

Corrigendum to “Chern connection of a pseudo-Finsler metric as a family of affine connections”

By MIGUEL ANGEL JAVALOYES (Murcia)

Abstract. In this note we give the correct statements of [2, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4] and a formula of the Chern curvature in terms of the curvature tensor R^V of the affine connection ∇^V and the Chern tensor P .

1. Curvature of two parameter maps

Throughout this note, we will use the same notation and conventions as in [2] with a small exception: in local calculation $(\Omega, (u^i)_{i=1}^n)$ denotes a chart on the base manifold M , and $(\pi^{-1}(\Omega), (x^i)_{i=1}^n, (y^i)_{i=1}^n)$ is the induced chart on TM . Then $x^i = u^i \circ \pi$, $y^i(v) = v(u^i)$ for every $v \in T_p M$, $p \in \Omega$. We agree to abbreviate composite mappings like $f \circ g$ as $f(g)$. Vector fields on Ω can naturally be regarded as local sections of the pull-back bundle $\pi^*(TM)$; we use such harmless identifications all the time.

Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 in [2] are not correct. In particular, the problem in Proposition 3.3 is that $R^V(V, U)W$ depends also on $D_\gamma^\gamma U(\gamma(a))$, so it is not independent of the extension of u . The corrected versions of such results

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C50, 53C60.

Key words and phrases: Finsler metrics, Chern connection, flag curvature.

This work was partially supported by MINECO (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad) and FEDER (Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional) project MTM2012-34037 and Fundación Séneca project 04540/GERM/06, Spain. This research is a result of the activity developed within the framework of the Programme in Support of Excellence Groups of the Región de Murcia, Spain, by Fundación Séneca, Regional Agency for Science and Technology (Regional Plan for Science and Technology 2007–2010).

are given in Theorems 2.2 and 1.1, respectively. In order to formulate the correct results we need to associate a curvature operator to every two-parameter map.

Let us begin with some definitions. Given a pseudo-Finsler manifold (M, L) and an L -admissible vector field V on $\Omega \subset M$, we define a $(1, 3)$ tensor P_V by

$$P_V(X, Y, Z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla_X^{V+tZ} Y) |_{t=0},$$

where X, Y, Z are arbitrary smooth vector fields on Ω . Let us observe that the tensor P_V is symmetric in its first two arguments because ∇^V is torsion-free. Moreover, in coordinates P_V is given by

$$P_V(X, Y, Z) = X^j Y^k Z^l P_{jkl}^i(V) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k},$$

where $P_{jkl}^i(V) = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^i}{\partial y^l}(V)$. In particular, it is clear that the value of $P_V(X, Y, Z)$ at a point $p \in \Omega$ depends only on $V(p)$ and not on the extension V used to compute it. From the homogeneity of ∇^V , namely, from the property $\nabla^{\lambda V} = \nabla^V$ for every $\lambda > 0$, it follows easily that

$$P_V(X, Y, V) = 0. \quad (1)$$

In [4, equation (7.23)], this tensor is called the *Chern curvature*. To avoid confusion with the Chern curvature in [2, equation (15)] we will refer to it as *Chern tensor*. Observe that there is a misprint in [2, equation (15)]. The right formula for Chern curvature is

$$R_v(V(q), U(q))W(q) = V^k U^l W^j(q) R_j^i{}_{kl}(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}(q), \quad q := \pi(v).$$

Let us also define the curvature of any L -admissible two parameter map

$$\Lambda : [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M, \quad (t, s) \rightarrow \Lambda(t, s).$$

(Here ‘ L -admissible’ means that $\Lambda_t(t, s) \in A$ for every $(t, s) \in [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$). To avoid problems with differentiability, we assume that this map can be extended to a smooth map defined in an open subset $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $[a, b] \subset (\bar{a}, \bar{b})$. Then for every smooth vector field W along Λ we define the curvature operator

$$R^\Lambda(W) := D_{\gamma_s}^{\Lambda_t} D_{\beta_t}^{\Lambda_t} W - D_{\beta_t}^{\Lambda_t} D_{\gamma_s}^{\Lambda_t} W,$$

(see the notation in [2, Section 3.1]). In the following theorem we will relate the curvature of a two parameter map with the Chern curvature and the tensor P_V . Then we obtain the correct version of [2, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, L) be a pseudo-Finsler manifold. Consider an L -admissible smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$, an L -admissible two parameter map $\Lambda : [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ such that $\Lambda(\cdot, 0) = \gamma$ and a smooth vector field W along Λ . With the above notation,*

$$R^\Lambda(W) = R_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, U)W + P_\gamma(U, W, D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}) - P_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, W, D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}U), \quad (2)$$

where U is the variational vector field of Λ along γ , namely, $U(t) = \Lambda_s(t, 0)$.

PROOF. Observe that using the formula for $D_{\gamma_s}^{\Lambda_t} D_{\beta_t}^{\Lambda_t} W - D_{\beta_t}^{\Lambda_t} D_{\gamma_s}^{\Lambda_t} W$ in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] and formulas (13) and (14) in [2], we get

$$\begin{aligned} R^\Lambda(W) = & \left[W^i U^j \dot{\gamma}^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(\dot{\gamma}) + W^i U^j \Lambda_{tt}^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p}(\dot{\gamma}) - W^i \dot{\gamma}^j U^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(\dot{\gamma}) \right. \\ & \left. - W^i \dot{\gamma}^j \Lambda_{ts}^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p}(\dot{\gamma}) + W^i U^j \dot{\gamma}^m (\Gamma_{ij}^l(\dot{\gamma}) \Gamma_{lm}^k(\dot{\gamma}) - \Gamma_{im}^l(\dot{\gamma}) \Gamma_{lj}^k(\dot{\gamma})) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Lambda_{tt}^p = (D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma})^p - \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j \Gamma_{ij}^p(\dot{\gamma}), \quad \Lambda_{ts}^p = (D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}U)^p - \dot{\gamma}^i U^j \Gamma_{ij}^p(\dot{\gamma}),$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} R^\Lambda(W) = & \left[W^i U^j \dot{\gamma}^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(\dot{\gamma}) - W^i U^j \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \Gamma_{mn}^p(\dot{\gamma}) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p}(\dot{\gamma}) \right. \\ & - W^i \dot{\gamma}^j U^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(\dot{\gamma}) + W^i \dot{\gamma}^j \dot{\gamma}^m U^n \Gamma_{mn}^p(\dot{\gamma}) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(\dot{\gamma}) \\ & + W^i U^j (D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma})^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p}(\dot{\gamma}) - W^i \dot{\gamma}^j (D_\gamma^\dot{\gamma}U)^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p}(\dot{\gamma}) \\ & \left. + W^i U^j \dot{\gamma}^m (\Gamma_{ij}^l(\dot{\gamma}) \Gamma_{lm}^k(\dot{\gamma}) - \Gamma_{im}^l(\dot{\gamma}) \Gamma_{lj}^k(\dot{\gamma})) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}(\gamma). \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (7) follows easily from the last relation and definitions taking into account that $\dot{\gamma}^i \Gamma_{ij}^k(\dot{\gamma}) = N_j^k(\dot{\gamma})$. $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can define

$$R^\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, U)W := R^\Lambda(\tilde{W})$$

for any vector fields U and W along γ , where Λ is any L -admissible two parameter map such that $\Lambda(\cdot, 0) = \gamma$ and $\Lambda_s(t, 0) = U(t)$, and $\tilde{W}$ is any extension of W to Λ . The last theorem ensures that R^γ does not depend on the choice of two parameter map, neither on the extension of W .

Lemma 1.2. *Given a pseudo-Finsler manifold (M, L) and its Chern tensor P , we have that $P_v(v, v, u) = 0$ for any $v \in A$ and $u \in T_{\pi(v)}M$.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that $y^i y^j \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^p} = 0$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n$. Using that $y^i \Gamma_{ij}^k = N_j^k$ we get

$$y^i \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l} = \frac{\partial N_j^k}{\partial y^l} - \Gamma_{lj}^k. \quad (4)$$

Since the functions $N_j^i(v)$ are positive homogeneous of degree one, we have

$$y^l \frac{\partial N_j^k}{\partial y^l} = N_j^k. \quad (5)$$

Using (4) and $y^j \Gamma_{lj}^k = N_l^k$, it follows that

$$y^j y^i \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l} = y^j \frac{\partial N_j^k}{\partial y^l} - y^j \Gamma_{lj}^k = y^j \frac{\partial N_j^k}{\partial y^l} - N_l^k. \quad (6)$$

Introduce the spray coefficients $G^i = \gamma_{jk}^i y^j y^k$ and observe that $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} = N_j^i$ (see [1, equation (3.8.2)]). Using the last relation and (5), we get

$$y^j \frac{\partial N_j^k}{\partial y^l} = y^j \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^l \partial y^j} = y^j \frac{\partial N_l^k}{\partial y^j} = N_l^k.$$

Substituting this in (6) we finally conclude that $y^j y^i \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l} = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 1.3. *For any L -admissible curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ we have*

$$R^\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, U)\dot{\gamma} = R_{\dot{\gamma}(a)}(\dot{\gamma}(a), U)\dot{\gamma} + P_{\dot{\gamma}}(U, \dot{\gamma}, D_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the value of $R^\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, U)\dot{\gamma}$ at $t = s_0 \in [a, b]$ depends only on $U(s_0)$ and γ , and not on the particular extension of U used to compute it.

PROOF. A straightforward consequence from (2) and Lemma 1.2. $\square$

2. Relation with the curvature tensor R^V

Let us see how the curvature tensor R^V relates to the Chern curvature R_V and the Chern tensor P_V .

Theorem 2.1. *Let (M, L) be a pseudo-Finsler manifold, V an L -admissible vector field on an open subset $\Omega \subset M$, and let X, Y, Z be arbitrary smooth vector fields on Ω . Then*

$$R^V(X, Y)Z = R_V(X, Y)Z + P_V(Y, Z, \nabla_X^V V) - P_V(X, Z, \nabla_Y^V V). \quad (8)$$

PROOF. By definition, using the same notation as in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3], over Ω we have

$$R^V(X, Y)Z = \left[Z^i Y^j X^p \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} - Z^i X^j Y^p \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} + Z^i Y^j X^m \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{lm}^k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{lj}^k \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}. \quad (9)$$

Now observe that $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(V) + \frac{\partial V^l}{\partial u^p} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V)$ and $(\nabla_X^V V)^k = X^p \frac{\partial V^k}{\partial u^p} + X^p V^l \Gamma_{pl}^k(V)$. Using this, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} X^p \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} &= X^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(V) + X^p \frac{\partial V^l}{\partial u^p} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V) \\ &= X^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(V) + (\nabla_X^V V)^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V) - X^m V^n \Gamma_{mn}^l(V) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $V^n \Gamma_{mn}^l(V) = N_m^l(V)$, we get

$$X^p \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} = X^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(V) + (\nabla_X^V V)^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V) - X^m N_m^l(V) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V). \quad (10)$$

In the same way,

$$Y^p \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k}{\partial u^p} = Y^p \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^p}(V) + (\nabla_Y^V V)^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V) - Y^m N_m^l(V) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial y^l}(V). \quad (11)$$

Substituting (10) and (11) in (9), we obtain (8) in coordinates. $\square$

Finally we can give the correct version of [2, Proposition 3.3].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a smooth embedded L -admissible curve and V an L -admissible smooth vector field defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset M$. Assume that $\gamma([a, b]) \subset \Omega$ and V coincides with $\dot{\gamma}$ along γ . Then*

$$R^\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, U)W = \left(R^V(V, \tilde{U})\tilde{W} + P_V(V, \tilde{W}, [\tilde{U}, V]) \right)(\gamma), \quad (12)$$

where U and W are smooth vector fields along γ , and $\tilde{U}, \tilde{W}$ are extensions of U, W to Ω , resp.

PROOF. This follows easily from (2) and (8) since ∇^V is torsion-free. $\square$

Remark 2.3. Observe that the expression in [2, Corollary 3.5] is valid. Indeed, more generally, for every $v \in A$ and $u, w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$, it holds that

$$K_v(u, w) = \frac{g_v((R^{\gamma_v}(\dot{\gamma}_v, U)W)(t_0), \dot{\gamma}_v(t_0))}{L(v)g_v(u, w) - g_v(v, u)g_v(v, w)}, \quad (13)$$

where γ_v is the geodesic such that $\dot{\gamma}_v(t_0) = v$ and U, W are arbitrary extensions of u, w along γ_v . Recall the $K_v(u, w)$, the predecessor of the flag curvature, is defined as

$$K_v(u, w) = \frac{g_v(R_v(v, u)w, v)}{L(v)g_v(u, w) - g_v(v, u)g_v(v, w)}.$$

To prove (13), we show that if γ is a geodesic, then

$$g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, U)W, \dot{\gamma}) = -g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, U)\dot{\gamma}, W) \quad (14)$$

where $g_{\dot{\gamma}}$ is given by the rule $g_{\dot{\gamma}}(X, Y) := g_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}(X(t), Y(t))$ for any two vector fields X, Y along γ . This holds trivially in the interior of the set where U is proportional to $\dot{\gamma}$, because in this case $[\tilde{U}, V]$ is proportional to V , and then applying (1) and the antisymmetry of R^V in its first two arguments to (12), we get $R^{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, U)W = R^{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, U)\dot{\gamma} = 0$. If $\dot{\gamma}$ and U are linearly independent, then we can choose extensions V and $\tilde{U}$ with $[\tilde{U}, V] = 0$, and (12) together with [2, Proposition 3.1] and [3, Lemma 3.10] conclude (14). By continuity we can extend (14) to the interval of definition of γ . As the right hand side of (14) does not depend on the extension U of u along γ , we can compute the left hand side assuming that $D_{\dot{\gamma}}U = 0$, and using (2), we get (13).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I would like to warmly acknowledge D. KERTÉSZ and Professor J. SZILASI for pointing out the mistake in [2, Proposition 3.3].

References

- [1] D. BAO, S.-S. CHERN and Z. SHEN, An Introduction to Riemann–Finsler Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, *Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000*.
- [2] M. Á. JAVALOYES, Chern connection of a pseudo-Finsler metric as a family of affine connections, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **84** (2014), 29–43.
- [3] M. Á. JAVALOYES and B. L. SOARES, Geodesics and Jacobi fields of pseudo-Finsler manifolds, 2014, arXiv:1401.8149 [math.DG].

- [4] Z. SHEN, Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces, *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, 2001.

MIGUEL ANGEL JAVALOYES
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA
CAMPUS DE ESPINARDO
30100 ESPINARDO, MURCIA
SPAIN

E-mail: majava@um.es

(Received May 14, 2014; revised July 9, 2014)