

Solution of a bisymmetry equation on a restricted domain

By IMRE KOCSIS (Debrecen)

This paper is dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor Zoltán Daróczy

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and define the set Δ by $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \leq y\}$. In this note we give the solution of the equation $F(G(x, y), G(u, v)) = G(F(x, u), F(y, v))$, which holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$, $(x, u) \in \Delta$, $(y, v) \in \Delta$, and $(u, v) \in \Delta$, where the functions $F : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $G : \Delta \rightarrow X$ are continuous and strictly increasing in each variable, and we suppose that $F(x, x) = x$ and $G(x, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. The problem has been posed and investigated by M. V. SOKOLOV in [6].

1. Introduction

In the following we denote the set of real numbers and the set positive integers by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}$, respectively. By an interval we mean a subinterval of positive length of $\mathbb{R}$ (possibly unbounded) and by a rectangle we mean the Cartesian product of two intervals. A real-valued continuous function defined on an interval or on a rectangle is called CM function if it is strictly monotonic in each variable and called CI function if it is strictly increasing in each variable.

Let I and J be intervals, and let R be a rectangle such that $I \times J \subset R$. A function $Q : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-sum on $I \times J$ if there exist CM functions $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma : \alpha(I) + \beta(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Q(x, y) = \gamma(\alpha(x) + \beta(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in I \times J$. The triple (α, β, γ) is called a generator of Q (functions α , β , and

Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B22.

Key words and phrases: bisymmetry, restricted domain.

This research was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), grant No. NK 68040.

γ are generator functions of Q). A function $Q : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local quasi-sum on $I \times J$ if for all $(i, j) \in I \times J$ there exist an open rectangle R_0 such that $(i, j) \in R_0$ and Q is a quasi-sum on $(I \times J) \cap R_0$.

The equation of generalized bisymmetry

$$F(G_1(x, y), G_2(u, v)) = G(F_1(x, u), F_2(y, v)), \quad (\text{B})$$

where the functions are defined on rectangles was investigated by several authors (see e.g. ACZÉL [1] and MAKSA [2]). The CM solutions of equation (B) are given by the following

Theorem 1.1 (MAKSA [2]). *Let X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21} , and X_{22} be intervals and let $F_1 : X_{11} \times X_{12} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F_2 : X_{21} \times X_{22} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G_1 : X_{11} \times X_{21} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G_2 : X_{12} \times X_{22} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F : G_1(X_{11}, X_{21}) \times G_2(X_{12}, X_{22}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G : F_1(X_{11}, X_{12}) \times F_2(X_{21}, X_{22}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be CM functions. Equation (B) holds for all $(x, y, u, v) \in X_{11} \times X_{21} \times X_{12} \times X_{22}$ if, and only if, there exists an interval I and there exist CM functions $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_1 : G_1(X_{11}, X_{21}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_2 : G_2(X_{12}, X_{22}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_1 : F_1(X_{11}, X_{12}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_2 : F_2(X_{21}, X_{22}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_{11} : X_{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_{12} : X_{12} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_{21} : X_{21} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta_{22} : X_{22} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$F(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(y)), \quad (x, y) \in G_1(X_{11}, X_{21}) \times G_2(X_{12}, X_{22})$$

$$F_1(x, y) = \gamma_1^{-1}(\beta_{11}(x) + \beta_{12}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{11} \times X_{12}$$

$$F_2(x, y) = \gamma_2^{-1}(\beta_{21}(x) + \beta_{22}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{21} \times X_{22}$$

$$G(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(\gamma_1(x) + \gamma_2(y)), \quad (x, y) \in F_1(X_{11}, X_{12}) \times F_2(X_{21}, X_{22})$$

$$G_1(x, y) = \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta_{11}(x) + \beta_{21}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{11} \times X_{21}$$

$$G_2(x, y) = \alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_{12}(x) + \beta_{22}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{12} \times X_{22}.$$

The following theorem also plays an important role in our investigations.

Theorem 1.2 (MAKSA [4]). *Let X and Y be intervals of positive length and suppose that $Q : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local quasi-sum on $X \times Y$. Then Q is a quasi-sum on $X \times Y$.*

2. The solution of equation (B) on a restricted domain

Let $X =]a, b[$ and introduce the following notations: $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid x \leq y\}$, $\Delta_c = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid x \leq y \leq c\}$, if $a < c < b$, and $H^* = \{(x, y) \in H \mid x \leq y\}$, if $H \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Finding the solutions of equation

$$F(G(x, y), G(u, v)) = G(F(x, u), F(y, v)), \quad (\text{B}\Delta) \quad (2.1)$$

where (B Δ) holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$, $(x, u) \in \Delta$, $(y, v) \in \Delta$, and $(u, v) \in \Delta$, $F : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $G : \Delta \rightarrow X$ are CI functions furthermore $F(x, x) = x$ and $G(x, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$ was posed in [6] by M. V. SOKOLOV in connection with an axiomatization of so-called rank-dependent utility (see Theorem 6 and equation (44) in [6]). The following theorem gives the solutions.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be an open interval. Suppose that $F : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $G : \Delta \rightarrow X$ are CI functions. Then equation (B Δ) holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$, $(x, u) \in \Delta$, $(y, v) \in \Delta$ and $(u, v) \in \Delta$ if, and only if, there exist CI functions $\varphi : F(X, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : G(X, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and there exist $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ and $\mu \in]0, 1[$ such that*

$$F(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(\lambda\varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y)), \quad (x, y) \in \Delta, \quad (2.1)$$

$$G(x, y) = \psi^{-1}(\mu\psi(x) + (1 - \mu)\psi(y)), \quad (x, y) \in \Delta. \quad (2.2)$$

To prove this theorem we need the following

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a < c < b$, $z_1 \in]0, 1[$, $z_2 \in]0, 1[$, let $\delta_1 :]a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_2 :]a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be CI functions. Equation*

$$\delta_1^{-1}(z_1\delta_1(x) + (1 - z_1)\delta_1(y)) = \delta_2^{-1}(z_2\delta_2(x) + (1 - z_2)\delta_2(y)) \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta_c$ if, and only if, $z_1 = z_2$ and there exist $0 < \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\delta_2(x) = \xi\delta_1(x) + \eta, \quad x \in]a, c]. \quad (2.4)$$

PROOF. If $\delta_2(x) = \xi\delta_1(x) + \eta$, $x \in]a, c]$ for some $0 < \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, then an easy calculation gives (2.3).

Now suppose that (2.3) holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta_c$. Then for the function $\varepsilon = \delta_2 \circ \delta_1^{-1}$ with the notations $p = \delta_1(x)$, and $q = \delta_2(y)$ we have a Jensen equation

$$\varepsilon(z_1p + (1 - z_1)q) = z_2\varepsilon(p) + (1 - z_2)\varepsilon(q), \quad (p, q) \in]\delta_1(a), \delta_1(c)]^{2*}.$$

Applying the method used by MAKSA in the proof of the Lemma in [3] we get that there exist $0 < k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varepsilon(r) = \delta_2 \circ \delta_1^{-1}(r) = kr + m$, $r \in]\delta_1(a), \delta_1(c)]$ which implies (2.4) and

$$\delta_1^{-1}(z_1\delta_1(x) + (1 - z_1)\delta_1(y)) = \delta_1^{-1}(z_2\delta_1(x) + (1 - z_2)\delta_1(y)),$$

whence $z_1 = z_2$ follows. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. It is easy to check that the functions F and G have the form (2.1) and (2.2) satisfy (B Δ). We have to prove our statement only in the other direction.

Let $a < c < b$ and define the subsets $X_1 =]a, c]$, $X_2 = [c, b[$ of X and the subsets $X_{11} =]a, c]^{2*}$, $X_{22} = [c, b[^{2*}$, and $X_{12} =]a, c] \times [c, b[$ of Δ .

First we show that F and G are quasi-sums on X_{12} . Let $a < d < e < b$. Then (B Δ) holds for all $(x, y) \in]a, d] \times [d, e]$ and $(u, v) \in [d, e] \times [e, b[$. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, F is a quasi-sum on $]a, d] \times [d, e]$ and G is a quasi-sum on $[d, e] \times [e, b[$ for arbitrary $a < d < e < b$. It is easy to see that functions F and G are local quasi-sums on X_{12} , furthermore, by Theorem 1.2, F and G are quasi-sums on X_{12} .

The generator functions of a CI function are monotonic in the same sense. So, without loss of generality, we may suppose that the generator functions of F and G are CI functions, that is, there exist CI functions $\alpha_1 : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_1 : X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_1^{-1} : \alpha_1(X_1) + \beta_1(X_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_2 : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_2 : X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_2^{-1} : \alpha_2(X_1) + \beta_2(X_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$F(x, y) = \gamma_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(x) + \beta_1(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{12}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$G(x, y) = \gamma_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(x) + \beta_2(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{12}. \quad (2.6)$$

Equation (B Δ) holds for all $(x, u) \in X_{11}$ and $(y, v) \in X_{22}$. It follows from the properties of F that $F(X_{11}) \subset X_1$ and $F(X_{22}) \subset X_2$, so $(x, u) \in X_{11}$, $(y, v) \in X_{22}$ imply that $(x, y) \in X_{12}$, $(u, v) \in X_{12}$, and $(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \in X_{12}$. Thus, by (2.6), (B Δ) can be written in the form

$$\gamma_2 \circ F(\gamma_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(x) + \beta_2(y)), \gamma_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(u) + \beta_2(v))) = \alpha_2 \circ F(x, u) + \beta_2 \circ F(y, v), \quad (2.7)$$

$(x, u) \in X_{11}$, $(y, v) \in X_{22}$. With the functions H , K , and L defined by

$$H(t_1, t_2) = \alpha_2 \circ F(\alpha_2^{-1}(t_1), \alpha_2^{-1}(t_2)), \quad (t_1, t_2) \in \alpha_2(X_1)^{2*},$$

$$K(s_1, s_2) = \beta_2 \circ F(\beta_2^{-1}(s_1), \beta_2^{-1}(s_2)), \quad (s_1, s_2) \in \beta_2(X_2)^{2*},$$

$$L(r_1, r_2) = \gamma_2 \circ F(\gamma_2^{-1}(r_1), \gamma_2^{-1}(r_2)), \quad (r_1, r_2) \in (\alpha_2(X_1) + \beta_2(X_2))^{2*}$$

(2.7) goes over into the form

$$L(t_1 + s_1, t_2 + s_2) = H(t_1, t_2) + K(s_1, s_2),$$

$$(t_1, t_2) \in \alpha_2(X_1)^{2*}, \quad (s_1, s_2) \in \beta_2(X_2)^{2*}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 1 in RADÓ–BAKER [5], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H(t_1, t_2) &= k_1 t_1 + k_2 t_2 + m_1, & (t_1, t_2) &\in \alpha_2(X_1)^{2*}, \\ K(s_1, s_2) &= k_1 s_1 + k_2 s_2 + m_2, & (s_1, s_2) &\in \beta_2(X_2)^{2*}, \\ L(r_1, r_2) &= k_1 r_1 + k_2 r_2 + m_1 + m_2, & (r_1, r_2) &\in (\alpha_2(X_1) + \beta_2(X_2))^{2*}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < k_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Because of the property $F(x, x) = x$, $x \in X$ we have that $H(x, x) = x$, $x \in \alpha_2(X_1)$ and $K(x, x) = x$, $x \in \beta_2(X_1)$, so, after some calculation, we get that $k_1 + k_2 = 1$ and $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. That is, there exists $w_2 \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$H(t_1, t_2) = w_2 t_1 + (1 - w_2) t_2, \quad (t_1, t_2) \in \alpha_2(X_1)^{2*} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$K(s_1, s_2) = w_2 s_1 + (1 - w_2) s_2, \quad (s_1, s_2) \in \beta_2(X_2)^{2*}. \quad (2.9)$$

Equations (2.8) and (2.9), with the definition of H and K , imply that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \alpha_2^{-1} \circ G(\alpha_2(x), \alpha_2(y)) = \alpha_2^{-1}(w_2 \alpha_2(x) + (1 - w_2) \alpha_2(y)), \\ &(x, y) \in X_{11} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$F(x, y) = \beta_2^{-1} \circ K(\beta_2(x), \beta_2(y)) = \beta_2^{-1}(w_2 \beta_2(x) + (1 - w_2) \beta_2(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X_{22},$$

respectively. Let $(c_n) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ be a strictly increasing sequence with limit b . Because of (2.10), we have that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist CI functions $\alpha_{c_n} :]a, c_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $w_{c_n} \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$F(x, y) = \alpha_{c_n}^{-1}(w_{c_n} \alpha_{c_n}(x) + (1 - w_{c_n}) \alpha_{c_n}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_{c_n}. \quad (2.11)$$

By induction we construct a sequence of CI functions $\varphi_n :]a, c_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) with the properties

$$\varphi_n \subset \varphi_{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.12)$$

that is, φ_n is a restriction of φ_{n+1} ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and

$$F(x, y) = \varphi_n^{-1}(\lambda \varphi_n(x) + (1 - \lambda) \varphi_n(y)), \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_{c_n}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2.13)$$

where $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. Let $\varphi_1 = \alpha_{c_1}$ and $\lambda = w_{c_1}$. Then

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\lambda \varphi_1(x) + (1 - \lambda) \varphi_1(y)) = \alpha_{c_2}^{-1}(w_{c_2} \alpha_{c_2}(x) + (1 - w_{c_2}) \alpha_{c_2}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in \Delta_{c_1}.$$

By our Lemma, there exist $0 < \xi_{c_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta_{c_1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_1(x) = \xi_{c_1}\alpha_{c_2}(x) + \eta_{c_1}$, $x \in]a, c_1]$. Let

$$\varphi_2(x) = \xi_{c_1}\alpha_{c_2}(x) + \eta_{c_1}, \quad x \in]a, c_2].$$

Then $\varphi_1 \subset \varphi_2$ and $F(x, y) = \varphi_2^{-1}(\lambda\varphi_2(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi_2(y))$, $(x, y) \in \Delta_{c_2}$.

Continue this procedure. Because of the connection between the functions $\varphi_n :]a, c_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_{c_{n+1}} :]a, c_{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by our Lemma, we can construct the function φ_{n+1} on $]a, c_{n+1}]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that the sequence (φ_n) satisfies (2.12) and (2.13).

Finally define the function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n$, that is, for arbitrary $x \in X$ $\varphi(x) = \varphi_n(x)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2.12), this definition is correct and an easy calculation shows that (2.1) holds.

Because of the symmetry of $(B\Delta)$ in F and G , a similar calculation shows that the function G has the form (2.2). $\square$

References

- [1] J. ACZÉL, Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications, *Academic Press, New York – London*, 1966.
- [2] GY. MAKSA, Solution of generalized bisymmetry type equations without surjectivity assumptions, *Aequationes Math.* **57** (1999), 50–74.
- [3] GY. MAKSA, Jensen's equation and bisymmetry, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **61** (2002), no. 3-4, 663–669.
- [4] GY. MAKSA, Quasiums and generalized associativity, *Aequationes Math.* **69** (2005), 6–27.
- [5] F. RADÓ and J. A. BAKER, Pexider's equation and aggregation of allocations, *Aequationes Math.* **32** (1987), 227–239.
- [6] M. V. SOKOLOV, On axiomatization of rank-dependent utility, *Theory and Decision*, (under review, <http://www.springer.com/economics/economic+theory/journal/11238>, manuscript number: THEO461).

IMRE KOCSIS
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN
H-4028 DEBRECEN
ÓTEMETŐ U. 2-4.
HUNGARY

E-mail: kocsisi@mfk.unideb.hu

(Received July 24, 2008; revised October 31, 2008)