

On the factors of Stern polynomials
(Remarks on the preceding paper of M. Ulas)

By ANDRZEJ SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

Abstract. It is proved that the Stern polynomial with a prime index does not have a proper divisor over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree less than 4.

Stern polynomials $B_n(t)$ have been first introduced in [1] and then studied in [3]. The notation is the same as in [3]. In particular, $B_0(t) = 0$, $B_1(t) = 1$, $B_{2n}(t) = tB_n(t)$ and $B_{2n+1}(t) = B_n(t) + B_{n+1}(t)$. In connection with Conjecture 6.4 of [3] we shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *For all integers $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$B_n(1) \leq n^{3/4}.$$

Theorem 2. *For no prime p does the polynomial B_p have a proper divisor over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree 1, 2 or 3.*

Theorem 3. *For no prime p is the polynomial B_p a product over $\mathbb{Q}$ of more than one polynomial of degree 4.*

Corollary. *Polynomials B_p are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all primes $p < 2017$.*

The value of Theorem 1 for applications lies in its explicit form. If one allows estimates of the form $B_n(1) = O(n^\alpha)$, the best value for α is $(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}) / \log 2 = 0.694\dots$ (see [4], Corollary 3).

The value of the Corollary lies in the fact that it is obtained without computation. With computation the results are much stronger (see [3], Remark 6.5).

In connection with Conjecture 6.6 of [3] we shall prove

Theorem 4. *For every k there exists an index n with at least k prime factors such that B_n is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

The following lemma generalizes Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 of [3].

Lemma 1. *For all non-negative integers a , m and r such that $2^a \geq r \geq 0$ we have*

$$B_{2^a m+r} = B_{2^a-r} B_m + B_r B_{m+1}. \quad (1)$$

PROOF by induction on a . For $a = 0$ (1) is trivially true for $r = 0$ or 1 . Assume that (1) holds for exponent $a-1$ ($a \geq 1$). Then for r even $\leq 2^a$

$$B_{2^a m+r} = t B_{2^{a-1}+r/2} = t B_{2^{a-1}-r/2} B_m + t B_{r/2} B_{m+1} = B_{2^a-r} B_m + B_r B_{m+1},$$

for r odd $< 2^a$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^a m+r} &= B_{2^{a-1}m+\frac{r-1}{2}} + B_{2^{a-1}m+\frac{r+1}{2}} \\ &= B_{2^{a-1}-\frac{r-1}{2}} B_m + B_{\frac{r-1}{2}} B_{m+1} + B_{2^{a-1}-\frac{r+1}{2}} B_m + B_{\frac{r+1}{2}} B_{m+1} \\ &= B_{2^a-r} B_m + B_r B_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We shall show the stronger inequality

$$B_n(1) \leq (n-1)^{3/4} \quad \text{for } n \neq 0, 1, 3, 5. \quad (2)$$

We consider n in the interval $[16^{k-1}, 16^k)$ and proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$ we check directly (2) for $n \neq 1, 3, 5$ and also $B_n(1) \leq n^{3/4}$ for all $n < 16$. For $k = 2$ we have $n = 16m+r$, when $1 \leq m < 16$, $0 \leq r < 16$ and from Lemma 1

$$B_n(1) = B_{16-r}(1) B_m(1) + B_r(1) B_{m+1}(1). \quad (3)$$

If $m \neq 2, 4$, then by the inductive assumption

$$B_m(1) \leq m^{3/4}, \quad B_{m+1}(1) \leq m^{3/4},$$

hence for $r \neq 0$

$$B_n(1) \leq (B_{16-r}(1) + B_n(1)) m^{3/4} \leq 8m^{3/4} \leq 8 \left(\frac{n-1}{16} \right)^{3/4} = (n-1)^{3/4}. \quad (4)$$

For $r = 0$, m arbitrary

$$B_n(1) = B_m(1) \leq m^{3/4} < (n-1)^{3/4}. \quad (5)$$

For $m = 2$, $r \neq 0$

$$B_n(1) = B_{16-r}(1)B_2(1) + B_r(1)B_3(1) = B_{16-r}(1) + 2B_r(1) \leq 13 < 32^{3/4}.$$

Finally, for $m = 4$, $r \neq 0$

$$B_n(1) = B_{16-r}(1)B_4(1) + B_r(1)B_5(1) = B_{16-r}(1) + 3B_5(1) \leq 18 < 64^{3/4}.$$

For $k > 2$ we have $n = 16m + r$, where $m \in [16^{k-2}, 16^{k-1})$, $0 \leq r < 16$ and, from Lemma 1, (3) holds. By the inductive assumption

$$B_m(1) \leq (m-1)^{3/4}, \quad B_{m+1}(1) \leq m^{3/4}$$

hence (4) or (5) holds for $r \neq 0$ and $r = 0$, respectively. $\square$

It follows that $B_n(1) \leq (n-1)^{3/4}$.

Lemma 2. *If f is a polynomial of degree n , then its leading coefficient is $\frac{1}{n!}\Delta^n f(a)$ for arbitrary a , where $\Delta f(a) = f(a+1) - f(a)$.*

PROOF. See [2], Satz 23. $\square$

Lemma 3. $B_n(2) = n$, $B_n(0) = 2\{\frac{n}{2}\}$, $B_n(-1) = 3\{\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\} - \frac{3}{2}$.

PROOF. See [3], Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Every divisor of $B_n(t)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ ($n > 0$) with the leading coefficient l satisfies $lf(0) \geq 0$ and $f(a)f(0) \geq 0$ for all $a > 0$.*

PROOF. If $f(a)f(0) < 0$, then by the Darboux property of f , f has a zero in the interval $(0, a)$, which is also a zero of B_n , a contradiction, since B_n has non-negative coefficients, not all 0. If $lf(0) < 0$, then for sufficiently large a : $f(a)f(0) < 0$, which has been shown impossible. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If B_p (p an odd prime) has over $\mathbb{Q}$ a proper divisor f of degree 1, f could be normalized, by Lemmas 3 and 4, to the form $lx + 1$, $l > 0$. By Lemma 2, $l = \Delta f(1) = f(2) - f(1)$ and by Lemmas 3, 4 and, by Theorem 1, $f(2) = 1$ or p , $1 \leq f(1) \leq B_p(1) \leq p^{3/4}$. Thus $l \geq p - p^{3/4}$, hence for $p \geq 5$, $B_p(2) > 4(p - p^{3/4}) > p$, a contradiction with Lemma 3. $\square$

If B_p had over $\mathbb{Q}$ a proper divisor f of degree 2, f could be normalized to the form $lx^2 + mx + 1$, $l > 0$. From Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and by Theorem 1

$$l = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 f(0) = \frac{1}{2}(f(2) - 2f(1) + f(0)) \geq \frac{1}{2}(p - 2B_p(1) + 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}(p - 2p^{3/4} + 1).$$

Let $e(n)$ be degree of B_n , as in [2] and [3]. We have (see [1], Corollary 13)

$$e(2n) = e(n) + 1, \quad e(4n+1) = e(n) + 1, \quad e(4n+3) = e(n+1) + 1.$$

Hence for $e(p) \geq 4$, $p \geq 31$ and $B_p(2) > 16l \geq 8(p - 2p^{3/4} + 1) > p$, contrary to Lemma 3.

If B_p had over $\mathbb{Q}$ a proper divisor f of degree 3, f could be normalized to the form $lx^3 + mx^2 + nx + 1$, where $l > 0$.

From Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and by Theorem 1

$$l = \frac{1}{6} \Delta^3 f(-1) = \frac{1}{6} (f(2) - 3f(1) + 3f(0) - f(-1)) \geq \frac{1}{6} (p - 3p^{3/4} + 2).$$

Hence for $e(p) \geq 6$, $p \geq 127$

$$B_p(2) > 64l \geq \frac{32}{3} (p - 3p^{3/4} + 2) > p,$$

contrary to Lemma 3.

Lemma 5. $|B_n(-2)| \leq n$.

PROOF by induction on n . For $n = 0$ or 1 true, assume that it is true for all subscripts $< n$, then for n even $|B_n(-2)| = 2|B_{\frac{n}{2}}(-2)| \leq n$, for n odd

$$|B_n(-2)| = 2 \left| B_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(-2) + B_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(-2) \right| \leq \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n+1}{2} = n.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Suppose that

$$B_p = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i,$$

where $k \geq 2$, $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree 4 and with the leading coefficient $l_i > 0$. By Lemma 3 for $p > 2$ we have

$$1 = B_p(0) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(0)$$

and, by Lemma 4, $f_i(0) = 1$. Also

$$p = B_p(2) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(2)$$

and, by Lemma 4, for a certain i , say $i = 1$ we have $f_i(2) = p$, for all $i > 1$: $f_i(2) = 1$. From Lemma 2 we have

$$l_i = \frac{1}{24} \Delta^4 f(-2) = \frac{1}{24} (f_i(2) - 4f_i(1) + 6f_i(0) - 4f_i(-1) + f_i(-2))$$

and, since $l_i \geq 1$, we have for $i > 1$

$$f_i(-2) \geq 24 - 1 + 4f_i(1) - 6f_i(0) + 4f_i(-1) \geq 17.$$

Since, by Lemma 5,

$$p \geq |B_p(-2)| = \prod_{i=1}^k |f_i(-2)| \geq 17|f_1(-2)|$$

we obtain

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{24} (p - 4f_1(1) + 6f_1(0) - 4f_1(-1) + f_1(-2)) \geq \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{16}{17}p - 4p^{3/4} + 2 \right).$$

Hence, for $e_p \geq 8$, $p \geq 769$

$$B_p(2) \geq 256l \geq \frac{32}{3} \left(\frac{16}{17}p - 4p^{3/4} + 2 \right) > p,$$

contrary to Lemma 3. □

PROOF OF COROLLARY. By Theorems 2 and 3 if B_p is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $e_p \geq 9$ and $p \geq 2017$. □

Theorem 4 is an immediate consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. B_{2^n-3} is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all integers $n \geq 3$.

PROOF. By definition of B_n and Lemma 2.1 of [3]

$$B_{2^n-3} = tB_{2^{n-2}-1} + B_{2^{n-1}-1} = t \cdot \frac{t^{n-2}-1}{t-1} + \frac{t^{n-1}-1}{t-1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} t^i + 1,$$

hence B_{2^n-3} is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Eisenstein's criterion. □

Lemma 7. For every integer $k > 0$ there exists an integer n such that $2^n - 3$ has at least k prime factors.

PROOF by induction on k . For $k = 1$ one takes $n = 3$. Assume that the statement is true for $k - 1$ ($k \geq 2$) and that $2^{n_{k-1}} - 3$ has at least $k - 1$ prime factors. Let among them be $q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}$ and let $q_i^{\alpha_i} \parallel 2^{n_{k-1}} - 3$. By Euler's theorem

$$q_i^{\alpha_i} \parallel 2^{n_{k-1} + \varphi(q_1^{\alpha_1+1} \dots q_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}+1})} - 3.$$

However,

$$q_1^{\alpha_1} \dots q_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} < 2^{n_{k-1} + \varphi(q_1^{\alpha_1+1} \dots q_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}+1})}.$$

Therefore, we can take

$$n_k = n_{k-1} + \varphi(q_1^{\alpha_1+1} \dots q_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}+1}).$$

Remark. Probably for every integer $k > 0$ there exists an integer n such that $2^n - 3$ has exactly k prime factors.

References

- [1] S. KLAVŽAR, K. MILUTINOVIĆ and C. PETR, Stern polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **39** (2007), 86–95.
- [2] O. PERRON, Algebra Bd I, 3rd ed., *Walter de Gruyter*, 1951.
- [3] M. ULAS, On certain arithmetic properties of Stern polynomials, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **79** (2011), 55–81.
- [4] I. URBIHA, Some properties of a function studied by De Rham, Carlitz and Dijkstra and its relation to the (Eisenstein) Stern's diatomic sequence, *Math. Commun.* **6** (2001), 181–198.

ANDRZEJ SCHINZEL
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
ŚNIADECKICH 8
00-956 WARSAW
POLAND

E-mail: schinzel@impan.pl

(Received January 24, 2011; revised March 18, 2011)