

## Discontinuous non-linear mappings on locally convex direct limits

By HELGE GLÖCKNER (Darmstadt)

**Abstract.** We show that the self-map  $f : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(\gamma) := \gamma \circ \gamma - \gamma(0)$  of the space of real-valued test functions on the line is discontinuous, although its restriction to the space  $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  of functions supported in  $K$  is smooth (and hence continuous), for each compact subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . More generally, we construct mappings with analogous pathological properties on spaces of compactly supported smooth sections in vector bundles over non-compact bases. The results can be used in infinite-dimensional Lie theory to analyze the precise direct limit properties of test function groups and groups of compactly supported diffeomorphisms.

### Introduction

Let  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending sequence of locally convex spaces which does not become stationary, and such that  $E_{n+1}$  induces the given topology on  $E_n$ , for each  $n$ . It is a well-known phenomenon that the topology on  $E := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$  making  $E$  the direct limit of the spaces  $E_n$  in the category of locally convex spaces (and continuous linear maps) can be properly coarser than the topology making  $E$  the direct limit of its subspaces  $E_n$  in the category of topological spaces. For example, this phenomenon occurs whenever each  $E_n$  is an infinite-dimensional FRÉCHET

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46F05, 46T20; 46A13, 46M40, 22E65.

*Key words and phrases:* test functions, compactly supported sections, locally convex direct limit, mappings on direct limits, differentiability, smoothness, continuity.

The research was partially supported by DFG, FOR 363/1-1.

space (cf. [14, Proposition 4.26 (ii)]). In particular, the locally convex direct limit topology on the space  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \varinjlim C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  of test functions is properly coarser than the topology of direct limit topological space (cf. also [3, p. 506]).

So, for abstract reasons, discontinuous mappings on the space of test functions  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  are known to exist whose restriction to  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is continuous for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this article, we describe such a mapping explicitly, whose restriction to  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is not only continuous but actually smooth (Proposition 2.2). More generally, for every  $\sigma$ -compact, non-compact, finite-dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  of positive dimension and locally convex space  $E \neq \{0\}$ , we construct a discontinuous map  $f : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  whose restriction to  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is smooth, for each compact subset  $K$  of  $M$ . An analogous result is obtained for the space  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$  of compactly supported smooth sections in a bundle of locally convex spaces  $E \rightarrow M$  over  $M$ , with non-trivial fibre (Theorem 3.2).

**Further developments.** The preceding result is useful for the investigation of direct limit properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups. As shown in [11], it entails that there are discontinuous (and hence non-smooth) mappings on the Lie group  $\text{Diff}_c(M) = \bigcup_K \text{Diff}_K(M)$  of compactly supported smooth diffeomorphisms of  $M$  (as in [15] or [10]), whose restriction to  $\text{Diff}_K(M) := \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(M) : \phi|_{M \setminus K} = \text{id}_{M \setminus K}\}$  is smooth, for each compact subset  $K \subseteq M$ . A similar pathology occurs for the Lie group  $C_c^\infty(M, G) = \bigcup_K C_K^\infty(M, G)$  of compactly supported smooth maps with values in a non-discrete finite-dimensional Lie group (as in [5]). In this way, we obtain one half of the following table, which describes whether  $\text{Diff}_c(M) = \varinjlim \text{Diff}_K(M)$  and  $C_c^\infty(M, G) = \varinjlim C_K^\infty(M, G)$  holds in the categories shown:

| category \ group   | $C_c^\infty(M, G)$ | $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Lie groups         | yes                | yes                |
| topological groups | yes                | yes                |
| smooth manifolds   | no                 | no                 |
| topological spaces | no                 | no                 |

For the proof, see [11] (cf. also [19] and [13, Proposition 3.1] for related results).

The present constructions of pathological mappings are complemented by studies in [8]–[10] (cf. also [7]). In these articles, a mild additional property is introduced which ensures that a map  $f : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(N, F)$  between spaces of test functions (or compactly supported sections) satisfying this property (an “almost local” map) is indeed smooth if and only if it is smooth on  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  for each  $K$ . In contrast to these maps, the pathological examples presented here are extremely non-local.

In the final section, we describe examples of discontinuous bilinear mappings which are continuous (and hence analytic) on each step of a directed sequence of subspaces.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this article, we are working in the setting of infinite-dimensional differential calculus known as Keller’s  $C_c^\infty$ -theory, based on smooth maps in the sense of Michal–Bastiani (see [4], [12], [15], [17] for further information).

*Definition 1.1.* Let  $E, F$  be locally convex spaces and  $f : U \rightarrow F$  be a mapping, defined on an open subset  $U$  of  $E$ . We say that  $f$  is of class  $C^0$  if  $f$  is continuous. If  $f$  is a continuous map such that the two-sided directional derivatives

$$df(x, v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (f(x + tv) - f(x))$$

exist for all  $(x, v) \in U \times E$ , and the map  $df : U \times E \rightarrow F$  so defined is continuous, then  $f$  is said to be of class  $C^1$ . Recursively, given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we call  $f$  a mapping of class  $C^{k+1}$  if it is of class  $C^1$  and  $df$  is of class  $C^k$  on the open subset  $U \times E$  of  $E \times E$ . We set  $d^{k+1}f := d(d^k f) = d^k(df) : U \times E^{2^{k+1}-1} \rightarrow F$  in this case. The function  $f$  is called *smooth* (or of class  $C^\infty$ ) if it is of class  $C^k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Definition 1.2.* Let  $M$  be a finite-dimensional,  $\sigma$ -compact smooth manifold and  $E$  be a locally convex topological vector space. We equip the

vector space  $C^\infty(M, E)$  of  $E$ -valued smooth mappings  $\gamma$  on  $M$  with the topology of uniform convergence of  $\partial^\alpha(\gamma \circ \kappa^{-1})$  on compact subsets of  $V$ , for each chart  $\kappa : M \supseteq U \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $M$  and multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  (where  $d := \dim(M)$ ). Given a compact subset  $K \subseteq M$ , we equip the vector subspace  $C_K^\infty(M, E) := \{\gamma \in C^\infty(M, E) : \gamma|_{M \setminus K} = 0\}$  of  $C^\infty(M, E)$  with the induced topology. We give  $C_c^\infty(M, E) := \bigcup_K C_K^\infty(M, E) = \varinjlim C_K^\infty(M, E)$  the locally convex direct limit topology. We abbreviate  $C_c^\infty(M) := C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C^\infty(M) := C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C_K^\infty(M) := C_K^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Further details can be found, e.g., in [5].

## 2. Example of a discontinuous mapping on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

We show that the map  $f : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \gamma - \gamma(0)$  is discontinuous, although its restriction to  $C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is smooth, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following fact is essential for our constructions. It follows from [14, Corollary 3.13] and is also a special case of [8, Proposition 11.3]. A direct, elementary proof can be found in the TU Darmstadt preprint version of this article, as an appendix.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The composition map*

$$\Gamma : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m) \times C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad \Gamma(\gamma, \eta) := \gamma \circ \eta$$

*is smooth, for each finite-dimensional,  $\sigma$ -compact smooth manifold  $M$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

For the following proof, recall that the sets

$$\mathcal{V}(k, e) := \left\{ \gamma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall j \in \{0, \dots, k_n\}) \left( \forall x \in \left[ n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2} \right] \right) \right. \\ \left. |\gamma^{(j)}(x)| < \varepsilon_n \right\}$$

form a basis of open zero-neighbourhoods for the topology on  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $k = (k_n) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^\mathbb{Z}$  and  $e = (\varepsilon_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{Z}}$  (cf. [18, § II.1]; see [5, Proposition 4.8]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *The mapping  $f : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \gamma - \gamma(0)$  has the following properties:*

- (a) *The restriction of  $f$  to a map  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is smooth (and hence continuous), for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (b)  *$f$  is discontinuous at  $\gamma = 0$ .*

PROOF. (a) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we show that  $f|_{C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})} : C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is smooth. The image of this map being contained in the closed vector subspace  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , which also is a closed vector subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (with the same induced topology), it suffices to show that the map  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \gamma - \gamma(0)$  is smooth (see [9, Proposition 1.9] or [1, Lemma 10.1]). Now  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$  being a continuous linear (and thus smooth) map, it suffices to show that  $C_{[-n,n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \gamma$  is smooth. This readily follows from Lemma 2.1.

(b) Consider the 0-neighbourhood  $V := \mathcal{V}((|n|)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (1)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$  in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $k = (k_n) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^\mathbb{Z}$  and  $e = (\varepsilon_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. We show that  $f(\mathcal{V}(k, e)) \not\subseteq V$ . Since  $f(0) = 0$ , this entails that  $f$  is discontinuous at  $\gamma = 0$ . It is easy to construct a function  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq ]-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}[$  and  $h(x) = x^{k_0+1}$  for all  $x \in [-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$ . Then  $rh \in \mathcal{V}(k, e)$  for some  $r > 0$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $h_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  via

$$h_m(x) := \frac{r}{m^{k_0}} h(mx).$$

Then  $\text{supp}(h_m) \subseteq ]-\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2m}[$  and thus  $h_m \in \mathcal{V}(k, e)$  since, for all  $j = 0, \dots, k_0$  and  $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we have  $|h_m^{(j)}(x)| = \frac{rm^j}{m^{k_0}} |h^{(j)}(mx)| < \varepsilon_0$ . We now choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq k_0 + 2$ . It is easy to construct a function  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\psi(x) = x - n$  for  $x$  in some neighbourhood of  $n$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq ]n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}[$ . Then  $\phi := s \cdot \psi \in \mathcal{V}(k, e)$  for suitable  $s > 0$ . Choosing  $s$  small enough, we may assume that  $\text{im}(\phi) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ . The supports of  $\phi$  and  $h_m$  being disjoint, we easily deduce from  $\phi, h_m \in \mathcal{V}(k, e)$  that also  $\gamma_m := \phi + h_m \in \mathcal{V}(k, e)$ . Then  $\gamma_m(0) = 0$ , and since  $\text{im}(\phi) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ , we have  $f(\gamma_m)(x) = (h_m \circ \phi)(x)$  for all  $x \in W := ]n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}[$ . For  $x \in W$  sufficiently close to  $n$ , we have  $\phi(x) = s \cdot (x - n) \in [-\frac{1}{4m}, \frac{1}{4m}]$  and thus  $f(\gamma_m)(x) = r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot (x - n)^{k_0+1}$ , whence  $f(\gamma_m)^{(k_0+1)}(n) = r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot (k_0 + 1)!$ . Thus  $f(\gamma_m) \notin V$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot (k_0 + 1)! \geq 1$ , and so  $f(\mathcal{V}(k, e)) \not\subseteq V$ . As  $k$  and  $e$  were arbitrary, (b) follows.  $\square$

Note that  $\text{supp}(f(\gamma)) \subseteq \text{supp}(\gamma)$  here, for all  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Although the map  $f$  from Proposition 2.2 is discontinuous and thus not smooth in the Michal–Bastiani sense, it is easily seen to be smooth in the sense of convenient differential calculus (as any map  $f$  on a “regular” countable strict direct limit  $E = \varinjlim E_n$  of complete locally convex spaces, all of whose restrictions  $f|_{E_n}$  are smooth).<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Discontinuous mappings on $C_c^\infty(M, E)$

In this section, we generalize our discussion of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  from Section 2 to the spaces  $C_c^\infty(M, E) = \varinjlim C_K^\infty(M, E)$  of compactly supported smooth mappings on a  $\sigma$ -compact finite-dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  with values in a locally convex space  $E$ . We show:

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $E \neq \{0\}$ , the manifold  $M$  is non-compact, and  $\dim(M) > 0$ , then there exists a mapping  $f : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that*

- (a) *The restriction of  $f$  to  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is smooth, for each compact subset  $K$  of  $M$ .*
- (b)  *$f$  is discontinuous at 0.*

*In particular, the locally convex direct limit topology on  $C_c^\infty(M, E) = \varinjlim C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is properly coarser than the topology making  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$  the direct limit of the spaces  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  in the category of topological spaces.*

Instead of proving this proposition directly, we establish an analogous result for spaces of sections in bundles of locally convex spaces, which is no harder to prove. Noting that the function space  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$  is topologically isomorphic to the space  $C_c^\infty(M, M \times E)$  of compactly supported smooth sections in the trivial bundle  $\text{pr}_M : M \times E \rightarrow M$ , clearly Proposition 3.1 is covered by the ensuing discussions for vector bundles. For background material concerning bundles of locally convex spaces and the associated spaces of sections, the reader is referred to [9] (or also [8, Appendix F]).

---

<sup>1</sup>Regularity means that every bounded subset of  $E$  is contained and bounded in some  $E_n$ .

For the present purposes, we recall: if  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is a smooth bundle of locally convex spaces over the finite-dimensional,  $\sigma$ -compact smooth manifold  $M$ , with typical fibre the locally convex space  $F$ , then one considers on the space  $C^\infty(M, E)$  of all smooth sections the initial topology with respect to the family of mappings

$$\theta_\psi : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(U, F), \quad \theta_\psi(\sigma) := \sigma_\psi := \text{pr}_F \circ \psi \circ \sigma|_{\pi^{-1}(U)},$$

which take a smooth section  $\sigma$  to its local representation  $\sigma_\psi : U \rightarrow F$  with respect to the local trivialization  $\psi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  of  $E$ . Given a compact subset  $K \subseteq M$ , the subspace  $C_K^\infty(M, E) \subseteq C^\infty(M, E)$  of sections vanishing off  $K$  is equipped with the induced topology, and  $C_c^\infty(M, E) := \bigcup_K C_K^\infty(M, E) = \varinjlim C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is given the locally convex direct limit topology.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact, non-compact smooth manifold of finite dimension  $\dim(M) > 0$ , and  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  be a smooth bundle of locally convex spaces over  $M$ , whose typical fibre is a locally convex topological vector space  $F \neq \{0\}$ . Then there exists a discontinuous mapping  $f : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  whose restriction to  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is smooth, for each compact subset  $K$  of  $M$ .*

PROOF. Let  $d := \dim(M)$ . The manifold  $M$  being non-compact, we can find a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of mutually disjoint coordinate neighbourhoods  $U_n \subseteq M$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that local trivializations  $\psi_n : \pi^{-1}(U_n) \rightarrow U_n \times F$  of  $E$  exist, and such that every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many of the sets  $U_n$ . We define

$$\theta_{\psi_n} : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(U_n, F), \quad \theta_{\psi_n}(\sigma) := \sigma_{\psi_n} := \text{pr}_F \circ \psi_n \circ \sigma|_{\pi^{-1}(U_n)}.$$

By definition of the topology on  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$ , the linear maps  $\theta_{\psi_n}$  are continuous. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $\kappa_n : U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism; define  $x_n := \kappa_n^{-1}(0)$ . We choose a function  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $h|_{[-1,1]^d} = 1$ ; we define  $h_n \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  via  $h_n(x) := h(\kappa_n(x))$  if  $x \in U_n$ ,  $h_n(x) := 0$  if  $x \in M \setminus U_n$ . Let  $K_n := \text{supp}(h_n) \subseteq U_n$ . We choose a continuous linear functional  $0 \neq \lambda \in F'$ , and pick  $v \in F$  such that  $\lambda(v) = 1$ . Note that  $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  is closed in  $M$ , the sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of compact sets being locally finite. Let  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times F \rightarrow F$  be the scalar multiplication.

The eventual definition of the mapping  $f$  we are looking for will involve the map  $\Phi : E \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ , defined via

$$\Phi|_{\pi^{-1}(U_n)} := (\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U_n)}, \lambda \circ \mu \circ ((h_n \circ \pi)|_{\pi^{-1}(U_n)}, \text{pr}_F \circ \psi_n)) \quad (1)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\Phi|_{E \setminus \pi^{-1}(A)} := (\pi|_{E \setminus \pi^{-1}(A)}, 0)$ . Note that  $\Phi$  is well-defined as the function in Eqn. (1) coincides with  $(\pi, 0)$  on the set  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \pi^{-1}(U_n \setminus A)$ . Also note that  $\Phi$  is a fibre-preserving mapping from  $E$  into the trivial bundle  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, it is readily verified that  $\Phi$  is a smooth. By [9, Theorem 5.9] (or [8, Remark. F.25 (a)]), the pushforward

$$C_c^\infty(M, \Phi) : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, M \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \sigma \mapsto \Phi \circ \sigma$$

is smooth. For later use, we introduce the continuous linear map

$$\Lambda := \theta_{\text{id}_{M \times \mathbb{R}}} : C_c^\infty(M, M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Let  $\iota : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the embedding  $t \mapsto (t, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The mapping  $f$  to be constructed will also involve the map  $\Psi : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  defined via

$$\Psi := C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \lambda) \circ C^\infty(\kappa_0^{-1} \circ \iota, F) \circ \theta_{\psi_0},$$

where the pullback  $C^\infty(\kappa_0^{-1} \circ \iota, F) : C^\infty(U_n, F) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, F)$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \kappa_0^{-1} \circ \iota$  and the pushforward  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \lambda) : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, F) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \lambda \circ \gamma$  are continuous linear mappings and thus smooth, by [5, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.7]. Being a composition of smooth maps,  $\Psi$  is smooth. We now define the desired map  $f : C_c^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  via

$$f := \Gamma \circ (\Psi, \Lambda \circ C_c^\infty(M, \Phi)) - \lambda \circ \text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \theta_{\psi_0}$$

(co-restricted from  $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  to  $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ ), where

$$\Gamma : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}), \quad \Gamma(\gamma, \eta) := \gamma \circ \eta$$

denotes composition, and  $\text{ev}_{x_0} : C^\infty(U_0, F) \rightarrow F$  the evaluation mapping  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(x_0)$ . Here  $\lambda \circ \text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \theta_{\psi_0}$  is a continuous linear map and thus smooth. Explicitly, for  $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(M, E)$  we have

$$f(\sigma)(x) = (\lambda \circ \sigma_{\psi_0} \circ \kappa_0^{-1} \circ \iota)(\lambda(h_n(x)\sigma_{\psi_n}(x)))$$



$$= \lambda(\sigma_{\psi_0}(\kappa_0^{-1}(h_n(x) \cdot \lambda(\sigma_{\psi_n}(x)), 0))) - \lambda(\sigma_{\psi_0}(x_0))$$

if  $x \in U_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), whereas  $f(\sigma)(x) = 0$  if  $x \in M \setminus A$ .

*Claim: The restriction of  $f$  to  $C_K^\infty(M, E)$  is smooth, for each compact subset  $K$  of  $M$ .*

To see this, note that  $f(C_K^\infty(M, E) \subseteq C_K^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $C_K^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  is a closed vector subspace of  $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $f|_{C_K^\infty(M, E)}$  is smooth as a map into  $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  ([9, Proposition 1.9], or [1, Lemma 10.1]). But this follows from the Chain Rule, as  $\Gamma$  is smooth by Lemma 2.1 and also the other constituents of  $f$  are smooth.

*Claim:  $f$  is discontinuous at the zero-section  $\sigma = 0$ .* To see this, consider the set  $V$  of all  $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and multi-indices  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  of order  $|\alpha| \leq n$ , we have  $|\partial^\alpha(\gamma \circ \kappa_n^{-1})(0)| < 1$ . It is easily verified that  $V$  is a symmetric, convex zero-neighbourhood in  $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $U$  be any convex zero-neighbourhood in  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$ ; we claim that  $f(U) \not\subseteq V$ . To see this, set  $L_n := \kappa_n^{-1}([-1, 1]^d)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\rho_n : C_{L_n}^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, F), \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma_{\psi_n} \circ \kappa_n^{-1}$$

is a topological isomorphism (compare [9, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.10] or also [8, Lemma F.9, Lemma F.15]) whose inverse gives rise to a topological embedding  $j_n : C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M, E)$ . The linear map  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ ,  $t \mapsto tv$  yields a map  $C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \phi) : C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$  which is continuous and linear. Then  $W_n := (j_n \circ C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \phi))^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}U)$  is a convex zero-neighbourhood in  $C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus, there exists  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that  $W_{k_n, \varepsilon_n} \subseteq W_n$ , where  $W_{k_n, \varepsilon_n}$  is the set of all  $\gamma \in C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\sup\{|\partial^\alpha \gamma(x)| : x \in [-1, 1]^d\} < \varepsilon_n$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq k_n$ . We let  $g \in C_{[-1, 1]^d}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  be a function such that  $g(y_1, \dots, y_d) = y_1^{k_0+1}$  for all  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$ . Then  $rg \in W_{k_0, \varepsilon_0}$  for some  $r > 0$ . It is clear from the definition of  $W_{k_0, \varepsilon_0}$  that then also  $\gamma_m \in W_{k_0, \varepsilon_0}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\gamma_m : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma_m(y_1, \dots, y_d) := \frac{r}{m^{k_0}} g(my_1, y_2, \dots, y_d).$$

Thus  $\tau_m := j_0(\phi \circ \gamma_m) \in \frac{1}{2}U$ .

Let  $\ell := k_0 + 1$ ; we easily find  $\eta \in W_{k_\ell, \varepsilon_\ell}$  such that, for suitable  $s > 0$ , we have  $\eta(y) = s \cdot y_1$  for  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$  in some zero-neighbourhood in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We define  $\tau := j_\ell(\phi \circ \eta) \in \frac{1}{2}U$ . Then  $\sigma_m := \tau_m + \tau \in U$  by convexity of  $U$ . Consider  $g_m := f(\sigma_m) \circ \kappa_\ell^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For  $y \in [-1, 1]^d$  sufficiently close to 0, we have  $\eta(y) = sy_1$  and  $m|\eta(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus

$$g_m(y) = \gamma_m(\eta(y), 0, \dots, 0) = r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot y_1^{k_0+1},$$

entailing that  $\frac{\partial^{k_0+1} g_m}{\partial y_1^{k_0+1}}(0) = r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot (k_0 + 1)!$ . Hence  $f(\sigma_m) \notin V$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r \cdot m \cdot s^{k_0+1} \cdot (k_0 + 1)! \geq 1$ . We have shown that  $f(U) \not\subseteq V$  for any 0-neighbourhood  $U$  in  $C_c^\infty(M, E)$ , although  $f(0) = 0$ . Thus  $f$  is discontinuous at  $\sigma = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Further examples

We describe various pathological bilinear mappings.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ . The pointwise multiplication map*

$$\mu : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \times C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}), \quad \mu(\gamma, \eta) := \gamma \cdot \eta$$

*is a hypocontinuous bilinear (and thus sequentially continuous) mapping on the locally convex direct limit*

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \times C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) = \varinjlim (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \times C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})),$$

*whose restriction to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \times C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  is continuous bilinear and thus  $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However,  $\mu$  is discontinuous.*

PROOF. Using the Leibniz Rule for the differentiation of products of functions, it is easily verified that  $\mu$  is separately continuous.<sup>2</sup> The spaces  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  and  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  being barrelled, this entails that  $\mu$  is hypocontinuous and thus sequentially continuous [20, Theorem 41.2]. The restriction

---

<sup>2</sup>Alternatively, we can obtain the assertion as a special case of [9, Corollary 2.7] or [8, Lemma 4.5 (a) and Proposition 4.19 (d)], combined with the locally convex direct limit property.

of  $\mu$  to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) \times C_{[-n, n]}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  is a sequentially continuous bilinear mapping on a product of metrizable spaces and therefore continuous. To see that  $\mu$  is discontinuous, consider the 0-neighbourhood

$$W := \{\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}) : (\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) |\gamma(x)| < 1\}$$

in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ . If  $U$  and  $V$  are any 0-neighbourhoods in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  and  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ , respectively, then there is a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(\forall \gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})) \quad \gamma|_K = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma \in U.$$

Pick any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus K$ . There is a function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus K$ . Then  $r\phi \in V$  for some  $r > 0$ , and  $t\phi \in U$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choosing  $t \geq \frac{1}{r \cdot |\phi(x_0)|^2}$ , we have  $(t\phi, r\phi) \in U \times V$  but  $|\mu(r\phi, t\phi)(x_0)| = rt|\phi(x_0)|^2 \geq 1$ , entailing that  $\mu(U \times V) \not\subseteq W$ . Thus  $\mu$  is discontinuous at  $(0, 0)$ .  $\square$

The next example uses topological algebras (as related ones in [2]).

*Example 4.2.* Let  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$  be a strictly ascending sequence of Banach spaces, such that  $E_{n+1}$  induces the given topology on  $E_n$ . Set  $E := \varinjlim E_n$  and  $F := E'_b$ . For example, we can take  $E_n := L^2[-n, n]$ , in which case  $E = L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $F = L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) = \varprojlim L^2[-n, n]$ . Then  $A_n := F \times E_n \times \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$  is a Fréchet space. The evaluation map  $E'_n \times E_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  being continuous as  $E_n$  is a Banach space, it is easy to see that  $A_n$  becomes a unital associative topological algebra if we define multiplication via

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1, x_1, z_1, c_1) \cdot (\lambda_2, x_2, z_2, c_2) \\ &:= (c_1\lambda_2 + c_2\lambda_1, c_1x_2 + c_2x_1, c_1z_2 + \lambda_1(x_2) + z_1c_2, c_1c_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which is best visualized by considering  $(\lambda, x, z, c) \in A_n$  as the 3-by-3 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & \lambda & z \\ 0 & c & x \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

The topological algebras  $A_n$  are very well-behaved: they have open groups of units, and inversion is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic map. We can also use Formula (2) to

define a multiplication map  $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$  turning the direct limit locally convex space  $A := F \times E \times \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} = \varinjlim A_n$  into a unital, associative algebra. However, although the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $A_n \times A_n$  is a continuous bilinear map for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu : A \times A = \varinjlim (A_n \times A_n) \rightarrow A$  is discontinuous (since the evaluation map  $E'_b \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is discontinuous, the space  $E$  not being normable). We refer to [6, Section 10] for more details.

### References

- [1] W. BERTRAM, H. GLÖCKNER and K.-H. NEEB, Differential calculus over general base fields and rings, *Expo. Math.* **22** (2004), 213–282.
- [2] S. DIEROLF and J. WENGENROTH, Inductive limits of topological algebras, *Linear Topol. Spaces Complex Anal.* **3** (1997), 45–49.
- [3] R. M. DUDLEY, On sequential convergence, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1964), 483–507.
- [4] H. GLÖCKNER, Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions, *Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups*, Vol. 55, Banach Center Publications, *Stefan Banach Center, Warsaw*, 2002, 43–59.
- [5] H. GLÖCKNER, Lie group structures on quotient groups and universal complexifications for infinite-dimensional Lie groups, *J. Funct. Analysis* **194** (2002), 347–409.
- [6] H. GLÖCKNER, Algebras whose groups of units are Lie groups, *Studia Math.* **153** (2002), 147–177.
- [7] H. GLÖCKNER,  $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a Milnor-Lie group, *Math. Nachr.* **278** (2005), 1025–1032.
- [8] H. GLÖCKNER, Lie groups over non-discrete topological fields, preprint, arXiv:math.GR/0408008.
- [9] H. GLÖCKNER, Differentiable mappings between spaces of sections, (submitted).
- [10] H. GLÖCKNER, Patched locally convex spaces, almost local mappings, and diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds, (manuscript in preparation).
- [11] H. GLÖCKNER, Direct limits of Lie groups compared to direct limits in related categories, (manuscript in preparation).
- [12] R. HAMILTON, The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1982), 65–222.
- [13] T. HIRAI, H. SHIMOMURA, N. TATSUUMA and E. HIRAI, Inductive limits of topologies, their direct products, and problems related to algebraic structures, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **41** (2001), 475–505.
- [14] A. KRIEGL and P. W. MICHOR, The convenient setting of global analysis, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence*, 1997.
- [15] P. W. MICHOR, Manifolds of differentiable mappings, *Shiva Publishing*, 1980.

- [16] J. MILNOR, On infinite-dimensional Lie groups, *Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton*, 1982, (*preprint*).
- [17] J. MILNOR, Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups, Relativity, Groups and Topology II, (B. DeWitt and R. Stora, eds.), *North-Holland*, 1983, 1008–1057.
- [18] L. SCHWARTZ, Théorie des distributions, tome I, *Hermann, Paris*, 1957.
- [19] N. TATSUUMA, H. SHIMOMURA and T. HIRAI, On group topologies and unitary representations of inductive limits of topological groups and the case of the group of diffeomorphisms, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **38** (1998), 551–578.
- [20] F. TREVES, Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels, *Academic Press*, 1967.

HELGE GLÖCKNER  
DARMSTADT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AG 5  
SCHLOSSGARTENSTR. 7  
64289 DARMSTADT  
GERMANY  
*E-mail:* gloeckner@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

*(Received December 28, 2001; revised July 14, 2005)*