

A new construction for abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals

By XIANGJUN KONG (Lanzhou) and PEI WANG (Qufu)

Abstract. In any abundant semigroup with a quasi-adequate transversal, we define two sets R and L and give some properties and characterizations associated with them. Then we give a structure theorem for abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals by means of two quasi-adequate semigroups R and L .

1. Introduction

The concept of an inverse transversal of a regular semigroup was first introduced by BLYTH and MCFADDEN in 1982 [1]. Since then, this class of regular semigroups has attracted several authors' attention and a series of important results have been obtained ([1], [13] and its references). If S is a regular semigroup, then an inverse transversal of S is an inverse subsemigroup S^o such that S^o meets $V(a)$ precisely once for each $a \in S$ (that is, $|V(a) \cap S^o| = 1$), where $V(a) = \{x \in S \mid axa = a \text{ and } xax = x\}$ denotes the set of inverses of a . BLYTH and MCFADDEN in [1] gave a structure theorem for regular semigroups with multiplicative inverse transversals. Orthodox transversals were introduced by CHEN [2] as a generalization of inverse transversals, and a structure theorem for regular semigroups with quasi-ideal orthodox transversals was given. In [8] and [10], KONG also gave two structure theorems for this class of regular semigroups by means of a formal set (B, R) and a like-spined product (R, L) respectively.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M10.

Key words and phrases: abundant semigroup, quasi-adequate semigroup, Green's $*$ -relations, multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal.

This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971086).

An analogue of an inverse transversal, which is termed an adequate transversal, was introduced for abundant semigroups by EL-QALLALI [4]. In [4], EL-QALLALI constructed abundant semigroups with multiplicative type-A transversals. Afterwards, KONG [11] considered some properties associated with adequate transversals and [9] gave a construction of abundant semigroups with quasi-ideal adequate transversals by a like-spined product (R, L) . Quasi-adequate transversals, as a common generalization of orthodox transversals and adequate transversals, were introduced by NI in [12]. And in [12], NI gave a structure theorem for abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals by a QA-system. The aim of this paper is to get a construction for this class of abundant semigroups by the method used in [9] and [10], that is by a like-spined product (R, L) . As a consequence, it will be rather difficult to describe the three relations $\mathcal{R}^*$, $\mathcal{L}^*$ and δ by two components $K(x)$ and L_a^* .

In order to overcome the above difficulty we introduce a new relation $\mathcal{K}$ by $(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}$ if $R_a^* = R_b^*$ and $\delta(a) = \delta(b)$ in quasi-adequate semigroups. By the set (R, L) and the new defined relation $\mathcal{K}$, a structure theorem for abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals is obtained in this paper.

On a semigroup S the relation $\mathcal{L}^*$ is defined by the rule that $a\mathcal{L}^*b$ if and only if the elements a, b of S are related by Green's relation $\mathcal{L}$ in some oversemigroup of S . The relation $\mathcal{R}^*$ is dually defined. Evidently, $\mathcal{L}^*$ is a right congruence and $\mathcal{R}^*$ is a left congruence and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^*$, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$. If a, b are regular elements of S , then $a\mathcal{L}^*b$ ($a\mathcal{R}^*b$) if and only if $a\mathcal{L}b$ ($a\mathcal{R}b$), what is more, if S is a regular semigroup, then $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}$. A semigroup in which each $\mathcal{L}^*$ -class and each $\mathcal{R}^*$ -class contains at least one idempotent is called *abundant*. An abundant semigroup S is called *quasi-adequate* if its idempotents form a subsemigroup. An *adequate semigroup* is a quasi-adequate semigroup in which the idempotents commute. We list some basic results as follows which are frequently used in this paper. The following Lemma is due to Fountain [6] which providing an alternative description for $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{R}^*)$.

Lemma 1.1 ([6]). *Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $a\mathcal{L}^*b$ ($a\mathcal{R}^*b$);
- (2) For all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ ($xa = ya$) if and only if $bx = by$ ($xb = yb$).

As an easy but useful consequence of (2) we have

Corollary 1.2. *Let a be an element of a semigroup S and e be an idempotent of S . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $a\mathcal{L}^*e$ ($a\mathcal{R}^*e$);
- (2) $a = ae$ ($ea = a$) and for all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ ($xa = ya$) implies $ex = ey$ ($xe = ye$).

Lemma 1.3 ([4]). *Let S be an abundant semigroup with the set of idempotents E and $x, y \in S$. If there exist $e, f \in E$ such that $x = eyf$ and $e\mathcal{L}y^+, f\mathcal{R}y^*$ for some $y^+, y^* \in E$, then $e\mathcal{R}^*x$ and $f\mathcal{L}^*x$.*

Let S be a quasi-adequate semigroup with the band of idempotents B . For $e \in B$, denote by $E(e)$ the $\mathcal{J}$ -class of B containing e . It is known that $E(e)$ is a rectangular subband of B and $E(e) = V(e)$, the set of inverses of e in B (for detail, see [7]). Define a relation δ on S by: for $a, b \in S$,

$$a\delta b \iff E(a^+)aE(a^*) = E(b^+)bE(b^*) \quad \text{for some } a^+, a^*, b^+, b^*.$$

It follows from [5] that δ is an equivalence relation which contained in any adequate congruence on S . In particular, if S is an orthodox semigroup, then δ is the least inverse congruence on S . Consequently, $\delta \cap (B \times B) = \mathcal{J}^B$ is the least semilattice congruence on B .

Lemma 1.4 ([5]). *Let S be a quasi-adequate semigroup with the band of idempotents B and $a, b \in S$. Then*

- (1) $\delta(a) = E(a^+)aE(a^*)$;
- (2) $a\delta b \iff b = eaf$ for some $e \in E(a^+)$, $f \in E(a^*)$;
- (3) $\mathcal{H}^* \cap \delta = I$.

For any quasi-adequate semigroup S , the result in Lemma 1.3 can be generalized.

Lemma 1.5 ([12]). *Let S be a quasi-adequate semigroup with the band of idempotents E and $x, y \in S$. If there exist $e, f \in E$ such that $x = eyf$ and $e \in E(y^+)$, $f \in E(y^*)$ for some $y^+, y^* \in E$, then $e\mathcal{R}^*x$ and $f\mathcal{L}^*x$.*

Let S be an abundant semigroup and U an abundant subsemigroup of S , U is called a $*$ -subsemigroup of S if for any $a \in U$, there exist an idempotent $e \in L_a^*(S) \cap U$ and an idempotent $f \in R_a^*(S) \cap U$. As pointed out in [5], an abundant subsemigroup U of an abundant semigroup S is a $*$ -subsemigroup of S if and only if $\mathcal{L}^*(U) = \mathcal{L}^*(S) \cap (U \times U)$ and $\mathcal{R}^*(U) = \mathcal{R}^*(S) \cap (U \times U)$.

Let S° be an abundant $*$ -subsemigroup of S and E° be the set of idempotents of S° . S° is called an *abundant transversal* [12] of S if for any $x \in S$, there

exist $x^o \in S^o$, $i, \lambda \in E$ such that $x = ix^o\lambda$, where $i\mathcal{L}^*x^{o+}, \lambda\mathcal{R}^*x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. In this case, let

$$\begin{aligned} C_{S^o}(x) &= \{x^o \in S^o \mid x = ix^o\lambda, i\mathcal{L}x^{o+}, \lambda\mathcal{R}x^{o*} \text{ for some } x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o\}, \\ I_x &= \{i \in E \mid (\exists x^o \in C_{S^o}(x)) x = ix^o\lambda, i\mathcal{L}x^{o+}, \lambda\mathcal{R}x^{o*} \text{ for some } x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o\}, \\ \Lambda_x &= \{\lambda \in E \mid (\exists x^o \in C_{S^o}(x)) x = ix^o\lambda, i\mathcal{L}x^{o+}, \lambda\mathcal{R}x^{o*} \text{ for some } x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$I = \bigcup_{x \in S} I_x, \quad \Lambda = \bigcup_{x \in S} \Lambda_x.$$

Let S be an abundant semigroup with the set of idempotents E and S^o a quasi-adequate $*$ -subsemigroup of S with the set of idempotents E^o . S^o is called a *quasi-adequate transversal* of S if

$$(QA1) \quad (\forall x \in S) \quad C_{S^o}(x) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$(QA2) \quad (\forall e \in E) \quad (\forall g \in E^o),$$

$$C_{S^o}(e)C_{S^o}(g) \subseteq C_{S^o}(ge) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{S^o}(g)C_{S^o}(e) \subseteq C_{S^o}(eg).$$

A quasi-adequate transversal S^o is called a *multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal* of S if the following condition is satisfied

$$(M) \quad (\forall x, y \in S) \quad \Lambda_x I_y \subseteq E^o.$$

A subsemigroup S^o of S is called a *quasi-ideal* of S if $S^o S S^o \subseteq S^o$.

Lemma 1.6. [12] *Let S be an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Then*

- (1) $IE^o \subseteq I$ and $E^o\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$;
- (2) I and Λ are subbands of S ;
- (3) $E^o I \subseteq E^o$ and $\Lambda E^o \subseteq E^o$;
- (4) If $x \in E$, then $C_{S^o}(x) \subseteq E^o$.

The following theorem will be used without further mention.

Lemma 1.7. (1) *Let e and f be $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalent idempotents of a semigroup S . Then each element a of $R_e \cap L_f$ has a unique inverse a' in $R_f \cap L_e$, such that $aa' = e$ and $a'a = f$;*

(2) *Let a, b be elements of a semigroup S . Then $ab \in R_a \cap L_b$ if and only if $L_a \cap R_b$ contains an idempotent.*

2. Some properties

The objective in this section is to introduce and investigate some elementary properties of the sets R and L and their sets of idempotents. It is known that R and L play an important role in the study of regular semigroups with both inverse transversals and orthodox transversals. For any result concerning R there is a dual result for L which we list but omit its proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be an abundant semigroup with a quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Then*

- (1) $I = \{e \in E : (\exists e^* \in E^o) e\mathcal{L}e^*\}$ and $\Lambda = \{f \in E : (\exists f^+ \in E^o) f\mathcal{R}f^+\}$;
- (2) $I \cap \Lambda = E^o$.

PROOF. (1). If $e \in I$, then there exists $x \in S$ such that $i_x = e$ and $i_x\mathcal{L}x^{o+}$ for some $x^{o+} \in E^o$. Conversely, if $e \in E$ and there exists $e^* \in E^o$ such that $e\mathcal{L}e^*$, then $e = ee^*e^*$ and this implies that $e = i_e \in I$. The result for Λ can be proved dually.

(2). It follows from (1) that $E^o \subseteq I \cap \Lambda$. Let $e \in I \cap \Lambda$, then there exist $e^+, e^* \in E^o$ such that $e^+\mathcal{R}e\mathcal{L}e^*$. So $e^+e^*, e^*e^+ \in E^o$ since S^o is quasi-adequate. It follows from Lemma 1.7 that $e = e^+e^* \in E^o$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let S^o be a quasi-adequate transversal of an abundant semigroup S . Then $\mathcal{D}^{*S^o} = \mathcal{D}^{*S} \cap (S^o \times S^o)$.*

PROOF. Let $a, b \in S^o$ and $a\mathcal{D}^{*S}b$, then $R_a^* \cap L_b^* \neq \emptyset$. Take $c \in R_a^* \cap L_b^*$. Since $a, b \in S^o$ and S^o is quasi-adequate, there exist $a^+, b^* \in E^o$ such that $a^+\mathcal{R}^*a\mathcal{R}^*c\mathcal{L}^*b\mathcal{L}^*b^*$. From the definition of a quasi-adequate transversal, $c = i_cc^o\lambda_c$, where $i_c\mathcal{L}c^{o+}, \lambda_c\mathcal{R}c^{o*}$ for some $c^{o+}, c^{o*} \in E^o$ and $i_c\mathcal{R}^*c\mathcal{L}^*\lambda_c$. Thus $a^+\mathcal{R}^*c\mathcal{R}^*i_c\mathcal{L}c^{o+}$ and so by Lemma 2.1, $i_c \in I \cap \Lambda = E^o$. Similarly, $c^{o*}\mathcal{R}\lambda_c\mathcal{L}^*c\mathcal{L}^*b$ and so $\lambda_c \in E^o$. Consequently,

$$c = i_cc^o\lambda_c \in E^o \cdot S^o \cdot E^o \subseteq S^o.$$

So $a\mathcal{D}^{*S^o}b$, and hence $\mathcal{D}^{*S^o} \supseteq \mathcal{D}^{*S} \cap (S^o \times S^o)$. The reverse inclusion is obvious. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let S^o be a quasi-adequate transversal of an abundant semigroup S . Then for every regular element x of S , x has an inverse x^o in S^o . In this case, $V_{S^o}(x^o) \subseteq C_{S^o}(x)$.*

PROOF. For every regular element x , $x = i_xx^o\lambda_x$ for some $i_x \in I_x$, $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x)$, $\lambda_x \in \Lambda_x$, where $i_x\mathcal{L}x^{o+}$, $\lambda_x\mathcal{R}x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Since x , i_x and λ_x are

all regular, from $i_x \mathcal{R}^* x \mathcal{L}^* \lambda_x$ we deduce that $i_x \mathcal{R} x \mathcal{L} \lambda_x$, so by Lemma 1.7 x has an inverse x' in $R_{\lambda_x} \cap L_{i_x}$. Thus $x^{o*} \mathcal{R} \lambda_x \mathcal{R} x' \mathcal{L} i_x \mathcal{L} x^{o+}$ and so by Proposition 2.2, $x' \in S^o$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that S is an abundant semigroup with a quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Let*

$$R = \{x \in S : (\exists \lambda_x \in \Lambda_x) \lambda_x \in E^o\} \text{ and } L = \{a \in S : (\exists i_a \in I_a) i_a \in E^o\}.$$

Then

$$R = \{x \in S : (\exists l \in E^o) x \mathcal{L}^* l\} \text{ and } L = \{a \in S : (\exists h \in E^o) a \mathcal{R}^* h\}.$$

Consequently, $R \cap L = S^o$, $E(R) = I$ and $E(L) = \Lambda$.

PROOF. It is clear that if $x \in R$, there exists $l = \lambda_x \in E^o$ such that $x \mathcal{L}^* \lambda_x$.

Conversely, for $x \in S$ if there exists $l \in E^o$ such that $x \mathcal{L}^* l$, then $\lambda_x \mathcal{L}^* x \mathcal{L}^* l$. Hence by Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_x \in I$. Therefore $\lambda_x \in I \cap \Lambda = E^o$.

It is evident that if there exists $\lambda_x \in \Lambda_x$ such that $\lambda_x \in E^o$ ($i_a \in I_a$ such that $i_a \in E^o$), then $\Lambda_x \subseteq E^o$ ($I_a \subseteq E^o$). $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let S be an abundant semigroup with a quasi-adequate transversal S^o . If S^o is a right ideal of S , then $\Lambda_x \subseteq E^o$ for every $x \in S$ and $E = I$.*

Dually, if S^o is a left ideal of S , then $I_a \subseteq E^o$ for every $a \in S$ and $E = \Lambda$.

PROOF. By the definition of a quasi-adequate transversal, for every $x \in S$, $\lambda_x \in \Lambda_x$, $x = i_x x^o \lambda_x$ for some $i_x \in I_x$, $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x)$ and $i_x \mathcal{L} x^{o+}$, $\lambda_x \mathcal{R} x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Since S^o is a right ideal of S , $\lambda_x = x^{o*} \lambda_x \in S^o$ and consequently $\Lambda_x \subseteq S^o \cap E = E^o$.

Let $h \in E$, then $h \in i_h h^o \lambda_h$ for some $i_h \in I_h$, $h^o \in C_{S^o}(h)$, $\lambda_h \in \Lambda_h$ where $\lambda_h \in E^o$ and $\lambda_h \mathcal{L} h$. Thus $h \in R \cap E = E(R) = I$ by Proposition 2.4. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let S^o be a quasi-adequate transversal of an abundant semigroup S . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) S^o is a quasi-ideal of S ;
- (2) $\Lambda I \subseteq S^o$;
- (3) $SS^o \subseteq R, S^o S \subseteq L$;
- (4) R is a left ideal and L is a right ideal of S .

PROOF. (1) and (2) are equivalent can be proved similarly as in [3].

(1) $\implies$ (3). If (1) holds, then for any $y \in S$, $x^o \in S^o$, we have

$$yx^o = i_y \cdot y^o \lambda_y \cdot x^o \mathcal{L}^* y^{o+} y^o \lambda_y x^o = y^o \lambda_y x^o \mathcal{L}^* (y^o \lambda_y x^o)^* \in E^o,$$

since $y^o \lambda_y x^o \in S^o S S^o \subseteq S^o$. Hence $SS^o \subseteq R$. Dually $S^o S \subseteq L$.

(3) $\implies$ (4). If (3) holds, then for any $x \in S$ and $y \in R$, there exists $h \in E^o$ such that $y\mathcal{L}^*h$, thus we have $xy = xyh \in SS^o \subseteq R$, whence $SR \subseteq R$; and dually $LS \subseteq L$.

(4) $\implies$ (2). If (4) holds, then for any $f \in \Lambda$ and $e \in I$, there exist $h, l \in E^o$ such that $h\mathcal{R}f$ and $l\mathcal{L}e$. So we have

$$fe = fel \in SS^o \subseteq SR \subseteq R \quad \text{and} \quad fe = hfe \in S^oS \subseteq LS \subseteq L.$$

Consequently $fe \in R \cap L = S^o$ and we have (2). $\square$

Remark 1. Since both a left ideal and a right ideal are subsemigroups, if one of the conditions in Proposition 2.6 is satisfied, then R and L are subsemigroups. From Proposition 2.6, it is evident that if S^o is a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal of S , then S^o is a quasi-ideal of S . Obviously, if S is quasi-adequate then the quasi-adequate transversal S^o is multiplicative if and only if S^o is a quasi-ideal of S .

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that S is an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Let R and L be described as in Proposition 2.4. Then R and L are quasi-adequate semigroups with a common quasi-adequate transversal S^o which is a right ideal of R and a left ideal of L .*

PROOF. From Remark 1 it is evident that R is a subsemigroup of S .

Since S^o is also a quasi-adequate transversal of R and $R \subseteq S$, S^o is a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal of R . Let $x \in R$ and $y^o \in S^o$, then $y^ox = y^ox\lambda_x \in S^o$ for some $\lambda_x \in E^o$ since S^o is a quasi-ideal of S . Thus S^o is a right ideal of R . Consequently by Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 1.6, $E(R) = I$ is a band, and thus R is quasi-adequate. The dual results for L can be proved similarly. $\square$

3. The main theorem

The main objective in this section is to give a structure theorem for abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-adequate transversals. In what follows R denotes an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal S^o which is a right ideal of R . Then by Proposition 2.5, $\Lambda_x \subseteq E^o$ for every $x \in S$ and $E(R) = I$, it follows that R is a quasi-adequate semigroup with a right ideal quasi-adequate transversal S^o . For $a \in R$, the $\mathcal{R}^*$ -class of R containing a will be denoted by R_a^* and the δ -class containing a will be denoted by $\delta(a)$. We define

$K(a) = K(b)$ if $R_a^* = R_b^*$ and $\delta(a) = \delta(b)$ for $a, b \in R$ and define a relation $\mathcal{K}$ on R by $(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}$ if $K(a) = K(b)$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is an equivalence relation on R . L denotes an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal S^o which is a left ideal of L . Then L is quasi-adequate with $I_a \subseteq E^o$ for every $a \in S$ and $E(L) = \Lambda$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R and L be quasi-adequate semigroups with a common quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Suppose that S^o is a right ideal of R and a left ideal of L . Let $L \times R \rightarrow S^o$ described by $(a, x) \mapsto a * x$ be a mapping such that for any $x, y \in R$ and for any $a, b \in L$:*

- (1) *if $x \in E(R)$ and $a \in E(L)$, then $a * x \in E(S^o) = E^o$;*
- (2) *$(a * x)y = a * (xy)$ and $b(a * x) = (ba) * x$;*
- (3) *if $\{x, a\} \cap E^o \neq \emptyset$, then $a * x = ax$;*
- (4) *For any $b_1, b_2 \in L^1$, $y_1, y_2 \in R^1$, if $x_1 \mathcal{R}^* x_2$ in R , then $y_1(b_1 * x_1) = y_2(b_2 * x_1)$ if and only if $y_1(b_1 * x_2) = y_2(b_2 * x_2)$; if $a_1 \mathcal{L}^* a_2$ in L , then $(a_1 * y_1)b_1 = (a_1 * y_2)b_2$ if and only if $(a_2 * y_1)b_1 = (a_2 * y_2)b_2$.*

Define a multiplication on the set

$$\Gamma \equiv R/\mathcal{K} \times |L/\mathcal{L}^* = \{(K(x), L_a^*) \in R/\mathcal{K} \times L/\mathcal{L}^* : C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a) \neq \emptyset\}$$

by

$$(K(x), L_a^*)(K(y), L_b^*) = (K(i_x(a * y)), L_{(a * y)\lambda_b}^*).$$

Then Γ is an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal which is isomorphic to S^o .

Conversely, every abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal can be constructed in this way.

Lemma 3.2. *The multiplication on Γ is well-defined.*

PROOF. First it is easy to see that $(K(i_x(a * y)), L_{(a * y)\lambda_b}^*) \in \Gamma$, since

$$i_x(a * y) = i_x x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o \lambda_y = i_x[x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)]^+ \cdot x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o \cdot [(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o]^* \lambda_y$$

and

$$(a * y)\lambda_b = i_a \cdot x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o \lambda_b = i_a[x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)]^+ \cdot x^o(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o \cdot [(\lambda_a * i_y)y^o]^* \lambda_b.$$

Let $i_x, i'_x \in I_x$, where $i_x \mathcal{L} x^{o+}$, $i'_x \mathcal{L} x^{o+}$ for some $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)$. Then $R_{i_x(a * y)}^* = R_{i'_x(a * y)}^*$ and $\delta(i_x(a * y)) = \delta(i'_x(a * y))$, and hence the multiplication on Γ is not dependent on the choice of i_x . There is a dual result for λ_b .

We then prove that if $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$, then $i_x \cdot a = x \cdot \lambda_a$. In fact, if $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$, then there exists $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)$ such that $x = i_x x^o \lambda_x$, $i_x \mathcal{L} x^{o+}, \lambda_x \mathcal{R} x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$ and $a = i_a x^o \lambda_a, i_a \mathcal{L} x^{o+}, \lambda_a \mathcal{R} x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Thus $i_x a = i_x i_a x^o \lambda_a = i_x i_a \cdot x^o \cdot x^{o*} \lambda_a$ and $x \lambda_a = i_x x^o \lambda_x \lambda_a = i_x x^{o+} \cdot x^o \cdot \lambda_x \lambda_a$. It is easy to check that $i_x i_a = i_x x^{o+}$ and $x^{o*} \lambda_a = \lambda_x \lambda_a$ and so $i_x a = x \lambda_a$.

Next we prove that if $(K(x), L_a^*)$ and $(K(x'), L_{a'}^*)$ in Γ are such that $(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(x'), L_{a'}^*)$, then $i_x a = i_{x'} a'$. From $x \mathcal{R}^* x'$ and $x \delta x'$ we deduce that there exists $h \in E(x^*)$ such that $x' = xh$. Moreover, $h \mathcal{L}^* x'$. Thus $x \lambda_a = i_x x^o \lambda_x \lambda_a$ and $x' \lambda_{a'} = xh \lambda_{a'} = i_x x^o \lambda_x h \lambda_{a'}$. Since $x \in R$ we have $\lambda_x \in E^o$ and consequently $\lambda_x h \lambda_{a'} \in E^o \Lambda \subseteq E^o \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$ and $\lambda_x \lambda_a \in E^o \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. It is easy to check that $\lambda_x h \lambda_{a'}$ and $\lambda_x \lambda_a$ are in the same $\mathcal{H}^*$ -class and hence $\lambda_x h \lambda_{a'} = \lambda_x \lambda_a$. Therefore $x \lambda_a = x' \lambda_{a'}$ and consequently $i_x a = i_{x'} a'$.

Finally we prove that the multiplication on Γ is not dependent on the choice of x, a, y and b . Let

$$(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(x'), L_{a'}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad (K(y), L_b^*) = (K(y'), L_{b'}^*).$$

We have

$$(K(x), L_a^*)(K(y), L_b^*) = (K(i_x(a * y)), L_{(a * y)\lambda_b}^*)$$

and

$$(K(x'), L_{a'}^*)(K(y'), L_{b'}^*) = (K(i_{x'}(a' * y')), L_{(a' * y')\lambda_{b'}}^*).$$

We now prove that $\delta(i_x(a * y)) = \delta(i_{x'}(a' * y'))$. Since $\delta(x) = \delta(x')$ and $\delta(y) = \delta(y')$, from Lemma 1.4, we have $x = kx'l$ for some $k \in E(x'^+), l \in E(x'^*)$ and $y = py'q$ for some $p \in E(y'^+), q \in E(y'^*)$. Again by Lemma 1.4, $k \mathcal{R}^* x$ and $p \mathcal{R}^* y$ and so $k \mathcal{R}^* x'$ and $p \mathcal{R}^* y'$. Thus $x = x'l$ and $y = y'q$. Consequently, similar as the above proof, we can show that

$$i_x(a * y) = i_{x'}(a' * y) = i_{x'}(a' * y')q = i_{x'}(a' * y')[i_{x'}(a' * y')]^* q$$

and

$$[i_{x'}(a' * y')]^* q \in E((i_{x'}(a' * y'))^*)$$

It follows from Lemma 1.4 that $\delta(i_x(a * y)) = \delta(i_{x'}(a' * y'))$.

We then show that $i_x(a * y) \mathcal{R}^* i_{x'}(a' * y')$. From the proof of $\delta(i_x(a * y)) = \delta(i_{x'}(a' * y'))$ we have $i_x(a * y) = i_{x'}(a' * y')q$. Similarly, we have $i_{x'}(a' * y') = i_x(a * y)q'$ from some $q' \in E(y^*)$. Thus $i_x(a * y) \mathcal{R}^* i_{x'}(a' * y')$. Dually, we can show that $(a * y) \lambda_b \mathcal{L}^* (a' * y') \lambda_{b'}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *The set Γ is a semigroup.*

PROOF. Let $e, f, g \in \Gamma$, where $e = (K(x), L_a^*)$, $f = (K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*)$, $g = (K(x_2), L_{a_2}^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (ef)g &= (K(i_x(a * x_1)), L_{(a * x_1)\lambda_{a_1}}^*)(K(x_2), L_{a_2}^*) \\ &= (K(i_{i_x(a * x_1)}(((a * x_1)\lambda_{a_1}) * x_2)), L_{(((a * x_1)\lambda_{a_1}) * x_2)\lambda_{a_2}}^*) \\ &= (K(i_x(a * x_1)^+(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)), L_{(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)\lambda_{a_2}}^*) \\ &= (K(i_x(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)), L_{(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)\lambda_{a_2}}^*). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} e(fg) &= (K(x), L_a^*)(K(i_{x_1}(a_1 * x_2)), L_{(a_1 * x_2)\lambda_{a_2}}^*) \\ &= (K(i_x(a * (i_{x_1}(a_1 * x_2)))), L_{(a * (i_{x_1}(a_1 * x_2)))\lambda_{a_2}}^*) \\ &= (K(i_x(a * (x_1(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)))), L_{(a * (x_1(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)))\lambda_{a_2}}^*) \quad (i_{x_1}a_1 = x_1\lambda_{a_1}) \\ &= (K(i_x(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)), L_{(a * x_1)(\lambda_{a_1} * x_2)\lambda_{a_2}}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(ef)g = e(fg)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. Let $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$. Then $(K(x), L_a^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ if and only if $a * x = i_a x (= a\lambda_x)$.

PROOF. Since $(K(x), L_a^*)(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(i_x(a * x)), L_{(a * x)\lambda_a}^*)$, it is easy to check that if $a * x = i_a \cdot x = a \cdot \lambda_x$, then

$$(K(i_x(a * x)), L_{(a * x)\lambda_a}^*) = (K(i_x \cdot i_a \cdot x), L_{a\lambda_x\lambda_a}^*) = (K(x), L_a^*).$$

Thus $(K(x), L_a^*) \in E(\Gamma)$. Conversely, if $(K(x), L_a^*) \in E(\Gamma)$, then $K(i_x(a * x)) = K(x)$ and so $i_x(a * x)\delta x$. Consequently, $i_x(a * x) = kxl$ for some $k \in E(x^+)$ and $l \in E(x^*)$. It follows that

$$x = x^+ \cdot i_x(a * x) \cdot x^* = i_x(a * xx^*) = i_x(a * x).$$

Hence $a * x = i_a x$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$, denote $u = (K(i_x), L_{x^{o+}}^*)$ and $v = (K(x^{o*}), L_{\lambda_a}^*)$, where $x = i_x x^o \lambda_x$, $a = i_a x^o \lambda_a$ and $i_x \mathcal{L}x^{o+}, \lambda_a \mathcal{R}x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Then $u, v \in E(\Gamma)$ and $u\mathcal{R}^*(K(x), L_a^*)\mathcal{L}^*v$.

PROOF. By Lemma 3.4, $u, v \in E(\Gamma)$ is clear. Computing

$$\begin{aligned} (K(i_x), L_{x^{o+}}^*)(K(x), L_a^*) &= (K(i_x(x^{o+} * x)), L_{(x^{o+} * x)\lambda_a}^*) \\ &= (K(i_x x^{o+} x), L_{x^{o+} x \lambda_a}^*) \quad (\text{since } x^{o+} \in E^o) \\ &= (K(x), L_{x^o \lambda_a}^*) \\ &= (K(x), L_a^*). \quad (\text{since } x^o \lambda_a \mathcal{L}^* i_a x^o \lambda_a = a). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(K(y), L_b^*), (K(z), L_c^*) \in \Gamma^1$ are such that

$$(K(y), L_b^*)(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(z), L_c^*)(K(x), L_a^*).$$

This implies that

$$(K(i_y(b * x)), L_{(b * x)\lambda_a}^*) = (K(i_z(c * x)), L_{(c * x)\lambda_a}^*).$$

That is

$$i_y(b * x)\mathcal{R}^*i_z(c * x), i_y(b * x)\delta i_z(c * x) \quad \text{and} \quad (b * x)\lambda_a\mathcal{L}^*(c * x)\lambda_a.$$

From $(b * x)\lambda_a\mathcal{L}^*(c * x)\lambda_a$, we have $(b * x)\lambda_a\lambda_x\mathcal{L}^*(c * x)\lambda_a\lambda_x$ and thus $(b * x)\mathcal{L}^*(c * x)$. Consequently, $i_y(b * x)\mathcal{L}^*i_z(c * x)$ since $i_b i_y i_b = i_b$ and $i_c i_z i_c = i_c$. Hence

$$(i_y(b * x), i_z(c * x)) \in \mathcal{R}^* \cap \mathcal{L}^* \cap \delta = \mathcal{H}^* \cap \delta = l.$$

That is $i_y(b * x) = i_z(c * x)$. From $x\mathcal{R}^*i_x$ and (4) we deduce that $i_y(b * i_x) = i_z(c * i_x)$. Thus

$$b * i_x = i_b(b * i_x)\mathcal{L}^*i_y i_b(b * i_x) = i_z i_c(c * i_x)\mathcal{L}^*i_c(c * i_x) = c * i_x.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (K(y), L_b^*)(K(i_x), L_{x^{o+}}^*) &= (K(i_y(b * i_x)), L_{(b * i_x)x^{o+}}^*) = (K(i_z(c * i_x)), L_{(c * i_x)x^{o+}}^*) \\ &= (K(z), L_c^*)(K(i_x), L_{x^{o+}}^*). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1.2, $u\mathcal{R}^*(K(x), L_a^*)$. □

Dually, we may show that $v\mathcal{L}^*(K(x), L_a^*)$.

Lemma 3.6. Γ is an abundant semigroup.

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 3.5 immediately. □

Lemma 3.7. Let $W = \{(K(s), L_s^*) : s \in S^o\}$. Then W is isomorphic to S^o and W is a quasi-adequate $*$ -subsemigroup of Γ with $E(W) = \{(K(s), L_s^*) : s \in E^o\}$.

PROOF. Clearly $W \subseteq \Gamma$. Let $(K(s), L_s^*), (K(t), L_t^*) \in W$. It is easy to see that

$$(K(s), L_s^*)(K(t), L_t^*) = (K(i_s s t), L_{st\lambda_t}^*) = (K(st), L_{st}^*) \in W.$$

Therefore W is a subsemigroup. For any $s \in S^o$, define $s\varphi = (K(s), L_s^*)$, it is evident that φ is an isomorphism. Thus $S^o \cong W$.

To show that W is a $*$ -subsemigroup, let $(K(s), L_s^*) \in W$. By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, $u = (K(s^+), L_{s^+}^*) \in E(W)$ and $u\mathcal{R}^*(K(s), L_s^*)$. Similarly, $v = (K(s^*), L_{s^*}^*) \in E(W)$ and $v\mathcal{L}^*(K(s), L_s^*)$. That $E(W) = \{(K(s), L_s^*) : s \in E^o\}$ is obvious. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*), (K(x_2), L_{a_2}^*) \in \Gamma$. Then*

- (1) $(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*)\mathcal{R}^*(K(x_2), L_{a_2}^*)$ if and only if $x_1\mathcal{R}^*x_2$.
- (2) $(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*)\mathcal{L}^*(K(x_2), L_{a_2}^*)$ if and only if $a_1\mathcal{L}^*a_2$.

PROOF. To prove (1), by Lemma 3.5, it is equivalent to show that

$$(K(i_{x_1}), L_{x_1^{o+}}^*)\mathcal{R}^*(K(i_{x_2}), L_{x_2^{o+}}^*) \quad \text{if and only if } x_1\mathcal{R}^*x_2.$$

Now $u_1 = (K(i_{x_1}), L_{x_1^{o+}}^*)\mathcal{R}^*(K(i_{x_2}), L_{x_2^{o+}}^*) = u_2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\iff u_1u_2 = u_2 \text{ and } u_2u_1 = u_1, \text{ that is } (K(i_{x_1}x_1^{o+}i_{x_2}), L_{x_1^{o+}i_{x_2}x_2^{o+}}^*) = \\ &(K(i_{x_2}), L_{x_2^{o+}}^*) \text{ and } (K(i_{x_2}x_2^{o+}i_{x_1}), L_{x_2^{o+}i_{x_1}x_1^{o+}}^*) = (K(i_{x_1}), L_{x_1^{o+}}^*) \\ &\iff (K(i_{x_1}i_{x_2}), L_{x_1^{o+}i_{x_2}}^*) = (K(i_{x_2}), L_{x_2^{o+}}^*) \text{ and } (K(i_{x_2}i_{x_1}), L_{x_2^{o+}i_{x_1}}^*) = \\ &(K(i_{x_1}), L_{x_1^{o+}}^*) \text{ since } i_{x_1}\mathcal{L}x_1^{o+}, i_{x_2}\mathcal{L}x_2^{o+} \text{ and } x_1^{o+}i_{x_2}, x_2^{o+}i_{x_1} \in E^o. \\ &\iff i_{x_1}i_{x_2}\mathcal{R}^*i_{x_2}, i_{x_2}i_{x_1}\mathcal{R}^*i_{x_1} \\ &\iff x_1\mathcal{R}^*x_2 \text{ since } x_1\mathcal{R}^*i_{x_1}, x_2\mathcal{R}^*i_{x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) can be proved similarly. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $g = (K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$. Then*

$$C_W(g) = \{(K(y), L_y^*) \in W : y \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)\}.$$

PROOF. Let $V = \{(K(y), L_y^*) \in W : y \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)\}$ and $(K(y), L_y^*) \in V$. Since $y \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)$, there exist $e, f \in E(R)$ and $i_a, \lambda_a \in E(R)$ such that $x = eyf$ and $a = i_a y \lambda_a$, where $e\mathcal{L}y^+, f\mathcal{R}y^*$ for some $y^+, y^* \in E^o$. It follows that

$$(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(e), L_{y^+}^*)(K(y), L_y^*)(K(y^*), L_{\lambda_a}^*).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.8 we have

$$(K(e), L_{y^+}^*)\mathcal{L}(K(y^+), L_{y^+}^*)\mathcal{R}^*(K(y), L_y^*)$$

and

$$(K(y^*), L_{\lambda_a}^*)\mathcal{R}(K(y^*), L_{y^*}^*)\mathcal{L}^*(K(y), L_y^*).$$

Hence $(K(y), L_y^*) \in C_W(g)$ and so $V \subseteq C_W(g)$.

Conversely, let $(K(y), L_y^*) \in C_W(g)$. Then there exist $(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*), (K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ such that

$$(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*)(K(y), L_y^*)(K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*)$$

and

$$(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*)\mathcal{L}(K(y), L_y^*)^+ \quad \text{for some } (K(y), L_y^*)^+ \in E(W),$$

$$(K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*) \mathcal{R}(K(y), L_y^*)^* \quad \text{for some } (K(y), L_y^*)^* \in E(W).$$

By Lemma 1.3, $(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*) \mathcal{R}^* g \mathcal{L}^*(K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*)$. Hence by Lemma 3.7, $y_1 \mathcal{R}^* x$ and $a \mathcal{L}^* b_2$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.7 there exist $x', x'' \in E^o$ such that

$$(K(y), L_y^*)^+ = (K(x'), L_{x'}^*) \quad \text{with } x' \mathcal{R}^* y$$

and

$$(K(y), L_y^*)^* = (K(x''), L_{x''}^*) \quad \text{with } x'' \mathcal{L}^* y.$$

It follows that

$$(K(x'), L_{x'}^*)(K(x), L_a^*)(K(x''), L_{x''}^*) = (K(y), L_y^*),$$

and consequently, $x' x \lambda_a x'' (\mathcal{R}^* \cap \delta) y$ and $x' x \lambda_a x'' \mathcal{L}^* y$. Thus $y = x' \cdot x \cdot \lambda_a x''$ since $\mathcal{R}^* \cap \mathcal{L}^* \cap \delta = l$.

First since $(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*) \mathcal{L}(K(y), L_y^*)^+ = (K(x'), L_{x'}^*)$, we have $b_1 \mathcal{L}^* x'$. Hence $(K(y_1), L_{x'}^*) = (K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ and so there exists $z \in C_{S^o}(y_1) \cap C_{S^o}(x')$. And from $(K(y_1), L_{x'}^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ by Lemma 3.4, $x' * y_1 = x' y_1 = x' \lambda_{y_1} \in E^o$, and so $y_1 x' y_1 = y_1$ since $y_1 \mathcal{L}^* x' y_1 = x' \lambda_{y_1} \mathcal{R}^* x'$. Thus y_1 is regular. It is evident that $y_1 = i_{y_1} x' \cdot x' \lambda_{y_1} \in I E^o E^o \subseteq I = E(R)$ and $x' \mathcal{L} i_{y_1} x' \mathcal{R} y_1 \mathcal{R}^* x$.

Next since $(K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*) \mathcal{R}(K(y), L_y^*)^* = (K(x''), L_{x''}^*)$, we have $y_2 \mathcal{R}^* x''$ and

$$(K(x''), L_{x''}^*)(K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*) = (K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*).$$

That is

$$(K(x'' y_2), L_{x'' y_2 \lambda_{b_2}}^*) = (K(y_2), L_{b_2}^*).$$

From $y_2 \mathcal{R}^* x''$ we have $y_2 = x'' y_2 \in S^o$ and so $b_2 \mathcal{L}^* x'' y_2 \lambda_{b_2} = y_2 \lambda_{b_2}$. Consequently,

$$y_2 \lambda_{b_2} y_2 = (y_2 \lambda_{b_2}) * y_2 = y_2 \lambda_{b_2} \lambda_{y_2} = y_2.$$

Thus y_2 is regular and $y_2 = y_2 \lambda_{b_2} \cdot y_2^{o*} \lambda_{y_2} \in \Lambda E^o \subseteq E^o$, where $y_2^o \in C_{S^o}(y_2) \cap C_{S^o}(b_2)$, $y_2 = i_{y_2} y_2^o \lambda_{y_2}$ and $\lambda_{y_2} \mathcal{R} y_2^{o*}$ for some $y_2^{o*} \in E^o$. Therefore $\lambda_a \mathcal{R} \lambda_a x'' \mathcal{L} x''$ since λ_a and x'' are in the same rectangular band and $\lambda_a x'' \in \Lambda E^o \subseteq E^o$.

Finally, since $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$, there exists $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)$ such that $x = i_x x^o \lambda_x$, $a = i_a x^o \lambda_a$ and $i_a \mathcal{L} x^{o+}$, $\lambda_a \mathcal{R} x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Thus

$$x \mathcal{L}^* \lambda_x \mathcal{L} x^{o*} \lambda_x \mathcal{R} x^{o*} \mathcal{R} \lambda_a \mathcal{R} \lambda_a x'' \mathcal{L} x'' \mathcal{L}^* y.$$

Consequently,

$$i_{y_1} x' \cdot y \cdot x^{o*} \lambda_x = i_{y_1} x' \cdot x' \cdot x \cdot \lambda_a x'' \cdot x^{o*} \lambda_x = i_{y_1} x' \cdot x \cdot x^{o*} \lambda_x = x,$$

moreover, $i_{y_1} x' \mathcal{L} x' \mathcal{R}^* y$ and $x^{o*} \lambda_x \mathcal{R} \lambda_a x'' \mathcal{L}^* y$. Therefore $y \in C_{S^o}(x)$. Similarly, we have $y \in C_{S^o}(a)$ and hence $C_W(g) \subseteq V$. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *W is an abundant transversal of Γ .*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.9 immediately. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *For any $g \in E(\Gamma)$ and $h \in E(W)$,*

$$C_W(h)C_W(g) \subseteq C_W(gh) \quad \text{and} \quad C_W(g)C_W(h) \subseteq C_W(hg).$$

PROOF. Let $g = (K(x), L_a^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ and $h = (K(p), L_p^*) \in E(W)$ with $p \in E^\circ$. Then

$$gh = (K(i_x ap), L_{ap\lambda_p}^*) = (K(i_x ap), L_{ap}^*).$$

By Lemma 3.9, for any $g^\circ \in C_W(g), h^\circ \in C_W(h)$, there exist $y \in C_{S^\circ}(x) \cap C_{S^\circ}(a), q \in C_{S^\circ}(p)$ such that $g^\circ = (K(y), L_y^*)$ and $h^\circ = (K(q), L_q^*)$, furthermore, it is obvious that $q \in E^\circ$. Thus

$$h^\circ g^\circ = (K(q), L_q^*)(K(y), L_y^*) = (K(qy), L_{qy}^*).$$

Since $y \in C_{S^\circ}(x) \cap C_{S^\circ}(a)$, there exist $i_x, \lambda_x \in E(R)$ and $i_a, \lambda_a \in E(R)$ such that $x = i_x y \lambda_x, a = i_a y \lambda_a$, where $i_x \mathcal{L}y^+, \lambda_x \mathcal{R}y^*$ and $i_a \mathcal{L}y^{+'}, \lambda_a \mathcal{R}y^{*'}$ for some $y^+, y^*, y^{+'}, y^{*'}$ in E° .

Also, $g = (K(x), L_a^*) \in E(\Gamma)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} a * x &= i_a \cdot x \\ \implies a * u_x &= i_a i_x && (\text{since } x \mathcal{R}^* i_x \text{ and (4)}) \\ \implies i_x(a * i_x) &= i_x i_a i_x \\ \implies i_x i_a y(\lambda_a * e_x) &= i_x \\ \implies i_x y(\lambda_a * i_x) &= i_x && (\text{since } i_x i_a y = i_x i_a y^+ y \text{ and } i_x \mathcal{L} i_a y^+ \in I) \\ \implies y^+ y(\lambda_a * i_x) &= y^+ && (\text{since } i_x \mathcal{L} y^+) \\ \implies y(\lambda_a * i_x)y &= y^+ y = y. \end{aligned}$$

From $y(\lambda_a * i_x)y = y$ we deduce that $y^{*'}(\lambda_a * i_x)y = y^{*'}$. Hence $(\lambda_a * i_x)y = y^{*'}$ since $y^{*'} \mathcal{R} \lambda_a$ and consequently

$$(\lambda_a * i_x)y(\lambda_a * i_x) = y^{*'}(\lambda_a * i_x) = \lambda_a * i_x.$$

It follows that y is an inverse in S° of $(\lambda_a * i_x)$ and thus $y \in E^\circ$ since $\lambda_a * i_x \in E^\circ$ and S° is quasi-adequate. Therefore $a = i_a y \lambda_a \in E^\circ E^\circ \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. From condition (QA2) we have $qy \in C_{S^\circ}(p)C_{S^\circ}(a) \subseteq C_{S^\circ}(ap)$. Since $i_x i_a y \in IE^\circ E^\circ \subseteq$

I , $i_x i_a y \mathcal{L} y^{*'}$ and $\lambda_a * i_x \in E^o$, $\lambda_a * i_x \mathcal{R} y^{*'}$, from $i_x = i_x i_a x^o \cdot y^{*'} \cdot (\lambda_a * i_x)$ we deduce that $y^{*'} \in C_{S^o}(i_x)$. Thus

$$qy = qyy^{*'} \in C_{S^o}(ap)C_{S^o}(i_x) \subseteq C_{S^o}(i_x ap)$$

and consequently $qy \in C_{S^o}(ap) \cap C_{S^o}(i_x ap)$. Hence from Lemma 3.9 we have $h^o g^o \in C_W(hg)$. Dually we may show that $g^o h^o \in C_W(hg)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. *W is a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal of Γ .*

PROOF. Let $g = (K(x), L_a^*)$, $h = (K(y), L_b^*) \in \Gamma$. For any $(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*) \in I_g$ and $(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*) \in \Lambda_h$, by the proof of Lemma 3.9 we have $x_1 \in E(R) = I$ and $y_1 \in E^o$. Consequently from the proof of Lemma 3.11 we have $a_1, b_1 \in E(L) = \Lambda$. Furthermore, there exists $g^o \in C_W(g)$ such that $(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*) \mathcal{L} g^{o+} = (K(e^o), L_{e^o}^*)$ for some $e^o \in E^o$ with $a_1 \mathcal{L} e^o$. Thus $\lambda_{a_1} \mathcal{L} a_1 \mathcal{L} e^o$ and $\lambda_{a_1} \in E^o$. It follows that

$$(K(y_1), L_{b_1}^*)(K(x_1), L_{a_1}^*) = (K(i_{y_1}(b_1 * x_1), L_{(b_1 * x_1)\lambda_{a_1}}^*)).$$

Since $b_1 \in E(L)$ and $x_1 \in E(R)$, by Theorem 3.1(1) $b_1 * x_1 \in E^o$ and thus

$$i_{y_1}(b_1 * x_1) \in E^o \quad \text{and} \quad (b_1 * x_1)\lambda_{a_1} \in E^o.$$

For any $x^o, y^o \in E^o$, if $(K(x^o), L_{y^o}^*) \in \Gamma$, it is readily to see that

$$(K(x^o), L_{y^o}^*) = (K(x^o y^o), L_{x^o y^o}^*) \in E(W).$$

Therefore $\Lambda_h I_g \in E(W)$. Combining with Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 3.11 implies that W is a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal of Γ . $\square$

Now we turn to prove the converse part of Theorem 3.1. Let S be an abundant semigroup with a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal S^o . Let

$$R = \{x \in S : (\exists \lambda_x \in \Lambda_x) \lambda_x \in E^o\} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \{a \in S : (\exists i_a \in I_a) i_a \in E^o\}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.7, R and L are quasi-adequate semigroups with a common quasi-adequate transversal S^o which is a right ideal of R and a left ideal of L .

For every $(a, x) \in L \times R$, put $a * x = ax$. Then $a * x = ax = i_a ax \lambda_x \in S^o$ for some $i_a, \lambda_x \in E^o$, and if $x \in E(R) = I$, $a \in E(L) = \Lambda$, then $a * x = ax \in \Lambda I \subseteq E^o$, since S^o is a multiplicative quasi-adequate transversal of S . Thus the mapping $*$ satisfies (1) and clearly it also satisfies (2), (3) and (4). Therefore we get an abundant semigroup Γ in the way of the direct part of Theorem 3.1. Finally we shall prove that Γ is isomorphic to S .

Let $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$. Define $\theta : \Gamma \longrightarrow S$ by $(K(x), L_a^*)\theta = i_x a$, where $i_x \in I_x$ and $i_x \mathcal{L}x^{o+}$ for some $x^o \in C_{S^o}(x) \cap C_{S^o}(a)$ and some $x^{o+} \in E^o$. It is easy to see that the definition of θ is not dependent on the choice of i_x .

We first have to show that θ is well-defined. From the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have if $(K(x), L_a^*) \in \Gamma$, then $i_x a = x\lambda_a$. If $(K(x), L_a^*) = (K(y), L_b^*)$, then $R_x^* = R_y^*$, $\delta(x) = \delta(y)$ and $L_a^* = L_b^*$. From $x\mathcal{R}^*y$ and $x\delta y$ we deduce that there exists $h \in E(y^*)$ such that $x = yh$, moreover, $h\mathcal{L}^*x$. Thus $x\lambda_a = yh\lambda_a = i_y y^o \lambda_y h\lambda_a$ and $y\lambda_b = i_y y^o \lambda_y \lambda_b$. Since $y \in R$ we have $\lambda_y \in E^o$ and consequently

$$\lambda_y \cdot h \cdot \lambda_a \in E^o I \cdot \Lambda \subseteq E^o \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_y \lambda_b \in E^o \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda.$$

It is easy to check that $\lambda_y h\lambda_a$ and $\lambda_y \lambda_b$ in the same $\mathcal{H}^*$ -class and so $\lambda_y h\lambda_a = \lambda_y \lambda_b$. Hence $x\lambda_a = y\lambda_b$ and therefore θ is well-defined.

For any $(K(x), L_a^*), (K(y), L_b^*) \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [(K(x), L_a^*)(K(y), L_b^*)]\theta &= (K(i_x a y), L_{ay\lambda_b}^*)\theta = i_{i_x a y} \cdot ay\lambda_b = i_x \cdot i_{ay} \cdot ay\lambda_b \\ &= i_x ay\lambda_b = i_x a i_y b \quad (\text{since } y\lambda_b = i_y b) \\ &= (K(x), L_a^*)\theta \cdot (K(y), L_b^*)\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and so θ is a homomorphism.

For every $x \in S$, it is easy to check that $xx^{o*} \in R$ and $x^{o+}x \in L$, where $x = i_x x^o \lambda_x, i_x \mathcal{L}x^{o+}, \lambda_x \mathcal{R}x^{o*}$ for some $x^{o+}, x^{o*} \in E^o$. Moreover, from

$$xx^{o*} = i_x x^o \lambda_x x^{o*} = i_x x^o x^{o*} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{o+}x = x^{o+} i_x x^o \lambda_x = x^{o+} x^o \lambda_x$$

we deduce that $x^o \in C_{S^o}(xx^{o*}) \cap C_{S^o}(x^{o+}x)$. Thus $(K(xx^{o*}), L_{x^{o+}x}^*) \in \Gamma$ and

$$(K(xx^{o*}), L_{x^{o+}x}^*)\theta = i_{xx^{o*}} \cdot x^{o+}x = i_x x^{o+}x = i_x x = x.$$

Hence θ is surjective.

Now let $(K(x), L_a^*), (K(y), L_b^*) \in \Gamma$ be such that $(K(x), L_a^*)\theta = (K(y), L_b^*)\theta$, that is $i_x a = i_y b$. Then $x\mathcal{R}^*i_x \mathcal{R}^*i_x a = i_y b \mathcal{R}^*i_y \mathcal{R}^*y$ and $a\mathcal{L}^*i_x a = i_y b \mathcal{L}^*b$. Thus $R_x^* = R_y^*$ and $L_a^* = L_b^*$. From $i_x a = i_y b$ we deduce that $x\lambda_a = y\lambda_b$, and consequently

$$y = y\lambda_b \lambda_y = x\lambda_a \lambda_y = x^+ \cdot x \cdot x^* \lambda_a \lambda_y.$$

Since $x^* \lambda_a \lambda_y$ is idempotent in R and $x^* \lambda_a \lambda_y \cdot \lambda_a \lambda_x = x^*$, this implies that $x^* \lambda_a \lambda_y \in E(x^*)$ and so $x\delta y$. Hence $K(x) = K(y)$ and $L_a^* = L_b^*$. Therefore θ is injective and θ is an isomorphism.

References

- [1] T. S. BLYTH and R. B. MCFADDEN, Regular semigroups with a multiplicative inverse transversal, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **92A** (1982), 253–270.
- [2] J. F. CHEN, On regular semigroups with orthodox transversals, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 4275–4288.
- [3] J. F. CHEN, Abundant semigroups with adequate transversals, *Semigroup Forum* **60** (2000), 67–79.
- [4] EL-QALLALI, Abundant semigroups with a multiplicative type A transversal, *Semigroup Forum* **47** (1993), 327–340.
- [5] EL-QALLALI and J. B. FOUNTAIN, Quasi-adequate semigroups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **91A** (1981), 91–99.
- [6] J. B. FOUNTAIN, Abundant semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **44** (1982), 103–129.
- [7] J. M. HOWIE, An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, *Academic Press, London*, 1976.
- [8] X. J. KONG, Regular semigroups with quasi-ideal orthodox transversals, *Semigroup Forum* **74** (2007), 247–258.
- [9] X. J. KONG, Abundant semigroups with quasi-ideal adequate transversals, *Adv. Math. (China)* **37**(1) (2008), 31–40.
- [10] X. J. KONG and X. ZHAO, A new construction for regular semigroups with quasi-ideal orthodox transversals, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **86** (2009), 177–187.
- [11] X. J. KONG, Some properties associated with adequate transversals, *Can. Math. Bull. (to appear)*.
- [12] X. F. NI, Abundant semigroups with multiplicative quasi-ideal transversal, *Semigroup Forum* **78**(1) (2009), 34–53.
- [13] X. L. TANG, Regular semigroups with inverse transversals, *Semigroup Forum* **55** (1997), 24–32.

XIANGJUN KONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
LANZHOU UNIVERSITY
LANZHOU 730000

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU 273165
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: xiangjunkong97@163.com

PEI WANG
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU 273165
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: wangpei9778@163.com

(Received June 23, 2009)