

Trans-Sasakian manifolds homothetic to Sasakian manifolds

By SHARIEF DESMUKH (Riyadh), UDAY CHAND DE (Calcutta)
and FALLEH AL-SOLAMY (Jeddah)

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. We also show that if a compact trans-Sasakian manifold admits an isometric immersion in the Euclidean space R^4 with Reeb vector field being transformation of unit normal vector field under the complex structure of R^4 , then it is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. We also introduce the axiom of flat torus for a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold and show that a 3-dimensional connected trans-Sasakian manifold with Ricci curvature in the direction of Reeb vector field a nonzero constant, satisfying axiom of flat torus is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

1. Introduction

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold (cf. [1]). Then the product $\overline{M} = M \times R$ has natural almost complex structure J with the product metric G being almost Hermitian metric. The geometry of the almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, J, G)$ dictates the geometry of the almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and gives different structures on M , a Sasakian structure, a quasi-Sasakian structure, a Kenmotsu structure and others (cf. [1], [2], [12]). It is known that there are sixteen different types of structures on the almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, J, G)$ (cf. [10]), using the structure in the class $\mathcal{W}_4$ on $(\overline{M}, J, G)$ a structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ on M called a trans-Sasakian structure

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53D10.

Key words and phrases: Sasakian manifold, trans-Sasakian manifold, Jacobi-type vector field, axiom of flat torus.

is introduced (cf. [16]) which generalizes Sasakian structure and Kenmotsu structure on an almost contact metric manifold (cf. [2], [14]), where α, β are smooth functions defined on M . Since the introduction of trans-Sasakian manifolds, very important contributions of BLAIR and OUBIÑA [2] and MARRERO [14] have appeared studying the geometry of trans-Sasakian manifolds. In general, a trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is called a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) . The trans-Sasakian manifolds of type $(0, 0)$, $(\alpha, 0)$ and $(0, \beta)$ are called the cosymplectic, α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds, respectively. Some authors have studied α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds with α, β as constants, however in this paper we consider α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds with both α, β as functions. MARRERO [14] has shown that a trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension ≥ 5 is either a cosymplectic manifold, an α -Sasakian manifold or a β -Kenmotsu.

Since then there have been an emphasis on studying the geometry of 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds, putting some restrictions on the smooth functions α, β appearing in the definition of trans-Sasakian manifolds or the Reeb vector field ξ . There are several examples of trans-Sasakian manifolds constructed mostly on 3-dimensional non-compact simply connected Riemannian manifolds (cf. [2], [15]). Recall that a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) is said to be proper if neither of the functions α or β is zero. As MARRERO [14] has classified trans-Sasakian manifolds in dimension ≥ 5 and has shown that there are no proper trans-Sasakian manifolds in these dimensions, one naturally raises the question: ‘under what conditions a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is not proper?’.

This question was taken up in [9], and in this paper we continue answering this question by obtaining two different necessary and sufficient conditions for a trans-Sasakian manifold to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

It is well known that a Killing vector field is a Jacobi-type vector field and the converse is not true (see [7] for a definition of Jacobi-type vector fields) and that the Reeb vector field on a Sasakian manifold being Killing is a Jacobi-type vector field. We use this fact to show that the Reeb vector field of a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold with the Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$ a positive constant, is a Jacobi-type vector field if and only if the trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (see Theorem 3.1).

We also show that the Reeb vector field ξ of a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold with Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$ a constant, is a conformal vector field if and only if the trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (see Theorem 3.2). It is known that a compact 3-dimensional smooth manifold can be immersed in the Euclidean space R^4 (cf. [5]); we use this

result that a 3-dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifold can be immersed in the Euclidean space R^4 and under the condition that this immersion is an isometric immersion with Reeb vector field ξ is related to the unit normal vector field N of the immersion by $\xi = -JN$, to show that the trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (In fact, isometric to $S^3(c)$ see Theorem 4.1).

Finally, we introduce the axiom of flat torus for a 3-dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifold analogous to such axioms in [3], [4] and [17] and show that a trans-Sasakian manifold with nonzero constant $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$, satisfying this axiom, is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (see Theorem 5.1).

2. Preliminaries

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional contact metric manifold, with φ is a $(1,1)$ -tensor field, ξ is a unit vector field and η is smooth 1-form dual to ξ with respect to the Riemannian metric g such that

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \varphi(\xi) = 0, \eta \circ \varphi = 0, g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.1)$$

$X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on M (cf. [1]). If there are smooth functions α, β on an almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ satisfying

$$(\nabla \varphi)(X, Y) = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X), \quad (2.2)$$

then it is called a trans-Sasakian manifold. ($(\nabla \varphi)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \varphi Y - \varphi(\nabla_X Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and ∇ is the Levi Civita connection with respect to the metric g , cf. [2], [7], [10].) We shall denote this trans-Sasakian manifold by $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ and call it a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) . From equations (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \varphi(X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (2.3)$$

It is clear that a trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(1,0)$ is a Sasakian manifold (cf. [1]) and a trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(0,1)$ is Kenmotsu manifold (cf. [10]). A trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(0,0)$ is called a cosymplectic manifold (cf. [9]).

Let Ric be the Ricci tensor of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then the Ricci operator Q is a symmetric tensor field of type $(1,1)$ defined by $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We state following results, which we need in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [9] *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then $\xi(\alpha) + 2\alpha\beta = 0$.*

Lemma 2.2. [9] *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then its Ricci operator satisfies*

$$Q(\xi) = \varphi(\nabla\alpha) - \nabla\beta + 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\xi - g(\nabla\beta, \xi)\xi,$$

where $\nabla\alpha, \nabla\beta$ are the gradients of the smooth functions α, β .

Theorem 2.1. [15] *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. If M admits a Killing vector field ξ of constant length satisfying*

$$k^2(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi) = g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, Y)\xi; \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

for a nonzero constant k , then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

Recall that a smooth vector field u on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a Jacobi-type vector field if it satisfies (cf. [7], [8])

$$\nabla_X \nabla_X u - \nabla_{\nabla_X X} u + R(u, X)X = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (2.4)$$

where R is the curvature tensor field. It is clear that each Killing vector field is a Jacobi-type vector field, however a Jacobi-type vector field need not be a Killing vector field. For example, the position vector field on the Euclidean space R^n is a Jacobi-type vector field which is not a Killing vector field.

3. The Reeb vector field ξ as Jacobi-type vector field

Recall that the Reeb vector field ξ on a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold is a Killing vector field and therefore it is a Jacobi-type vector field. Note that an α -Sasakian manifold with constant α satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 and is therefore homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. However, owing to the importance of Theorem 2.1, we shall refer to it for proving that a trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold instead of the above observation about an α -Sasakian manifold with constant α .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. Suppose that the Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$ of (M, g) is a nonzero constant. Then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold if and only if the vector field ξ is a Jacobi-type vector field.*

PROOF. Suppose ξ is a Jacobi-type vector field. Then (2.4) gives

$$\nabla_X \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X X} \xi + R(\xi, X)X = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Using (2.3) in the equation above, after an easy calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -X(\alpha)\varphi X + X(\beta)X + 2\alpha\beta\eta(X)\varphi X + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(X)X \\ & - \{X(\beta) + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)g(X, X) + 2\beta^2\eta(X)^2\}\xi \\ & + R(\xi, X)X = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking trace, we find

$$Q(\xi) = \varphi(\nabla\alpha) - \nabla\beta + \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \xi(\beta)\}\xi.$$

Now, combining this equation with Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\xi(\beta) = -2\beta^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Using (2.3), it follows that

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = 2\beta. \quad (3.2)$$

Equations (3.1) and (3.2) give

$$\operatorname{div}(\beta^3\xi) = 3\beta^2\xi(\beta) + \beta^3\operatorname{div} \xi = -4\beta^4,$$

so by Stokes' theorem $\beta = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $\operatorname{Ric}(\xi, \xi) = 2\alpha^2$ is nonzero constant, therefore α is a nonzero constant and thus equations (2.2) and (2.3) give

$$\alpha^{-2}(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi) = g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

thus proving that M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (cf. Theorem 2.1). The converse is obvious.

Recall that a smooth vector field ξ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a conformal vector field if

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) = 2\rho g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ is the Lie derivative with respect to ξ and ρ is a smooth function on M . Now, we prove the following:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold whose Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$ is nonzero constant. Then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold if and only if the vector field ξ is a conformal vector field.*

PROOF. Suppose ξ is a conformal vector field. Using equations (2.3) and (3.3), we get

$$\beta g(X, Y) - \beta \eta(X)\eta(Y) = \rho g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (3.4)$$

Taking $X = Y = \xi$ in the equation above, we obtain $\rho = 0$. Hence ξ is a Killing vector field and, consequently, is a Jacobi-type vector field. Thus we get the result by Theorem 3.1. Conversely, if $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold, the vector field ξ is Killing and therefore a conformal vector field.

4. Trans-Sasakian manifolds isometrically immersed in R^4

It is well known that if $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a 3-dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifold, then there exists a smooth immersion $\Psi : M \rightarrow R^4$ (cf. [3]). This immersion need not be an isometric immersion in to the Euclidean space $(R^4, \langle, \rangle)$. It is known that this Euclidean space has a complex structure J such that $(R^4, J, \langle, \rangle)$ is a Kaehler manifold. In this section, we show that if the immersion $\Psi : M \rightarrow R^4$ is an isometric immersion with unit normal N with $\xi = -JN$, then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. Then there exists an isometric immersion of M in the Euclidean space R^4 with unit normal N satisfying $\xi = -JN$ if, and only if, M is isometric to the Sasakian manifold $S^3(\alpha^2)$.*

PROOF. Let $\Psi : M \rightarrow R^4$ be the isometric immersion. The Euclidean space $(R^4, J, \langle, \rangle)$ is a Kaehler manifold with complex structure J and the Euclidean metric $\langle, \rangle$. We denote by A the shape operator of the hypersurface M . Define an operator $\psi : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by $JX = \psi(X) + \eta(X)N$, where $\psi(X)$ is tangential component of JX to M . Then using the properties of complex structure J and Gauss–Wiengarten formulae for the hypersurface we immediately get the following:

$$\psi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \psi(\xi) = 0, \eta(\psi(X)) = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (4.1)$$

$$g(\psi X, \psi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\nabla_X \xi = \psi AX, \quad (\nabla_X \psi)(Y) = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (4.3)$$

Using (2.3) in the first equation of (4.3), we get

$$-\alpha\varphi X - \beta\varphi^2 X = \psi AX. \quad (4.4)$$

Since A is symmetric and ψ is skew-symmetric, we have $Tr(\psi A) = 0$. Taking trace in (4.4), we get $\beta = 0$. Then equations (2.3) and (4.3) give $\psi AX = -\alpha\varphi X$, that is $g(\psi AX, X) = 0$. Polarizing the equation $g(\psi X, AX) = 0$, we get $\psi AX = A\psi X$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, which leads to $\psi A\xi = 0$. Hence, $A\xi = \lambda\xi$ for a smooth function λ . Since $\beta = 0$, equation (2.3) assures that ξ is a Killing vector field and the one-parameter group $\{f_t\}$ of ξ consists of isometries which satisfy $df_t \circ A = A \circ df_t$. Hence

$$[\xi, AX] = A[\xi, X], \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Using equation (2.3) in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla A)(\xi, X) = \alpha A\varphi X - \alpha\varphi AX, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

which, together with the Codazzi equation for hypersurfaces, equation (2.3) and $A\xi = \lambda\xi$, gives

$$X(\lambda)\xi - \lambda\alpha\varphi X = -\alpha\varphi AX.$$

Taking inner product with ξ in the above equation we get $X(\lambda) = 0$. Thus λ is a constant and

$$\alpha\varphi(AX - \lambda X) = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (4.5)$$

Note that the Ricci curvature of the hypersurface M , by Lemma 2.2 is given by

$$\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) = 2\alpha^2, \quad (4.6)$$

and on a compact hypersurface of the Euclidean space, there exists a point where the Ricci curvature is strictly positive. Hence $\alpha \neq 0$, thus equation (4.5) on connected M gives $\varphi AX = \lambda\varphi X$. Operating φ on the last equation and using $A\xi = \lambda\xi$, we get $AX = \lambda X$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Thus $A = \lambda I$, and, consequently M is isometric to $S^3(\lambda^2)$. However, equation (4.6) gives $\alpha = \lambda$, therefore M is isometric to $S^3(\alpha^2)$.

The converse is trivial as $S^3(\alpha^2)$ has a Sasakian structure.

5. Axiom of flat torus for trans-Sasakian manifolds

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies the axiom of planes if there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold tangent to any 2-dimensional section of the tangent bundle TM at every point of the manifold (cf. [4]). Also, a Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies the axiom of 2-spheres, if for each $p \in M$ and each 2-dimensional subspace $\pi \subset T_p M$ of the tangent space $T_p M$, there exists a 2-dimensional umbilical submanifold N with parallel mean curvature vector field such that $p \in N$ and $\pi = T_p N$ (cf. [17]). Similarly, axioms of holomorphic and antiholomorphic planes are defined for Kaehler manifolds (cf. [3], [17]). These axioms are used to characterize the real and complex space forms. In this section we introduce the axiom of flat torus for a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold and show that a connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold whose Ricci curvature in the direction of the Reeb vector field ξ a nonzero constant and which satisfies the axiom of flat torus is homothetic to Sasakian manifold.

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold and $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ be the 2-dimensional flat torus with product metric of constant curvature 0. We say that the trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the axiom of flat torus if for each $p \in M$, there exists an isometric immersion $f : T^2 \rightarrow M$ tangential to ξ and $p \in f(T^2)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold with nonzero constant $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)$. If M satisfies the axiom of flat torus, then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.*

PROOF. We denote by the same letter g the metric of constant curvature 0 on the flat torus T^2 and by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection on the Riemannian manifold (T^2, g) . For an isometric immersion of T^2 into the trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$, we denote by N and A the local unit normal vector field and the shape operator, respectively. Then we have the following Gauss and Wiengarten formulae

$$\nabla_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \quad \nabla_X N = -AX, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2). \quad (5.1)$$

Since φ is skew symmetric, we have $\varphi N \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2)$, and thus we get a vector field $u \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2)$ defined by $u = -\varphi N$. Since the vector field ξ is tangential to T^2 and $\varphi \xi = 0$, we get $\eta(u) = 0$, and, consequently, the vector field u is a unit vector field and hence $\{u, \xi\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on T^2 . Let ω be the smooth 1-form dual to the unit vector field u . We set

$$\varphi X = \psi X + \omega(X)N, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2), \quad (5.2)$$

where ψX is the tangential component of φX to T^2 . As $\omega(\xi) = 0$, equation (5.2) gives $\psi(\xi) = 0$. Also, using $\varphi u = N$ in equation (5.2), we get $\psi u = 0$. Thus the orthonormal frame $\{u, \xi\}$ annihilates ψ , consequently the equation (5.2) reduces to

$$\varphi X = \omega(X)N, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2). \quad (5.3)$$

Now, using equations (2.2), (5.1) and (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X u &= -(\nabla \varphi)(X, N) + \varphi AX \\ &= -\beta \omega(X)\xi + \omega(AX)N, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2), \end{aligned}$$

which on equating tangential and normal components gives

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X u = -\beta \omega(X)\xi, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^2), \quad (5.4)$$

where, by abuse of notation, β means the restriction of the given β to T^2 . Also, equations (2.3), (5.1) and (5.3) give

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + g(AX, \xi)N = -\alpha \omega(X)N + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi),$$

that is,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(RP^2) \text{ and } A\xi = -\alpha u. \quad (5.5)$$

Equations (5.4) and (5.5) give, in particular,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\xi u = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_u \xi = \beta u, \tilde{\nabla}_\xi \xi = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_u u = -\beta \xi,$$

consequently the curvature tensor field $\tilde{R}$ of the Riemannian manifold (T^2, g) satisfies

$$\tilde{R}(u, \xi)\xi = 0 - \xi(\beta)u - \beta^2 u = -(\xi(\beta) + \beta^2)u.$$

Taking inner product with u in the above equation and using the fact that (T^2, g) is of constant curvature 0, we get

$$\xi(\beta) = -\beta^2 \quad (5.6)$$

on T^2 . Since, through each point of M , there passes T^2 , the above equation is valid on the whole M . Using the equation (5.6) in Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) = 2\alpha^2,$$

which proves that α is a nonzero constant. Then, on a connected M , Lemma 2.1, gives $\beta = 0$. Finally, equations (2.2) and (2.3) together with Theorem 2.1, prove that M is homothetic to Sasakian manifold.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (G-1436-130-241). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support. We express our sincere thanks to referees for many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] D. E. BLAIR, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 509, *Springer*, 1976.
- [2] D. E. BLAIR and J. A. OUBIÑA, Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **34** (1990), 199–207.
- [3] B. Y. CHEN and K. OGIUE, Some characterizations of complex space forms, *Duke Math. J.* **40** (1973), 797–799.
- [4] E. CARTAN, Lecons su la geometrie des espaces de Riemann, *Paris*, 1946.
- [5] B. CHOW, The Ricci flow: Techniques and Applications, Part 1: Geometric Aspects, *AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **135** (2007).
- [6] R. L. COHEN, The immersion conjecture for differentiable manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **122** (1985), 237–328.
- [7] S. DESHMUKH, Jacobi-type vector fields and Ricci solitons, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie* **55(103)** (2012), 41–50.
- [8] S. DESHMUKH, Real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, *Bull. Proc. Math. Sci.* **121** (2011), 171–179.
- [9] S. DESHMUKH and M. M. TRIPATHI, A note on trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Math. Slov.* **63** (2013), 1361–1370.
- [10] A. GRAY and L. M. HERVELLA, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [11] A. FUJIMOTO and H. MUTO, On cosymplectic manifolds, *Tensor* **28** (1974), 43–52.
- [12] K. A. KENMOTSU, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* **24** (1972), 93–103.
- [13] V. F. KIRICHENKO, On the geometry of nearly trans-Sasakian manifolds (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **397** (2004), 733–736.
- [14] J. C. MARRERO, The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **162** (1992), 77–86.
- [15] M. OKUMURA, Certain almost contact hypersurfaces in Kaehlerian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvatures, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **16** (1964), 270–284.
- [16] J. A. OUBIÑA, New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **32** (1985), 187–193.
- [17] D. VAN LINDT and L. VERSTRAELEN, A survey on axioms of submanifolds in Riemannian and Kaehlerian geometry, *Colloq. Math.* **54** (1987), 193–213.

SHARIEF DESHMUKH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
KING SAUD UNIVERSITY
P.O. BOX-2455 RIYADH-11451
SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: shariefd@ksu.edu.sa

UDAY CHAND DE
DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
WEST BENGAL
INDIA
E-mail: uc.de@yahoo.com

FALLEH AL-SOLAMY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY
P.O. BOX-80015 JEDDAH-21589
SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: falleh@hotmail.com

(Received June 9, 2015; revised October 13, 2015)