

A Steinhaus type theorem

By ANTAL JÁRAI (Debrecen)

Abstract. In this work a theorem of Steinhaus which states that the sum $A_1 + A_2$ of two measurable sets with positive Lebesgue measure contains an interval is generalized to the case

$$F(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$$

where F is a continuously differentiable function mapping the product of Euclidean spaces with different dimensions into a Euclidean space.

A famous theorem of STEINHAUS [13] asserts that, for any measurable sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ with positive Lebesgue measure, $A + B$ has an interior point. This theorem allows various generalizations and modifications. A large part of these papers are based on Weil's idea [14] that the convolution of the characteristic functions χ_A and χ_B (in case A and B has finite measure) is a continuous function, hence the function

$$t \mapsto \mu(A \cap (t - B)) = \int \chi_B(t - y) \chi_A(y) d\mu(y)$$

is continuous and as follows from Fubini's theorem, not everywhere zero. This means that $A + B$ contains a nonvoid open set. This proof works directly when μ is a Haar measure on a locally compact Hausdorff group.

In the generalizations the following problem is treated: if we replace the addition by a binary operation $F(x, y)$, under what conditions on F can we prove that $F(A, B)$ contains a nonvoid open set? The first step was done by ERDŐS and OXToby [3] proving in the case $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ that, if F is a continuously differentiable function with nonvanishing partial derivatives, then $F(A, B)$ contains a nonvoid open set.

Further generalizations detail the case when x and y are from different topological measure spaces and F satisfies certain solvability conditions in

x and y . See in this direction KUCZMA [7] and SANDER [11]. Sander has pointed out that one of the sets A, B may be nonmeasurable. These results apply to the case when $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and F is a continuously differentiable function of which the partial derivatives are nonsingular.

A Steinhaus-type result for more than two sets is implicitly used in J  RAI [5]. The most important feature of Weil's idea has been generalized by KRAUSZ in [9] proving that the function

$$t \mapsto \mu(\cap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{-1}(A_i))$$

is continuous if the functions $g_{i,t}$ does not map sets with positive measure into zero sets and depend smoothly on the parameter t . We note that several variants of Steinhaus' theorem have applications in the theory of functional equations. More detailed references may be found in KUCZMA [7], KUCZMA and KUCZMA [8] and SANDER [12].

In this paper we first replace the theorem stating that the convolution

$$t \mapsto \int_Y f_1(t-y)f_2(y) d\mu(y)$$

is continuous with a theorem that the function

$$t \mapsto \int_Y h(f_1(g_1(t,y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t,y))) d\mu(y)$$

is continuous. Second, we generalize Steinhaus' theorem to the case

$$F(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$$

where F is a continuously differentiable function mapping the product of Euclidean spaces with different dimensions into a Euclidean space. The results of Weil and Krausz and the well-known case $F(A, B)$, $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are corollaries.

We shall use the terminology of FEDERER [4] about measure theory. By a measure on X we mean a countable subadditive nonnegative function defined on 2^X ; (an outer measure in another terminology). λ^k shall denote the k dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^k$. Other notions of analysis are used in the usual way. In case of doubt we refer the reader to DIEUDONN   [2].

I wish to thank Professor J. Acz  l for help and advice during my work on this paper. This research has been supported in part by his Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant. I also thank the referee for his useful suggestions.

Theorem 1. Let T , Y and X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let Z , Z_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be separable Banach spaces. Let ν and μ_i be finite Radon measures over Y and X_i , respectively. Consider the functions $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Z_i$, $g_i : T \times Y \rightarrow X_i$, $h : Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_n \rightarrow Z$. Suppose that, with the notations

$$g_{i,t}(y) = g_i(t, y) \quad \text{whenever } (t, y) \in T \times Y,$$

the following conditions hold:

- (1) h maps bounded subsets into bounded subsets, and is uniformly continuous on every bounded subset;
- (2) $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$);
- (3) g is continuous and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu_i(g_{i,t}(B)) \geq \delta$ whenever $B \subset Y$, $\nu(B) \geq \varepsilon$, $t \in T$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Then the function

$$f(t) = \int_Y h(f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y))) d\nu(y)$$

is continuous on T .

PROOF. First we prove that the integral exists. We may replace each f_i by a bounded Borel function defined on all of X_i , which is almost equal to f_i . This switch does not change the integral, because, by (3), the set of points y for which the value of $f_i(g_i(t, y))$ are changed, has measure 0.

Hence we may assume that the functions f_i are bounded Borel functions. By (1) the function

$$(4) \quad y \mapsto h(f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y)))$$

is a Borel function whenever $t \in T$ is fixed, and its image is a bounded subset of Z . Hence the integral exists whenever $t \in T$.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \in T$. Let us choose a real number $M > 0$, for which the image of (4) is contained in the closed ball with center 0 and radius M . By (3), there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $B \subset Y$, $\nu(B) \geq \varepsilon' = \varepsilon/(16Mn)$, $t \in T$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ implies $\mu_i(g_{i,t}(B)) \geq \delta$. Let us choose a compact set $C \subset Y$ for which $\nu(Y \setminus C) < \varepsilon/(8M)$. Let V be a neighbourhood of t_0 with compact closure in T . By Luzin's theorem there exists a compact set C_i in X_i for which $\mu_i(X_i \setminus C_i) < \delta$ and $f_i|_{C_i}$ is continuous. Let us choose uniformities on the spaces T , Y , $X_1, \dots, X_n$ compatible with its topology. By (1) there exists an $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|h(z_1, \dots, z_n) - h(z'_1, \dots, z'_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\nu(Y)}$$

whenever $z_i, z'_i \in Z_i$, $|z_i - z'_i| < \alpha$ and $|z_i|, |z'_i| \leq \|f_i\|_u$, where $\|\cdot\|_u$ is the uniform norm. Because of the uniform continuity of $f_i|_{C_i}$ there exists a reflexive symmetric relation β_i in the uniformity of X_i such that

$$|f_i(x_i) - f_i(x'_i)| < \alpha$$

whenever $x_i, x'_i \in C_i$ and x_i and x'_i are β_i -near, that is, $(x_i, x'_i) \in \beta_i$. Because of the uniform continuity of g_i on the compact set $\bar{V} \times C$, there exists a reflexive symmetric relation γ in the uniformity of Y and a reflexive symmetric relation η in the uniformity of T such that $g_i(t_0, y)$ and $g_i(t, y')$ are β_i -near in X_i whenever t and t_0 are η -near in $\bar{V}$ and y and y' are γ -near in C . Now let t be an element of V which is η -near to t_0 , and let

$$K = \bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t_0}^{-1}(C_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{-1}(C_i) \cap C.$$

Then

$$Y \setminus K = Y \setminus C \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{-1}(X_i \setminus C_i) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{-1}(X_i \setminus C_i) \right)$$

and hence (using (3) and that $\mu_i(X_i \setminus C_i) < \delta$)

$$(5) \quad \nu(Y \setminus K) < \frac{\varepsilon}{8M} + n \frac{\varepsilon}{16Mn} + n \frac{\varepsilon}{16Mn} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}.$$

Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(t_0)| &\leq \int_Y |h(f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y))) \\ &\quad - h(f_1(g_1(t_0, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t_0, y)))| d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_{Y \setminus K} |h(f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y))) \\ &\quad - h(f_1(g_1(t_0, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t_0, y)))| d\nu(y) \\ &\quad + \int_K |h(f_1(g_1(t, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t, y))) \\ &\quad - h(f_1(g_1(t_0, y)), \dots, f_n(g_n(t_0, y)))| d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

By (5) the first term on the right side is not greater than $2M\varepsilon/(4M) = \varepsilon/2$. By the choice of K , α , $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, γ and η , the second term on the right side is not greater than $\nu(Y)\varepsilon/(2\nu(Y)) = \varepsilon/2$. $\square$

As an illustration how one can get earlier results from the general theorem above, we give two examples. The following result was first proved by KRAUSZ in [9] (under the condition that every A_i is measurable).

Corollary 1. *Let X_i, Y, T, μ_i, ν and g_i be the same as in Theorem 1. Suppose that condition (3) of Theorem 1 is satisfied, and let A_i be a subset of X_i . Suppose that A_i is μ_i measurable if $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then the function*

$$f(t) = \nu \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{-1}(A_i) \right) \quad \text{whenever } t \in T$$

is continuous on T .

PROOF. By condition (3) of Theorem 1 the set $g_{1,t}^{-1}(B_1)$ is a ν hull of $g_{1,t}^{-1}(A_1)$ whenever B_1 is a μ_1 hull of A_1 . Hence

$$f(t) = \int_Y \chi_{B_1}(g_1(t, y)) \cdot \chi_{A_2}(g_2(t, y)) \cdot \dots \cdot \chi_{A_n}(g_n(t, y)) d\nu(y)$$

where χ_{A_i} is the characteristic function of A_i and χ_{B_1} is the characteristic function of B_1 . $\square$

The following corollary is well-known.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group and let μ be a left Haar measure on G . Let A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a subset of G with finite measure. Suppose that A_i is μ measurable if $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then the mapping*

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \mu(t_1 A_1 \cap t_2 A_2 \cap \dots \cap t_n A_n)$$

of G^n into $\mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

PROOF. Because the replacement of A_1 by a μ hull does not change this function, we may suppose that A_1 is μ measurable too. If $\mu(A_i)$ is equal to 0 for some i , then there is nothing to prove. If $\mu(A_i) > 0$ for each i , then let $\varepsilon > 0$ and T be an open subset of G^n with compact closure. Let us choose compact sets C_i such that $C_i \subset A_i$ and $\mu(A_i \setminus C_i) < \varepsilon$. Let

$$Y = \cup \{t_1 C_1 \cup t_2 C_2 \cup \dots \cup t_n C_n : (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T\}.$$

Then Y is an open subset of G with compact closure as well as the sets

$$X_i = \{t_i^{-1} y : y \in Y, (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T\}.$$

Let

$$g_i(t, y) = t_i^{-1} y \quad \text{if } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

Applying the preceding corollary we get that the function

$$t \mapsto \mu(t_1 C_1 \cap t_2 C_2 \cap \dots \cap t_n C_n)$$

is continuous on T . But

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mu(t_1 A_1 \cap t_2 A_2 \cap \dots \cap t_n A_n) - \mu(t_1 C_1 \cap t_2 C_2 \cap \dots \cap t_n C_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(t_i A_i \setminus t_i C_i) \leq n\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \mu(t_1 A_1 \cap \dots \cap t_n A_n)$$

is the uniform limit of continuous functions, and so itself is continuous. $\square$

In the following Lemma which will be needed for the proof of our main result, we give sufficient conditions for the validity of condition (3) in Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. *Let Y be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$, let T be a topological space, $c \in Y$ and $d \in T$. Let $g : T \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be a continuous function and suppose that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$ is continuous and*

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(d, c) \right) = r.$$

Then there exist open neighbourhoods Y^ and T^* of c and d , respectively, and there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that $Y^* \subset Y$, $T^* \subset T$ and*

$$(1) \quad \lambda^k(B) \leq \lambda^r(g_t(B)) C (\text{diam } B)^{k-r}$$

whenever $B \subset Y^$ and $t \in T^*$. (Here $\text{diam } B$ denotes the diameter of the set B .) Moreover,*

$$(2) \quad g_t^{-1}(A) \cap Y^* \text{ is } \lambda^k \text{ measurable whenever } A \text{ is a } \lambda^r \text{ measurable subset of } \mathbb{R}^r \text{ and } t \in T^*.$$

PROOF. Let $q = k - r$ and let us divide the coordinates of $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ into two groups $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_q)$ and $y'' = (y''_1, \dots, y''_r)$ so that the equation

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(d, c) \right) = \det \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(d, c', c'') \right) \neq 0$$

be satisfied. Let

$$g_{t,y'}(y'') = g(t, y', y'') = g(t, y)$$

and let us introduce the notation

$$L(t, y') = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(t, y', c'').$$

Using the proof of the inverse function theorem (see RUDIN [10], Theorem 9.24) we obtain that, if Y'' is an open ball with centre c'' in $\mathbb{R}^r$, $t \in T$, $(y', y'') \in Y$ and

$$(3) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(t, y', y'') - L(t, y') \right\| < \frac{1}{2\|L(t, y')^{-1}\|}$$

whenever $y'' \in Y''$, then $g_{t, y'}$ is a homeomorphic mapping of Y'' onto an open subset $U(t, y')$ of $\mathbb{R}^r$. (Here $\| \cdot \|$ is the operator norm.) Now let

$$0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2\|L(d, c')^{-1}\|}$$

and

$$(4) \quad 0 < \gamma < \left| \det \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(d, c', c'') \right|.$$

Using the continuity of the expressions in (3) and (4) we can choose an open ball Y'' with centre c'' and open sets Y' and T^* such that $d \in T^*$, $c' \in Y'$, $Y^* = Y' \times Y'' \subset Y$, moreover $t \in T^*$, $y' \in Y'$, $y'' \in Y''$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(t, y', y'') - L(t, y') \right\| &< \beta; \\ \beta &< \frac{1}{2\|L(t, y')^{-1}\|}; \\ \gamma &< \left| \det \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(t, y', y'') \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha(q)$ denote the λ^q measure of the q dimensional unit ball ($\alpha(0) = 1$). We are going to prove that

$$\lambda^k(B) \leq \lambda^r(g_t(B)) \frac{\alpha(q)}{\gamma} (\text{diam } B)^{k-r}$$

whenever $B \subset Y^*$ and $t \in T^*$. Let $R = \text{diam } B$. Then there exists a closed ball V with radius R in $\mathbb{R}^q$ such that

$$B \subset (V \cap Y') \times Y''.$$

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $t \in T^*$ for which

$$\lambda^k(B) > \lambda^r(g_t(B)) C R^q$$

where $C = \alpha(q)/\gamma$. Then we can choose an open set U for which $g_t(B) \subset U$ and

$$\lambda^k(B) > \lambda^r(U)CR^q.$$

Let

$$B^* = g_t^{-1}(U) \cap ((V \cap Y') \times Y'').$$

Then $B \subset B^*$, B^* is a Borel set and $g_t(B^*) \subset U$, that is

$$\lambda^r(g_t(B^*))CR^q < \lambda^k(B) \leq \lambda^k(B^*).$$

We are going to prove that this is impossible. Let

$$B_{y'}^* = \{y'' : (y', y'') \in B^*\} \quad \text{if } y' \in V \cap Y'.$$

Using the theorem concerning transformations of integrals we have that

$$\lambda^r(g_t(B^*)) \geq \lambda^r(g_{t,y'}(B_{y'}^*)) = \int_{B_{y'}^*} \left| \det \frac{\partial g}{\partial y''}(t, y', y'') \right| d\lambda^r(y'') \geq \gamma \lambda^r(B_{y'}^*)$$

whenever $y' \in V \cap Y'$. By Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^k(B^*) &= \int_{V \cap Y'} \lambda^r(B_{y'}^*) d\lambda^q(y') \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^r(g_t(B^*))}{\gamma} \lambda^q(V) = \lambda^r(g_t(B^*))CR^q \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the proof of (1) is complete.

To prove (2) we may clearly suppose that A is bounded. Let C be a Borel set for which $A \subset C$ and $\lambda^r(C \setminus A) = 0$. Then

$$g_t^{-1}(A) \cap Y^* = (g_t^{-1}(C) \cap Y^*) \setminus (g_t^{-1}(C \setminus A) \cap Y^*).$$

Since $g_t^{-1}(C) \cap Y^*$ is a Borel set and $g_t^{-1}(C \setminus A) \cap Y^*$ has measure 0 by (1), the set $g_t^{-1}(A) \cap Y^*$ is λ^k measurable. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Under the conditions of Lemma 1, if a subset D of $\mathbb{R}^r$ has density 1 in the point $g(d, c)$ then $g_d^{-1}(D) \cap Y^*$ has density 1 in the point c .*

PROOF. By the continuity of $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(d, y)$ the function g_d satisfies the Lipschitz condition on a neighbourhood of c . Hence there exist a $\gamma > 0$ and an $0 < M < \infty$ such that $y \in Y^*$ and

$$|g(d, y) - g(d, c)| \leq M|y - c|$$

whenever $|y - c| < \gamma$. Let $\alpha(k)$ and $\alpha(r)$ denote the λ^k and λ^r measures of the k and r dimensional unit balls, respectively. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let

$$0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon \alpha(k)}{M^r 2^{k-r} \alpha(r) C}.$$

Let us choose a $\beta > 0$ such that, whenever V is a closed ball with centre $g(d, c)$ and radius less than β , then

$$\lambda^r(V \cap D) \geq (1 - \delta) \lambda^r(V).$$

We prove that if W is a closed ball in Y^* with centre c and radius less than γ and β/M , then

$$\lambda^k(W \cap g_d^{-1}(D)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \lambda^k(W).$$

Suppose to the contrary that for a such W with radius R

$$\lambda^k(W \cap g_d^{-1}(D)) < (1 - \varepsilon) \lambda^k(W).$$

Then there exists a compact subset $B \subset W \setminus g_d^{-1}(D)$ for which

$$\lambda^k(B) > \varepsilon \lambda^k(W).$$

Hence by Lemma 1,

$$\varepsilon R^k \alpha(k) = \varepsilon \lambda^k(W) < \lambda^k(B) \leq C 2^{k-r} R^{k-r} \lambda^r(g_d(B)).$$

But $g_d(B)$ is a compact subset of $V \setminus D$, where $V = g_d(W)$ is the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^r$ with centre $g(d, c)$ and radius $MR < \beta$. Since $\lambda^r(V \setminus D) < \delta \lambda^r(V)$ we get

$$\varepsilon R^k \alpha(k) \leq C 2^{k-r} R^{k-r} \delta \lambda^r(V) = C 2^{k-r} R^{k-r} M^r R^r \alpha(r) \delta$$

which contradicts the choice of δ . $\square$

Theorem 2. Let X be an r dimensional Euclidean space, and let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be orthogonal subspaces of X with dimensions $r_1, \dots, r_n$. Suppose, that $r_i \geq 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = r$. Let U be an open subset of X and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuously differentiable function. For each $x \in U$ let N_x denote the nullspace of $F'(x)$. Let A_i be a subset of X_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and suppose that A_i is λ^{r_i} measurable for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Let $a \in U$, $\dim N_a = r - m$. Let p_i denote the orthogonal projection of X onto X_i . Suppose that $p_i(N_a) = X_i$ and A_i has density 1 in the point $p_i(a)$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$F(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)$$

is a neighbourhood of $F(a)$.

PROOF. Let $k = r - m$. Because of the fact that $x \mapsto \text{rank } F'(x)$ is lower semicontinuous, and $\text{rank}(F'(a)) = m$, we may suppose that $\text{rank}(F'(x)) = m$ whenever $x \in U$. Similarly, choosing a smaller U if necessary, we may suppose that $p_i(N_x) = X_i$ whenever $x \in U$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$; to prove this, suppose to the contrary that there exists an i and for each natural number j there exists an $x_j \in U$ and there exist orthonormal vectors $e_1^{(j)}, \dots, e_{k-r_i+1}^{(j)}$ in N_{x_j} such that $x_j \rightarrow a$ and

$$p_i(e_s^{(j)}) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } j = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } 1 \leq s \leq k - r_i + 1.$$

Using the compactness of the unit sphere we can pass over a subsequence and suppose that

$$e_s^{(j)} \rightarrow e_s \quad \text{if } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this proves that the vectors e_s are orthonormal in N_a and

$$p_i(e_s) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } 1 \leq s \leq k - r_i + 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now, choosing a smaller U if necessary and using the rank theorem (see DIEUDONN  [2], 10.3.1), we have that there exist mappings u, p and v and an open neighbourhood V of $b = F(a)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with the following properties: u maps U onto the open cube I^r , where $I =]-1, 1[$, u is invertible and u and u^{-1} are continuously differentiable; v maps I^m onto V , v is invertible and v and v^{-1} are continuously differentiable; p is the projection

$$p : (x_1, \dots, x_r) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

of I^r onto I^m ; and finally $F = v \circ p \circ u$. We may write I^r as

$$I^r = T \times Y \quad \text{where } T = I^m \text{ and } Y = I^k.$$

Let $u(a) = (d, c) \in T \times Y$. Now let us use some facts from differential geometry (see DIEUDONN  [2], mainly 16.8.8).

$U \cap F^{-1}(v(t))$ is a closed submanifold of U whenever $t \in T$. The tangent space of this submanifold in a point $x \in U \cap F^{-1}(v(t))$ is equal to the subspace N_x of X . Clearly u^{-1} is a diffeomorphism of the closed submanifold $\{t\} \times Y$ of $T \times Y$ onto $U \cap F^{-1}(v(t))$. Let

$$g_i = p_i \circ u^{-1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

By the choice of U , p_i is a submersion of $U \cap F^{-1}(v(t))$ into X_i . Hence the mapping

$$g_{i,t} : Y \rightarrow X$$

is a submersion, that is, it has rank r_i whenever $y \in Y$ and $t \in T$.

Now, by Lemma 1, there exist open sets T^* and Y^* and there exists a $0 < K < \infty$ such that $d \in T^* \subset T$, $c \in Y^* \subset Y$, and

$$\lambda^k(B) \leq K \lambda^{r_i}(g_{i,t}(B))$$

whenever $B \subset Y^*$, $t \in T^*$. Let $X_i^* = X_i$, $A_i^* = A_i$ and g_i^* the restriction of g_i onto $T^* \times Y^*$. Applying Corollary 1 to the sets and functions marked by stars we have that the function

$$f(t) = \lambda^k \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{*-1}(A_i) \right) \quad \text{if } t \in T^*$$

is continuous on T^* . By Lemma 2, $g_{i,d}^{*-1}(A_i)$ has density 1 in the point c . Because $g_{i,d}^{-1}(A_i) \cap Y^*$ is measurable by Lemma 1 if $2 \leq i \leq n$, we have that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,d}^{*-1}(A_i)$$

has density 1 in the point c . Hence $f(d) > 0$ and we have that there exists a neighbourhood W of d for which

$$f(t) > 0 \quad \text{if } t \in W.$$

Clearly $v(W)$ is a neighbourhood of b in $\mathbb{R}^m$. If $z \in v(W)$ then $t := v^{-1}(z) \in W$ and hence the set

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n g_{i,t}^{*-1}(A_i)$$

is nonvoid. If y is an element of this set then

$$F(u^{-1}(t, y)) = v(p(t, y)) = v(t) = z$$

and

$$x_i = p_i(u^{-1}(t, y)) = g_{i,t}^*(y) \in A_i \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This means that $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = z$. □

Remark. Our theorem may be stated in the following form : If $\dim N_x = r - m$ and $p_i(N_x) = X_i$ for all $x \in U$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \subset U$ moreover A_i is λ^{r_i} measurable for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda^{r_i}(A_i) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then $F(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)$ contains a nonvoid open set.

Corollary 3. *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^r$ and $F : (x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$ a continuously differentiable mapping of U into $\mathbb{R}^r$. Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ and suppose that B is λ^r measurable. If $(a, b) \in U$,*

$$\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(a, b) \neq 0, \quad \det \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a, b) \neq 0,$$

A has density 1 in the point a and B has density 1 in the point b , then $F(A, B)$ is a neighbourhood of $F(a, b)$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2 we have to prove only that $p_1(N_{a,b}) = \mathbb{R}^r$ and $p_2(N_{a,b}) = \mathbb{R}^r$ where $N_{a,b}$ is the nullspace of $F'(a, b)$. Let $(x, y) \in N_{a,b}$. If $p_1(x, y) = 0$ then $x = 0$. Hence

$$0 = F'(a, b)(x, y) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a, b)(y).$$

But

$$\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(a, b) \neq 0,$$

hence $y = 0$. This proves that $p_1 : N_{a,b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ is a one-to-one mapping, that is, $p_1(N_{a,b}) = \mathbb{R}^r$. Similarly $p_2(N_{a,b}) = \mathbb{R}^r$.

References

- [1] J. ACZÉL, Lectures on functional equations and their applications, *Academic Press, New York-London*, 1966.
- [2] J. DIEUDONNÉ, Grundzüge der modernen Analysis I-II-III-IV-V-VI, *VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin*, 1971–1980.
- [3] P. ERDŐS and J. C. OXToby, Partitions of the plane into sets having positive measure in every no-null measurable product set, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1955), 91–102.
- [4] H. FEDERER, Geometric measure theory, *Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York*, 1969.
- [5] A. JÁRAI, Regularity properties of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* **25** (1982), 52–66.
- [6] M. E. KUCZMA, A generalization of Steinhaus' theorem to coordinatewise measure preserving binary transformations, *Colloq. Math.* **36** (1976), 241–248.
- [7] M. E. KUCZMA, Differentiation of implicit functions and Steinhaus' theorem in topological measure spaces, *Colloq. Math.* no. 39 (1978), 95–107.
- [8] M. E. KUCZMA and M. KUCZMA, An elementary proof and an extension of a theorem of Steinhaus, *Glasnik Matematički* **6** no. 26 (1971), 11–18.
- [9] T. KRAUSZ, Steinhaus-típusú tételek, Diákköri dolgozat. (Hungarian), *Kossuth Lajos Tudományegyetem, Debrecen, Mat. Int.*, 1980.
- [10] W. RUDIN, Principles of mathematical analysis, *McGraw-Hill, New York*, 1964.
- [11] W. SANDER, Verallgemeinerungen eines Satzes von H. Steinhaus, *Manuscripta Math.* **18** (1976), 25–42.
- [12] Errata hierzu, *Manuscripta Math.* no. 20 (1977), 101–103.
- [12] W. SANDER, Ein Beitrag zur Baire-Kategorie-Theorie, *Manuscripta Math.* **34**, 71–83.

- [13] H. STEINHAUS, Sur les distances des points dans les ensembles de mesure positive, *Fund. Math.* **1** (1920), 93–104.
- [14] A. WEIL, L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications, *Paris*, 1951.

ANTAL JÁRAI
UNIVERSITÄT GH PADERBORN
FB 17, D-33095 PADERBORN
GERMANY

(Received December 17, 1990; revised April 4, 1995)