

***B*-transform and its quasiasymptotics-applications to
the convolution equation $(xu_{xx} + 2u_x + mu) * g = h, m \leq 0$**

By STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ (Novi Sad) and MIRJANA STOJANOVIĆ (Novi Sad)

Abstract. By using the *B*-transform we examine the equation $(xu_{xx} + 2u_x + mu) * g = h, g, h \in S'_+, m \leq 0$. We investigate the quasiasymptotic behaviour of the solution. We find the Laguerre series solution.

1. Introduction

The *B*-transform, or Bessel transform on the spaces of tempered distribution supported by $[0, \infty)$ was introduced by ZAVIALOV [10]; see also [9]. In [6] we have given different approaches to the *B*-transform on the spaces of tempered distributions and ultradistributions supported by $[0, \infty)$ by using Laguerre expansions of their elements.

This paper is concerned with the convolution equation

$$(xu'' + 2u' + mu) * g = h, m \leq 0,$$

the quasiasymptotic behaviour of the solution and the Laguerre series solution.

In Section 2 we give the definition and the Laguerre representation of the *B*-transform on the spaces S'_+ . In Section 3 we give relations between the quasiasymptotics at 0^+ (resp. ∞) and the *B*-transform as well as the applications of these notions to the qualitative analysis of an ordinary differential equation in S'_+ , and consequently to the quoted convolution equation. In Section 4 we give an explicit method for solving this convolution equations based on the Laguerre expansions.

2. Generalized B -transform

The basic test function space for the well-known space of tempered distributions supported by $[0, \infty)$, S'_+ , is

$$S_+ = \{\phi \in C^\infty[0, \infty), \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} t^k |\phi^{(n)}(t)| < \infty, k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

We give below an equivalent definition of this space ([2], [4], [7], [11].)

Let $l_n = e^{-x/2} L_n(x)$, $x > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the Laguerre orthonormal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where

$$L_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{n-m} \frac{(-x)^m}{m!}, \quad x > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

are the Laguerre polynomials, and l_n are the eigenfunctions of the operator $\mathcal{R} = e^{x/2} D x e^{-x} D e^{x/2}$, for which $\mathcal{R}(l_n) = -n l_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

S_+ is the space of smooth functions for which all the norms

$$\|\phi\|_k = \left(\int_0^\infty |\mathcal{R}^k \phi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

are finite and the following holds:

$$(\mathcal{R}^k \phi, l_n) = (\phi, \mathcal{R}^k l_n), \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (\mathcal{R}^{k+1} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}^k)).$$

In [6] we defined the B -transform on S'_+ dualizing the results for the b -transform on S_+ :

$$\langle B[f], \phi \rangle = \langle f, b[\phi] \rangle, \quad \phi \in S_+,$$

where, if $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n l_n \in S_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} b[\phi](t) &= \phi(0) + 1/2 \langle \phi(\tau), \sqrt{t/\tau} J'_0(\sqrt{t\tau}) \rangle = \\ &= -2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \left(2 \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty a_i + a_n \right) l_n(t), \quad t > 0 \quad ([10], [6]). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain ([6])

$$B[f] = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m b_m + (-1)^n b_n \right] l_n,$$

being $f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n l_n$.

Recall ([8], [6]) that

$$B[f_\alpha] = 4^\alpha f_{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$f_\alpha = \begin{cases} H(t)t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ D^N f_{\alpha+N}(t) & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0, \alpha + N > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and D is the distributional derivative.

3. The quasiasymptotics and the B -transform

Let us start with regularly varying functions at ∞ and 0^+ which were defined by J. KARAMATA in the early thirties as natural generalizations of power functions. The best reference concerning such functions in [1].

A function $\rho : (a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, (resp. $\rho : (0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$), $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is *regularly varying at ∞* , (resp. at 0^+) if it is positive, measurable and there exists a real number α such that for each $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(kx)}{\rho(k)} = x^\alpha \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(\varepsilon x)}{\rho(\varepsilon)} = x^\alpha).$$

Specially, when $\alpha = 0$, then ρ is *slowly varying at ∞* (resp. at 0^+), and for such a function the letter “L” will be used. Recall some properties of regularly varying functions. A positive and measurable function $\rho : (a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, (resp. $\rho : (0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) is regularly varying at ∞ , (resp. at 0^+) if and only if it can be written as

$$\rho(x) = x^\alpha L(x), \quad x > a \quad (\text{resp. } x \in (0, a)),$$

for some real number α and some slowly varying function L at ∞ (resp. at 0^+). If $L(k)$, $k \geq k_0$ is slowly varying at ∞ then $L(1/k)$, $k \in (0, 1/k_0)$ is slowly varying at 0^+ . The reverse assertion also holds.

The notion of quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ and 0^+ of distributions from S'_+ has been introduced by VLADIMIROV, ZAVIALOV and DROŽŽINOV [9]. Recall the definitions and the properties of this notion.

Let $f \in S'_+$ and $c(k) = k^\sigma L(k)$, $k > 0$, (resp. $c(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$), where $L(k)$, $k \geq k_0$, (resp. $L(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$) is slowly varying at ∞ (resp. at 0^+). Then f has the quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ (resp. at 0^+) of order σ with respect to $c(k)$ (resp. $c(\varepsilon)$) if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(kx)}{k^\sigma L(k)}, \phi(x) \right\rangle = \langle C f_{\sigma+1}, \phi \rangle, \quad (\phi \in S_+), \quad C \neq 0$$

(resp.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{f(\varepsilon x)}{\varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)}, \phi(x) \right\rangle = \langle C f_{\sigma+1}, \phi \rangle, \quad (\phi \in S_+), \quad C \neq 0).$$

We shall use the identity

$$(1) \quad B[f(\varepsilon x)](t) = k^2 B[f(x)](kt), \quad t > 0, \varepsilon = 1/k, k > 0.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $f \in S'_+$, and let $L(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ be slowly varying at 0^+ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *f has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ (resp. at ∞) of order σ with respect to $\varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)$ (resp. $k^\sigma L(1/k)$).*
2. *Bf has quasiasymptotics at ∞ (resp. at 0^+) of order $-\sigma - 2$ with respect to $k^{-\sigma-2} L(1/k)$ (resp. $\varepsilon^{-\sigma-2} L(\varepsilon)$).*

PROOF. Since $B : S'_+ \rightarrow S'_+$ is an isomorphism ([6]) we have to prove only the part of this assertion which corresponds to 0^+ .

1. $\implies$ 2. Let $\phi \in S_+$. Then, with $\varepsilon = 1/k$, $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{(Bf)(kt)}{k^{-\sigma-2} L(1/k)}, \phi(t) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{B[f(x/k)](t)}{k^{-\sigma-2+2} L(1/k)}, \phi(t) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{f(x/k)}{k^{-\sigma} L(1/k)}, b[\phi](x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{f(\varepsilon x)}{\varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)}, b[\phi](x) \right\rangle \rightarrow \langle Cf_{\sigma+1}(x), b[\phi](x) \rangle = \langle CB[f_{\sigma+1}], \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{C}f_{-\sigma-1}, \phi \rangle, \text{ where } \tilde{C} = C4^{\sigma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

2. $\implies$ 1. For given $\phi \in S_+$ let $\phi = b[\psi]$, $\psi \in S_+$. From $b[\phi] = b[b[\psi]] = \psi$ ([6]) and (1) we have

$$\left\langle \frac{f(x/k)}{k^{\sigma+2} L(k)}, \phi(t) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{(Bf)(kt)}{k^\sigma L(k)}, b[b[\psi]](x) \right\rangle \rightarrow \langle Cf_{-\sigma-2+1}, \psi \rangle, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and this implies the assertion.

Now we shall use the B -transform and quasiasymptotics for the qualitative analysis of the following ordinary differential equation in S'_+ :

$$(2) \quad xu_{xx} + 2u_x + mu = g, \quad m \leq 0.$$

Since

$$(3) \quad B[xu_{xx} + 2u_x](t) = (-t/4) B[u](t), \quad t > 0, ([6]),$$

it is equivalent to the equation

$$(-t/4 + m) \tilde{u} = \tilde{g}, \text{ where } \tilde{g} = B[g] \in S'_+, \quad \text{and } \tilde{u} = B[u].$$

Let us remark that the equation

$$(4) \quad xp = q, \quad q \in S'_+$$

has solutions in S'_+ uniquely determined up to $C\delta$, $C \in \mathbb{C}$, and that the equation

$$(x + m)p = q, \quad m > 0, \quad q \in S'_+,$$

has the unique solution in S'_+ .

We need the following

Proposition 2. (i) Let $m = 0$ in (2) and g have quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)$, where $\sigma \neq -2, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ then:

1. if $\sigma < -2$, then the solution u has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}L(\varepsilon)$;
2. if $\sigma > -2$ then there exists numbers $b_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, p$ such that $u + \sum_{j=0}^p b_j f_j$ has quasiasymptotics with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}L(\varepsilon)$.

(ii) Let $m < 0$ in (2) and g have quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^\sigma L(\varepsilon)$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the solution u has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}L(\varepsilon)$.

PROOF. (i) 1. Since $\tilde{g}$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{-\sigma-2}L(1/k)$ and $\sigma < -2$, by [9] (p. 144, the first part of Lemma 2), it follows that $\tilde{u}$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{-\sigma-3}L(1/k)$, because $-\sigma-3 > -1$. Proposition 1 implies that u has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}L(\varepsilon)$.

2. If $\sigma > -2$ then there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers $a_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, p$, such that $\tilde{u} + \sum_{j=0}^p a_j \delta^{(j)}$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{-\sigma-3}L(1/k)$. This follows from [9] (p. 144, the second part of Lemma 2).

Thus $u + \sum_{j=0}^p b_j x_+^{j-1}/\Gamma(j)$, where $b_j = 4^{-j}a_j$, has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma+1}L(\varepsilon)$ because $B[\delta^{(j)}] = 4^{-j}f_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Since for every $\phi \in S_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k^{-\sigma-3}L(1/k)} \left\langle \left(\frac{\tilde{g}(t)}{-t/4 + m} \right) (kx), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \frac{\tilde{g}(kx)}{k^{-\sigma-2}L(1/k)}, \frac{1}{-x/4 + m/k} \phi(x) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{-x/4 + m/k} \phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) \text{ in } S_+ \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

the proof of the assertion easily follows from Proposition 1.

The main part of the paper is a qualitative analysis of the equation

$$(5) \quad ((xu)'' + mu) * h = g, \quad m \leq 0,$$

where g and h are from S'_+ . For example, the equation

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m a_k ((xu)'' + mu)^{(k)} = g \quad (\text{with } h = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \delta^{(k)})$$

is of this form.

Proposition 3. Assume that $g, h \in S'_+$ and the Laplace transform of h , $(\mathcal{L}h)(x+iy)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$, has a bounded argument. Let h have quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_1}L_1(\varepsilon)$ and g have quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2}L_2(\varepsilon)$.

1. Let $m = 0$ in (5). If $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 > 1$ then (5) has the solution u with quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}L_2(\varepsilon)/L_1(\varepsilon)$.
If $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 < 1$ and $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \neq 1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$ then there are numbers $b_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 0, 1, \dots, p$, such that (5) has the solution u such that $u + \sum_{j=0}^p b_j f_j$ has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}L_2(\varepsilon)/L_1(\varepsilon)$.
2. Let $m < 0$ in (5). Then (5) has the solution u with quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}L_2(\varepsilon)/L_1(\varepsilon)$.

PROOF. First, we will prove if the Laplace transform of h has a bounded argument, then the same holds for $B[h]$. Namely by

$$b[e^{iz\tau}](t) = e^{-\frac{ti}{4z}}, \quad t > 0, \quad \text{Im}z > 0 \quad ([9], \text{p.41})$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}(B[f])(z) = \langle (B[f])(\tau), e^{i\tau z} \rangle = \langle f(t), b[e^{iz\tau}] \rangle = \mathcal{L}f\left(-\frac{1}{4z}\right), \quad \text{Im}z > 0,$$

which implies the assertion.

By using (3), and $B[f * g] = B[f] * B[g]$, (see [6]), (5) becomes

$$(7) \quad (-t/4 + m)\tilde{u} * \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}.$$

We shall prove only part 1 since part 2 simply follows. Let $m = 0$. We shall use a theorem from [9], page 198. In the one-dimensional case this theorem reads as follows:

“Let $\mathcal{K} \in S'_+$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^\alpha L_1(k)$ with the limit $C_1 f_{\alpha+1}$, $C_1 \neq 0$, and $f \in S'_+$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^\beta L_2(k)$ with the limit $C_2 f_{\beta+1}$, $C_2 \neq 0$. Let the Laplace transform of $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}(x+iy)$, has a bounded argument in $\mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R}_+$. Then the convolution equation $\mathcal{K} * u = f$ has the solution $u \in S'_+$ which has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{(\beta-\alpha-1)}L_2(k)/L_1(k)$ with the limit $(C_2/C_1)f_{\beta-\alpha}$.”

Since the Laplace transform of $\tilde{h}$ has a bounded argument, it follows that there exists $\tilde{s} \in S'_+$ such that $\tilde{s} * \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}$, and

$$\frac{\tilde{s}(kx)}{k^{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - 1}L_2(1/k)/L_1(1/k)} \rightarrow \text{const} \cdot f_{\beta-\alpha}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } S'_+,$$

because $\tilde{g}$ has quasiasymptotics with respect to $k^{\sigma_2-2}L_2(1/k)$ and $\tilde{h}$ has quasiasymptotics with respect to $k^{\sigma_1-2}L_1(1/k)$.

Let u be the solution of

$$xu'' + 2u' = s \iff (-t/4)\tilde{u} = \tilde{s}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2. we have the following situations:

1. Since $\tilde{s}$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{-(\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1)-2}L_2(1/k)/L_1(1/k)$, if $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 < -1$ it follows that $\tilde{u}$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{-(\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1)-3}L_2(1/k)/L_1(1/k)$ and by Proposition 1, h has the quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}L_2(\varepsilon)/L_1(\varepsilon)$.

2. If $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 > -1$ and $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \neq 1, 0 - 1, -2 \dots$ then as in the proof of Proposition 2 we conclude that there are numbers $a_j, j = 0, \dots, p$, such that $\tilde{u} + \sum_{j=0}^p a_j \delta^j$ has quasiasymptotics at ∞ with respect to $k^{(\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1)-3}L_2(1/k)/L_1(1/k)$ which implies that

$$u + \sum_{j=0}^p b_j x_+^{j-1} / \Gamma(j), \quad (b_j = 4^j a_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, p),$$

has quasiasymptotics at 0^+ with respect to $\varepsilon^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}L_2(\varepsilon)/L_1(\varepsilon)$.

4. Laguerre series solution of convolution equations

We can find the Laguerre series solution of (5) by using (7) and the approximation formulas for the convolution given in [7]. Recall, if $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n l_n \in S'_+$, $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n l_n \in S'_+$ then

$$(8) \quad f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{p+q=n} b_p c_p - \sum_{p+q=n-1} b_p c_q \right) l_n,$$

where as usual $\sum_{p+q=-1} = 0$, and thus ([6])

$$\begin{aligned} B[f * g] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{p+q=n} \left(2 \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (-1)^k + (-1)^n \right) b_p c_q \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{p+q=n-1} \left(2 \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (-1)^k + (-1)^n \right) b_p c_q \right] l_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let in (7)

$$(-t/4 + m)\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n l_n, \quad \tilde{h} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n l_n, \quad \tilde{g} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n l_n.$$

Then (8) gives the system of equations

$$b_0 c_0 = d_0, \quad b_1 c_0 + b_0 c_1 - b_0 c_0 = d_1, \quad b_2 c_0 + b_1 c_1 + b_0 c_2 - b_1 c_0 - b_0 c_1 = d_2, \quad \dots$$

which is discussed in [7]. With $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n l_n$, and since

$$-tl_n = (n+1)l_{n+1} - (2n+1)l_n + nl_{n-1},$$

(see [3] p. 188, formula (8)), it follows

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n [-1/4t + m] l_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n l_n$$

or

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [nx_{n-1} - (2n+1-4m)x_n + (n+1)x_{n+1}] l_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4b_n l_n.$$

This gives the system of equations

$$(-1+4m)x_0 + x_1 = 4b_0$$

$$x_0 + (-3+4m)x_1 + 2x_2 = 4b_1$$

...

$$(9) \quad ix_{i-1} + (-2i-1+4m)x_i + (i+1)x_{i+1} = 4b_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

whose solution gives the coefficients of the Laguerre series of the inverse of the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$.

Summing (9) till $i = n$ we obtain the recurrence relation

$$x_1 = 4b_0 - (4m-1)x_0,$$

$$(n+1)x_{n+1} - (n+1)x_n + 4m \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 4 \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \leq 0,$$

which gives the solution $\tilde{u}$.

If $m = 0$ then one can easily obtain, in view of $x_1 = x_0 + 4b_0$,

$$x_{n+1} = x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \sum_{j=i}^n 4/(j+1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and the inverse for $\tilde{u}$ is

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i x_i + (-1)^n x_n \right) l_n(x), \quad (\text{see [6]}).$$

Finally, since $\delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} l_n$ the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) = x_0 \delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} b_i \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} 4/(k+1) \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} 4/(k+1) \right) l_n. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments.

References

- [1] N. H. BINGHAM, C. M. GOLDIE and J. L. TENGELS, Regular variation, *Cambridge University Press*, 1988.
- [2] A. DURAN, Laguerre Expansions of Tempered Distributions and Generalized Functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **150** (1990), 166–180.
- [3] A. ERDELYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER and F. G. TRICOMI, Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. 2, *Mc Graw-Hill, New York*, 1953.
- [4] S. PILIPOVIĆ, On the Laguerre Expansions of Generalized Functions, *Com. Rend. Math.* **V.**, 1 (1989), 23–27.
- [5] S. PILIPOVIĆ, B. STANKOVIĆ, and A. TAKAČI, Asymptotic Behaviour and Stieltjes Transformation of Distributions, *Teubner – Texte zur Mathematik – Band 16* (1990), *Leipzig*.
- [6] S. PILIPOVIĆ and M. STOJANOVIĆ, Generalized B -transform in the spaces LG'_0 (resp. LG'_e), *Rend. Cir. Matem. Palermo*, (to appear).
- [7] S. PILIPOVIĆ and M. STOJANOVIĆ, Solving Convolution Equations in S'_+ by Numerical Method, *Publ. Math. Debr.* **40** (1982), 17–33.
- [8] V. S. VLADIMIROV, Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, *Nauka, Moscow*, 1976.
- [9] V. S. VLADIMIROV, YU. N. DROŽŽINOV and B. I. ZAVIALOV, Tauberian Theorems for Generalized Functions, *Nauka, Moscow*, 1986, (in Russian).
- [10] B. I. ZAVIALOV, Automodel Asymptotics of the Electromagnetic Form Factors and the Behaviour of their Fourier Transform in the Neighbourhood of the Light Cone, *TMF* **17** N^0 2 (1973), 178–188, (in Russian).
- [11] A. ZAYED, Laguerre Series as Bounded Values, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1992), 263–279.

STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD
TRG D.OBRADOVIĆA 4, 21 000 NOVI SAD,
YUGOSLAVIA

MIRJANA STOJANOVIĆ
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD
TRG D.OBRADOVIĆA 4, 21 000 NOVI SAD,
YUGOSLAVIA

(Received October 30, 1992; revised April 27, 1993)