

On local semi *CAP*-subgroups of finite groups

By XIUYUN GUO (Shanghai), JIANJUN LIU (Shanghai) and QIANLU LI (Datong)

Abstract. A subgroup H of a finite group G is said to have the semi cover-avoiding property in G if there is a normal series of G such that H covers or avoids every normal factor of the series. In this paper we analyze how certain properties of semi cover-avoiding subgroups influence the structure of groups.

1. Introduction

A subgroup H of a group G is said to have the cover-avoiding property in G if H covers or avoids every chief factor of G . Since 1962, when GASCHÜTZ introduced a certain conjugacy class of subgroups of a finite solvable group (see [2]) which have the cover-avoiding property, there has been much interest in investigating the topic on the cover-avoiding property. On the one hand, some authors continued to find some kind of subgroups of a finite solvable group having the cover-avoiding property [3], [16]. On the other hand, many authors hope to obtain structural insight into a finite group when some of its subgroups have the cover-avoiding property.

Recently, Fan, Guo and Shum introduced the semi cover-avoiding property and obtained some new results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D10, 20D20.

Key words and phrases: semi *CAP*-subgroups, Sylow subgroups, saturated formations, p -solvable groups.

This paper is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11071155), SRFDP (200802800011), the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (J50101), the Research Grant of Shanghai University (SHUCX101067).

Definition 1.1 ([1, Definition 2.1]). A subgroup H of a finite group G is said to have the semi cover-avoiding property in G if there is a normal series

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_n = 1$$

of G such that for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, H either covers G_i/G_{i+1} or avoids G_i/G_{i+1} . In this case, we also say that H is a semi cover-avoiding subgroup of G . For short, H is a semi *CAP*-subgroup of G .

Recall that a subgroup H of a finite group G is said to be *c*-normal in G if there is a normal subgroup N in G such that $G = HN$ and $H \cap N \leq \text{core}_G(H)$. It is clear that the semi cover-avoiding property covers not only the cover-avoiding property but also *c*-normality. It has been proved that the concept of semi *CAP*-subgroup is suitable for describing the structure of groups [5], [7], [11], [17]. Our motivation in this paper comes from the following example.

Example 1.1. Let $N = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ be an elementary abelian 5-group of order 5^2 and $c \in \text{Aut}(N)$ such that $a^c = b^2$, $b^c = a$. Then the semidirect product $G = N \rtimes \langle c \rangle$ is of order $5^2 \times 2^3$. It is clear that $K = \langle b \rangle$ is not a semi *CAP*-subgroup of G , but K is a semi *CAP*-subgroup of $H = N \rtimes \langle c^2 \rangle$.

Therefore, it is a natural question to ask how much information about the structure of the group G we can uncover knowing that some subgroups are semi *CAP*-subgroups of G . In this paper, we first study this question. Then we investigate the solvability of some normal subgroup by using certain maximal subgroups, which is a generalization of known results.

Throughout this paper, the terminology and notation not mentioned here agree with standard usage.

2. Basic definitions and preliminary results

From now on, let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. We denote the derived subgroup of a group G by G' . Let $M \triangleleft G$ mean that M is a maximal subgroup of G . If $M \leq G$, then M_G denotes the core of M in G . Let N and K be normal subgroups of a group G with $K \leq N$. Then N/K is called a normal factor of G . It is clear that every chief factor of a group is a normal factor of the group. A subgroup H of G is said to cover N/K if $HN = HK$. On the other hand, if $H \cap N = H \cap K$, then H is said to avoid N/K .

Recall that a class of groups $\mathcal{F}$ is a formation if $\mathcal{F}$ contains all homomorphic images of groups in $\mathcal{F}$ and if, for all normal subgroups M, N of G with G/M and

G/N in $\mathcal{F}$, we also have $G/(M \cap N)$ in $\mathcal{F}$. A formation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be saturated if $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $G \in \mathcal{F}$ [10, VI, Satz 7.1 and 7.2]. Throughout this paper $\mathcal{U}$ will denote the class of supersolvable groups. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}$ is a saturated formation [10, VI, Satz 8.6].

For convenience, we write $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$ to denote the set of all semi CAP -subgroups of G .

Lemma 2.1. *A subgroup H of a group G is a semi CAP -subgroup of G if and only if there is a chief series of G such that H covers or avoids every chief factor of the series.*

PROOF. It can be seen from [1, Lemma 1] and Definition 1.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 ([5, Lemma 2.5]). *Let H be a subgroup of a group G . If $H \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$, then $H \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(K)$ for every subgroup K of G with $H \leq K$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([5, Lemma 2.6]). *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G and let $H \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. Then $HN/N \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G/N)$ if one of the following holds:*

- (1) $N \leq H$.
- (2) $\gcd(|H|, |N|) = 1$, where $\gcd(-, -)$ denotes the greatest common divisor.

Lemma 2.4. *Let N/K be a chief factor of a group G . Then:*

- (1) *If a p -group H covers N/K , then N/K is an elementary abelian p -group.*
- (2) *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G and let P_1 be a maximal subgroup of P . If N/K is avoided by P_1 and N/K is a p -group, then N/K is of order p .*

PROOF. (1) If $HN = HK$, then it follows from $|N/K| = |(HK \cap N) : K| = |(H \cap N)K : K| = |H \cap N : H \cap N \cap K| = |H \cap N : H \cap K|$ that N/K is an elementary abelian p -group.

(2) Since P_1 avoids N/K , $P_1K/K \cap N/K = 1$. By hypothesis, N/K is a p -group, hence P_1K/K is a maximal subgroup of PK/K . Therefore N/K is of order p . $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$, then every maximal subgroup of PN/N lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_{G/N}(PN/N))$.*

PROOF. Let M/N be a maximal subgroup of PN/N . Then $M = N(M \cap P)$ and $P \cap M$ is a maximal subgroup of P . Set $P_1 = P \cap M$. By hypothesis, there exists a chief series

$$N_G(P) = T_0 > T_1 > \cdots > T_n = 1$$

such that P_1 covers or avoids T_i/T_{i+1} , for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. It is easy to see that the following series

$$N_G(P)N/N = T_0N/N \geq T_1N/N \geq \dots \geq T_{n-1}N/N \geq 1$$

is a normal series of $N_G(P)N/N$.

Since $N_G(P)$ is p -solvable, T_i/T_{i+1} is a p -group or p' -group. If T_i/T_{i+1} is a p' -group. Obviously, the p -group P_1N/N avoids $(T_iN/N)/(T_{i+1}N/N)$.

Now suppose that T_i/T_{i+1} is a p -group. If T_i/T_{i+1} was covered by P_1 , obviously $(T_iN/N)/(T_{i+1}N/N)$ was covered by P_1N/N . If T_i/T_{i+1} was avoided by P_1 , then T_i/T_{i+1} is of order p by Lemma 2.4 (2). Hence the order of $(T_iN/N)/(T_{i+1}N/N) = T_iN/T_{i+1}N$ is at most p . Therefore $(T_iN/N)/(T_{i+1}N/N)$ is covered or avoided by P_1N/N .

Hence $M/N = P_1N/N$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_{G/N}(PN/N)) = \mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P)N/N)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

If we replace the hypothesis that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G in Lemma 2.5 by the hypothesis that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of some normal subgroup of G , we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of H . If N is a normal p' -subgroup of G and every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$, then every maximal subgroup of PN/N lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_{G/N}(PN/N))$.*

PROOF. By using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we only need to prove either $P_1T_iN = P_1T_{i+1}N$ or $P_1N \cap T_iN = P_1N \cap T_{i+1}N$ whenever both P_1 and $N_G(P) \cap N$ avoid T_i/T_{i+1} , where P_1 is a maximal subgroup of P and T_i/T_{i+1} is a chief factor of $N_G(P)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Now we consider the index $|P_1T_iN : P_1T_{i+1}N|$. On the one hand, we have $|P_1T_iN : P_1T_{i+1}N| = |T_i/T_{i+1}| |P_1 \cap T_{i+1}N : P_1 \cap T_iN|$. On the other hand, $|P_1T_iN : P_1T_{i+1}N| = |T_i/T_{i+1}| |N \cap P_1T_{i+1} : N \cap P_1T_i|$. Therefore $|P_1 \cap T_iN : P_1 \cap T_{i+1}N| = |N \cap P_1T_i : N \cap P_1T_{i+1}|$. However, $|P_1 \cap T_iN : P_1 \cap T_{i+1}N|$ is a p -number and $|N \cap P_1T_i : N \cap P_1T_{i+1}|$ is a p' -number. It follows that $|P_1 \cap T_iN : P_1 \cap T_{i+1}N| = 1$. Hence $P_1 \cap T_iN = P_1 \cap T_{i+1}N$ and therefore $P_1N \cap T_iN = P_1N \cap T_{i+1}N$, as desired. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 ([9, Lemma 2.6]). *Let N be a solvable normal subgroup of a group G with $N \neq 1$. If every minimal normal subgroup of G which is contained in N is not contained in $\Phi(G)$, then the Fitting subgroup $F(N)$ of N is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of G which are contained in N .*

3. Main results

In this section, we study the structure of a group G when some subgroups are semi CAP -subgroups of a local subgroup of G . Our first result is about p -nilpotency.

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then G is p -nilpotent if and only if every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$ and $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$.*

Remark 3.1. The hypothesis that $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$ in Theorem 3.1 is essential. In fact, Let $G = PSL(2, 7)$ and P a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Since $P = N_G(P)$, every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$, but G is not 2-nilpotent.

Even if G is a solvable group and p is an odd prime, the hypothesis that $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$ in Theorem 3.1 is also essential. For example, let $H = C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3$ be an elementary abelian group of order 3^3 . Then there is a subgroup $C_{13} \rtimes C_3$ in the automorphism group of H , where $C_{13} \rtimes C_3$ is a semidirect product. Let $G = (C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3) \rtimes (C_{13} \rtimes C_3)$ be the corresponding semidirect product and $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. Clearly, $P = N_G(P)$. It follows that every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$, but G is not 3-nilpotent.

Furthermore we can not remove the hypothesis that p is the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G in Theorem 3.1. In fact, let P be a Sylow 3-subgroup of A_5 , the alternating group of degree 5. Then every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$ and $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$, but A_5 is not 3-nilpotent.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Since every p -subgroup of a p -supersolvable group G is a semi CAP -subgroup of G , we only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that the theorem is not true and let G be a counterexample with smallest order. Then:

(1) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.

Otherwise, $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. Since $(PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G))' = P'O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$, $(PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G))'$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G/O_{p'}(G))$ by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, every maximal subgroup of $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_{G/O_{p'}(G)}(PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)))$ by Lemma 2.5. We can see that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem. Thus, by the minimality of G , $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -nilpotent and therefore G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(2) If H is a proper subgroup of G with $P \leq H$, then H is p -nilpotent. In particular, $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent.

It is clear that $N_H(P) \leq N_G(P)$, and hence every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_H(P))$ and $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(H)$ by Lemma 2.2. It follows that H satisfies

the hypothesis of our theorem. Now, by the minimality of G , H is p -nilpotent. If $N_G(P) = G$, then G is p -nilpotent by [5, Theorem 3.2] or [11, Theorem 3.1]. So $N_G(P) < G$ and $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent.

(3) $O_p(G) \neq 1$ and there exists a minimal normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is p -nilpotent and G is p -solvable.

In fact, if $P' = 1$, then $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$ since $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent, so G is p -nilpotent by Burnside's Theorem [8, Theorem 7.2.1], a contradiction. Thus $P' \neq 1$. By the hypothesis, there exists a chief series

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > N > G_n = 1 \quad (*)$$

of G such that P' covers or avoids G_i/G_{i+1} , where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If P' covers $N/1$, then $N \leq P'$, whence $N \leq O_p(G)$. So we may assume that P' avoids $N/1$. Then $P' \cap N = P' \cap 1 = 1$. Thus $(P \cap N)' \leq P' \cap N = 1$, hence $P \cap N$ is abelian. If $N_G(P \cap N) = G$, then $P \cap N$ is normal in G . The minimal normality of N means that $N \leq P$ and therefore $N \leq O_p(G)$, as desired. Hence we may assume that $N_G(P \cap N)$ is a proper subgroup of G . Since $P \leq N_G(P \cap N)$, we have that $N_G(P \cap N)$ is p -nilpotent by (2) and so $N_N(P \cap N)$ is p -nilpotent. It follows that $N_N(N \cap P) = C_N(N \cap P)$. Now Burnside's Theorem [8, Theorem 7.2.1] implies that N is p -nilpotent and therefore the minimal normality of N means that $N \leq O_p(G)$.

According to (*), we can see that the following series

$$G/N = G_0/N > G_1/N > \cdots > 1$$

is a chief series of G/N . By our hypothesis, P' covers or avoids G_i/G_{i+1} , where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. If P' covers G_i/G_{i+1} , then $P'N/N$ covers $G_i/N/G_{i+1}/N$. If P' avoids G_i/G_{i+1} , then $P'N/N$ avoids $G_i/N/G_{i+1}/N$. This means that $P'N/N$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G/N)$. Moreover, every maximal subgroup of PN/N lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_{G/N}(PN/N))$ by Lemma 2.5. Hence G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, the minimality of G implies that G/N is p -nilpotent. Therefore G is p -solvable, as desired.

(4) There exists a Sylow q -subgroup Q of G with $q \neq p$ such that $G = PQ$.

Since G is p -solvable, there exists a Sylow q -subgroup Q of G such that $PQ = QP$ for any prime $q \neq p$ by [4, Theorem 6.3.5]. If $PQ < G$, then PQ is p -nilpotent by (2). It follows that $Q \leq C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$ by [13, Theorem 9.3.1], a contradiction.

(5) $N_G(P) = P$ is a maximal subgroup of G .

Let M be a maximal subgroup of G with $N_G(P) \leq M$. By (2) M is p -nilpotent and therefore $O_{p'}(M) \leq C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$. So $N_G(P) = P$ is a maximal subgroup of G .

(6) QN/N is a minimal normal subgroup of G/N and Q is an elementary abelian group.

Let K/N be a minimal normal subgroup of G/N contained in QN/N . It follows from (5) that $PK = G$ and therefore claim (6) is true.

(7) $P' \cap N = 1$ and $N \leq Z(P)$.

Since P' covers or avoids $N/1$, $N \leq P'$ or $N \cap P' = 1$. If $N \leq P'$, then $P \cap NQ = N \leq P' \leq \Phi(P)$. Thus NQ is p -nilpotent by a famous theorem of Tate [15] and therefore G is p -nilpotent, in contradiction to the choice of G . Thus $N \cap P' = 1$. It follows from $[P, N] \leq [P, P] = P'$ that $[P, N] \leq P' \cap N = 1$, as desired.

(8) Q is cyclic of order q and has no fixed points on N .

In fact, if there exists a non-trivial element x in N and a non-trivial element y in Q such that $[x, y] = 1$, then $\langle P, y \rangle \leq C_G(x)$. Since P is a maximal subgroup of G , $x \in Z(G)$ and hence $N = \langle x \rangle$. In this case, $NQ = N \times Q$, hence Q is a normal p -complement in G , a contradiction. So Q has no fixed points on N . It follows from Theorem 8.3.2 in [8] that Q is a cyclic group of order q and the claim (8) is true.

(9) Final contradiction.

Let $a \in N$ and let $b \in Q$ be such that $Q = \langle b \rangle$. Since NQ is normal in G , $G = NN_G(Q)$ by the Frattini argument. As N is an elementary abelian subgroup of G , we see that $N \cap N_G(Q)$ is normal in G . It follows that $N \cap N_G(Q) = 1$ or N . If the latter is true, then Q is a normal p -complement in G , a contradiction. Hence $N \cap N_G(Q) = 1$. Let $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(N_G(Q))$ such that $P_1 \leq P$ and let $g \in P_1$. By (7), we have $N \leq Z(P)$ and hence $P_1 \leq C_G(N)$. As $C_G(N)$ is normal in G and P_1 normalizes Q , it follows that $[P_1, Q] \leq C_G(N) \cap Q = 1$, by (8). Therefore $P_1 \leq C_G(Q)$, and Q is abelian by (8). Together with (4) we deduce that $N_G(Q) = P_1Q = C_G(Q)$ and hence Burnside's Theorem [8, Theorem 7.2.1] implies that $G = N_G(P)$. This final contradiction completes our proof. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have:

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be the smallest prime of the order of a group G and let H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p -nilpotent. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ and suppose that every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$ and that $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. Then G is p -nilpotent.*

PROOF. We argue by induction on the order of G . By Lemma 2.2, every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_H(P))$, and $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(H)$. By Theorem 3.1, H is p -nilpotent. Let K be a normal p -complement in H . Then K is normal in G and $(G/K)/(H/K) \cong G/H$ is p -nilpotent. In view of Lemma 2.3 and 2.6, we conclude that G/K satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem for its normal subgroup H/K .

If $K \neq 1$, then G/K is p -nilpotent by induction and so G is p -nilpotent. Hence we may assume that $K = 1$ and therefore $H = P$ is a p -group. For any prime q dividing the order of G with $p \neq q$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$, it is clear that PQ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and therefore $PQ = P \times Q$. Let S/H be a normal p -complement in G/H . The above arguments imply that $S = H \times S_1$ where S_1 is a Hall p' -subgroup of S . Hence S_1 is a normal p -complement in G . This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.1. *For every Sylow subgroup P of a group G , suppose that every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$ and that $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. Then G is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type.*

We can now prove:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated formation containing the class of all supersolvable groups $\mathcal{U}$ and let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that, for all primes p dividing the order of H and for all $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$, every maximal subgroup of P lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(N_G(P))$ and suppose that $P' \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample with smallest order.

Case 1: H is a p -group for some prime p .

If $\Phi(H) \neq 1$, then, since $\Phi(H) \trianglelefteq G$ and $G/\Phi(H)/H/\Phi(H) \cong G/H$, we see that $G/\Phi(H)$ satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem by Lemma 2.3. Thus $G/\Phi(H) \in \mathcal{F}$ by the minimal choice of G , it follows that $G/\Phi(G)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$ and so does G , a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(H) = 1$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in H . G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and the minimality of G implies that $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$. Noticing that $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$ and with Lemma 2.7 in mind, we may assume that

$$H = N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_s$$

where $N_1, \dots, N_s$ are all the minimal normal subgroups of G . By the above argument, $G/N_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. If $s > 1$, then

$$G \cong G/(N_1 \cap N_2) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Hence $H = N_1$ is a minimal normal subgroup of G .

Let P_1 be a maximal subgroup of H . Since H is itself a p -group, by the hypothesis, there exists a chief series of $G = N_G(H)$

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_{n-1} > G_n = 1$$

such that P_1 covers or avoids G_j/G_{j+1} for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Since $H \leq G_0$ and $H \not\leq G_n P_1$, there exists an integer i such that $H \leq G_i P_1$, but $H \not\leq G_{i+1} P_1$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Noticing that P_1 covers or avoids G_i/G_{i+1} and $G_i P_1 \neq G_{i+1} P_1$, we have $P_1 \cap G_i = P_1 \cap G_{i+1}$. Since $H = H \cap G_i P_1 = (H \cap G_i) P_1$ and $H \cap G_i$ is a normal subgroup of G , $H \cap G_i = H$ by the minimal normality of H . It follows that $P_1 \cap G_{i+1} = P_1 \cap G_i = P_1$. On the other hand, $H \not\leq G_{i+1} P_1$ implies that $H \cap G_{i+1} < H$. Hence $H \cap G_{i+1} = P_1$. The minimality of H implies that $P_1 = 1$. It follows that H is a cyclic group of order p and hence $G \in \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction.

Case 2: H is not of prime power order.

In view of Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, H is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. Let r be the largest prime dividing the order of H and let R be a Sylow r -subgroup of H . Then R is normal in G and $G/R/H/R \cong G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 2.3 and 2.6, we can see that G/R satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem for its normal subgroup H/R . By the minimality of G , $G/R \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows from the first case that $G \in \mathcal{F}$. The proof of the theorem is now complete. $\square$

Finally we study the solvability of some normal subgroup of G by looking at certain maximal subgroups, leading to generalizations of known results.

Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G . We define the following families of subgroups:

$$\mathcal{M}(G) = \{M \mid M \triangleleft G\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(G), |G : M|_p = 1 \text{ and } |G : M| \text{ is composite}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(G), N_G(P) \leq M \text{ for a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup } P \text{ of } G, \\ M \text{ is non-nilpotent and } |G : M| \text{ is composite}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_H(G) = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(G) \text{ and } H \not\leq M\}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G and let p be the largest prime dividing the order of G . If every member of $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$, then H is solvable.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) = \emptyset$, then we claim that H is solvable. In fact, if $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) = \emptyset$, by [12, Theorem 8], G is solvable and so is H .

If $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then H is contained in every member of $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G)$. Applying [12, Theorem 8] again, H is solvable. Now we may assume that $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G , and let M/N be a maximal subgroup of G/N with $M/N \in \mathcal{M}_{pc}(G/N) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G/N)$, where $\mathcal{M}_H(G/N) = \{M/N \mid M/N \in \mathcal{M}(G/N) \text{ and } HN/N \not\leq M/N\}$. Then $M \in \mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$. Furthermore, M/N lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G/N)$ by Lemma 2.3. It is clear that G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem for the normal subgroup HN/N and so HN/N is solvable by induction. If $N \not\leq H$, then $H \cong HN/N$ is solvable, as desired. Suppose that $N \leq H$. If G has two minimal normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 , then both H/N_1 and H/N_2 are solvable and so is $H/(N_1 \cap N_2)$. This implies that the group H is solvable. Hence we may assume that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N .

Suppose that N is non-solvable. Let q be the largest prime dividing the order of N and Q a Sylow q -subgroup of N . If $N_G(Q) = G$, then Q is normal in G . The minimality of N means that $N = Q$, contradicting the fact N is non-solvable. Then $G = N_G(Q)N$ by the Frattini argument. So there exists a maximal subgroup M of G which contains $N_G(Q)$, but $N \not\leq M$. By hypothesis, $p \geq q$. If $p > q$, it is clear that $|G : M|_p = |N : M \cap N|_p = 1$. If $p = q$, then $N_G(Q)$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Thus we conclude that $|G : M|_p = 1$ in these two cases. Furthermore, we can see that $|G : M|_q = 1$ because $N_G(Q) \leq M$ contains a Sylow q -subgroup of G . If $|G : M| = r$ for some prime r , then, since $M_G = 1$, we have that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group S_r of degree r . This implies that $|G| \mid r!$ and so $|N| \mid r!$, in contradiction to that q is the largest prime in $\pi(N)$. Hence we conclude that $M \in \mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$. By the hypothesis, $M \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$ and so $MN = M$ or $M \cap N = 1$. However, neither of this is possible because $N_G(Q)$ is contained in M and $N \not\leq M$, a contradiction. This shows that N is solvable and therefore H is solvable. $\square$

From Theorems 3.4, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be the largest prime dividing the order of a group G . If every member of $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G)$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$, then G is solvable.*

PROOF. Let $G = H$ in Theorem 3.4. Then we have the corollary. $\square$

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 3.4 the group G may not be necessarily solvable. For example:

Let K , H be the alternating group of degree 5 and 4, respectively and let $G = K \times H$. Suppose that $M = K \times C_3$, where C_3 is a cyclic group of order 3 of H . Then M is a maximal subgroup of G . It is clear that $H \not\leq M$ and $|G : M| = 4$.

Thus $M \in \mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$ and we can also see that $\mathcal{M}_{pc}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) = \{M^g \mid g \in G\}$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the following series

$$G > K \times K_4 > K > 1$$

is a chief series of G and that M^g avoids $(K_4 \times K)/K$ and covers the rest, where K_4 is the Klein four group contained in H . That is, $M^g \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. This shows that G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4 for the normal subgroup H . However, G is not solvable.

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G and let p be the largest prime dividing the order of G . If every member of $\mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$ lies in $\mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$, then H is p -solvable.*

PROOF. If $\mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) = \emptyset$, then we can see that H is p -solvable by [6, Lemma 2.4]. Now, we may assume that $\mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. If P is normal in G , then G is certainly p -solvable and so is H . So we may assume that $N_G(P) < G$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . It is clear that G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem for the normal subgroup HN/N and so HN/N is p -solvable by induction. By a routine argument, we can assume that N is contained in H and N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G .

Suppose that N is not p -solvable. Then p is a divisor of the order of N . We know that $N \cap P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$ and $P \cap N$ is not normal in G . With a Frattini argument, we have that $G = N_G(P \cap N)N$. So there exists a maximal subgroup M of G which contains $N_G(P \cap N)$ and $M \not\leq N$. It is clear that $N_G(P) \leq M$. If $|G : M| = q$ is a prime, then, using the permutation representation of G on $S = \{Mg \mid g \in G\}$, we see that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group S_q of degree q . This implies that $|G| \mid q!$ and so $|N| \mid q!$. This contradicts p being the largest prime which divides the order of N . Hence $|G : M|$ must be a composite number. If M is nilpotent, then M has non-trivial Sylow 2-subgroups by [13, Theorem 10.4.2]. Let $M_{2'}$ be a Hall $2'$ -subgroup of M . By [14, Theorem 1], $M_{2'}$ is normal in G and therefore $P \leq G$ since P is a characteristic subgroup of $M_{2'}$. It follows that $P \cap N \leq G$, a contradiction. Thus, $M \in \mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G)$. By the hypothesis, $M \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$ and so $MN = M$ or $M \cap N = 1$. However, these two situations are impossible. This shows that N is p -solvable and therefore H is p -solvable. The proof of the theorem is now complete. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 ([6, Theorem 3.8]). *Let G be a group and let p be the largest prime dividing the order of G . If every member of $\mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G)$ has the cover-avoiding property in G , then G is p -solvable.*

In Theorem 3.5, the group G need not be p -solvable as the following example shows.

Example 3.1. Let $H = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ be an elementary abelian group of order 2^4 . Then there is a subgroup $M = A_5$ in the automorphism group of H , where A_5 is the alternating group of degree 5. Let $G = (C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) \rtimes A_5$ be the corresponding semidirect product. We can deduce that $\mathcal{M}^{pcn}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_H(G) = \{M^g \mid g \in G\}$. Furthermore, there exists a chief series

$$G > H > 1$$

such that M^g covers G/H and avoids $H/1$. Thus, $M^g \in \mathcal{CAP}_s(G)$. That is, G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.5 with the normal subgroup H . However, G is not 5-solvable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank the referee for his or her valuable suggestions and useful comments contributed to the final version of this paper.

References

- [1] Y. FAN, X. Y. GUO and K. P. SHUM, Remarks on two generalizations of normality of subgroups, *Chinese Ann. Math.* **27A**(2) (2006), 169–176 (in *Chinese*).
- [2] W. GASCHÜTZ, Praefrattinigruppen, *Arch. Math.* **13** (1962), 418–426.
- [3] J. D. GILLAM, Cover-avoid subgroups in finite solvable groups, *J. Algebra* **29** (1974), 324–329.
- [4] D. GORENSTEIN, Finite Groups, *Harper and Row Publishers, New York, Evanston, London*, 1968.
- [5] X. Y. GUO, P. F. GUO and K. P. SHUM, On semi cover-avoiding subgroups of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **209** (2007), 151–158.
- [6] X. Y. GUO and K. P. SHUM, Cover-avoidance properties and the structure of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **181** (2003), 297–308.
- [7] X. Y. GUO and L. L. WANG, On finite groups with some semi cover-avoiding subgroups, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **23**(9) (2007), 1689–1696.
- [8] H. KURZWEIL and B. STELLMACHER, The theory of finite groups, An introduction, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg*, 2004.
- [9] D. Y. LI and X. Y. GUO, The influence of c -normality of subgroups on the structure of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **150** (2000), 53–60.
- [10] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen I, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York*, 1967.
- [11] Y. M. LI, L. MIAO and Y. M. WANG, On semi cover-avoiding maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 1160–1169.
- [12] N. P. MUKHERJEE and PRABIR BHATTACHARYA, On the intersection of a class of maximal subgroups of a finite group, *Canad. J. Math.* **3** (1987), 603–611.

- [13] D. J. S. ROBINSON, A Course in the Theory of Groups, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1982.
- [14] J. ROSE, On finite insoluble groups with nilpotent maximal subgroups, *J. Algebra* **48** (1977), 182–196.
- [15] J. TATE, Nilpotent quotient groups, *Topology* **3** (1964), 109–111.
- [16] M. J. TOMKINSON, Cover-avoidance properties in finite soluble groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **19**(2) (1976), 213–216.
- [17] L. L. WANG and G. Y. CHEN, Some properties of finite groups with some (semi- p -) cover-avoiding subgroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), 686–689.

XIUYUN GUO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200444
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: xyguo@shu.edu.cn

JIANJUN LIU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200444
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: liujj198123@163.com

QIANLU LI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI DATONG UNIVERSITY
DATONG, SHANXI 037009
P.R. CHINA

(Received July 10, 2010; revised January 19, 2011)