

Coexistence problems for Hill's equations with three-step potentials

By KAZUSHI YOSHITOMI (Tokyo)

Abstract. We study the coexistence of two linearly independent, periodic solutions of Hill's equation with a three-step potential. We give a simple, necessary and sufficient condition for the coexistence. Using this condition, we provide a formula for the number of nontrivial joints of the Arnold tongue of a family of Hill's equations with three-step potentials.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a simple, necessary and sufficient condition for Hill's equation with a three-step potential to admit two linearly independent, periodic solutions.

Given a subdivision

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 = 2\pi$$

of the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, we put

$$t = (t_1, t_2) \quad \text{and} \quad s_i = t_i - t_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

For $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $Q(a, t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 2π -periodic step function such that

$$Q(a, t, \cdot) = a_i \quad \text{on} \quad [t_{i-1}, t_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34L15, 34L40.

Key words and phrases: Hill's equation, three-step potential, coexistence, monodromy matrix.

We are concerned with Hill's equation of the form

$$-y''(x) + Q(a, t, x)y(x) = \lambda y(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad y, y' \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (1)$$

where λ is a real parameter.

In order to formulate our claims, we recall from [5] some fundamental results and terminologies in the theory of Hill's equations. Let $y_1(a, t, \lambda, x)$ and $y_2(a, t, \lambda, x)$ be the solutions of the equation (1) subject to the initial conditions

$$y_1(a, t, \lambda, 0) - 1 = y_1'(a, t, \lambda, 0) = 0$$

and

$$y_2(a, t, \lambda, 0) = y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 0) - 1 = 0,$$

respectively. We introduce the discriminant of the equation (1):

$$D(a, t, \lambda) := y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) + y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi),$$

which is analytic in λ . Denoting by $\lambda_j(a, t)$ the j th root of the equation $D(a, t, \cdot)^2 - 4 = 0$ counted with multiplicity for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have by the Liapounoff oscillation theorem (see [5, Theorem 2.1])

$$\lambda_1(a, t) < \lambda_2(a, t) \leq \lambda_3(a, t) < \cdots < \lambda_{2k}(a, t) \leq \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t) < \cdots \quad (2)$$

This sequence also gives all the eigenvalues of (1) with the 4π -periodicity condition $y(\cdot + 4\pi) = y(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ repeated according to multiplicity, while the subsequence

$$\lambda_1(a, t) < \lambda_4(a, t) \leq \lambda_5(a, t) < \cdots < \lambda_{4k}(a, t) \leq \lambda_{4k+1}(a, t) < \cdots$$

provides all the eigenvalues of (1) with the 2π -periodicity condition repeated according to multiplicity. If the equation (1) admits two linearly independent, periodic solutions of period 2π or 4π , we say that two such solutions coexist (see [5, Section 7.1]). Such coexistence is equivalent to the condition

$$\lambda = \lambda_{2k}(a, t) = \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t) \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

The sequence (2) also characterizes the stability of the solutions of (1). Whenever all solutions of (1) are bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ we say that they are stable; otherwise we say that they are unstable. By the Liapounoff theorem, we

see that the solutions of (1) are stable if and only if $\{\lambda\}$ is an interior point of the set

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_{2k-1}(a, t), \lambda_{2k}(a, t)].$$

We call $(\lambda_{2k}(a, t), \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t))$ the k th instability interval for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So the coexistence is also equivalent to the absence of the instability interval.

We define

$$p_i = p_i(a_i, \lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda - a_i}, \quad \arg p_i \in \left\{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Our main result is the following claim.

Theorem 1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $a_m \neq a_n$ for $m \neq n$. Then the statements (i) and (ii) below are equivalent.*

- (i) $\lambda = \lambda_{2k}(a, t) = \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t)$.
- (ii) $s_1 p_1(a_1, \lambda) + s_2 p_2(a_2, \lambda) + s_3 p_3(a_3, \lambda) = k\pi$ and $s_i p_i(a_i, \lambda) \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we claim by Theorem 1 that the k th instability interval is absent if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the statement (ii). In particular, both the first instability interval and the second instability interval are always present, provided that $a_m \neq a_n$ for $m \neq n$.

Next we give an application of the above theorem. We consider the family of Hill's equations

$$-y''(x) + \beta Q(a, t, x)y(x) = \lambda y(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

indexed by $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$R_k(a, t) = \{(\mu, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \lambda_{2k}(\beta a, t) < \mu < \lambda_{2k+1}(\beta a, t)\}$$

and

$$P_k(a, t) = \{(\mu, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mu = \lambda_{2k}(\beta a, t) = \lambda_{2k+1}(\beta a, t), \beta \neq 0\}.$$

The set $R_k(a, t)$ is called the Arnord tongue or the instability region. The elements of $P_k(a, t)$ are called the resonance pockets, which are the non-trivial joints of $R_k(a, t)$. For a finite set K , we denote its cardinality by $\sharp K$. We note that $\sharp P_k(a, t)$ is equal to the number of bounded, connected components of $R_k(a, t)$. The following theorem gives a formula for $\sharp P_k(a, t)$.

Theorem 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $a_m \neq a_n$ for $m \neq n$. Then it holds that

$$\sharp P_k(a, t) = \sharp \left\{ (l_1, l_2, l_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid \frac{a_2 - a_3}{s_1^2} l_1^2 + \frac{a_3 - a_1}{s_2^2} l_2^2 + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{s_3^2} l_3^2 = 0, \right. \\ \left. s_2 l_1 \neq s_1 l_2, l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = k \right\}.$$

The coexistence problems for Hill's equations with *two-step* potentials have been studied in [2]–[4], and [6]. In order to review those results, we introduce needed notations. Given $0 < \kappa < 2\pi$ and $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $b_1 \neq b_2$, let $W(b, \kappa, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 2π -periodic function such that $W(b, \kappa, \cdot) = b_1$ on $[0, \kappa)$ and that $W(b, \kappa, \cdot) = b_2$ on $[\kappa, 2\pi)$. E. MEISSNER [6] was the first to study the characteristic value problem

$$-z''(x) = \nu^2 W(b, \kappa, x) z(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu > 0,$$

where $b_1, b_2 > 0$. He solved the coexistence problem for this equation in the case when $\kappa = \pi$. Furthermore, H. HOCHSTADT [4] investigated this problem for general κ . He proved that two linearly independent, periodic solutions to this equation can coexist for some ν if and only if the number $\sqrt{b_2/b_1} (2\pi - \kappa)/\kappa$ is rational. His method is based on a factorization of the function $\Delta(\nu) \pm 2$, where $\Delta(\nu)$ stands for the discriminant of this equation. Recently, SHAOBO GAN and MEIRONG ZHANG [2], [3] studied the eigenvalue problem

$$-z''(x) + W(b, \kappa, x) z(x) = \nu z(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. They obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the coexistence (see [2, Theorem 2.3] and [3, Proposition 3.1]). They also proved that the number of the resonance pockets in the n th instability region of the family of equations

$$-z''(x) + \alpha W(b, \kappa, x) z(x) = \nu z(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

is given by

$$\begin{cases} n - 2 & \text{for } \frac{n\kappa}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{N}, \\ n - 1 & \text{for } \frac{n\kappa}{2\pi} \notin \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Their method is based on a characterization of the eigenvalue by the rotation number of the Prüfer transform of the solution.

Our idea to prove Theorem 1 is different from the ones in [2]–[4], and [6]; we make effective use of the full components of the monodromy matrix. This enables us to reduce the problem to a simple arithmetic.

2. Proof of theorems

By $M(a, t, \lambda)$ we denote the monodromy matrix of (1):

$$M(a, t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) & y_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) \\ y_1'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) & y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using $-y_j''(x) = (\lambda - a_i)y_j(x)$ on (t_{i-1}, t_i) for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2$, we have, in the case when $p_1(a_1, \lambda)p_2(a_2, \lambda)p_3(a_3, \lambda) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= \cos s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_1}{p_2} \sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{p_1}{p_3} \sin s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_2}{p_3} \cos s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= -p_1 \sin s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - p_2 \cos s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad - p_3 \cos s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 + \frac{p_1 p_3}{p_2} \sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= \frac{1}{p_1} \sin s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 + \frac{1}{p_2} \cos s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p_3} \cos s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_3} \sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= \cos s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_2}{p_1} \sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{p_3}{p_1} \sin s_1 p_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_3}{p_2} \cos s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Notice that the statement (i) in Theorem 1 is equivalent to the condition

$$M(a, t, \lambda) = (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in \{\lambda_{2k}(a, t), \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t)\} \quad (7)$$

(see the proof of [5, Lemma 2.4]). Let us demonstrate Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It suffices to show that (ii) in Theorem 1 and (7) are equivalent.

Let us prove that (7) yields (ii). Assume that (7) holds. Our first task is to deduce that $\sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$ by contradiction. Suppose that $\sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 \neq 0$. We put $x_i = \cot s_i p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Inserting (3) $\sim$ (6) into the equalities

$$y'_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = 0, \quad y_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = 0, \quad y'_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) - y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = 0,$$

and dividing those by $\sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3$, we obtain

$$\frac{p_1 p_3}{p_2} - p_1 x_2 x_3 - p_2 x_1 x_3 - p_3 x_1 x_2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$-\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_3} + \frac{1}{p_1} x_2 x_3 + \frac{1}{p_2} x_1 x_3 + \frac{1}{p_3} x_1 x_2 = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$x_3 = -\frac{(p_1^2 - p_3^2)p_2}{(p_1^2 - p_2^2)p_3} x_2 - \frac{(p_2^2 - p_3^2)p_1}{(p_1^2 - p_2^2)p_3} x_1. \quad (10)$$

We deduce from (8) and (9) that

$$(-p_1 p_2^2 + p_1 p_3^2) x_2 x_3 + \left(-p_2^3 + \frac{p_1^2 p_3^2}{p_2}\right) x_1 x_3 + (-p_3 p_2^2 + p_1^2 p_3) x_1 x_2 = 0. \quad (11)$$

Plugging (10) into (11), we have

$$(p_2^2 - p_3^2)(p_1^2 - p_3^2)p_1 p_2 x_2^2 + 2p_1^2(p_2^2 - p_3^2)^2 x_1 x_2 - \frac{p_1(p_1^2 p_3^2 - p_2^4)(p_2^2 - p_3^2)}{p_2} x_1^2 = 0$$

and hence

$$x_2 = \left\{ -\frac{p_1(p_2^2 - p_3^2)}{p_2(p_1^2 - p_3^2)} \pm \frac{p_3(p_1^2 - p_2^2)}{p_2(p_1^2 - p_3^2)} \right\} x_1. \quad (12)$$

This together with (10) implies that

$$x_3 = \mp x_1. \quad (13)$$

Combining (8) with (12) and (13), we conclude that

$$x_1^2 = -1.$$

This violates the fact that $\cot z \neq \pm\sqrt{-1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus we get $\sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$.

Next we shall show that $p_1 p_2 p_3 \neq 0$. Let us first prove that $p_1 \neq 0$ by contradiction. Suppose that $p_1 = 0$. Noting $y_j''(x) = 0$ on (t_0, t_1) for $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_2}{p_3} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_3}{p_2} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad - s_1(p_2 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 + p_3 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$y_1'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = -p_2 \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - p_3 \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3, \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) &= s_1 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{s_1 p_2}{p_3} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p_2} \sin s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 + \frac{1}{p_3} \cos s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Inserting (14) and (15) into $y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) - y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = 0$, and combining that with (16) and $y_1'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{p_2^2 - p_3^2}{p_2 p_3} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$$

and hence

$$\sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = 0.$$

This together with $y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = (-1)^k$ and (14) implies that

$$\cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 = (-1)^k$$

and thus $\sin s_2 p_2 = \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$. Therefore, we infer by (17) that

$$y_2(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = s_1(-1)^k \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we have $p_1 \neq 0$. Similarly we get $p_2 \neq 0$ and $p_3 \neq 0$.

Next we shall show that $\sin s_1 p_1 = \sin s_2 p_2 = \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$. Because $\sin s_1 p_1 \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$, we have $\sin s_1 p_1 = 0$ or $\sin s_2 p_2 = 0$ or $\sin s_3 p_3 = 0$. We first consider the case that $\sin s_1 p_1 = 0$. By (3), (6), and $y_1(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = y_2'(a, t, \lambda, 2\pi) = \pm 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_2}{p_3} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 \\ &= \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_3 p_3 - \frac{p_3}{p_2} \sin s_2 p_2 \sin s_3 p_3 = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\sin s_1 p_1 = \sin s_2 p_2 = \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$. This conclusion also follows from $\sin s_2 p_2 = 0$ or $\sin s_3 p_3 = 0$ in a similar manner.

Because $\sin s_1 p_1 = \sin s_2 p_2 = \sin s_3 p_3 = 0$ and $p_1 p_2 p_3 \neq 0$, we have $s_i p_i \in \pi \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. So we get

$$y_1(a, t, \lambda, x) = \begin{cases} \cos x p_1 & \text{for } x \in [0, t_1), \\ \cos(x - t_1) p_2 \cos s_1 p_1 & \text{for } x \in [t_1, t_2), \\ \cos(x - t_2) p_3 \cos s_2 p_2 \cos s_1 p_1 & \text{for } x \in [t_2, 2\pi). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we see that the number of zeros of $y_1(a, t, \lambda, \cdot)$ inside $[0, 2\pi)$ is

$$(s_1 p_1 + s_2 p_2 + s_3 p_3) / \pi.$$

Since

$$M(a, t, \lambda) = (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we infer that $y_1(a, t, \lambda, x)$ is a periodic solution of (1) of period 2π or 4π . Because $\lambda \in \{\lambda_{2k}(a, t), \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t)\}$, the Haupt Theorem (see [1, Chapter 8, Theorem 3.1]) implies that $y_1(a, t, \lambda, \cdot)$ has exactly k zeros in $[0, 2\pi)$. Thus it follows that $(s_1 p_1 + s_2 p_2 + s_3 p_3) / \pi = k$. Hence we obtain (ii).

Finally we shall prove that (ii) implies (7). Suppose that (ii) holds. By (3) $\sim$ (6) we have

$$M(a, t, \lambda) = (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the above observation, we see that $y_1(a, t, \lambda, x)$ is a periodic solution of (1) of period 2π or 4π and that the number of zeros of $y_1(a, t, \lambda, \cdot)$ inside

$[0, 2\pi)$ is k . Thus the Haupt theorem again implies that

$$\lambda \in \{\lambda_{2k}(a, t), \lambda_{2k+1}(a, t)\}.$$

Hence we obtain (7). $\square$

We are now in a position to demonstrate Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Notice that $s_i p_i(\beta a_i, \lambda) \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ if and only if there exists $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lambda - \beta a_i = \frac{\pi^2 m_i^2}{s_i^2}.$$

Thus we see by Theorem 1 that, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, the equality

$$\lambda_{2k}(\beta a, t) = \lambda_{2k+1}(\beta a, t)$$

holds if and only if there exist $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions (18) $\sim$ (21) below.

$$\lambda - \beta a_1 = \frac{\pi^2 l_1^2}{s_1^2}. \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda - \beta a_2 = \frac{\pi^2 l_2^2}{s_2^2}. \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda - \beta a_3 = \frac{\pi^2 l_3^2}{s_3^2}. \quad (20)$$

$$l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = k. \quad (21)$$

We find that the existence of such $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is unique, since the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto t - \beta a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is strictly monotone increasing. Both (18) and (19) hold if and only if both

$$\beta = \frac{\pi^2}{a_2 - a_1} \left(\frac{l_1^2}{s_1^2} - \frac{l_2^2}{s_2^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\pi^2}{a_2 - a_1} \left(\frac{a_2 l_1^2}{s_1^2} - \frac{a_1 l_2^2}{s_2^2} \right)$$

are valid. Plugging these into (20) and $\beta \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{a_2 - a_3}{s_1^2} l_1^2 + \frac{a_3 - a_1}{s_2^2} l_2^2 + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{s_3^2} l_3^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 l_1 \neq s_1 l_2,$$

respectively. Thus we get the assertion of Theorem 2. $\square$

Remark. For Hill's equation with a four-step potential, there is no analogy to Theorem 1. To see this we give a counterexample. We put

$$t_0 = 0, \quad t_1 = \frac{\pi}{6}, \quad t_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad t_3 = \frac{3}{2}\pi, \quad t_4 = 2\pi,$$

$$s_j = t_j - t_{j-1}, \quad a_j = -\frac{\pi^2}{4s_j^2} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 2π -periodic function such that

$$V(\cdot) = a_j \text{ on } [t_{j-1}, t_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We consider the equation of the form

$$-y''(x) + V(x)y(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}.$$

We define

$$T_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos s_j \sqrt{-a_j} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{-a_j}} \sin s_j \sqrt{-a_j} \\ -\sqrt{-a_j} \sin s_j \sqrt{-a_j} & \cos s_j \sqrt{-a_j} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We notice that the equation (22) admits two linearly independent, periodic solutions of period 2π , because its monodromy matrix is given by

$$T_4 T_3 T_2 T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s_2 s_4}{s_1 s_3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{s_1 s_3}{s_2 s_4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, we have

$$s_j \sqrt{-a_j} = \frac{\pi}{2} \notin \pi\mathbb{N} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions which improved the paper.

References

- [1] E. CODDINGTON and N. LEVINSON, Theory of ordinary differential equations, *McGraw-Hill, New York*, 1955.
- [2] SHAOBO GAN and MEIRONG ZHANG, Constructing resonance calabashes of Hill's equations using step potentials, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **129** (2000), 153–164.
- [3] SHAOBO GAN and MEIRONG ZHANG, Resonance pockets of Hill's equations with two-step potentials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (2000), 651–664.
- [4] H. HOCHSTADT, A special Hill's equation with discontinuous coefficients, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963), 18–26.
- [5] W. MAGNUS and S. WINKLER, Hill's equation, *Interscience, New York*, 1966.
- [6] E. MEISSNER, Ueber schüttelerscheinungen in systemen mit periodisch veränderlicher elastizität, *Schweizer Bauzeitung* **72**, no. 10 (1918), 95–98.

KAZUSHI YOSHITOMI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1
HACHIOJI-SHI, TOKYO 192-0397
JAPAN

E-mail: yositomi@comp.metro-u.ac.jp

(Received September 2, 2003; revised February 5, 2004)