_p^{-1} \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) f(v(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| \\ &\leq (q - 1)(3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) |f(u(\tau)) - f(v(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq (q - 1)(3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) |\varphi_p(u(\tau)) - \varphi_p(v(\tau))| d\tau \\ &= (q - 1)(3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} \left| \varphi_p \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{\tau - t_0} \right) - \varphi_p \left(\frac{v(\tau)}{\tau - t_0} \right) \right| d\tau \\ &\leq (q - 1)(3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} C \int_{t_0}^t h(\tau) (\tau - t_0)^{p-1} (p - 1) K^{p-2} \left| \frac{u(\tau) - v(\tau)}{\tau - t_0} \right| d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (3\varphi_p(a)/2)^{q-2} K^{p-2} C \int_{t_0}^{\beta} h(\tau)(\tau - t_0)^{p-1} d\tau \|u - v\|_1 < \|u - v\|_1,$$

which is impossible, and then $\|u - v\|_1 = 0$, i.e. $u(t) = v(t)$ for $t \in [t_0, \beta]$. This completes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL and D. O'REGAN, Existence theory for singular initial and boundary value problems: A fixed point approach, *Appl. Anal.* **81** (2002), 391–434.
- [2] R. P. AGARWAL and D. O'REGAN, Singular Differential and Integral Equations with Applications, *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, 2002.
- [3] R. P. AGARWAL, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, D. O'REGAN and S. LEELA, Existence of positive solutions for singular initial and boundary value problems via the classical upper and lower solution approach, *Nonlinear Anal.* **50** (2002), 215–222.
- [4] J. BYUN and I. SIM, A relation between two classes of indefinite weights in singular one-dimensional p -Laplacian problems, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **10** (2007), 889–894.
- [5] M. GARCÍA-HUIDOBRO, R. MANÁSEVICH and M. ÔTANI, Existence results for p -Laplacian-like systems of O.D.E.'s, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **46** (2003), 253–85.
- [6] R. KAJIKIYA, Y. H. LEE and I. SIM, One-dimensional p -Laplacian with a strong singular indefinite weight, I. Eigenvalue, *J. Differential Equations* **244** (2008), 1985–2019.
- [7] Y. H. LEE and I. SIM, Second-order initial value problems with a singular indefinite weight, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), 36–40.
- [8] Y. H. LEE and I. SIM, Existence results of sign-changing solutions for singular one-dimensional p -Laplacian problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68** (2008), 1195–1209.
- [9] X. YANG, Sturm type problems for singular p -Laplacian boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **136** (2003), 181–193.
- [10] M. ZHANG, Nonuniform nonresonance of semilinear differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **166** (2000), 33–50.

HONG-XU LI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY
CHENGDU, SICHUAN 610064
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: hoxuli@sohu.com

(Received May 18, 2009)