

On certain arithmetic properties of Stern polynomials

By MACIEJ ULAS (Kraków)

Abstract. We prove several theorems concerning arithmetic properties of Stern polynomials defined in the following way: $B_0(t) = 0$, $B_1(t) = 1$, $B_{2n}(t) = tB_n(t)$, and $B_{2n+1}(t) = B_n(t) + B_{n+1}(t)$. We study also the sequence $e(n) = \deg_t B_n(t)$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which is of independent interests.

1. Introduction

The *Stern sequence* (or *Stern's diatomic sequence*) $s(n)$ was introduced in [11] and is defined recursively in the following way

$$s(0) = 0, \quad s(1) = 1, \quad s(n) = \begin{cases} s\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ s\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) + s\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence appears in different mathematical contexts. For example in [5] a pure graph theoretical problem is considered related to the metric properties of the so-called Tower of Hanoi graph. In the cited paper it is shown that the Stern sequence appears in the counting function of certain paths in this graph.

In the paper [10] $s(n)$ appears as the number of partitions of a natural number $n - 1$ in the form $n - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i 2^i$, where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. These are called hiperbinary representations. The connections of the Stern sequence with continued fractions and the Euclidean algorithm are considered in [6] and [7]. An interesting application of the Stern sequence to the problem of construction a

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 11B83.

Key words and phrases: Stern diatomic sequence, Stern polynomials.

bijection between $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $\mathbb{Q}_+$ is given in [1]. In this paper it is shown that the sequence $s(n)/s(n+1)$, for $n \geq 1$, encounters every positive rational number exactly once.

A comprehensive survey of properties of the Stern sequence can be found in [12]. An interesting survey of known results and applications of the Stern sequence can also be found in [8].

Recently two distinct polynomial analogues of the Stern sequence appeared. The sequence of polynomials $a(n; x)$ for $n \geq 0$, defined by $a(0; x) = 0$, $a(1; x) = 1$, and for $n \geq 2$:

$$a(2n; x) = a(n; x^2), \quad a(2n+1; x) = xa(n; x^2) + a(n+1; x^2),$$

was considered in [2]. It is easy to see that $a(n; 1) = s(n)$. Remarkably, as was proved in the cited paper, $xa(2n-1; x) \equiv A_{n+1}(x) \pmod{2}$, where $A_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j)x^j$ and $S(n, j)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Further properties of this sequence and its connection with continued fractions can be found in [3].

Let us consider the sequence of Stern polynomials $B_n(t)$, $n \geq 0$, defined recursively in the following way:

$$B_0(t) = 0, \quad B_1(t) = 1, \quad B_n(t) = \begin{cases} tB_{\frac{n}{2}}(t) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ B_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(t) + B_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(t) & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence of polynomials was introduced in [4] and is the sequence which we investigate in this paper. In [4] it is shown that the sequence of Stern polynomials has an interesting connections with some combinatorial objects.

The aim of this paper is to give some arithmetic properties which can be deduced from the definition of the sequence of Stern polynomials.

In Section 2 we gather basic properties of the sequence of Stern polynomials. In particular in the Theorem 2.3 we prove a symmetric property of $B_n(t)$ (i. e., generalization of the property $s(i) = s(2^n - i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$). Among other things we also prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ the polynomials $B_n(t), B_{n+1}(t)$ are coprime (Corollary 2.6).

In Section 3 we consider the generating function of the sequence of Stern polynomials (Theorem 3.1). With its use we give various identities between Stern polynomials and show that the sequence of the degrees of Stern polynomials are connected with the sequence $\nu(n)$ which counts the occurrence of 1's in the binary representation of the number n (Corollary 3.8).

In Section 4 we investigate the properties of the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where $e(n) = \deg_t B_n(t)$, which is interesting in its own. In particular we compute the

exact number of Stern polynomials with degree equal to n . In order to prove desired result we use the generating function of the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (Theorem 4.1). We also investigate the extremal properties of the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (Theorem 4.3).

In Section 5 we investigate special values of the polynomial $B_n(t)$ and give some applications to the diophantine equations of the form $B_{n+a}(t) - B_n(t) = c$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. Section 6 is devoted to open problems and conjectures which appear during our investigations and which we were unable to prove.

2. Basic properties

Lemma 2.1. *For all $a, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have the identities*

$$B_{2^a n-1}(t) = \frac{t^a - 1}{t - 1} B_n(t) + B_{n-1}(t), \quad B_{2^a n+1}(t) = \frac{t^a - 1}{t - 1} B_n(t) + B_{n+1}(t).$$

PROOF. We will proceed by induction on a in order to prove the first equality. The result is true for $a = 0$ and $a = 1$. Suppose that the statement is true for a and all $n \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^{a+1}n-1}(t) &= B_{2^a n}(t) + B_{2^a n-1}(t) = t^a B_n(t) + \frac{t^a - 1}{t - 1} B_n(t) + B_{n-1}(t) \\ &= \frac{t^{a+1} - 1}{t - 1} B_n(t) + B_{n-1}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and the first equality is proved.

Because the proof of the second equality goes in exactly the same manner we leave it to the reader. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$B_{2^n-1}(t) = \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1}, \quad B_{2^n}(t) = t^n, \quad B_{2^n+1}(t) = \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1} + t.$$

One of the main properties of the Stern sequence is the symmetry property: $s(2^k + i) = s(2^{k+1} - i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k$. It is easy to see that the sequence of Stern polynomials do not satisfy any identity of the form $B_{2^k+i}(t) - B_{2^{k+1}-i}(t) = f(t)$ where f is a polynomial which is independent of k and i . Indeed, we have $B_{2^2+1}(t) - B_{2^3-1}(t) = -t(t-1)$ and $B_{2^2+2^2-1}(t) - B_{2^3-2^2+1}(t) = t(t-1)$. However, these identities and the others examined with the use of computer lead us to conjecture the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *The sequence of Stern polynomials satisfy the following symmetry property:*

$$B_{2^{n+1}-i}(t) - B_{2^n+i}(t) = \begin{cases} t(t-1)B_{2^{n-1}-i}(t) & \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}, \\ -t(t-1)B_{i-2^{n-1}}(t) & \text{for } i = 2^{n-1} + 1, \dots, 2^n. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We start with the first equality. We proceed by induction on n and $0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$. The equality is true for $n = 1$ and $i = 0, 1$. Let us assume that it holds for n and $0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$. We prove it for $n + 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$.

If $i = 2m$ then $0 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$ and we have the sequence of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^{n+2}-i}(t) - B_{2^{n+1}+i}(t) &= B_{2^{n+2}-2m}(t) - B_{2^{n+1}+2m}(t) \\ &= t(B_{2^{n+1}-m}(t) - B_{2^n+m}(t)) \\ &= t^2(t-1)B_{2^{n-1}-m}(t) = t(t-1)B_{2^n-2m} \\ &= t(t-1)B_{2^n-i}(t). \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 2m - 1$ then $1 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$ and we have the sequence of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^{n+2}-i}(t) - B_{2^{n+1}+i}(t) &= B_{2^{n+2}-2m+1}(t) - B_{2^{n+1}+2m-1}(t) \\ &= B_{2(2^{n+1}-m)+1}(t) - B_{2(2^n+m-1)+1}(t) \\ &= B_{2^{n+1}-m}(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-m+1}(t) - B_{2^n+m-1}(t) - B_{2^n+m}(t) \\ &= t(t-1)B_{2^{n-1}-m}(t) - t(t-1)B_{2^{n-1}-(m-1)}(t) \\ &= t(t-1)B_{2(2^{n-1}-m)+1}(t) = t(t-1)B_{2^n-(2m-1)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality can be proved in an analogous manner, so we left this computation to the reader. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mu(n)$ be the highest power of 2 dividing n . Then the following identity holds*

$$t^{\mu(n)}(B_{n+1}(t) + B_{n-1}(t)) = (B_{2^{\mu(n)+1}}(t) + B_{2^{\mu(n)-1}}(t))B_n(t).$$

In particular, if n is odd, we have that

$$B_{n+1}(t) + B_{n-1}(t) = tB_n(t).$$

PROOF. First we consider the case n -odd. Then $n = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have that $\mu(n) = 0$. Now we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n+1}(t) + B_{n-1}(t) &= B_{2m+2}(t) + B_{2m}(t) \\ &= tB_{m+1}(t) + tB_m(t) = tB_{2m+1}(t) = tB_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

and our theorem follows in case of odd n .

If n is even then $n = 2^{\mu(n)}(2m+1)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and to shorten the notation let us put $\mu = \mu(n)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
t^\mu(B_{n+1}(t) + B_{n-1}(t)) &= t^\mu(B_{2^\mu(2m+1)+1}(t) + B_{2^\mu(2m+1)-1}(t)) \\
&= t^\mu \left(\frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} B_{2m+1}(t) + B_{2m+2}(t) + \frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} B_{2m+1}(t) + B_{2m}(t) \right) \\
&= t^\mu \left(2 \frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} B_{2m+1}(t) + t(B_{m+1}(t) + B_m(t)) \right) \\
&= t^\mu \left(2 \frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} B_{2m+1}(t) + t B_{2m+1}(t) \right) = \left(2 \frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} + t \right) t^\mu B_{2m+1}(t) \\
&= \left(2 \frac{t^\mu - 1}{t - 1} + t \right) B_{2^\mu(2m+1)} = (B_{2^{\mu(n)+1}}(t) + B_{2^{\mu(n)-1}}(t)) B_n(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

The next interesting property of the Stern polynomials is contained in the following.

Theorem 2.5. *For $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 2$ we have*

$$B_{k+1}(t)B_{2^n-k}(t) - B_k(t)B_{2^n-k-1}(t) = t^n.$$

PROOF. We proceed by induction on n and $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 2$. The identity is true for $n = 1$, $k = 0$ and $n = 2$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. Let us suppose that our identity holds for given n and $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 2$. We will prove that the identity holds for $n + 1$.

If $0 \leq k \leq 2^{n+1} - 2$ and k is even then we have $k = 2i$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$. If $i = 2^n - 1$ then it is easy to show that our identity holds, so we can assume that $i \leq 2^n - 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{k+1}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-k}(t) - B_k(t)B_{2^{n+1}-k-1}(t) \\
&= B_{2i+1}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-2i}(t) - B_{2i}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-2i-1}(t) \\
&= tB_i(t)B_{2^n-i}(t) + tB_{i+1}(t)B_{2^n-i}(t) - tB_i(t)B_{2^n-i-1}(t) - tB_i(t)B_{2^n-i}(t) \\
&= t(B_{i+1}(t)B_{2^n-i}(t) - B_i(t)B_{2^n-i-1}(t)) = t^{n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis.

If $0 \leq k \leq 2^{n+1} - 2$ and k is odd then we have $k = 2i + 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$. We have

$$B_{k+1}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-k}(t) - B_k(t)B_{2^{n+1}-k-1}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B_{2i+2}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-2i-1}(t) - B_{2i+1}(t)B_{2^{n+1}-2i-2}(t) \\
&= tB_{i+1}(t)(B_{2^n-i-1}(t) + B_{2^n-i}(t)) - tB_{2^n-i-1}(t)(B_i(t) + B_{i+1}(t)) \\
&= t(B_{i+1}(t)B_{2^n-i}(t) - B_i(t)B_{2^n-i-1}(t)) = t^{n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 we get the following result.

Corollary 2.6. (1) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\gcd(B_n(t), B_{n+1}(t)) = 1$.

(2) If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are odd and $a + b = 2^n$ for some n then $\gcd(B_a(t), B_b(t)) = 1$.

PROOF. (1) From Theorem 2.5 we deduce that if a polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ divides $\gcd(B_n(t), B_{n+1}(t))$ for some n then $h(t) = t^m$ for some $0 \leq m \leq n$. If $m \geq 1$ we get that $B_n(0) = B_{n+1}(0) = 0$, but from the Theorem 5.1 (which will be proved later) we know that for odd k we have $B_k(0) = 1$. This implies that $m = 0$ and the result follows.

(2) If $a + b = 2^n$ then $b = 2^n - a$ and using similar reasoning as in the previous case we deduce that if $h(t) | \gcd(B_a(t), B_{2^n-a}(t))$ then $h(t) = t^m$ for some m . But a is odd, thus $B_a(0) = 1$ and we get that $m = 0$ and $h(t) = 1$. $\square$

Now we give some extremal properties of the sequence of Stern polynomials. More precisely, for given positive real number a we ask what is the maximum (minimum) of $B_i(a)$ for $i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. (1) Let a be a real number satisfying $a > 2$. Then we have

$$M_n(a) = \max\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\} = a^n = B_{2^n}(a).$$

(2) Let $a \in (0, 2)$. Then we have

$$m_n(a) = \min\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\} = \begin{cases} a^n & \text{for } a \in (0, 1], \\ a^{n-1} & \text{for } a \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. (1) In order to prove the identity for $M_n(a)$ we proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$ we have $M_1(a) = \max\{1, a\} = a$. Similarly for $n = 2$ we have $M_2(a) = \max\{a, a + 1, a^2\} = a^2$. Thus our theorem is true for $n = 1, 2$. Let us suppose that $M_n(a) = a^n$. We will show that $M_{n+1}(a) = aM_n(a)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{n+1}(a) &= \max\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}]\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{B_{2i}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\}, \max\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\}\} \\
&= \max\{a \max\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\}, \max\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now from the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\} \\ &= \max\{B_{i+1}(a) + B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\} < 2M_n(a). \end{aligned}$$

Because $a > 2$ we get that $M_{n+1}(a) = aM_n(a)$ and our theorem follows.

(2) In order to prove the second identity we consider only the case of $a \in (1, 2]$ because the case of $a \in (0, 1]$ is completely analogous. We proceed by induction on n . We take $a \in (1, 2]$ and note that for $n = 1$ we have $m_1(a) = \min\{1, a\} = 1$. Similarly, for $n = 2$ we have $m_2(a) = \min\{a, a + 1, a^2\} = a$. Thus our theorem is true for $n = 1, 2$. Let us suppose that $m_n(a) = a^{n-1}$ for a given n . We will show that $m_{n+1}(a) = am_n(a)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n+1}(a) &= \min\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}]\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{B_{2i}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\}, \min\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\}\} \\ &= \max\{a \min\{B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\}, \min\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now from the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{B_{2i+1}(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\} \\ &= \min\{B_{i+1}(a) + B_i(a) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]\} > 2m_n(a). \end{aligned}$$

Because $a \in (1, 2]$ we get that $m_{n+1}(a) = am_n(a)$ and our theorem follows. $\square$

In corollary below and in the sequel by $\lg x$ we mean $\log_2 x$.

Corollary 2.8. *For $a \in (0, 1]$ we have that $B_n(a) \geq a^{\lg n} = n^{\lg a}$. For $a \in (1, 2]$ we have $B_n(a) \geq \frac{1}{a}n^{\lg a}$. Finally, for $a > 2$ we have an inequality $B_n(a) \leq n^{\lg a}$.*

3. Generating function and its consequences

In this section we give a closed formula for the ordinary generating function of the sequence of Stern polynomials and then use its properties in order to obtain several interesting identities satisfied by Stern polynomials. So let us define

$$B(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t)x^n.$$

Now using the recurrence relations satisfied by the polynomials $B_n(t)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} B(t, x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n}(t)x^{2n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1}(t)x^{2n+1} = t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t)x^{2n} \\ &+ x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t)x^{2n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n+1}(t)x^{2n+1} = \left(t + x + \frac{1}{x}\right) B(t, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

From the above computation we get that the function $B(t, x)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$(1 + tx + x^2)B(t, x^2) = xB(t, x). \quad (1)$$

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The sequence of Stern polynomials has the generating function*

$$B(t, x) = x \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + tx^{2^n} + x^{2^{n+1}}). \quad (2)$$

One can easily check that the function defined by the right hand side of (2) satisfies the equation (1). However it is not clear that this is the only solution of (1). In order to prove this we will need some result concerning the polynomials defined by product

$$F_n(t, x) = x \prod_{i=0}^n (1 + tx^{2^i} + x^{2^{i+1}}).$$

Our approach is similar to the one used in the paper [2] where another generalization of the Stern diatomic sequence is considered. We prove the following expansion.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$F_n(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_i(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i}(t)x^{2^{n+1}})x^i. \quad (3)$$

PROOF. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$ we have by definition,

$$F_0(t, x) = x + tx^2 + x^3 = (B_1(t) + B_1(t)x^2)x + tx^2.$$

Now suppose that (3) holds for n , i.e., we have

$$F_n(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_i(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i}(t)x^{2^{n+1}})x^i =: f_n(t, x). \quad (4)$$

Multiplying both sides of this identity by $1 + tx^{2^{n+1}} + x^{2^{n+2}}$ we see that in order to get the statement it is enough to show that $f_{n+1}(t, x) = F_{n+1}(t, x)$. We find that:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1}(t, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+2}} B_i(t) x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+2}} B_{2^{n+2}-i}(t) x^{2^{n+2}+i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} B_{2i}(t) x^{2i} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} B_{2i-1}(t) x^{2i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} B_{2^{n+2}-2i}(t) x^{2^{n+2}+2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} B_{2^{n+2}-2i+1}(t) x^{2^{n+2}+2i-1} \\
&= t \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_i(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_i(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2i-1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_{i-1}(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i+1}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us note that the first term on the left hand side of the last equality is equal to $tF_n(t, x^2)$, the second is equal to $F_n(t, x^2)$. Finally, substituting $i = j + 1$ in the third term we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_{i-1}(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-i+1}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2i-1} = B_0(t) + B_{2^{n+1}}(t) x^{2^{n+2}+1} + \\
&- (B_{2^{n+1}}(t) + B_0(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2^{n+2}+1} + x \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_j(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-j}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2j} \\
&= x \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}} (B_j(t) + B_{2^{n+1}-j}(t) x^{2^{n+2}}) x^{2j} = xF_n(t, x^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Our reasoning shows that

$$f_{n+1}(t, x) = \frac{1}{x} (1 + tx + x^2) F_n(t, x^2) = F_{n+1}(t, x),$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

Our first application of the above theorem will be a proof of Theorem 3.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. First of all let us note that the generating series for the sequence of Stern polynomials is convergent for any fixed $|x| < 1$. This is an easy consequence of the inequality $|B_n(t)| \leq n$ for $|t| \leq 2$ which follows from the inequality $|B_n(t)| \leq B_n(2) = n$ and $|B_n(t)| \leq n^{\lg |t|}$ which holds for $|t| > 2$

and follows from the Corollary 2.8. On the other hand we know from the theory of infinite products that the product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + tx^{2^n} + x^{2^{n+1}})$ is convergent for a given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any x satisfying inequality $|x| < 1$. Now in order to prove the identity (2), in view of (3), it is enough to show that the sum

$$R_N(t, x) := \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N+1}} B_{2^{N+1}-i}(t) x^{2^{N+1}+i},$$

converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for $|x| < 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Using now the estimate $|B_{2^{N+1}-i}(t)| \leq (2^{N+1})^{\lg |t|}$, we get

$$|R_N(t, x)| < |x|^{2^{N+1}} 2^{(N+1) \lg |t|} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N+1}} |x|^i < |x|^{2^{N+1}} 2^{(N+1) \lg |t|} \frac{|x|}{1 - |x|}.$$

It is clear that under our assumption concerning x the left hand side of the above inequality tends to 0 with $N \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

One of the many interesting properties of the Stern diatomic sequence $s(n) = B_n(1)$ is the existence of a closed formula for the sum of all elements from the first k rows of the diatomic array. More precisely we have: $\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} s(n) = \frac{3^k + 1}{2}$. It is a natural question if a similar result can be obtained for the sequence of Stern polynomials. As we will see such a generalization can be obtained with the help of the expansion (3). More precisely we have the following.

Corollary 3.3. *For any $k \geq 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} B_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}((t+2)^k + t^k).$$

PROOF. In order to prove this we set $x = 1$ and $n = k - 1$ in the expansion (3) and get

$$(t+2)^k = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k} (B_i(t) + B_{2^k-i}(t)) - B_{2^k}(t) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} B_i(t)(t) - t^k,$$

and the result follows. $\square$

A simple application of Corollary 3.3 leads to the following.

Corollary 3.4. *For any $k \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} (-1)^i B_i(t) = \frac{t-2}{2}((t+2)^{k-1} + t^{k-1}) + t^{k-1}.$$

The following theorem summarizes some elementary manipulations of the generating function for the sequence of Stern polynomials.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $B(t, x)$ be a generating function for the sequence for Stern polynomials. Then we have:*

$$(1 + tx + x^2)B(t, x^2) = xB(t, x), \quad (5)$$

$$B(-t, x)B(t, x) = B(2 - t^2, x^2), \quad (6)$$

$$B\left(-t^2 - \frac{1}{t^2}, x^2\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{t^2}x\right)(1 - t^2x)} B\left(-t^2 - \frac{1}{t^2}, x\right). \quad (7)$$

PROOF. The first three displayed identities are easy consequences of the manipulation of the generating function for Stern polynomials. The fourth identity follows from the first identity and the fact that

$$B\left(t + \frac{1}{t}, x\right) B\left(-t - \frac{1}{t}, x\right) = B\left(-t^2 - \frac{1}{t^2}, x^2\right). \quad \square$$

We use Theorem 3.5 to get several interesting identities related to the sequence of Stern polynomials. However, before we do that we recall an useful power series expansion. We have

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2tx + x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(t)x^n,$$

where $U_n(t)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

Now, we are ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *The following identities holds:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n B_i(t) U_{n-i}\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2 \mid n \\ B_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(t), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n B_i(t) B_{n-i}(-t) = \begin{cases} B_{\frac{n}{2}}(2 - t^2), & \text{if } 2 \mid n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{t^2}{t^4 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^n \left(t^{2i} - \frac{1}{t^{2i}}\right) B_{n-i}\left(-t^2 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right) = \begin{cases} B_{\frac{n}{2}}\left(-t^2 - \frac{1}{t^2}\right), & \text{if } 2 \mid n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

PROOF. Before we prove our theorem let us recall that if $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ then $A(x)B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ where $c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i}$.

Now it is easy to see that the identity (8) follows from the identity (5) given in Theorem 3.5. Similarly from the identity (6) we get (9). Finally, in order to get the last identity we note that

$$\frac{1}{(1-t^2x)(1-\frac{1}{t^2}x)} = \frac{t^4}{t^4-1} \left(\frac{t^4}{1-t^2x} - \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{t^2}x} \right) = \frac{t^2}{t^4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(t^{2n} - \frac{1}{t^{2n}} \right) x^n$$

and use the identity (7). $\square$

Another interesting property of the sequence of Stern polynomials is contained in the following.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\nu(n)$ denote the number of 1's in the unique binary representation of n . Then we have the identity*

$$B_{n+1} \left(t + \frac{1}{t} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n t^{\nu(n-i)-\nu(i)}.$$

PROOF. In order to prove the identity let us recall that if $\nu(n)$ denotes number of 1's in the unique binary representation of n then we have an identity [10]

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + tx^{2^n}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\nu(n)} x^n.$$

Now let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} B \left(t + \frac{1}{t}, x \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n+1} \left(t + \frac{1}{t} \right) x^{n+1} = x \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + tx^{2^n}) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t} x^{2^n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n t^{\nu(n-i)-\nu(i)} \right) x^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the desired identity. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\nu(n)$ denote the number of 1's in the unique binary representation of n and let $e(n) = \deg B_n(t)$. Then we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} e(n+1) &= \max\{\nu(n-i) - \nu(i) : i = 0, \dots, n\}, \\ -e(n+1) &= \min\{\nu(n-i) - \nu(i) : i = 0, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Properties of the sequence $e(n) = \deg_t B_n(t)$

Let $e(n) = \deg_t B_n(t)$. From the definition of the $B_n(t)$ it is easy to see that the sequence $e(n)$ satisfies the following relations:

$$e(1) = 0, \quad e(2n) = e(n) + 1, \quad e(2n+1) = \max\{e(n), e(n+1)\}.$$

The sequence thus starts as 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 4, 3, 3, 2, 3, 2, $\dots$. In [4, Corollary 13] it was shown that the sequence $e(n)$ can be defined alternatively as follows:

$$e(1) = 0, \quad e(2n) = e(n) + 1, \quad e(4n+1) = e(n) + 1, \quad e(4n+3) = e(n+1) + 1.$$

This is a useful definition and we will use it many times in the following sections.

We start with the problem of counting the number of Stern polynomials with degree equal to n .

Theorem 4.1. *We have an identity*

$$E(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(n)} = \frac{1}{1-3x}.$$

PROOF. It is clear that $E(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n x^n$, where $C_n = |\{i \in \mathbb{N}_+ : e(i) = n\}|$. In order to prove our theorem we note that

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(2n)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(4n-1)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(4n-3)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(n)+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{e(n)+1} + 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^{e(n-1)+1} \\ &= xE(x) + xE(x) + 1 + xE(x) = 3xE(x) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above (linear) equation for E we get the expression displayed in the statement of theorem. $\square$

From the above theorem we deduce the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $C_n = |\{i \in \mathbb{N}_+ : e(i) = n\}|$. Then $C_n = 3^n$.*

Further properties of the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ are contained in the following.

Theorem 4.3. *We have the following equalities:*

$$m(n) := \min\{e(i) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\} = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (11)$$

$$M(n) := \max\{e(i) : i \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]\} = n, \quad (12)$$

$$\text{mdeg}(n) := \min\{i : e(i) = n\} = 2^n, \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Mdeg}(n) := \max\{i : e(i) = n\} = \frac{4^{n+1} - 1}{3}. \quad (14)$$

PROOF. In order to prove (11) first we show that $m(n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. It is clear that it is enough to show that $e(2^{n-1} + i) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. We proceed by induction on n and $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. This inequality is true for $n = 2$ and $i = 0, 1, 2$. Let us suppose that it is true for n and $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. We show that it is true for $n + 1$ and $i \in [0, 2^n]$. If i is even then $i = 2j$ for some $j \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$ and we have

$$e(2^n + i) = e(2^n + 2j) = e(2^{n-1} + j) + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

If i is odd then $i = 4j + 1$ or $i = 4j + 3$. In the case of $i = 4j + 1$ we have $j \in [0, 2^{n-2} - 1]$ and

$$e(2^n + i) = e(2^n + 4j + 1) = e(2^{n-2} + j) + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

In the case of $i = 4j + 3$ we have $j \in [0, 2^{n-2} - 3]$ and

$$e(2^n + i) = e(2^n + 4j + 3) = e(2^{n-2} + j + 1) + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This finishes proof of the inequality $m(n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. In order to show that for each $n \geq 2$ this inequality is strict it is enough to give an integer a_n such that $a_n \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ and $e(a_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Let us define

$$a_{2k} = \frac{1}{3}(2^{2k+1} + 1), \quad a_{2k+1} = 2^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{3}(2^{2k+1} + 1).$$

First of all let us note that

$$2^{2k-1} < a_{2k} < 2^{2k}, \quad 2^{2k} < a_{2k+1} < 2^{2k+1}$$

for each $k \geq 1$. It is easy to check that

$$a_{2(k+1)} = 4a_{2k} - 1, \quad a_{2(k+1)+1} = 4a_{2k+1} - 1. \quad (15)$$

In order to finish the proof we check that $e(a_{2k}) = e(a_{2k+1}) = k$. We proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$ we have $a_2 = 3, a_3 = 5$ and clearly $e(3) = e(5) = 1$. Let us suppose that for k we have $e(a_{2k}) = e(a_{2k+1}) = k$. We will prove the equality $e(a_{2(k+1)}) = e(a_{2(k+1)+1}) = k + 1$. Using now the first relation from (15) we get

$$e(a_{2(k+1)}) = e(4(a_{2k} - 1) + 3) = e(2(a_{2k} - 1) + 2) = e(a_{2k}) + 1 = k + 1.$$

Using now the second relation from (15) we get

$$e(a_{2(k+1)+1}) = e(4(a_{2k+1} - 1) + 3) = e(2(a_{2k+1} - 1) + 2) = e(a_{2k+1}) + 1 = k + 1$$

and the result follows.

Similarly as in the case of computation of $m(n)$ we see that in order to prove the formula for $M(n)$ it is enough to show that there exists an integer $k \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ such that $e(k) = n$ and that $e(2^{n-1} + i) \leq n$ for $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. We have that $e(2^n) = n$, so we are left with showing the inequality $e(2^{n-1} + i) \leq n$ for $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. We proceed by induction on n and $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. This inequality is true for $n = 1$ and $i = 0, 1$. Let us suppose that it is true for n and $i \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$. We show that it is true for $n + 1$ and $i \in [0, 2^n]$. If i is even then $i = 2m$ for some $m \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$ and we have

$$e(2^{n+1} + i) = e(2^{n+1} + 2m) = e(2^n + m) + 1 \leq n + 1.$$

If i is odd then $i = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in [0, 2^{n-1}]$ (note that $e(2^n + 1) = n$ which is a consequence of Corollary 2.2). Now we have

$$e(2^{n+1} + i) = e(2^{n+1} + 2m + 1) = \max\{e(2^n + m), e(2^n + m + 1)\} \leq n + 1,$$

and the equality $M(n) = n$ follows.

In order to prove (13) we show that $e(2^n) = n$ and $e(2^n - i) < n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. The equality follows from the identity $B_{2^n}(t) = t^n$. In order to prove the inequality $e(2^n - i) < n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ we will proceed by double induction with respect to n and $1 \leq i < 2^n$. The inequality holds for $n = 1, 2$ and let us assume that our theorem holds for n and $1 \leq i < 2^n$. We consider two cases: i is even and i is odd. If i is even then $i = 2k$ and we get

$$e(2^{n+1} - i) = e(2^{n+1} - 2k) = e(2^n - k) + 1 \leq n + 1.$$

The last inequality follows from the induction hypothesis. For i odd we have $i = 2k - 1$ and then

$$e(2^{n+1} - i) = e(2^{n+1} - 2k + 1) = \max\{e(2^n - k), e(2^n - k + 1)\} \leq n < n + 1.$$

Thus we have that $e(2^{n+1} - i) \leq n + 1$ and the result follows.

Finally, in order to prove (14) we define $u_n = \frac{4^{n+1}-1}{3}$. In order to show that $\text{Mdeg}(n) = u_n$ it is enough to show that $e(u_n) = n$ and $e(u_n + i) > n$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

First of all we note that $u_0 = 1$ and $u_{n+1} = 4u_n + 1$ for $n \geq 1$. We prove that $e(u_n) = n$ and $e(u_n + 1) = n + 1$. In order to do this we use induction on n . These equalities are true for $n = 0, 1$, and let us assume that are true for n . Then we have

$$e(u_{n+1}) = e(4u_n + 1) = e(u_n) + 1 = n + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e(u_{n+1} + 1) &= e(4u_n + 2) = e(2u_n + 1) + 1 = \max\{e(u_n), e(u_n + 1)\} + 1 \\ &= \max\{n, n + 1\} + 1 = n + 2 \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Now we prove that $e(u_n + i) > n$ for given n and $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. This inequality is true for $n = 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. If $i = 4k$ then we have

$$e(u_{n+1} + i) = e(4u_n + 4k + 1) = e(u_n + k) + 1 > n + 1.$$

If $i = 4k + 1$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(u_{n+1} + i) &= e(4u_n + 4k + 2) = e(2u_n + 2k + 1) + 1 = \\ &= \max\{e(u_n + k), e(u_n + k + 1)\} + 1 > \max\{n, n\} + 1 = n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 4k + 2$ we get

$$e(u_{n+1} + i) = e(4u_n + 4k + 3) = e(u_n + k + 1) + 1 > n + 1,$$

and finally for $i = 4k + 3$ we get

$$e(u_{n+1} + i) = e(4u_n + 4k + 4) = e(2u_n + 2k + 2) + 1 = e(u_n + k + 1) + 2 > n + 2$$

and our theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. We have $\lfloor \frac{\lg n}{2} \rfloor \leq e(n) \leq \lg n$.

PROOF. This is a simple consequence of the identities obtained in Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Another interesting property of the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is contained in the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e(n)}{e(n+k)} = 1.$$

PROOF. In order to prove the demanded equality let us note that from the definition of the sequence $e(n)$ we easily deduce that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $|e(n+1) - e(n)| \leq 1$. Thus, for given positive k we have by triangle inequality

$$|e(n) - e(n+k)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |e(n+i-1) - e(n+i)| \leq k.$$

Form this inequality we get that

$$\left| \frac{e(n)}{e(n+k)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{k}{e(n+k)}.$$

Because the fraction $k/e(n+k)$ tends to zero with $n \rightarrow \infty$ the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. (1) *The set $\mathcal{E} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : e(n) = e(n+1)\}$ is infinite and has the following property: If $m \in \mathcal{E}$ then $n = 4m+1$ satisfy $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2)$. On the other hand side: if $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2)$ for some n then there exists an integer m such that $n = 4m+1$ and $m \in \mathcal{E}$.*

(2) *There doesn't exist an integer n such that*

$$e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2) = e(n+3)$$

PROOF. The fact that the set $\mathcal{E}$ is infinite is easy. For example if $n = 2^k - 2$ then from Corollary 2.2 we get that $e(n) = e(2^{k-1} - 1) + 1 = k - 1$ and $e(n+1) = e(2^k - 1) = k - 1$. But it should be noted that the set $\mathcal{E}$ contains infinite arithmetic progressions. More precisely we have

$$e(8m+1) = e(8m+2) \quad \text{and} \quad e(8m+6) = e(8m+7).$$

This property is a consequence of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} e(8m+1) &= e(2m) + 1 = e(m) + 2, \\ e(8m+2) &= e(4m+1) + 1 = e(m) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e(8m+6) &= e(4m+3) + 1 = e(m+1) + 2, \\ e(8m+6) &= e(4(2m+1)+3) + 1 = e(2m+2) + 1 = e(m+1) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $m \in \mathcal{E}$ then $e(m) = e(m+1)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(4m+1) &= e(m) + 1, \\ e(4m+2) &= e(2m+1) + 1 = \max\{e(m), e(m+1)\} + 1 = e(m) + 1, \\ e(4m+3) &= e(m+1) + 1 = e(m) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and we are done.

Suppose now that $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2)$. We show that $n = 4m+1$. If $n = 4m$ then $e(n) = e(4m) = e(m) + 2$ and $e(n+1) = e(4m+1) = e(m) + 1$ and we get a contradiction. If $n = 4m+2$ then $e(n+1) = e(4m+3) = e(m+1) + 1$ and $e(n+2) = e(4m+4) = e(m+1) + 2$ and we get a contradiction. Finally, if $n = 4m+3$ then $e(n) = e(4m+3) = e(m+1) + 1$ and $e(n+1) = e(4m+4) = e(m+1) + 2$ and once again we get a contradiction.

We have shown that if $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2)$ then $n = 4m+1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If now $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2)$ for $n = 4m+1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} e(n) &= e(4m+1) = e(m) + 1, \\ e(n+1) &= e(4m+2) = e(2m+1) + 1 = \max\{e(m), e(m+1)\} + 1, \\ e(n+2) &= e(4m+3) = e(m+1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get that $e(m) = \max\{e(m), e(m+1)\} = e(m+1)$ and the first part of our theorem is proved.

In order to prove (2) we consider two cases: n even and n odd. The case n even is immediately ruled out by the result from (1). If $n = 2k+1$ and the equality $e(n) = e(n+1) = e(n+2) = e(n+3)$ holds then

$$\max\{e(k), e(k+1)\} = e(k+1) + 1 = \max\{e(k+1), e(k+2)\} = e(k+2) + 1.$$

From the second equality we deduce that $e(k+1) + 1 = e(k+2)$ and from the third equality we get $e(k+1) = e(k+2) + 1$ and we arrive at a contradiction. $\square$

In Corollary 3.3 we obtain a closed form of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} B_i(t)$. We compute an analogous sum for the sequence $\{e(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Corollary 4.7. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} e(i) = \frac{1}{36}((6n-7)2^{n+2} + 18n + 27 + (-1)^n). \quad (16)$$

PROOF. Let us define $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} e(i)$ and let $T(n)$ denote the right hand side of the identity (16). In order to get a closed form of the sum $S(n)$ we proceed by induction on n . Note that (16) holds for $n = 1, 2$. Let us assume that (16) holds for n and $n+1$. We prove that the identity holds for $n+2$. In order to do this we compute

$$\begin{aligned} S(n+2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} e(2i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} e(4i+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} e(4i+3) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} (e(i) + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} (e(i) + 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (e(i+1) + 1) \\ &= S(n+1) + 2^{n+1} + S(n) + 2^n - 1 + S(n) - e(2^n) + 2^n \\ &= S(n+1) + 2S(n) + 2^{n+2} - n - 1 = T(n+1) + 2T(n) + 2^{n+2} - n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis. A simple calculation shows that $T(n+2) = T(n+1) + 2T(n) + 2^{n+2} - n - 1$ and the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (-1)^i e(i) = \frac{1}{12}(2^{n+2} + 6n - 3 + (-1)^{n+1}).$$

5. Special values of $B_n(t)$ and some of their consequences

In this section we compute some special values of the polynomials $B_n(t)$. Next, we use the computed values in order to solve some polynomial diophantine equations involving Stern polynomials.

We start with the following.

Theorem 5.1. *We have the following:*

(1) *If $i \in \{0, 1\}$ then:*

$$B_n(t) \equiv i \pmod{t} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv i \pmod{2}.$$

(2) If $i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ then:

$$B_n(t) \equiv i \pmod{t+1} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv i \pmod{3}.$$

(3) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following congruences:

$$B_n(t) \equiv s(n) \pmod{t-1},$$

$$B_n(t) \equiv n \pmod{t-2}.$$

PROOF. Each case in our theorem can be proved with the help of mathematical induction. However, we use a different approach. Let us note that for any integer a we have the congruence $B_n(t) \equiv B_n(a) \pmod{t-a}$. So, we see that in order to prove our theorem it is enough to know the value of the polynomial $B_n(t)$ at $t = 0, -1, 1, 2$. We will compute these values with the help of the generating function of the sequence $\{B_n(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

We start with the evaluation of $B_n(t)$ at $t = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} B(0, x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(0)x^n = x \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2^{i+1}}) \\ &= \frac{x}{1+x} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2^i}) = \frac{x}{1-x^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{2^{i+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the well known formula $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2^i}) = \frac{1}{1-x}$. Our computation shows that $B_n(0) = 0$ for n even and $B_n(0) = 1$ for n odd.

Now we compute the value of $B_n(-1)$. Similarly as in the previous case we use the generating function of the sequence $\{B_n(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Before we do that let us note that

$$1 - x^{2^i} + x^{2^{i+1}} = \frac{1 + x^{3 \cdot 2^i}}{1 + x^{2^i}} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This identity implies that

$$\begin{aligned} B(-1, x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(-1)x^n = x \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2^i} + x^{2^{i+1}}) \\ &= x \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + x^{3 \cdot 2^i}}{1 + x^{2^i}} \right) = x \frac{\frac{1}{1-x^3}}{\frac{1}{1-x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{3^{i+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^{3^i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing now these two expansions of $B(-1, x)$ we get that $B_n(-1) = 0$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $B_n(-1) = 1$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $B_n(-1) = -1$ for $n \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

The first congruence given in (3) is obvious due to the fact that $B_n(1) = s(n)$. The second comes from the identity

$$B(2, x) = x \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + 2x^{2^n} + x^{2^{n+1}}) = x \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2^n})^2 = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n.$$

Our theorem is proved. $\square$

We use the above theorem to prove the following.

Theorem 5.2. *If $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n = 2^m - 2$ and $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = 1$.*

PROOF. First of all we observe that if $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)$ is a constant then n is even. Indeed, let us suppose that $n = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $n \equiv 1, 3$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. Now we use the characterization of values $B_n(0)$ and $B_n(-1)$ given in Theorem 5.1.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ then we have

$$B_{n+1}(-1) - B_n(-1) = -1 - 1 = -2,$$

$$B_{n+1}(0) - B_n(0) = 0 - 1 = -1,$$

and we get a contradiction with the condition $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 0$. If now $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ then we have

$$B_{n+1}(-1) - B_n(-1) = 1 - 0 = 1,$$

$$B_{n+1}(0) - B_n(0) = 0 - 1 = -1,$$

and again we get a contradiction. Finally, if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ then we have

$$B_{n+1}(-1) - B_n(-1) = 0 - (-1) = 1,$$

$$B_{n+1}(0) - B_n(0) = 0 - 1 = -1,$$

and once again we get a contradiction. Our reasoning shows that if the polynomial $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)$ is constant then n is even.

Now we show that if $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 0$ then $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Suppose that $n = 4m$ for some m . Then we have $B_{4m}(t) = t^2 B_m$ and we get that $B_{4m+1}(t) - t^2 B_m(t) = c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we now differentiate this relation with respect to t we get $B'_{4m+1}(t) - t(2B_m(t) + tB'_m(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking now $t = 0$ we get that $B'_{4m+1}(0) = 0$ which is a contradiction. This follows from the

fact proved in [4, Theorem 8] that the sequence $B'_n(0)$ counts the number of 1's in the standard Grey code for $n - 1$ and thus it is nonzero for $n \geq 1$.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of our theorem. Let us suppose that n is the smallest integer not of the form $2^k - 2$ with the property $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 0$. From the preceding reasoning we know that $n = 4m + 2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists a positive integer k such that $2^k - 2 < 4m + 2 < 2^{k+1} - 2$. This implies that $2^{k-1} - 2 < 2m + 1 < 2^k - 2$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{4m+3}(t) - B_{4m+2}(t) &= B_{2m+1}(t) + B_{2m}(t) - tB_{2m+1}(t) \\ &= B_m(t) + B_{m+1}(t) + tB_m(t) - tB_m(t) - tB_{m+1}(t) \\ &= B_m(t) + (1-t)B_{m+1}(t) = B_{2m+1}(t) - B_{2m+2}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This computation shows that the polynomial $B_{2m+2}(t) - B_{2m+1}(t)$ is constant. So we see that the number $n' = 2m + 1$ has the property $\deg_t(B_{n'+1}(t) - B_{n'}(t)) = 0$ and we have $n' < n$. Moreover n' is not of the form $2^k - 2$ because n' is odd. So we get a contradiction with the assumption of minimality of $n = 4m + 2$. $\square$

Now we easily deduce the following generalization of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *If $a \geq 2$ is an integer then the equation $B_{n+a}(t) - B_n(t) = c$ has no solutions in integers n, c .*

PROOF. First of all let us note that if equation $B_{n+a}(t) - B_n(t) = c$ has a solution in n, c then $c = a$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that $B_{n+a}(2) - B_n(2) = a$. Now if a is an even integer then $n + a$ and n have the same parity and $B_{n+a}(0) - B_n(0) = 0$, a contradiction. If a is odd then for even n we have that $B_{n+a}(0) - B_n(0) = 1 < a$, which leads to contradiction. If now n is odd then $B_{n+a}(0) - B_n(0) = -1 < a$, and once again we arrive at a contradiction. We thus proved that the equation $B_{n+a}(t) - B_n(t) = c$ has not solutions in integers n, c , which finishes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *If $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n = 1$ and $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = t - 1$.*

PROOF. We consider two cases: n even and n odd.

If n is even then $B_{n+1}(0) - B_n(0) = 1 - 0 = 1$. So if $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 1$ then we have $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = at + 1$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Putting now $t = 2$ and using part (2) of Theorem 5.1 we get that $B_{n+1}(2) - B_n(2) = n + 1 - n = 1$. So we deduce that $2a + 1 = 1$ and we get $a = 0$, a contradiction.

If n is odd then $B_{n+1}(0) - B_n(0) = 0 - 1 = -1$. So if the equality $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 1$ holds we have $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = at - 1$ for some

$a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Putting now $t = 2$ and using part (2) of Theorem 5.1 we get that $B_{n+1}(2) - B_n(2) = n + 1 - n = 1$. So we deduce that $2a - 1 = 1$ and we get $a = 1$. Because n is odd we have $n = 2m + 1$ and thus

$$B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = B_{2m+2}(t) - B_{2m+1}(t) = (t - 1)B_{m+1}(t) - B_m(t) = t - 1.$$

Putting now $t = 1$ we get $B_m(1) = 0$. We know that $B_m(1) = s(m)$ is the Stern diatomic sequence and in particular $s(m) > 0$ for positive m . Thus we deduce that $m = 0$, which implies $n = 1$ and we get the equality $B_2(t) - B_1(t) = t - 1$. Our theorem is proved. $\square$

Essentially the same method as in the proof of the Theorem 5.2 can be used to characterize those integers for which $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 2$. However, because the calculations are rather lengthy we leave the task of proving the following theorem to the reader.

Theorem 5.5. *If $\deg_t(B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t)) = 2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n = 2^m + 1$ and then $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = t^2 - t - 1$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^m - 2$ and then $B_{n+1}(t) - B_n(t) = -t^2 + t + 1$.*

6. Problems and conjectures

In this section we state some problems and conjectures which are related to the sequence of Stern polynomials or to the sequence of their degrees. Based on extensive numerical computation with PARI we state the following.

Conjecture 6.1. If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and there exists a positive integer n such that $B_n(a) = 0$ then $a \in \{-1, -1/2, -1/3, 0\}$.

Let us define $\bar{B}_n(t) = t^{e(n)} B_n(\frac{1}{t})$. A polynomial $B_n(t)$ is reciprocal if $B_n(t) = \bar{B}_n(t)$. We define

$$\mathcal{R} := \{n : B_n(t) = \bar{B}_n(t)\}.$$

Let us recall that, as was proved in [4, Theorem 2], if we write

$$B_n(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{e(n)} p(n-1, l) t^l$$

then the number $p(n-1, l)$ is the number of hyperbinary representations of $n-1$ containing exactly l digits 1. Thus, if $n \in \mathcal{R}$ then for each $l \leq e(n)$ we have

$p(n-1, l) = p(n-1, e(n)-l)$. It is an interesting question if the set $\mathcal{R}$ can be characterized in a reasonable way.

Let us note that if m is odd and $m \in \mathcal{R}$ then then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $2^k m \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus we see that in order to characterize the set $\mathcal{R}$ it is enough to characterize its odd elements. All odd $n \in \mathcal{R}, n \leq 2^{15}$, are contained in the table below.

$n \in \mathcal{R}, n \leq 2^{15}$
1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 15, 27, 31, 49, 59, 63, 123, 127, 135, 177, 201, 225, 251, 255, 287, 297, 363, 377, 433, 441, 507, 511, 567, 729, 855, 945, 961, 1019, 1023, 1401, 1969, 2043, 2047, 3087, 3135, 3143, 3449, 3969, 4017, 4091, 4095, 5929, 7545, 8113, 8187, 8191, 11327, 15737, 16129, 16305, 16379, 16383, 27711, 28551, 28799, 29199, 32121, 32689, 32763, 32767,

It is an easy exercise to show that if $n = 2^m - 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $n = 2^m - 5$ for $m \geq 3$ then the polynomial $B_n(t)$ is reciprocal. Another infinite family of integers with this property is $n = (2^m - 1)^2$. It is natural to state the following.

Problem 6.2. Characterize the set $\mathcal{R} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : B_n(t) = \bar{B}_n(t)\}$.

During the course of the proof of the Theorem 4.6 we noted that the set $\mathcal{E} = \{n : e(n) = e(n+1)\}$ contains infinite arithmetic progressions. It is an interesting question whether other infinite arithmetic progressions are contained in $\mathcal{E}$.

Let us define

$$p_n := u_{n-1} = \frac{4^n - 1}{3}, \quad q_n := \frac{5 \cdot 4^n - 2}{3} = 5p_n + 1.$$

It is easy to see that $p_1 = 1$ and $p_{n+1} = 4p_n + 1$ for $n \geq 1$. Moreover we have $q_1 = 6$ and $q_{n+1} = 4q_n + 2$ for $n \geq 1$. Now let $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and consider the arithmetic progressions

$$U_i := \{2^{2i+1}n + p_i : n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}, \quad V_i := \{2^{2i+1}n + q_i : n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}.$$

We will prove that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \cup V_i) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Because $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and the same property holds for V_i, V_j , it is enough to show that $U_i, V_i \subset \mathcal{E}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We will proceed by induction on i . We start with U_i . We know that the set U_1 is contained in $\mathcal{E}$. So let us suppose that $U_i \subset \mathcal{E}$. We take an element of U_{i+1} and get

$$e(2^{2i+3}n + p_{i+1}) = e(2^{2i+3}n + 4p_i + 1) = e(4(2^{2i+1}n + p_i) + 1) = e(2^{2i+1}n + p_i) + 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e(2^{2i+3}n + p_{i+1} + 1) &= e(2^{2i+3}n + 4p_i + 2) = e(2^{2i+2}n + 2p_i + 1) + 1 \\ &= \max\{e(2^{2i+1}n + p_i), e(2^{2i+1}n + p_i + 1)\} + 1 = e(2^{2i+1}n + p_i) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis. This shows that $U_i \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Because exactly the same type of reasoning can be used to show that $V_i \subset \mathcal{E}$, we leave the details to the reader.

We also check that the sequences $\{2p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are contained in $\mathcal{E}$. In order to show that the $2p_n \in \mathcal{E}$ for given $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we proceed by induction. Clearly $2p_1 = 2 \in \mathcal{E}$. Let us suppose that for some n the number $2p_n$ is an element of $\mathcal{E}$, and thus $e(2p_n) = e(2p_n + 1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(2p_{n+1}) &= e(2(4p_n + 1)) = e(4p_n + 1) + 1 = e(p_n) + 2, \\ e(2p_{n+1} + 1) &= e(4(2p_n) + 3) = e(2p_n + 1) + 1 = e(2p_n) + 1 = e(p_n) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Using induction we prove that $q_n \in \mathcal{E}$ for any given n . Indeed, for $n = 1$ we have $q_1 = 6$ and $e(6) = e(7)$. Suppose that $e(q_n) = e(q_n + 1)$ for some n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(q_{n+1}) &= e(4q_n + 2) = e(2q_n + 1) + 1 \\ &= \max\{e(q_n), e(q_n + 1)\} + 1 = e(q_n + 1) + 1, \\ e(q_{n+1} + 1) &= e(4q_n + 3) = e(q_n + 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the set

$$\mathcal{E}' := \{2p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty (U_i \cup V_i).$$

Using a simple script written in PARI [9] we calculated all members of the set $\mathcal{E}$ for $n \leq 10^8$ and we checked that all these numbers are contained in the set $\mathcal{E}'$. This leads us to the following.

Conjecture 6.3. We have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.

Conjecture 6.4. Let p be a prime number. Then the polynomial $B_p(t)$ is irreducible.

Using a simple script written in PARI we check that the above conjecture is true for the first million primes.

Conjecture 6.5. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ there exists an integer n with exactly k prime divisors such that the polynomial $B_n(t)$ is irreducible.

Let k be positive integer and let c_k be the smallest integer such that c_k has exactly k prime divisors and the polynomial $B_{c_k}(t)$ is irreducible. Below we tabulate the values of c_k for $k \leq 7$.

k	c_k	Factorization of c_k
1	2	2
2	55	$5 \cdot 11$
3	665	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 19$
4	6545	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$
5	85085	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$
6	1616615	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
7	37182145	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The Authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for several helpful suggestions which significantly improved the original presentation.

References

- [1] N. CALKIN and H. WILF, Recounting the rationals, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **107** (2000), 360–363.
- [2] K. DILCHER and K. B. STOLARSKY, A polynomial analogue to the Stern sequence, *Int. J. Number Theory* **3** (1), 85–103.
- [3] K. DILCHER and K. B. STOLARSKY, Stern polynomials and double-limit continued fractions, *Acta Arith.* **140** (2) (2009), 119–134.
- [4] S. KLAVŽAR, U. MILUTINOVIĆ and C. PETR, Stern polynomials, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **39** ((2007)), 86–95.
- [5] A. M. HINZ, S. KLAVŽAR, U. MILUTINOVIĆ, D. PARISSE and C. PETR, Metric properties of the Tower of Hanoi graphs and Stern’s diatomic sequence, *European J. Combin.* **26** (2005), 693–708.
- [6] D. H. LEHMER, On Stern’s diatomic series, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **36** (1929), 59–67.
- [7] D. A. LIND, An extension of Stern’s diatomic series, *Duke Math. J.* **36** (1969), 55–60.
- [8] S. NORTHSHIELD, Stern’s diatomic sequence 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 4, ..., *Amer. Math. Monthly* **117** (2010), 581–598.
- [9] C. BATUT, K. BELABAS, D. BERNARDI, H. COHEN and M. OLIVIER, PARI/GP, version 2.3.4, *Bordeaux*, 2008, <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.
- [10] B. REZNICK, Some binary partition functions, Analytic Number Theory (Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Paul T. Bateman), *Birkhäuser*, *Boston*, 1990, 451–477.

- [11] M. A. STERN, Ueber eine zahlentheoretische Funktion, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **55** (1858), 193-220.
- [12] I. URBIHA, Some properties of a function studied by De Rham, Carlitz and Dijkstra and its relation to the (Eisenstein-)Stern's diatomic sequence, *Math. Commun.* **6** (2002), 181-198.

MACIEJ ULAS
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ŁOJASIEWICZA 6
30 - 348 KRAKÓW
POLAND

E-mail: maciej.ulas@uj.edu.pl

(Received May 28, 2010; revised February 20, 2011)