

Completely continuous commutator of Marcinkiewicz integral

By JIECHENG CHEN (Jinhua) and GUOEN HU (Zhengzhou)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ be the higher-dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral introduced by Stein. In this paper, by Fourier transform estimates, approximation and a sufficient condition for strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the authors proved that if $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, the commutator generated by b and $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is a completely continuous operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1. Introduction

As an analogy to the classical Littlewood–Paley g -function, MARCINKIEWICZ [20] introduced the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\pi \frac{|F(x+t) - F(x-t) - 2F(x)|^2}{t^3} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$. This operator is now called the Marcinkiewicz integral. ZYGMUND [26] proved that $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^p([0, 2\pi])$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$. STEIN [21] generalized the Marcinkiewicz operator to the case of higher dimension. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable and have mean value zero on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Define the Marcinkiewicz integral operator $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_{\Omega,t}f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.1)$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B15, 47B07.

Key words and phrases: commutator, Marcinkiewicz integral, completely continuous operator, Fourier transform estimate, Littlewood–Paley theory.

The second author is the corresponding author.

where

$$F_{\Omega,t}f(x) = \int_{|x-y|\leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This operator has been studied by many authors (see [1], [6], [12], [13], and the related references therein). STEIN [21] proved that if $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. Benedek, Calderón and Panzon showed that the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($p \in (1, \infty)$) boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ holds true under the condition that $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$. Using the one-dimensional result and Riesz transforms similarly as in the case of singular integrals (see [4]) and interpolation, WALSH [24] proved that for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{1/r}(\ln \ln L)^{2(1-2/r')}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $r = \min\{p, p'\}$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$. DING, FAN and PAN [12] proved that if $\Omega \in H^1(S^{n-1})$ (the Hardy space on S^{n-1}), then $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$; AL-SALMAM *et al.* [1] proved that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

The commutator of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is also of interest and has been considered by many authors. Let $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the commutator generated by $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ and b is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}f(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y|\leq t} (b(x) - b(y)) \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.2)$$

TORCHINSKY and WANG [22] showed that if $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1]$), then $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. HU and YAN [19] proved that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. CHEN and LU [5] improved the result in [19] and showed that if $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Let $\text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ topology, which coincides with $\text{VMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation introduced by COIFMAN and WEISS [11], see also [3]. UCHIYAMA [23] proved that if S is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, and $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the commutator of S defined by

$$[b, S]f(x) = b(x)Sf(x) - S(bf)(x)$$

is a compact operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($p \in (1, \infty)$) if and only if $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. CHEN, DING and WANG [8] considered the compactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and proved that if Ω satisfies certain regularity condition of Dini type, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is compact on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The purpose of this paper is to prove that, in order to guarantee the compactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the regularity condition of Ω is superfluous. To formulate our main result, we first recall some definitions.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a normed linear space and $\mathcal{X}^*$ be its dual space, $\{x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. If for all $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_k) - f(x)| = 0,$$

then $\{x_k\}$ is said to converge to x weakly, or $x_k \rightharpoonup x$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be two Banach spaces and S be a bounded operator from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

- (i) If for each bounded set $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{X}$, $S\mathcal{G} = \{Sx : x \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in $\mathcal{Y}$, then S is called a compact operator from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$;
- (ii) if for $\{x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$x_k \rightharpoonup x \text{ in } \mathcal{X} \Rightarrow \|Sx_k - Sx\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0,$$

then S is said to be a completely continuous operator.

It is well known that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a reflexive space and S is completely continuous from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, then S is also compact from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. On the other hand, if S is a linear compact operator from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, then S is also a completely continuous operator. However, if S is not linear, then the compactness of S does not imply that S is completely continuous. For example, the operator

$$Sx = \|x\|_{l^2}$$

is compact from l^2 to $\mathbb{R}$, but not completely continuous.

The main result in this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero on S^{n-1} . Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{3/2}(S^{n-1})$. Then for $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 1.1. Recently, CHEN and HU [7] considered the compactness of the commutator of homogeneous singular integral operators defined by

$$T_{\Omega}f(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy,$$

here Ω is homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on S^{n-1} and has mean value zero. Using the idea of approximating T_Ω by a sequence of operators with smooth kernels, Chen and Hu considered the compactness of the commutator of T_Ω when Ω satisfies

$$\sup_{\zeta \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\eta)| \left(\ln \frac{1}{|\eta \cdot \zeta|} \right)^\theta d\eta < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

for some $\theta > 2$. It should be pointed out that this idea comes from WATSON's paper [25]. In this paper, we will also employ the idea of Watson. However, the operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ are not linear, the proof of Theorem 1.1 involves much more technical problems, such as an appropriate sufficient condition of strongly pre-compact sets in space $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.4 below), and the argument in this paper is more complicated.

We make some conventions. In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved, but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. Let M be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. For $r \in (0, \infty)$, we use M_r to denote the operator $M_r f(x) = (M(|f|^r)(x))^{1/r}$.

2. Approximation

Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on S^{n-1} . For $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$K_t^j(x) = \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1}t < |x| \leq 2^j t\}}(x). \quad (2.1)$$

As it was proved in [15], there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $t \in [1, 2]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$|\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j \xi|^{-\alpha}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, if $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') dx' = 0$, then

$$|\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j \xi|\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_j f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with

$$F_j f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

For $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}$ be the commutator of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{j, b} f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with

$$F_{j, b} f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y)) K_t^j(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

A trivial computation leads to that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega} f(x) \approx \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega} f(x), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b} f(x) \approx \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b} f(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$, $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\phi_l(y) = 2^{-nl} \phi(2^{-l}y)$. It is easy to verify that for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\widehat{\phi}_l(\xi) - 1| \lesssim \min\{1, |2^l \xi|^\beta\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let

$$F_j^l f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

Define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.6)$$

For $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^l$ be the commutator of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l$, that is,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^l f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{j, b}^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$F_{j, b}^l f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y)) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U_{l, j; t}(y) = K_t^j * \phi_{l-j}(y) - K_t^j(y).$$

Let $E_0 = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : |\Omega(x')| \leq 2\}$ and $E_d = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : 2^d < |\Omega(x')| \leq 2^{d+1}\}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by Ω_d the restriction of Ω to E_d , namely, $\Omega_d(x') = \Omega(x')\chi_{E_d}(x')$. Set

$$U_{l,j;d,t}(y) = K_{d,t}^j * \phi_{l-j}(y) - K_{d,t}^j(y),$$

with

$$K_{d,t}^j(y) = \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{\Omega_d(x)}{|x|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1}t < |x| \leq 2^j t\}}(x).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$. Then for $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{l,j;t} * f_l(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_l|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.1, see [19].

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ be the operator defined by (2.6). Suppose that $\Omega \in L \ln L(S^{n-1})$, then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.8)$$

PROOF. It is obvious that

$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f(x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x)| \leq \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j |U_{l,j;t} * f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial function such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{1/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$ and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(2^{-i}\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| \neq 0.$$

Define the multiplier operator S_i by

$$\widehat{S_i f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-i}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Let

$$D_1 f(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^0 \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j |U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j} f)(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$D_2 f(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=Nd}^{\infty} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$D_3 f(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{Nd} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It then follows that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * f(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \|D_i f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We now estimate the term D_1 . By Fourier transform estimate, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &= \int_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dx dt \\ &= \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{K}_t^j(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{\phi_{j-l}}(\xi) - 1|^2 |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{j-l}\xi|^2 |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq 2^{2m} 2^{-2l} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, for $p \in (2, \infty)$, applying the Minkowski inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &\leq \int_1^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} dt \\ &\leq \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

To estimate

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for $p \in (1, 2)$, we consider the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}: \{h_j(x)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \{U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)\}.$$

Note that for any $t \in (1, 2)$,

$$|U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)| \lesssim MM_\Omega h_j(x) + M_\Omega h_j(x),$$

with M_Ω the maximal operator defined by

$$M_\Omega h(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |\Omega(x-y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

It is well known that M_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C\|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. A straightforward computation then tells us that for $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)|^{p_0} dt dx \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}^{p_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j(x)|^{p_0} dx. \quad (2.11)$$

Also, we have that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j(x)|,$$

which implies that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |U_{l,j;t} * h_j| \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j| \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.12)$$

For $p \in (1, 2)$, interpolating the inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) (with $p_0 \in (1, 2)$, $p_1 \in (2, \infty)$ and $1/p = 1/2 + (2 - p_0)/(2p_1)$) leads to that

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{l,j;t} * h_j|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j |U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{m-j}f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with (2.10), states that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Interpolating the inequalities (2.9) and (2.13) gives us that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{t_p m} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

with $t_p \in (0, 1)$ a constant depending only on p . Therefore,

$$\|D_1 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We turn our attention to the term D_2 . Again by the Plancherel theorem and the Fourier transform estimates (2.2) and (2.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &= \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{\phi_{j-l}}(\xi) - 1|^2 |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega_d\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})}^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^j \xi|^{-2\alpha} |2^{j-l} \xi|^\alpha |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega_d\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})}^2 2^{-l\alpha} 2^{-m\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

As in the inequality (2.13), we have that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \lesssim \|\Omega_d\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Interpolating the inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) then gives that

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega_d\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} 2^{-m\delta_p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This in turn implies that

$$\|D_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 2^d \sum_{m=Nd}^{\infty} 2^{-m\delta_p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

if we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > 2\delta_p$.

It remains to consider the term D_3 . Again as (2.13), we have that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j |U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega_d\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, in turn implies that

$$\|D_3 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 2^d \sum_{m=1}^{Nd} \|\Omega_d\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining the estimates for D_1 , D_2 and D_3 leads to (2.8). $\square$

The following result shows that $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ approximate to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}$ properly, and will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\gamma}(S^{n-1})$ for some $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l^{-\delta_p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

with δ_p a constant depending only on p and n .

PROOF. By the estimates in [17], we know that if Ω satisfies (1.3) for some $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, then, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [1, 2]$,

$$|\widehat{K}_t^j(\xi)| \lesssim \ln^{-\theta}(|2^j \xi|).$$

For each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let j_0 be the integer such that $2^{l/2-1} < |2^{j_0} \xi| \leq 2^{l/2}$. A trivial computation involving the Fourier transform estimates (2.1)–(2.3) leads to that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{K}_t^j(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(2^{j-l} \xi) - \widehat{K}_t^j(\xi)|^2 \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j \leq j_0} |2^{j-l} \xi|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j > j_0} \ln^{-2\gamma}(|2^j \xi|) \lesssim l^{-2\theta+1}.$$

This, via the Plancherel theorem, leads to

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l^{-\theta+\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, it was pointed out in [18] that, if $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\gamma}(S^{n-1})$ for $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then Ω satisfies (1.3) for $\theta \in (1, \gamma)$. Therefore, by interpolating the inequalities (2.8) and (2.16), we know that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l^{-\gamma+1/2+\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

with $\epsilon \in (0, \gamma - 1/2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will use some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and belong to $L^1(S^{n-1})$, K_t^j be defined as in (2.1). Then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in (1, \infty]$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| < 2^{j_0-4}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j > j_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn/s} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)|^{s'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{l(n+1)} 2^{-j_0} |y|. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We follow the argument used in [25] (see also [7]), with suitable modification. Observe that $\text{supp } K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x : 2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$, and

$$\|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot + y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{(j-l)n/s} 2^{j-l} |y|.$$

Thus, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k \frac{n}{s}} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)|^{s'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: |j-k| \leq 3} 2^{kn/s} \|K_t^j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot + y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{l(n+1)s} \frac{|y|}{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

This, in turn, leads to that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j > j_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn/s} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)|^{s'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ & = \sum_{k > j_0-3} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k \frac{n}{s}} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_\Omega^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_\Omega^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)|^{s'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{l(n+1)} 2^{-j_0} |y|, \end{aligned}$$

and completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. $\square$

For $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let K_t^j be defined as in (2.1), ϕ and ϕ_l (with $l \in \mathbb{Z}$) be as in Section 2. By Lemma 2.2 and the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$, we see that if Ω is homogeneous of degree zero, has mean value zero and $\Omega \in L \ln L(S^{n-1})$,

then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound independent of l . For $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j > j_0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the commutator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j > j_0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y)) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^\gamma(S^{n-1})$ for some $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound independent of l and j_0 .*

PROOF. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bounded independent of l . Observe that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) + \mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x),$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \leq j_0} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ is bounded on L^p with bound independent of j_0 and l . To this aim, we first note that if $\text{supp } f \subset Q$ for a cube Q having side length 2^{j_0} , then $\text{supp } \mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f \subset 20\sqrt{n}Q$. On the other hand, if $\{Q_k\}_k$ is a sequence of cubes with disjoint interiors and having side length 2^{j_0} , then the cubes $\{20\sqrt{n}Q_k\}$ have bounded overlaps. Thus, we may assume that $\text{supp } f \subset Q$, with Q a cube centered at $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and having side length 2^{j_0} . For such a $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that if $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$, then

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{j > j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt = 0.$$

Therefore, for $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$,

$$\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j_0 < j \leq j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) + M_\Omega M f(x).$$

The desired $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ then follows directly. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and integrable on S^{n-1} . Then for $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{j_0} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

PROOF. Let $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. By the fact that $\text{supp } K_\Omega^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x : 2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |x-y| |f(y)| dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \leq j_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{2^k < |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)| dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \leq j_0} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 3} 2^k \int_{2^k < |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)| dy \lesssim 2^{j_0} M_\Omega M f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0} f(x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^l f(x) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j < j_0} \int_1^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y)) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^2 dt \\ & \lesssim \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{j \leq j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y| |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y)| dy \right)^2 dt \lesssim \{2^{j_0} M_\Omega M f(x)\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion now follows immediately. $\square$

Let $p, r \in [1, \infty)$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of sequences of functions defined by

$$L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n) = \{\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\},$$

with

$$\|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(x, t)|^r \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

With usual addition and scalar multiplication, $L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathcal{G} \subset L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the following five conditions:*

- (a) $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded, that is, there exists a constant C such that for all $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}$,
 $\|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$;
- (b) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $A > 0$, such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon;$$

- (c) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\varrho > 0$, such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \sup_{|h| \leq \varrho} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, t) - f_k(x + h, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon;$$

- (d) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \sup_{|s| \leq \sigma} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(\cdot, t + s) - f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon,$$

- (e) for each fixed $D > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| > N} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, D)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon.$$

Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. We employ the argument used in the proof of [9, Theorem 5], with some refined modifications. We claim that for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta_\epsilon > 0$, and a mapping Φ_ϵ on $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\Phi_\epsilon(\mathcal{G}) = \{\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f}) : \vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strong pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and for any $\vec{f}, \vec{g} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f}) - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{g})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} < \delta \Rightarrow \|\vec{f} - \vec{g}\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} < 9\epsilon.$$

If we can prove this, then by Lemma 6 in [9], we see that $\mathcal{G}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now let $\epsilon > 0$. We choose $A > 1$ large enough as in assumption (b), $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| > N} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, 2A)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon.$$

Let $\varrho \in (0, 1/2)$ be small enough as in assumption (c), and $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ be small enough such that (d) holds true. Let Q be the largest cube centered at the origin such that $2Q \subset B(0, \varrho)$, $Q_1, \dots, Q_J$ be J copies of Q such that they are non-overlapping, and $\overline{B(0, A)} \subset \cup_{j=1}^J \overline{Q_j} \subset B(0, 2A)$, $I_1, \dots, I_L \subset [1, 2]$ be non-overlapping intervals with the same length $|I|$, such that $|s - t| \leq \sigma$ for all $s, t \in I_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, L$) and $\cup_{j=1}^N I_j = [1, 2]$. Define the mapping Φ_ϵ on $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})(x, t) = \left\{ \dots, 0, \dots, 0, \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_{-N}) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x, t), \right. \\ \left. \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_{-N+1}) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x, t), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_N) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x, t), 0, \dots, \right\},$$

where, and in the following,

$$m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k) = \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{Q_i \times I_j} f_k(x, t) dx dt.$$

Note that

$$|m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i||I_j|} \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} |f_k(y, t)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$, we have that by the Hölder inequality,

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p = |Q|^{1-\frac{p}{2}} |I|^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L \left(\int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(y, t)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(y, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy dt \leq \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2, \mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\sup_{-N \leq k \leq N} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x, t) \right| \lesssim \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |f_k(x, t)|,$$

which implies that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p_1}(L^\infty([1, 2]), l^\infty; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p_1}(L^\infty([1, 2]), l^\infty; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.1)$$

We also have that for $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$|m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i||I_j|} \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} |f_k(y, t)|^{p_0} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}},$$

and so

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p_0}(L^{p_0}([1, 2]), l^{p_0}; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p_0}(L^{p_0}([1, 2]), l^{p_0}; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.2)$$

By interpolation, we deduce from (3.1) and (3.2) that for any $p \in (1, 2)$,

$$\|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

Thus, $\Phi_\epsilon(\mathcal{G}) = \{\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f}) : \vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Denote $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{i=1}^J Q_i$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\vec{f}\chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(\cdot, t)\chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)\chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad + \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| > N} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, 2A)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \left\| \sup_{|h| \leq \varrho} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(\cdot, t) - f_k(\cdot + h, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p, \\ F &= \left\| \sup_{|s| \leq \sigma} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(\cdot, t) - f_k(\cdot, t + s)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that for $x \in Q_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq J$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, t)\chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^L m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)\chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x, t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} \int_{I_j} \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, t) - f_k(y, s)|^2 dy ds dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{2Q} \int_1^2 \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, s) - f_k(x + h, s)|^2 ds dh \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^L \int_{I_j} \int_{I_j} \sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, t) - f_k(x, s)|^2 dt ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

we then get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^J \int_{Q_i} \left\{ \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{|k| \leq N} |f_k(x, t) - \sum_{l=1}^J m_{Q_l}(f_k) \chi_{Q_l}(x)|^2 \right) dt \right\}^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \lesssim E + F.$$

It then follows from the assumption (b) that for all $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\vec{f} - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\vec{f} \chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\vec{f} - \vec{g}\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\vec{f} - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\Phi_\epsilon(\vec{f}) - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{g})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \|\vec{g} - \Phi_\epsilon(\vec{g})\|_{L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Our claim then follows directly. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. $\square$

For $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$F_{j,b}^l f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x) - b(y)) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$, $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } b \subset B(0, R)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. We claim that

(i) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

(ii) for $s \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j>j_0} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x+h, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{-j_0} |h| \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l, j_0} f(x) + 2^{l(n+1)} M_s f(x) \right);$$

(iii) for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\left\| \sup_{|s| \leq \sigma} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|j| \leq N} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, s+t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

(iv) for each fixed $D > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j>N} |F_{j,b}^l f(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, D)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We now prove claim (i). Let $t \in [1, 2]$. For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 4R$, observe that $\text{supp } K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$, and $\int_{|z|<R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-z)| dz \neq 0$ only if $2^j \approx |x|$. A trivial computation leads to that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z|<R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-z)| dz \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_{|z|<R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{n}{2}} \lesssim \left(\int_{\frac{|x|}{2} \leq |z| < 2|x|} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(z)|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|K_t^j\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|\phi_{j-l}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} R^{\frac{n}{2}} \lesssim 2^{nl/2} |x|^{-\frac{n}{2}} R^{\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{|y|<R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ & = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: 2^j \approx |x|} \left(\int_{|x|/2 \leq |y-x| \leq 2|x|} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \lesssim \left(M_{\Omega} M(|f|^s)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Another application of the Hölder inequality then yields

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t)|^2 \lesssim$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{|y| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s dy \right)^{\frac{2}{s}} \times \left(\int_{|y| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| dy \right)^{\frac{2}{s'}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{\frac{n_l}{s'}} |x|^{-\frac{n}{s'}} R^{\frac{n}{s'}} \left(M_\Omega M(|f|^s)(x) \right)^{\frac{2}{s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, in turn implies our claim (i).

We turn our attention to claim (ii). Write

$$|F_{j,b}^l f(x, t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x + h, t)| \leq |b(x) - b(x + h)| |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t)| + J_j^l f(x, t),$$

with

$$J_j^l f(x, t) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+h-y)) (b(x+h) - b(y)) f(y) dy \right|.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j > j_0} |J_j^l f(x, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\lesssim \sum_{j > j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+h-y)| |f(y)| dy \\
&\lesssim 2^{l(n+1)} |h| 2^{-j_0} M_s f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j > j_0} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x + h, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim |h| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) + 2^{l(n+1)} 2^{-j_0} |h| M_s f(x). \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

The claim (ii) now follows from the (3.3) and Lemma 3.2.

We now verify claim (iii). For each fixed $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ and $t \in [1, 2]$, let

$$U_{t,\sigma}^j(z) = \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\Omega(z)|}{|z|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^j(t-\sigma) \leq |z| \leq 2^j t\}} + \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|\Omega(z)|}{|z|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j+1}t \leq |z| \leq 2^{j+1}(t+\sigma)\}},$$

and

$$G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (U_{t,\sigma}^j * |\phi_{l-j}|)(x-y) |f(y)| dy.$$

Note that

$$\|U_{t,\sigma}^j * |\phi_{l-j}|\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sigma.$$

By the Young inequality, it is obvious that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \sup_{|j| \leq N} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f| \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sigma \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (3.4)$$

and for $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 \sum_{|j| \leq N} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x)|^{p_0} dt dx \lesssim N \sigma^{p_0} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_0}. \quad (3.5)$$

We get from (3.4) and (3.5) that for $p \in (1, 2)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|j| \leq N} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim N \sigma \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, for $p \in [2, \infty)$, we obtain from the Minkowski inequality and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|j| \leq N} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_1^2 \left(\sum_{|j| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (U_{l,t,\sigma}^j * |\phi_{l-j}|)(x-y) |f(y)| dy \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \lesssim \int_1^2 \left\{ \sum_{|j| \leq N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (U_{l,t,\sigma}^j * |\phi_{l-j}|)(x-y) |f(y)| dy \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}^2 dt \\ & \lesssim (2N\sigma)^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since

$$\sup_{|s| \leq \sigma} |F_{j,b}^l f(x, t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x, t+s)| \leq G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x),$$

our claim (iii) now follows from (3.6) and (3.7) immediately if we choose $\sigma = \epsilon/(2N)$.

It remains to prove (iv). Let $D > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{N-2} > D$. Then for $j > N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \leq D$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y)| \chi_{\{|y| \leq 2^{j+3}\}}(y) dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq 2^{j+3}} |f(y)| dy \|K_t^j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_{j-l}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{nl} 2^{-\frac{nj}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j > N} |F_{j,b}^l f(\cdot, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0,D)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{nl} \left(\frac{D}{2^N} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Our claims (i)–(iv), via Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, prove that for $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$, the operator $\mathcal{F}_{j_0}^l$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{j_0}^l : f(x) \rightarrow \{\dots, 0, \dots, F_{j_0, b}^l f(x, t), F_{j_0+1, b}^l f(x, t), \dots\}$$

is compact, and completely continuous from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, via Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.1, shows that for $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b} f_k(x) - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b} f(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}(f_k - f)(x) \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}(f_k - f)(x).$$

Thus, for $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recalling that when $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (see [5]), we finally obtain that for $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The research of the first author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant # 11271330, and the research of the second (corresponding) author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant # 11371370.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. AL-SALMAN, H. AL-QASSEM, L. C. CHENG and Y. PAN, L^p bounds for the function of Marcinkiewicz, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), 697–700.
- [2] J. ALVAREZ, R. BAGBY, D. KURTZ and C. PÉREZ, Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators, *Studia Math.* **104** (1993), 195–209.
- [3] G. BOURDAUD, M. LANZE DE CRISTOFORIS and W. SICKEL, Functional calculus on BMO and related spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002), 515–538.
- [4] A. P. CALDERÓN and A. ZYGMUND, On singular integrals, *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), 289–309.
- [5] D. CHEN and S. LU, L^p boundedness for commutators of parabolic Littlewood–Paley operators with rough kernels, *Math. Nachr.* **284** (2011), 973–986.
- [6] J. CHEN, D. FAN and Y. PAN, A note on a Marcinkiewicz integral operator, *Math. Nachr.* **227** (2001), 33–42.
- [7] J. CHEN and G. HU, Compact commutators of rough singular integral operators, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **58** (2015), 19–29.

- [8] Y. CHEN, Y. DING and X. WANG, Compactness for commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral on Morrey spaces, *Taiwanese. J. Math.* **15** (2011), 633–658.
- [9] A. CLOP and V. CRUZ, Weighted estimates for Beltrami equations, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **38** (2013), 91–113.
- [10] R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG and G. WEISS, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, *Ann. Math.* **103** (1976), 611–635.
- [11] R. COIFMAN and G. WEISS, Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 569–645.
- [12] Y. DING, D. FAN and Y. PAN, L^p -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernel, *Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.)* **16** (2000), 593–600.
- [13] Y. DING, S. LU and K. YABUTA, A problem on rough Marcinkiewicz functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **71** (2001), 1–9.
- [14] Y. DING, S. LU and K. YABUTA, On commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernel, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275** (2002), 60–68.
- [15] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA and J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, Maximal and singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 541–561.
- [16] L. GRAFAKOS, Modern Fourier Analysis, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **250**, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [17] L. GRAFAKOS and A. STEFANOV, L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 455–469.
- [18] X. GUO and G. HU, Compactness of the commutators of homogeneous singular integral operators, *Sci. China Math.* **58** (2015), 2347–2362.
- [19] G. HU and D. YAN, On commutator of the Marcinkiewicz integral, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **283** (2003), 351–361.
- [20] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur quelques intégrales du type de Dini, *Ann. Soc. Polon. Math.* **17** (1938), 42–50.
- [21] E. M. STEIN, On the function of Littlewood–Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 430–466.
- [22] A. TORCHINSKY and S. WANG, A note on the Marcinkiewicz ntegral, *Colloq. Math.* **47** (1990), 235–243.
- [23] A. UCHIYAMA, On the compactness of operators of Hankel type, *Tohoku Math. J.* **30** (1978), 163–171.
- [24] T. WALSH, On the function of Marcinkiewicz, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 203–217.
- [25] D. K. WATSON, Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, *Duke Math. J.* **60** (1990), 389–399.
- [26] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Third Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

JIECHENG CHEN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 JINHUA 321004
 P. R. CHINA
 E-mail: jcchen@zjnu.edu.cn

GUOEN HU
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 ZHENGZHOU INFORMATION SCIENCE
 AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE
 P. O. BOX 1001-747
 ZHENGZHOU 450002
 P. R. CHINA
 E-mail: guoenxx@163.com

(Received March 23, 2015; revised March 21, 2016)