

Generalized skew derivations on nest algebras characterized by acting on zero products

By XIAOFEI QI (Taiyuan) and JINCHUAN HOU (Taiyuan)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a Banach space X with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$, and let $\text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ be the associated nest algebra. Assume that $\phi : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ is an automorphism and $\delta : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ is an additive map. It is shown that, if δ is ϕ -derivable at zero point (i.e., satisfies $\delta(A)B + \phi(A)\delta(B) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$), then there exists an additive ϕ -derivation $d : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$. Moreover, by use of this result, the linear maps generalized ϕ -derivable at zero point are also characterized.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra with unit I and $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ an additive (linear) map. Recall that δ is called an additive (linear) derivation if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\delta(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; if there is an additive (linear) derivation $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\tau(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then δ is called an additive (linear) generalized derivation and τ is the relating derivation. Derivations and generalized derivations are very important maps both in theory and applications, and have been studied intensively (see [5], [8], [11], [14], [15]).

Recently, more and more mathematicians are interested in characterizing the maps (generalized) derivable at some point. Recall that δ is derivable at

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47L35, 47B47.

Key words and phrases: Nest algebras, derivations, the maps ϕ -derivable at zero point, the maps generalized ϕ -derivable at zero point.

This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771157), Research Grant to Returned Scholars of Shanxi (2007–38) and Foundation of Shanxi University.

some point $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\delta(A)B + A\delta(B) = \delta(AB)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = Z$; is generalized derivable at Z if there exists an additive map τ on $\mathcal{A}$ which is derivable at zero point such that $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\tau(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = Z$. It is obvious that the condition of (generalized) derivable maps at some point is much weaker than the condition of being a (generalized) derivation. JING *et al.* in [12] showed that, for the case of nest algebras on Hilbert spaces, the set of linear maps derivable at zero point with $\delta(I) = 0$ coincides with the set of inner derivations. ZHU and XIONG showed in [17] that every norm continuous linear map generalized derivable at zero point between finite nest algebras on Hilbert spaces is a generalized inner derivation (i.e., has the form $A \mapsto TA + AS$). Note that in [17], authors gave another definition for maps generalized derivable at zero point: δ is said to generalized derivable at zero point if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\delta(B) - A\delta(I)B$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = 0$. It is easy to prove that their definition is a special case of ours. For other results, see [4], [10], [13], [18].

The concepts of (generalized) derivations have been generalized. Let ϕ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. An additive (linear) map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a ϕ -derivation if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + \phi(A)\delta(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; if there is an additive (linear) ϕ -derivation $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + \phi(A)\tau(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then δ is called an additive (linear) generalized ϕ -derivation and τ is the relating ϕ -derivation. It is obvious that (generalized) ϕ -derivations are usual (generalized) derivations if ϕ is an identity map. The structure of ϕ -derivations has been studied (see, for example, [1], [2], [6]).

Motivated by the maps (generalized) derivable at some point, we give the concepts of the maps (generalized) ϕ -derivable at some point. We say that δ is ϕ -derivable at some point $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\delta(A)B + \phi(A)\delta(B) = \delta(AB)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = Z$; is generalized ϕ -derivable at Z if there exists an additive map τ on $\mathcal{A}$ which is ϕ -derivable at zero point such that $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + \phi(A)\tau(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $AB = Z$.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the maps (generalized) ϕ -derivable at zero point on nest algebras on Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a Banach space X with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$, and let $\text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ be the associated nest algebra. Assume that $\phi : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ is a ring automorphism and $\delta : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ is an additive map ϕ -derivable at zero point. In Section 2, we show that δ has the form $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$, where $d : \text{Alg}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ is an additive ϕ -derivation (Theorem 2.1 and 2.2). In Section 3, by use of Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we give a characterization of the maps generalized ϕ -derivable at zero point on $\text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ (Theorem 3.1).

Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$. $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the

algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . A nest $\mathcal{N}$ on X is a chain of closed (under norm topology) subspaces of X which is closed under the formation of arbitrary closed linear span (denote by $\bigvee$) and intersection (denote by $\bigwedge$), and which includes $\{0\}$ and X . The nest algebra associated to the nest $\mathcal{N}$, denoted by $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, is the weak closed operator algebra consisting of all operators that leave $\mathcal{N}$ invariant, i.e.,

$$\text{Alg } \mathcal{N} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : TN \subseteq N \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

When $\mathcal{N} \neq \{0, X\}$, we say that $\mathcal{N}$ is non-trivial. If $\mathcal{N}$ is trivial, then $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(X)$. For $N \in \mathcal{N}$, let $N_- = \bigvee\{M \in \mathcal{N} \mid M \subset N\}$. Denote $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}) = \bigcup\{N \in \mathcal{N} \mid N_- \neq X\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N})$ is dense in X . For more information on nest algebras, we refer to [7].

It is clear that every nest algebra on a finite dimensional space is isomorphic to an upper triangular block matrix algebra. Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ denote the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$. Recall that an upper triangular block matrix algebra $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of all $n \times n$ matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ 0 & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ are finite sequence of positive integers satisfying $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$ and $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_i \times n_j}(\mathbb{F})$, the space of all $n_i \times n_j$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$.

2. Characterization of skew derivations

In this section, we consider the additive maps ϕ -derivable at zero point on nest algebras. The following are our main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on an infinite dimensional Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$ and $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ the associated nest algebra. Assume that $\phi : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is a ring automorphism and $\delta : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is an additive map ϕ -derivable at zero point. Then there exist an invertible operator $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, a scalar λ and an additive ϕ -derivation $d : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(I) = \lambda T$ and $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A = d(A) + \lambda TA$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$.*

Remark 2.1. If X is a Hilbert space, the assumption on nest $\mathcal{N}$ in the above theorem is superfluous.

For the finite dimensional case, we have

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the real or complex field, and n be positive integers greater than 1. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be an upper triangular block matrix algebra. Assume that $\phi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a ring automorphism and $\delta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is an additive map ϕ -derivable at zero point. Then there exists an additive ϕ -derivation $d : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{T}$.*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we get the following corollary which generalizes the main theorem in [12].

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$ and $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ the associated nest algebra. Assume that $\delta : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is an additive map derivable at zero point. Then there exist a scalar λ and an additive derivation $d : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \lambda A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$.*

Remark 2.2. By [8, Theorem 4.1], additive derivations on nest algebras on infinite dimensional Banach spaces are linear. Hence, if X is infinite dimensional in Corollary 2.3, then there exists an operator $S \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(A) = AS - SA + \lambda A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$.

Before proving main theorems, we need the following lemma which appeared in [9].

Lemma 2.4 ([9, Lemma 3.2]). *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a (real or complex) Banach space X . If $N \in \mathcal{N}$ is complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$, then the ideal $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{N}$ of finite rank operators of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is contained in the linear span of the idempotents in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, for every rank one nilpotent operator F in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, there exist idempotent operators P, Q in the nest algebra $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $F = P - Q$.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. We will prove the theorem by checking several claims.

Claim 1. There exist an invertible operator $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and some scalar λ such that $\delta(I) = \lambda T$.

For any idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, it is obvious that $P(I - P) = (I - P)P = 0$. Since δ is ϕ -derivable at zero point on $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(P(I - P)) = \delta(P)(I - P) + \phi(P)\delta(I - P) \\ &= \delta(P) - \delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(I) - \phi(P)\delta(P) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta((I - P)P) = \delta(I - P)P + \phi(I - P)\delta(P) \\ &= \delta(I)P - \delta(P)P + \phi(I)\delta(P) - \phi(P)\delta(P). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get

$$\delta(P) + \phi(P)\delta(I) = \phi(I)\delta(P) + \delta(I)P. \quad (2.1)$$

Since ϕ is an automorphism of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, it is obvious that $\phi(I) = I$, and by [9], $\phi(A) = TAT^{-1}$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, where $T \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is an invertible operator. So equation (2.1) yields $TPT^{-1}\delta(I) = \delta(I)P$, that is, $PT^{-1}\delta(I) = T^{-1}\delta(I)P$ for all idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. By Lemma 2.4 and the fact that the set of finite rank operators is strongly dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, it follows that there exists some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $T^{-1}\delta(I) = \lambda I$. Hence $\delta(I) = \lambda T$.

Claim 2. There exists an additive map $h : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta(\alpha I) = h(\alpha)T$ and $\delta(\alpha P) = \alpha\delta(P) + h(\alpha)TP - \alpha\lambda TP$ for every scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and every idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$.

For any idempotent P , since $\alpha P(I - P) = (I - P)\alpha P = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(\alpha P(I - P)) = \delta(\alpha P)(I - P) + \phi(\alpha P)\delta(I - P) \\ &= \delta(\alpha P) - \delta(\alpha P)P + \phi(\alpha P)\delta(I) - \phi(\alpha P)\delta(P) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta((I - P)\alpha P) = \delta(I - P)\alpha P + \phi(I - P)\delta(\alpha P) \\ &= \delta(I)\alpha P - \delta(P)\alpha P + \delta(\alpha P) - \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha P) &= \delta(\alpha P)P + \phi(\alpha P)\delta(P) - \phi(\alpha P)\delta(I) \\ &= \delta(\alpha P)P + \phi(\alpha P)\delta(P) - \lambda\alpha TP \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha P) &= \alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P) - \alpha\delta(I)P \\ &= \alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P) - \lambda\alpha TP. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Comparing equation (2.2) with (2.3), we get

$$\alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P) = \delta(\alpha P)P + \phi(\alpha P)\delta(P). \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, by $P\alpha(I - P) = \alpha(I - P)P = 0$, one can get

$$\delta(\alpha I)P + \alpha\delta(P) = \delta(\alpha P)P + \alpha\phi(P)\delta(P) \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\phi(P)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\delta(P) = \alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P). \quad (2.6)$$

Combining equations (2.4)–(2.6), we have $\phi(P)\delta(\alpha I) = \delta(\alpha I)P$. By a similar

argument with that of Claim 1, there exists a map $h : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta(\alpha I) = h(\alpha)T$. It is clear that h is an additive map. Now combining equation (2.3) with (2.6), we get $\delta(\alpha P) = \alpha\delta(P) + h(\alpha)TP - \alpha\lambda TP$.

Claim 3. $\delta(\alpha F) = h(\alpha)TF + \alpha\delta(F) - \alpha\lambda TF$ for all finite rank operator $F \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

Firstly, we'll prove that $\delta(\alpha x \otimes f) = h(\alpha)Tx \otimes f + \alpha\delta(x \otimes f) - \alpha\lambda Tx \otimes f$ for all rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. We prove this by considering three cases.

Case 1. $\langle x, f \rangle = 1$. Then $x \otimes f$ is an idempotent. By Claim 2, the claim holds.

Case 2. $\langle x, f \rangle = 0$. By Lemma 2.4, Case 1 and the additivity of δ , the claim is true.

Case 3. $\langle x, f \rangle = \beta \neq 0, 1$. Then $P = \beta^{-1}x \otimes f$ is an idempotent. We first show that $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)\beta + \alpha h(\beta) - \lambda\alpha\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. In fact, take any rank one square zero operator $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. By Case 2, for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\delta(\alpha\beta x \otimes f) = h(\alpha\beta)Tx \otimes f + \alpha\beta\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda\alpha\beta Tx \otimes f.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha\beta x \otimes f) &= h(\alpha)T\beta x \otimes f + \alpha\delta(\beta x \otimes f) - \lambda\alpha T\beta x \otimes f \\ &= h(\alpha)\beta Tx \otimes f + \alpha h(\beta)Tx \otimes f + \alpha\beta\delta(x \otimes f) - 2\lambda\alpha\beta Tx \otimes f. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get $(h(\alpha\beta) - h(\alpha)\beta - \alpha h(\beta) + \lambda\alpha\beta)Tx \otimes f = 0$, which implies that $h(\alpha\beta) = h(\alpha)\beta + \alpha h(\beta) - \lambda\alpha\beta$. Now by Claim 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha x \otimes f) &= \delta(\alpha\beta P) = h(\alpha\beta)TP + \alpha\beta\delta(P) - \lambda\alpha\beta TP \\ &= h(\alpha)\beta TP + \alpha h(\beta)TP + \alpha\beta\delta(P) - 2\lambda\alpha\beta TP \\ &= h(\alpha)\beta TP + \alpha(h(\beta)TP + \beta\delta(P)) - 2\lambda\alpha\beta TP \\ &= h(\alpha)\beta TP + \alpha(\delta(\beta P) + \lambda\beta TP) - 2\lambda\alpha\beta TP \\ &= h(\alpha)\beta TP + \alpha\delta(\beta P) - \lambda\alpha\beta TP \\ &= h(\alpha)Tx \otimes f + \alpha\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda\alpha Tx \otimes f. \end{aligned}$$

Since every finite rank operator in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is the sum of rank one operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, the claim holds.

Claim 4. For any operator $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and any rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, we have $\delta(Ax \otimes f) = \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda TAx \otimes f$.

We prove the claim by distinguishing three cases.

Case 1. $\langle x, f \rangle = 1$. Since $A(x \otimes f)(I - x \otimes f) = A(I - x \otimes f)(x \otimes f) = 0$, we have

$$\delta(Ax \otimes f) = \delta(Ax \otimes f)(x \otimes f) - \lambda TAx \otimes f + \phi(Ax \otimes f)\delta(x \otimes f)$$

and

$$\delta(Ax \otimes f)(x \otimes f) + \phi(Ax \otimes f)\delta(x \otimes f) = \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(x \otimes f).$$

Comparing the above two equations, it follows that the claim is true.

Case 2. $\langle x, f \rangle = 0$. By Case 1 and Lemma 2.4, the claim is true.

Case 3. $\langle x, f \rangle = \beta \neq 0, 1$. Then $x \otimes f = \beta P$ for rank one idempotent $P = \beta^{-1}x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. Let $S = (I - P)A(I - P)$. It is clear that $S(\beta P) = (\beta P)S = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(S)(\beta P) + \phi(S)\delta(\beta P) &= \beta\delta(S)P + \beta\phi(S)\delta(P) + h(\beta)\phi(S)TP - \lambda\beta\phi(S)TP \\ &= \beta\delta(S)P + \beta\phi(S)\delta(P) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Note that $A - S$ is a finite rank operator. By equation (2.7), Claims 2–3 and Case 1 of Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Ax \otimes f) &= \delta(A(\beta P)) = \delta(\beta(A - S)P) \\ &= \delta(\beta(A - S))P + \phi(\beta(A - S))\delta(P) - \lambda\beta T(A - S)P \\ &= h(\beta)T(A - S)P + \beta\delta(A - S)P + \phi(\beta(A - S))\delta(P) - 2\lambda\beta T(A - S)P \\ &= h(\beta)TAP + \beta\delta(A)P + \beta\phi(A)\delta(P) - 2\lambda\beta TAP \\ &\quad - (\beta\delta(S)P + \beta\phi(S)\delta(P)) \\ &= \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)(h(\beta)TP + \beta\delta(P)) - 2\lambda\beta TAP \\ &= \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda\beta TAP \\ &= \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda TAx \otimes f, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the claim.

Claim 5. There exists an additive ϕ -derivation $d : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \lambda TA$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, and therefore, the theorem is true.

For any $A, B \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and any rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, by Claim 4, we have

$$\delta(ABx \otimes f) = \delta(AB)x \otimes f + \phi(AB)\delta(x \otimes f) - \lambda TABx \otimes f$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(ABx \otimes f) &= \delta(A)Bx \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(Bx \otimes f) - \lambda TABx \otimes f \\ &= \delta(A)Bx \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(B)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\phi(B)\delta(x \otimes f) \\ &\quad - \lambda\phi(A)TBx \otimes f - \lambda TABx \otimes f \\ &= \delta(A)Bx \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(B)x \otimes f + \phi(AB)\delta(x \otimes f) - 2\lambda TABx \otimes f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\delta(AB) - \delta(A)B - \phi(A)\delta(B) + \lambda TAB)x \otimes f = 0$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N})$ is dense in X , we obtain that

$$\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + \phi(A)\delta(B) - \lambda TAB. \quad (2.8)$$

Now let $d(A) = \delta(A) - \lambda TA$. By equation (2.8), it is easily checked that d is an additive ϕ -derivation on $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, and hence $\delta(A) = d(A) + \lambda TA$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. Complete the proof. $\square$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.2. The method is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. Firstly, note that, by [16], ϕ is in fact τ -linear, where τ is a field automorphism of $\mathbb{F}$. In the following, we'll use the fact repeatedly. We complete the proof by several claims.

Claim 1. $\delta(I)P = \phi(P)\delta(I)$ for all idempotent $P \in \mathcal{T}$.

By the same argument with that of equation (2.1) in Claim 1 of proof of Theorem 2.1, and noting that $\phi(I) = I$, it is obvious that $\phi(P)\delta(I) = \delta(I)P$.

Claim 2. $\delta(\alpha x \otimes f) = \phi(x \otimes f)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\delta(x \otimes f) - \alpha\delta(I)x \otimes f$ for all rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \mathcal{T}$.

We prove the claim by three cases.

Case 1. $\langle x, f \rangle = 1$. Then $P = x \otimes f$ is an idempotent. Since $(I - P)\alpha P = 0$, we have

$$\delta(\alpha P) = \alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P) - \alpha\delta(I)P.$$

Similarly, by $P\alpha(I - P) = 0$, we get

$$\phi(P)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\delta(P) = \alpha\delta(P)P + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha P).$$

Combining the above two equations, we get $\delta(\alpha P) = \alpha\delta(P) + \phi(P)\delta(\alpha I) - \alpha\delta(I)P$.

Case 2. $\langle x, f \rangle = 0$. By Case 1 and Lemma 2.4, the claim is true.

Case 3. $\langle x, f \rangle = \beta \neq 0, 1$. Then $P = \beta^{-1}x \otimes f$ is an idempotent. We first show that $\delta(\alpha\beta I) = \alpha\delta(\beta I) + \tau(\beta)\delta(\alpha I) - \alpha\beta\delta(I)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. In fact, for any $g \in \mathbb{F}^n$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $y \otimes g \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\langle y, g \rangle = 0$. By Case 2, for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\delta(\alpha\beta y \otimes g) = \phi(y \otimes g)\delta(\alpha\beta I) + \alpha\beta\delta(y \otimes g) - \alpha\beta\delta(I)y \otimes g$$

and

$$\delta(\alpha\beta y \otimes g) = \tau(\beta)\phi(y \otimes g)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\phi(y \otimes g)\delta(\beta I) + \alpha\beta\delta(y \otimes g) - 2\alpha\beta\delta(I)y \otimes g.$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get

$$\phi(y \otimes g)(\delta(\alpha\beta I) - \alpha\delta(\beta I) - \tau(\beta)\delta(\alpha I)) + \alpha\beta\delta(I)y \otimes g = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Claim 1, it is clear that $\phi(A)\delta(I) = \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{T}$ with $A^2 = 0$. This and equation (2.9) yield $\phi(y \otimes g)(\delta(\alpha\beta I) - \alpha\delta(\beta I) - \tau(\beta)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\beta\delta(I)) = 0$. Since ϕ^{-1} is multiplicative, it follows that $(y \otimes g)\phi^{-1}(\delta(\alpha\beta I) - \alpha\delta(\beta I) - \tau(\beta)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\beta\delta(I)) = 0$. Since g is arbitrary, we get $\delta(\alpha\beta I) = \alpha\delta(\beta I) + \tau(\beta)\delta(\alpha I) - \alpha\beta\delta(I)$. Now by Case 1 and the τ -linearity of ϕ , it is easily checked that the claim holds.

Since every finite rank operator in $\mathcal{T}$ is the sum of rank one operators in $\mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\delta(\alpha F) = \phi(F)\delta(\alpha I) + \alpha\delta(F) - \alpha\delta(I)F \quad (2.10)$$

for all finite rank operator $F \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

Claim 3. For any operator $A \in \mathcal{T}$ and any rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $\delta(Ax \otimes f) = \delta(A)x \otimes f + \phi(A)\delta(x \otimes f) - \delta(I)Ax \otimes f$.

The proof is similar to that of Claim 4 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we omit it here.

Claim 4. There exists an additive ϕ -derivation $d : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{T}$, and therefore, Theorem 2.2 holds.

For any $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$ and any rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \mathcal{T}$, by calculating $\delta(ABx \otimes f)$ using two ways, similar to the proof of Claim 5 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one can easily checked that

$$\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + \phi(A)\delta(B) - \phi(A)\delta(I)B. \quad (2.11)$$

Now let $d(A) = \delta(A) - \delta(I)A$. By equation (2.11), it is also easily checked that d is an additive ϕ -derivation on $\mathcal{T}$, and therefore $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{T}$. $\square$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.3. By Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we only need to check that $\delta(I) = \lambda I$ for some scalar λ . In fact, by the proof of Claim 1 in Theorem 2.1, it is clear that $\delta(I) = \lambda I$ for some scalar λ and this proof is also applicable for finite dimensional case. Complete the proof. $\square$

3. Characterization of generalized skew derivations

In this section, we consider the linear maps generalized ϕ -derivable at zero point on nest algebras. The following is our main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$ and $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ the associated nest algebra. Assume that $\phi : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is an automorphism and $\delta : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is a linear map generalized ϕ -derivable at zero point associated with a linear map τ which is ϕ -derivable at zero point (i.e. $\delta(A)B + \phi(A)\tau(B) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$). Then there exists a linear ϕ -derivation $d : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ such that $\delta(A) = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$.*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need a lemma, which is an independent interest on its own. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a ring (an algebra). Recall that an additive (a linear) map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a left (right) multiplier if $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B$ ($\delta(AB) = A\delta(B)$) for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$; is called a local multiplier if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a multiplier $\delta_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\delta(A) = \delta_A(A)$. In [3], BREŠAR proved that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is prime ring containing a nonzero idempotent and δ satisfies that $A\delta(B) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$, then δ is a right multiplier. Here we consider similar problems on nest algebras. Note that nest algebras are not prime.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ with $N \in \mathcal{N}$ complemented in X whenever $N_- = N$ and $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ the associated nest algebra. Assume that $L : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is a linear map. If L satisfies $L(A)B = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$ (in particular, if L is a local multiplier), then $L(A) = L(I)A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, that is, L is a left multiplier.*

PROOF. For any idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and any scalar α , since $\alpha(I - P)P = \alpha P(I - P) = 0$, we have

$$L(\alpha I)P = L(\alpha P)P \quad \text{and} \quad L(\alpha P) = L(\alpha P)P,$$

and so

$$L(\alpha P) = L(\alpha I) = \alpha L(I)P = L(I)\alpha P.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$L(F) = L(I)F \quad \text{for all finite rank operator } F \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

For any operator $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and any idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, since $AP(I - P) = A(I - P)P = 0$, we have

$$L(AP) = L(AP)P \quad \text{and} \quad L(AP)P = L(A)P.$$

It follows that

$$L(AP) = L(A)P. \quad (3.2)$$

Now taking any rank one idempotent $P \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, noting that AP is finite rank, by equation (3.1) and (3.2), we have $L(A)P = L(I)AP$ for all A . Hence $L(A)x \otimes f = L(I)Ax \otimes f$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ and all rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. Since

$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N})$ is dense in X , we obtain that $L(A) = L(I)A$. Complete the proof. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Since τ is ϕ -derivable at zero point, we have $AB = 0 \Rightarrow \tau(A)B + \phi(A)\tau(B) = 0$. By assumption, we get

$$AB = 0 \Rightarrow \delta(A)B - \tau(A)B = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $L(A) = \delta(A) - \tau(A)$ for all A . It is clear that L is additive on $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, by equation (3.3), we get $AB = 0 \Rightarrow L(A)B = 0$. By using of Lemma 3.2, Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain that $L(A) = L(I)A$, that is, $\delta(A) = \tau(A) + (\delta(I) - \tau(I))A = d(A) + \delta(I)A$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$, where $d : \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ is a ϕ -derivation. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to give their thanks to the referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. BERGEN, Skew derivations whose invariants satisfy a polynomial identity, *J. Algebra* **228** (2000), 710–737.
- [2] M. BREŠAR and A. R. VILLENA, The noncommutative Singer–Wermer conjecture and ϕ -derivations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 710–720.
- [3] M. BREŠAR, Characterizing homomorphisms, derivations, and multipliers in rings with idempotents, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Sect. A* **137** (2007), 9–21.
- [4] M. A. CHEBOTAR, W.-F. KE and P.-H. LEE, Maps characterized by acting on zero products, *Pacific J. Math.* **216** (2004), 217–228.
- [5] E. CHRISTENSEN, Derivations of nest algebras, *Math. Ann.* **229** (1977), 155–161.
- [6] C. L. CHUANG and T. K. LEE, Identities with a single skew derivation, *J. Algebra* **288** (2005), 59–77.
- [7] K. R. DAVIDSON, Nest Algebras, *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Longman, London, New York*, 1988.
- [8] D. HAN, Additive derivations of nest algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993), 1165–1169.
- [9] J. HOU and X. ZHANG, Ring isomorphisms and linear or additive maps preserving zero products on nest algebras, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **387** (2004), 343–360.
- [10] J. HOU and X. QI, Additive maps derivable at some points on $\mathcal{J}$ -subspace lattice algebras, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **429** (2008), 1851–1863.
- [11] B. HVALA, Generalized derivations in rings, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 1147–1166.
- [12] W. JING, S. LU and P. LI, Characterisations of derivations on some operator algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 227–232.
- [13] X. QI and J. HOU, Linear maps Lie derivable at zero on $\mathcal{J}$ -subspace lattice algebras, *Studia Math* **197** (2010), 157–169.

- [14] P. ŠEMRL, Additive derivations of some operator algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **35** (1991), 234–240.
- [15] M. SPIVAC, Derivations of nest algebras on Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **50** (1985), 193–200.
- [16] X. ZHANG, X. TANG and C. CAO, Preserver problems on spaces of matrices, *Science press, Beijing*, 2007.
- [17] J. ZHU and C. XIONG, Generalized derivable mappings at zero point on nest algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica* **45** (2002), 783–788.
- [18] J. ZHU and C. XIONG, Generalized derivable mappings at zero point on some reflexive operator algebras, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **397** (2005), 367–379.

XIAOFEI QI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI UNIVERSITY
TAIYUAN, 030006
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: qixf1980@126.com

JINCHUAN HOU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
TAIYUAN, 030024
P.R. CHINA

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI UNIVERSITY
TAIYUAN, 030006
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: houjinchuan@tyut.edu.cn

(Received February 8, 2010; July 8, 2010)