

Cubic Thue inequalities with positive discriminant

By SHABNAM AKHTARI (Eugene)

Abstract. We will give an explicit upper bound for the number of solutions to cubic inequality $|F(x, y)| \leq h$, where $F(x, y)$ is a cubic binary form with integer coefficients and positive discriminant D . Our upper bound is independent of h , provided that h is smaller than $D^{1/4}$.

1. Introduction

Let $F(x, y)$ be a binary form with integral coefficients. Also assume that the maximal number of pairwise non-proportional linear forms over $\mathbb{C}$ dividing F is at least 3. For positive integer h consider the following *Thue inequality*

$$0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h. \quad (1)$$

This inequality can be considered as a finite number of *Thue equations*

$$F(x, y) = m, \quad (2)$$

where $0 < |m| \leq h$. By a well-known result of THUE [12], the equation (2) has only finitely many solutions in integers x and y . From this, one can easily deduce that inequality (1) has only finitely many solutions in integers x and y .

In this manuscript, we will study the inequality $|F(x, y)| \leq h$ where h is a positive integer smaller than $D^{1/4}$. Our goal is to give an upper bound for the number of solutions to the above inequality. For a treatment of cubic Thue inequalities with negative discriminant, we refer the reader to a work of WAKABAYASHI [14], where Padé approximations and Ricket's integrals are used. In general, upper

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D75, 11J25, 11D45.

Key words and phrases: Thue inequalities, the method of Thue–Siegel, cubic forms.

bounds for the number of solutions to a Thue equation $F(x, y) = m$, depend on the number of prime factors of m (see [10], [2] and [13]). The problem of counting the number of solutions of Thue inequalities $F(x, y) \leq h$ and obtaining upper bounds independent of the value of h for “small” integers h has been studied by many mathematicians. In 1929, SIEGEL [11], by means of an approximation method in which hypergeometric functions are used, showed that the number of solutions of the cubic inequality

$$1 \leq F(x, y) \leq h$$

in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, $y > 0$ or $(x, y) = (1, 0)$, is at most 18 if $D > 4.3^{107}h^{30}$. In 1949, A. E. GEL'MAN showed, by refining Siegel's estimates, that 18 can be replaced by 10 if one assumes that $D > ch^{36}$, where c is an absolute constant (we refer the reader to Chapter 5 of [5] for a proof). The following are our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F(x, y)$ be a binary cubic form with positive discriminant D . Suppose h is an integer and satisfies $h = \frac{1}{2\pi}(3D)^{1/4-\epsilon}$ for a positive value $\epsilon < 1/4$. Then the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $9 + \frac{\log(\frac{3}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2})}{\log 2}$ solutions in coprime integers x and y with $y \neq 0$.

The upper bound in Theorem 1.1 gets larger as ϵ approaches 0. It turns out that we can get a better upper bound if we use the value of discriminant in our upper bound; in other words, if we are willing to have more dependence on our form F in the upper bound.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F(x, y)$ be a binary cubic form with positive discriminant D . Suppose h is an integer satisfying $h = \frac{1}{2\pi}(3D)^{1/4-\epsilon}$ with $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$. Then the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $12 + \frac{3}{\log 2} \log \frac{3}{8\left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^\epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log(3D)}\right)}$ solutions in coprime integers x and y with $y \neq 0$.

Note that our bound in the above theorem can be seen as an absolute bound, as the number of conjugacy classes of cubic binary forms with bounded discriminant is finite.

It is worth to mention here that in order to have our upper bounds independent of h , we must take h smaller than $D^{1/4}$, and therefore, in some sense

the dependence of h on D is sharp in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. A cubic form of discriminant D looks generically like

$$G(x, y) = l_0 D^{1/4} x^3 + l_1 D^{1/4} x^2 y + l_2 D^{1/4} x y^2 + l_3 D^{1/4} y^3, \quad (3)$$

where l_i are small numbers (see the formula for discriminant in (5)). Now consider the inequality

$$G(x, y) \leq k.$$

Assume $k > l_0 D^{1/4}$ and write $k = v l_0 D^{1/4}$, where $v > 1$. Then for any integer X with $|X| \leq v$, the pair $(X, 0)$ is a solution to (3). Therefore the number of solutions depends on v and consequently on k . To avoid only having solutions of the form $(x, 0)$, we can let $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ act on the form G and construct non-trivial solutions; solutions (x, y) with $y \neq 0$ (see Section 2 for details on equivalent forms). It is well-known that a binary cubic form with positive discriminant D is equivalent to a cubic form, namely a reduced form, with leading coefficient that is bounded in absolute value by $D^{1/4}$. Reduced forms are defined in Section 2.

The problem in hand has been studied by EVERTSE and GYÖRY (see [7] and [8]) in a general set up; they have considered the Thue inequality

$$0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h$$

for binary forms of arbitrary degree $n \geq 3$. Define, for $3 \leq n < 400$

$$(N(n), \delta(n)) = \left(6n7^{\binom{n}{3}}, \frac{5}{6}n(n-1) \right)$$

and for $n > 400$

$$(N(n), \delta(n)) = (6n, 120(n-1)).$$

They prove that if

$$|D| > h^{\delta n} \exp(80n(n-1))$$

then the number of solutions to $0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h$ in co-prime integers x and y , is at most $N(n)$. Further, GYÖRY [9] showed for binary forms F of degree $n \geq 3$, that if $0 < a < 1$ and

$$|D| \geq n^n (3.5^n h^2)^{(2(n-1)/(1-a))},$$

then the number of solutions to $0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h$ in co-prime integers x and y is at most

$$25n + (n+2) \left(\frac{2}{a} + \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

and if F is reducible then at most

$$5n + (n + 2) \left(\frac{2}{a} + \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

Here we are able to improve these results for the particular case of $n = 3$.

The cubic equation

$$|F(x, y)| = 1 \quad (4)$$

has been very well studied. EVERTSE [6] refined the techniques used in [11] to show for irreducible cubic form F of positive discriminant, that equation (4) has at most 12 solutions in integers x and y . Later, BENNETT [1] improved this upper bound to 10. Here we will appeal to methods that deal with the equation $|F(x, y)| = 1$, particularly those from [6], to prove similar upper bounds for the number of solutions to (1), when $h = \frac{1}{2\pi}(3D)^{1/4-\epsilon}$ for a positive value of ϵ .

Throughout this manuscript, by a solution (x, y) , we mean $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \neq 0$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

2. Invariants and covariants of binary cubic forms

Let $F = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3$ be an irreducible binary cubic form. The discriminant of F is

$$D = 18abcd + b^2c^2 - 27a^2d^2 - 4ac^3 - 4b^3d = a^4 \prod_{i,j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2, \quad (5)$$

where α_1, α_2 and α_3 are the roots of polynomial $F(x, 1)$.

For the cubic form F , we define an associated quadratic form, the Hessian $H = H_F$, and a cubic form $G = G_F$, by

$$H(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta x^2} \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta y^2} - \left(\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta x \delta y} \right)^2 \right) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$$

and

$$G(x, y) = \frac{\delta F}{\delta x} \frac{\delta H}{\delta y} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta y} \frac{\delta H}{\delta x}.$$

These forms satisfy a covariance property; i.e.

$$H_{F \circ \gamma} = H_F \circ \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad G_{F \circ \gamma} = G_F \circ \gamma$$

for all $\gamma \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We call forms F_1 and F_2 equivalent if they are equivalent under $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action; i.e. if there exist integers a_1, a_2, a_3 and a_4 such that

$$F_1(a_1x + a_2y, a_3x + a_4y) = F_2(x, y)$$

for all x, y , where $a_1a_4 - a_2a_3 = \pm 1$.

We denote by N_F the number of solutions in coprime integers x and y of inequality $F(x, y) \leq h$. If F_1 and F_2 are equivalent, then $N_{F_1} = N_{F_2}$ and $D_{F_1} = D_{F_2}$.

For $F(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3$ with discriminant D , it follows by routine calculation that

$$A = b^2 - 3ac, \quad B = bc - 9ad, \quad C = c^2 - 3bd$$

and

$$B^2 - 4AC = -3D.$$

Note that if F has positive discriminant then H is positive definite.

Further, these forms are related to $F(x, y)$ via the identity

$$4H(x, y)^3 = G(x, y)^2 + 27DF(x, y)^2. \quad (6)$$

Binary cubic form F is called *reduced* if the Hessian

$$H(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$$

of F satisfies

$$C \geq A \geq |B|.$$

It is a basic fact (see [5]) that every cubic form of positive discriminant is equivalent to a reduced form $F(x, y)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be an irreducible binary cubic form with positive discriminant D and Hessian H . Assume that F is reduced. For all integer pair (x_1, y_1) with $y_1 \neq 0$, we have $H(x_1, y_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3D}$.*

PROOF. This is Lemma 5.1 of [1]. □

3. Reduction to a diagonal form

Let $\sqrt{-3D}$ be a fixed choice of the square-root of $-3D$. we will work in the number field $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$. By syzygy (6), one may write

$$H(x, y)^3 = U(x, y)V(x, y)$$

where

$$U(x, y) = \frac{G(x, y) + 3\sqrt{-3D}F(x, y)}{2},$$

$$V(x, y) = \frac{G(x, y) - 3\sqrt{-3D}F(x, y)}{2}.$$

Then U and V are cubic forms with coefficients belonging to M such that corresponding coefficients of U and V are complex conjugates. Since F must be also irreducible over M , U and V do not have factors in common. It follows that $U(x, y)$ and $V(x, y)$ are cubes of linear forms over M , say $\xi(x, y)$ and $\eta(x, y)$.

Note that $\xi(x, y)\eta(x, y)$ must be a quadratic form which is cube root of $H(x, y)^3$ and for which the coefficient of x^3 is a positive real number. Hence we have

$$\xi(x, y)^3 - \eta(x, y)^3 = 3\sqrt{-3D}F(x, y), \quad (7)$$

$$\xi(x, y)^3 + \eta(x, y)^3 = G(x, y), \quad (8)$$

$$\xi(x, y)\eta(x, y) = H(x, y) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{\xi(x, y)}{\xi(1, 0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\eta(1, 0)} \in M.$$

The reason for the last identity is that for any pair of rational integers x_0, y_0 ,

$$\xi(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x_0, y_0)$$

are complex conjugates and the discriminant of H is $-3D$.

We call a pair of forms ξ and η satisfying the above properties a pair of *resolvent* forms. Note that there are exactly three pairs of resolvent forms, given by

$$(\xi, \eta), (\omega\xi, \omega^2\eta), (\omega^2\xi, \omega\xi),$$

where ω is a primitive cube root of unity.

We say that a pair of rational integers (x, y) is related to a pair of resolvent forms if

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| = \min_{0 \leq k \leq 2} \left| \omega^k - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right|. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 3.1 (EVERTSE, 1983). *Let F be a binary cubic form with integral coefficients, positive discriminant D and quadratic covariant H . Let k be a positive integer. Then the number of solutions of the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq k$$

in integers x, y with

$$H(x, y) \geq \frac{3}{2}(3D)^{1/2}k^3, \quad \gcd(x, y) = 1, \quad y > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (x, y) = (1, 0)$$

is at most 9.

The following is Lemma 1 of [6].

Lemma 3.2. *If $D > 0$ and if F is reduced and irreducible then*

$$H(x, y) \geq \frac{3}{4}D^{1/2}y^2 \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$H(x, y) \geq \frac{3}{2}D^{1/2}y^2 \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |x| \geq |2y|.$$

EVERTSE [6] uses the properties of a reduced form stated in Lemma 3.2 to obtain the following:

Theorem 3.3 (EVERTSE, 1983). *Let F be a reduced, irreducible binary cubic form of positive discriminant with integral coefficients and let k be a positive integer. Then the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq k$$

has at most nine solutions in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $y \geq 12^{1/4}k^{3/2}$.

Having Theorem 3.1 in hand and from (9), we conclude that there are at most 9 solutions (x, y) to inequality $|F| \leq h$ for which

$$|\xi(x, y)| \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}h^{3/2}.$$

All we have to do is to give an upper bound for the number of solutions (x, y) for which

$$|\xi(x, y)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}h^{3/2}.$$

In order to prove our main result, in Section 5, we will show

Theorem 3.4. *Let F be a reduced, irreducible binary cubic form of positive discriminant D with integral coefficients. Suppose that $h = \frac{(3D)^{1/4}}{2\pi} - \epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then the inequality*

$$0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $3 \frac{\log(\frac{3}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2})}{\log 2}$ solutions in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $0 < y < 12^{1/4}h^{3/2}$. Moreover, the inequality

$$0 < |F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $3 \frac{\log \frac{3}{s((\frac{3}{2})^\epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log 3D})}}{\log 2} + 3$ solutions in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $0 < y < 12^{1/4}h^{3/2}$.

Remark. In the above Theorem, two upper bounds are given. The first one is independent of the discriminant.

4. Gap principle

Let us fix the resolvent forms (ξ, η) . Our aim is to give an upper bound for the number of primitive solutions (x, y) that are related to (ξ, η) . We will first derive an upper bound for

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right|.$$

From our definitions, we have

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3} \right| = \frac{3\sqrt{3D}|F(x, y)|}{\xi(x, y)^3} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3D}h}{\xi(x, y)^3}$$

and will, in consequence of Lemma 2.1, assume $H(x, y) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3D}}{2}$, whereby

$$|\xi(x, y)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}. \quad (11)$$

Hence, by (7), we obtain

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3} \right| \leq \frac{6\sqrt{2}h}{(3D)^{1/4}}.$$

Remark. From here it is obvious that h has to be bounded in terms of D .

Let us define

$$z(x, y) = 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3}.$$

Since $\eta(x, y)/\xi(x, y)$ has modulus one, we have

$$|z| < 2.$$

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that (x, y) is a solution to $|F| \leq h$ and is related to a pair of resolvent form (ξ, η) . Let $z(x, y) = 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3}$. We have

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{6}|z|.$$

Further, if $|z| < 1$, we have

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{9}|z|.$$

PROOF. Put

$$3\theta = \arg \left(\frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3} \right).$$

We have

$$|\theta| < \frac{\pi}{3}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(3\theta)} = |z|,$$

when $|z| < 1$ we have

$$|\theta| < \frac{\pi}{9}.$$

We have assumed that (x, y) is related to (ξ, η) . Therefore

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| \leq |\theta|.$$

We conclude that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|3\theta|}{\sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(3\theta)}} \left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)^3}{\xi(x, y)^3} \right|.$$

By differential calculus $\frac{|3\theta|}{\sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(3\theta)}} = \frac{|6\theta|}{\sin \frac{3}{2}\theta}$ is increasing on the interval $0 < |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{3}$ and does not exceed $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{6} |z|,$$

and similarly, when $|z| < 1$, from the fact that $\frac{|3\theta|}{\sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(3\theta)}} < \frac{\pi}{3}$ whenever $0 < |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{9}$, we conclude that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\eta(x, y)}{\xi(x, y)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{9} |z|,$$

as desired. $\square$

Suppose that we have distinct solutions to $|F(x, y)| \leq h$, related to (ξ, η) and indexed by i , say (x_i, y_i) , with $|\xi(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})| \geq |\xi(x_i, y_i)|$. Let us write

$$\eta_i = \eta(x_i, y_i)$$

and

$$\xi_i = \xi(x_i, y_i).$$

Since $\xi(x, y)\eta(x, y) = H(x, y)$ is a quadratic form of discriminant $-3D$, it follows that

$$\xi_2\eta_1 - \xi_1\eta_2 = \pm\sqrt{-3D}(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$$

and, since $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ are distinct solutions to $|F(x, y)| \leq h$, we have

$$\sqrt{3D} \leq |\xi_2\eta_1 - \xi_1\eta_2| \leq |\xi_1||\xi_2| \left(\left| 1 - \frac{\eta_1}{\xi_1} \right| + \left| 1 - \frac{\eta_2}{\xi_2} \right| \right).$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\sqrt{3D} \leq |\xi_1||\xi_2| \frac{3\sqrt{3D}h\pi}{6} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi_1|^3} + \frac{1}{|\xi_2|^3} \right).$$

Since we assume that $|\xi_2| \geq |\xi_1|$,

$$\sqrt{3D} \leq |\xi_2| \frac{\sqrt{3D}h\pi}{2} \left(\frac{2}{|\xi_1|^2} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|\xi_2| \geq |\xi_1|^2 \frac{1}{h\pi}. \quad (12)$$

We have $h = \frac{(3D)^{1/4-\epsilon}}{2\pi}$. From (11), we have $|\xi_1| > \frac{(3D)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2}}$. This implies

$$|\xi_2| \geq (3D)^{1/4+\epsilon}.$$

Using (12) for ξ_3 and ξ_2 , we obtain

$$|\xi_3| \geq |\xi_2|^2 \frac{1}{h\pi} \geq 2(3D)^{1/4+3\epsilon}.$$

Applying (12) once more, we get $|\xi_4| \geq 8(3D)^{1/4+7\epsilon}$. Generally for $k > 2$, we have

$$|\xi_k| \geq 2^{2^{k-2}-1} (3D)^{1/4+(2^{k-1}-1)\epsilon}. \quad (13)$$

5. Counting small solutions

We shall use our gap principle established in the previous section, to detect an integer k for which

$$|\xi_k| > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} (3D)^{1/4} h^{3/2}.$$

After finding such k , we can deduce that there can be at most $k - 1$ solutions (x, y) related to a fixed pair of resolvent form (ξ, η) satisfying

$$|\xi(x, y)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}h^{3/2}.$$

To find such k , by (13), it is sufficient for k to be large enough to satisfy

$$2^{2^{k-2}-1}(3D)^{1/4+(2^{k-1}-1)\epsilon} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}h^{3/2}. \quad (14)$$

The following Lemma, together with Theorem 3.1, gives us our main result, Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let F be an irreducible binary cubic form of positive discriminant D with integral coefficients. Suppose that $h = \frac{(3D)^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}}{2\pi}$ with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $3 \frac{\log(\frac{3}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2})}{\log 2}$ solutions in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $0 < H(x, y) < \frac{3}{2}(3D)^{1/2}h^3$.

PROOF. First we substitute the value of $h = \frac{(3D)^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}}{2\pi}$ in equation (14) to get

$$(3D)^{(2^{k-1}-\frac{1}{2})\epsilon-\frac{3}{8}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{2^{2^{k-2}}}. \quad (15)$$

Note that if

$$\left(2^{k-1} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon - \frac{3}{8} \geq 0$$

then the inequality (15) will hold. This means there are at most

$$\frac{\log\left(\frac{3}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\log 2}$$

solutions related to each fixed pair of resolvent forms (ξ, η) . The statement of the lemma follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Let F be an irreducible binary cubic form of positive discriminant D with integral coefficients. Suppose that $h = \frac{(3D)^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}}{2\pi}$ with $0 \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then the inequality*

$$|F(x, y)| \leq h$$

has at most $3 \left(\frac{\log \left(\frac{3}{8\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\log 2} \right) + 3$ solutions in integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $0 < H(x, y) < \frac{3}{2}(3D)^{1/2}h^3$.

PROOF. Following the proof of Lemma 5.1, we notice that in order to satisfy inequality (15), it is sufficient to have

$$2^{k-2} \log 2 + \log(3D) \left(\left(2^{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon - \frac{3}{8} \right) > 0;$$

which means

$$\left(\left(2^{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon - \frac{3}{8} \right) \log(3D) > -2^{k-2} \log 2.$$

That is

$$\left(\left(2^{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon - \frac{3}{8} \right) > -\frac{2^{k-2} \log 2}{\log(3D)}.$$

From here,

$$2^{k-2} \left(\left(2 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right) \epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log(3D)} \right) > \frac{3}{8}.$$

If $k \geq 2$ then it suffices to have

$$2^{k-2} \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log(3D)} \right) > \frac{3}{8}$$

$$k - 2 > \frac{\log \frac{3}{8 \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log(3D)} \right)}}{\log 2}.$$

This means there are at most

$$\frac{\log \frac{3}{8 \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \epsilon + \frac{\log 2}{\log(3D)} \right)}}{\log 2} + 1$$

solutions with $|\xi(x, y)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(3D)^{1/4}h^{3/2}$ related to each pair of resolvent form (ξ, η) . Since we have 3 pairs of distinct resolvent forms, our proof is complete. $\square$

Now we can deduce Lemma 3.4 from Lemmata 5.1, 5.2 and 3.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank the referees for careful reading and helpful suggestions. This manuscript was written during my visits to Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn. I would like to thank both institutes for providing me with great work environments.

References

- [1] M. A. BENNETT, On the representation of unity by binary cubic forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 1507–1534.
- [2] E. BOMBIERI and W. M. SCHMIDT, On Thue's equation, *Invent. Math.* **88** (1987), 69–81.
- [3] J. H. E. COHN, The Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = y^n$, II, *Acta Arith.* **109.2** (2003), 205–206.
- [4] B. N. DELONE, Über die Darstellung der Zahlen durch die binäre kubischen Formen von negativer Diskriminante, *Math. Z.* **31** (1930), 1–26.
- [5] B. N. DELONE and D. K. FADEEV, The Theory of Irrationalities of the Third Degree, Translation of Math. Monographs, AMS **10** (1964).
- [6] J. H. EVERTSE, On the representation of integers by binary cubic forms of positive discriminant, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 117–138.
- [7] J. H. EVERTSE and K. GYÖRY, Effective finiteness results for binary forms with given discriminant, *Compositio Math.* **79** (1991), 169–204.
- [8] J. H. EVERTSE and K. GYÖRY, Thue inequalities with a small number of solutions, in: The mathematical heritage of C. F. Gauss, *World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore*, 1991, 204–224.
- [9] K. GYÖRY, Thue inequalities with a small number of primitive solutions, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **42** (2001), 199–209.
- [10] K. MAHLER, Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen II. Über die Anzahl der Darstellungen ganzer Zahlen durch Binärformen, *Math. Ann.* **108** (1933), 37–55.
- [11] C. L. SIEGEL, Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen, *Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.*, no. 1 (1929).
- [12] A. THUE, Berechnung aller Lösungen gewisser Gleichungen von der form $ax^r - by^r = f$, *Vid. Skrifter I Mat.-Naturv. Klasse* (1918), 1–9.
- [13] C. L. STEWART, On the number of solutions of polynomial congruences and Thue equations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1991), 793–835.
- [14] I. WAKABAYASHI, Cubic Thue inequalities with negative discriminant, *J. Number Theory* **97** (2002), 222–251.

SHABNAM AKHTARI
 FENTON HALL
 UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
 EUGENE, OR 97403-1222
 USA

E-mail: akhtari@uoregon.edu

(Received December 8, 2012; revised July 10, 2013)