

Generic submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold

By M. HASAN SHAHID (New Delhi) and ION MIHAI (Bucharest)

In [C2] B.Y. Chen introduced the notion of a *generic submanifold* of a *Kaehler manifold* and obtained interesting properties. The class of *generic submanifolds* includes *complex*, *totally real*, *slant* and *CR*-submanifolds. Generic submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds and of framed f -manifolds have been studied by the present authors (see [HA], [M2]), P. VERHEYEN [V], etc. In [O], J.A. OUBINA introduced a new class of almost contact metric manifolds and called them *trans-Sasakian* manifolds. This class contains both α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds (see [OR]).

The aim of the present paper is to study *generic* submanifolds of *trans-Sasakian* manifolds. Certain submanifolds of a β -Kenmotsu manifold were investigated in [MMR].

1. Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional *almost contact metric* manifold with an *almost contact metric* structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) . Then we have [B]

$$(1.1) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(1.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi),$$

for any vector fields X, Y on $\bar{M}$, where I denotes the identity transformation on $T\bar{M}$.

An almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is said to be *normal* if the almost complex structure J on $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(1.3) \quad J \left(X, f \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \left(\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right),$$

where f is a C^∞ function on $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, is integrable, or equivalently $[\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where $[\phi, \phi]$ denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ .

In the classification of A. GRAY and L.M. HERVELLA [GH] of almost *Hermitian* manifolds, there appears a class of *Hermitian* manifolds called $\mathcal{W}_4$, which contains *locally conformal Kaehler* manifolds. An almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is called *trans-Sasakian* if $(\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}, J, G)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}_4$, where J is the almost complex structure on $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1.3) and G is the product Riemannian metric on $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. This may be expressed by the condition (see [BO])

$$(1.4) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\} + \beta\{g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X\},$$

for some functions α and β on $\bar{M}$, and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α, β) . In particular, it is normal. From (1.4), one easily obtains

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi).$$

In the following, by a trans-Sasakian manifold we always mean a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) .

Let M be an n -dimensional isometrically immersed submanifold of $\bar{M}$, tangent to ξ . Let g be the metric tensor field on $\bar{M}$ as well as the induced metric on M . We denote by ∇ the Riemannian connection with respect to g on M . The Gauss and Weingarten formulae are respectively given by

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N,$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$. We recall that the second fundamental form h and the shape operator A_N are related by

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N).$$

Definition. Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$. If the maximal invariant subspaces by ϕ , orthogonal to ξ in $T_x M$,

$$\mathcal{D}_x = T_x M \cap \phi T_x M, \quad x \in M$$

define a differentiable subbundle of TM (i.e. the dimension of $\mathcal{D}_x$ is constant along M), then M is called a *generic* submanifold of $\bar{M}$.

For any vector field X tangent to M , we put

$$(1.8) \quad \phi X = PX + QX,$$

where PX and QX denote the tangential and normal components of ϕX respectively.

For any vector field N normal to M , we put

$$(1.9) \quad \phi N = BN + CN,$$

where BN and CN denote the tangential and normal components of ϕN respectively.

We call $\mathcal{D}$ the holomorphic distribution and the subbundle $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ in TM the purely real distribution. They satisfy the following relations:

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{D}_x \perp \mathcal{D}_x^\perp, \quad \mathcal{D}_x^\perp \cap \phi \mathcal{D}_x^\perp = \{0\}, \quad P\mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{D}_x, \quad P\mathcal{D}_x^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}_x^\perp.$$

If the purely real distribution is an anti-invariant subbundle by ϕ , i.e. $\phi(\mathcal{D}_x^\perp) \subset T_x^\perp M$, $\forall x \in M$, then M is called a *CR-submanifold* of $\bar{M}$ (see, for instance, [HA], [M1]).

Let ν_x be the maximal invariant vector subspace of $T_x^\perp M$, i.e.

$$\nu_x = T_x^\perp M \cap \phi(T_x^\perp M) \quad ;$$

then ν_x defines a differentiable subbundle of $T^\perp M$, satisfying

$$(1.11) \quad T^\perp M = Q\mathcal{D}^\perp \oplus \nu, \quad B(T^\perp M) \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp, \quad Q\mathcal{D}^\perp \perp \nu.$$

Examples. Let $\mathbb{E}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space endowed with the standard almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , defined by (see [B])

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^1, \dots, x^{2n}, z) &= (-x^2, x^1, \dots, -x^{2n}, x^{2n-1}, 0), \\ \eta &= dz, \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \end{aligned}$$

and $1 \leq h \leq n$. The product $M_1 \times M_2 \times \mathbb{R}$, where M_1 is a complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^h$ and M_2 a slant submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n-h}$, is a generic submanifold of $\mathbb{E}^{2n+1}$ (for definition and examples of *slant* submanifolds see B.Y. Chen's book [C4]).

2. Integrability of distributions

In this section, we shall study the integrability conditions for the distributions on a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\bar{M}$ be a trans-Sasakian manifold with $\alpha \neq 0$ and M a generic submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is never integrable.*

PROOF. Let X be a non-zero vector field belonging to $\mathcal{D}$. Then from (1.5) it follows that

$$g([X, \phi X], \xi) = 2\alpha g(X, X) \neq 0;$$

thus $\mathcal{D}$ cannot be integrable.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:*

- i) *the distribution $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable;*
- ii) *$h(\phi X, Y) = h(X, \phi Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, i.e. ϕ is self adjoint on $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the second fundamental form h ;*
- iii) *$g(h(\phi X, Y), \phi Z) = g(h(X, \phi Y), \phi Z)$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.*

PROOF. From (1.4) and using Gauss formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(X, \phi Y) &= \phi \nabla_X Y + \phi h(X, Y) - \nabla_X \phi Y \\ &\quad + \alpha \{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\} + \beta \{g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

Thus we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) - h(\phi X, Y) = \phi[X, Y] - \nabla_X \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + 2\beta g(\phi X, Y)\xi.$$

Taking the normal part of the right term, we find

$$h(X, \phi Y) - h(\phi X, Y) = Q[X, Y].$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is involutive if and only if

$$h(\phi X, Y) = h(X, \phi Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

The other equivalences are obvious.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\bar{M}$ be a β -Kenmotsu manifold and M a generic submanifold. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable if and only if the above assertions i)-iii) hold good.*

Next, we concentrate on the integrability of the purely real distribution.

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:*

- i) *the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable;*
- ii) *$A_{QW}Z - A_{QZ}W + \nabla_W PZ - \nabla_Z PW \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.*

PROOF. For any vector fields $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], \phi X) &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi W, X) + g(\bar{\nabla}_W \phi Z, X) = \\ &= -g(\nabla_Z PW - A_{QW}Z - \nabla_W PZ + A_{QZ}W, X). \end{aligned}$$

Using Frobenius theorem, it follows that $\mathcal{D}^\perp \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable if and only if ii) holds good.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a CR -submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then*

$$A_{QZ}W = A_{QW}Z,$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

PROOF. For $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, using (1.4), (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{QW}Z, Y) &= g(h(Y, Z), \phi W) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z, \phi W) = -g(\phi \bar{\nabla}_Y Z, W) = \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z, W) = g(A_{QZ}Y, W) = g(A_{QZ}W, Y), \end{aligned}$$

which achieves the proof.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\bar{M}$ be a trans-Sasakian manifold with $\alpha \neq 0$ and M a generic submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable if and only if M is a CR -submanifold.*

PROOF. Let $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$. If $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable, we have

$$0 = g([Z, W], \xi) = 2\alpha g(\phi Z, W),$$

i.e. M is a CR -submanifold.

Conversely, if M is a CR -submanifold, then by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 it follows that $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable (see also [H], [M2], [V]).

3. Generic submanifolds with parallel canonical structures

Let P, C, Q and B be the endomorphisms and the vector bundle-valued 1-forms defined by (1.8) and (1.9) respectively. Now, let us define the covariant differentiations of P, Q, B and C as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X P)Y = \nabla_X PY - P\nabla_X Y,$$

$$(3.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X Q)Y = \nabla_X^\perp QY - Q\nabla_X Y,$$

$$(3.3) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X B)N = \nabla_X BN - B\nabla_X^\perp N,$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X C)N = \nabla_X^\perp CN - C\nabla_X^\perp N,$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M and any vector field N normal to M .

Definition. The endomorphism P (resp. the endomorphism C , the 1-forms Q and B) is called *parallel* if $\bar{\nabla}P = 0$ (resp. $\bar{\nabla}C = 0$, $\bar{\nabla}Q = 0$ and $\bar{\nabla}B = 0$).

Now, from (1.4) and using (1.6)–(1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_X PY + h(X, PY) - A_{QY}X + \nabla_X^\perp QY - P\nabla_X Y - \\ & - Q\nabla_X Y - Bh(X, Y) - Ch(X, Y) \\ & = \alpha\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\} + \beta\{g(PX, Y)\xi - \eta(X)(PX + QX)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Comparing tangential and normal components respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad & \nabla_X PY - P\nabla_X Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X P)Y = A_{QY}X + Bh(X, Y) \\ & + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\} + \beta\{g(PX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)PX\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \nabla_X^\perp QY - Q\nabla_X Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X Q)Y \\ & = Ch(X, Y) - h(X, PY) - \beta\eta(Y)QX, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Analogously, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & \nabla_X BN - B\nabla_X^\perp N = (\bar{\nabla}_X B)N \\ & = A_{CN}X - PA_NX + \beta g(QX, N)\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla_X^\perp CN - C\nabla_X^\perp N = (\bar{\nabla}_X C)N = -h(X, BN) - QA_NX.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then the endomorphism P is parallel if and only if*

$$A_{QX}Y - A_{QY}X = \alpha\{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\} + \beta\{\eta(Y)PX - \eta(X)PY\},$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M .

PROOF. From (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\bar{\nabla}_X P)Y, Z) = g(A_{QY}X, Z) + g(Bh(X, Y), Z) \\ & + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\} + \beta\{g(PX, Y)\eta(Z) - g(PX, Z)\eta(Y)\} \\ & = g(A_{QY}X, Z) - g(A_{QZ}X, Y) + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\} \\ & \quad + \beta\{g(PX, Y)\eta(Z) - g(PX, Z)\eta(Y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion.

Next, we have the following

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. If P is parallel, then:*

- i) *the holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable;*
- ii) *$A_{QU}X = \alpha\{\eta(U)X - \eta(X)U\} + \beta\{\eta(X)PU - \eta(U)PX\}$, for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $U \in \Gamma(TM)$.*

PROOF. Assume that P is parallel, i.e. $\bar{\nabla}P = 0$; then Lemma 3.1 gives

$$A_{QU}X = \alpha\eta(U)X - \beta\eta(U)PX,$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $U \in \Gamma(TM)$.

For $U = Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$, $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, and using $P\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$ we get

$$g(A_{QZ}X, Y) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad g(h(X, Y), QZ) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then Q is parallel if and only if B is parallel.*

PROOF. Suppose that B is parallel, i.e. $\bar{\nabla}B = 0$. Then from (3.7) it follows that

$$g(A_{CN}X, Y) = g(PA_NX, Y) - \beta g(QX, N)g(Y, \xi),$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M and N normal to M .

Hence we have

$$g(Ch(X, Y), N) = g(h(X, PY), N) + \beta g(QX, N)\eta(Y),$$

which is equivalent to

$$Ch(X, Y) = h(X, PY) + \beta\eta(Y)QX,$$

i.e. $\bar{\nabla}Q = 0$.

The proof for the converse statement is similar.

4. Geometry of leaves on generic submanifolds

From (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P\nabla_X Z &= -A_{QZ}X - Bh(X, Z) + \alpha\{\eta(Z)X - g(X, Z)\xi\} \\ &\quad + \beta\{\eta(Z)PX - g(PX, Z)\xi\} + \nabla_X PZ \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad Q\nabla_X Z = \nabla_X^\perp QZ - Ch(X, Z) - \beta\eta(Z)QX - h(X, PZ),$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

Now we prove the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M if and only if*

$$(4.3) \quad g(h(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}), Q\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 0.$$

PROOF. Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$. If the distribution $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M , then $\nabla_X \phi Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\})$.

By (4.1) and using $B(T^\perp M) \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(\nabla_X \phi Y, Z) = -g(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y) = g(P\nabla_X Z, Y) = \\ &= -g(A_{QZ}X, Y) - g(Bh(X, Z), Y) + g(\nabla_X PZ, Y) = -g(h(X, Y), QZ). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that (4.3) holds good. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D} \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable by virtue of Theorem 2.2. Now, using (1.4) we get

$$0 = g(h(X, \phi Y), QZ) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y, QZ) = g(\phi \bar{\nabla}_X Y, QZ) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

Thus $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and each leaf of $\mathcal{D}$ is totally geodesic in M , which completes the proof.

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a generic submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. If the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ is integrable, then its leaves are totally geodesic in M if and only if*

$$g(h(X, W), QZ) = 0,$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

PROOF. From (3.5) and (3.6) we get

$$(4.5) \quad P\nabla_X Z = -A_{QZ}X - Bh(X, Z) - \alpha g(X, Z)\xi - \beta g(PX, Z)\xi$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad Q\nabla_X Z = \nabla_X^\perp QZ - Ch(X, Z),$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$.

Putting $X = W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ in (4.5), we have

$$P\nabla_X Z = -A_{QZ}W - Bh(Z, W) - \alpha g(Z, W)\xi - \beta g(Z, PW)\xi.$$

Taking the inner product with $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_W Z, PY) = g(h(Y, W), QZ), \quad \forall Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp), Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

The proof is complete.

5. The CR -structure of a generic submanifold

Each generic submanifold of a Kaehler manifold carries a canonical *Cauchy-Riemann* (abr. CR) structure in the sense of S. Greenfield [G] (see [Op]). This result was extended to Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds ([V], [MMR]). It is also true in the case under consideration.

Recall the definition of a Cauchy-Riemann structure [G].

A complex distribution $\mathcal{H}$ on M (i.e. $\mathcal{H} \subset TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$) is said to define a *Cauchy-Riemann* structure if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) $\mathcal{H} \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}} = \{0\}$, where $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ is the conjugated distribution of $\mathcal{H}$;
- ii) $\mathcal{H}$ is involutive, i.e. for any $A, B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, $[A, B] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 5.1. *Each generic submanifold M of a trans-Sasakian manifold is a Cauchy-Riemann manifold.*

PROOF. Let $l : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $m : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ be the projection operators. Then each vector field X can be expressed by $X = lX + mX + \eta(X)\xi$.

We put $\mathcal{H} = \{X - i\phi X | X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})\}$.

Let $A, B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$; then $A = X - i\phi X$, $B = Y - i\phi Y$, for certain $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

$\bar{M}$ being normal, we have $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi = 0$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi X, \phi Y] - [X, Y] - \phi l\{[\phi X, Y] + [X, \phi Y]\} &= 0, \\ m\{[\phi X, Y] + [X, \phi Y]\} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing X by ϕX , we obtain

$$[\phi X, \phi Y] - [X, Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

On the other hand, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B] &= [X, Y] - [\phi X, \phi Y] - i[\phi X, Y] - i[X, \phi Y] \\ &= [X, Y] - [\phi X, \phi Y] - i\phi\{[X, Y] - [\phi X, \phi Y]\} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

References

- [B] D.E. BLAIR, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 509, *Springer, New York*, 1973.
- [BO] D.E. BLAIR and J.A. OUBINA, Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, *Publication Math.* **34** (1990), 199–207.
- [C1] B.Y. CHEN, CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I, *J. Diff. Geometry* **16** (1981), 305–323.

- [C2] B.Y. CHEN, Differential geometry of real submanifolds in a Kaehler manifold, *Monatsch. für Math.* **91** (1981), 257–274.
- [C3] B.Y. CHEN, Geometry of Submanifolds and Its Applications, *Sci. Univ. Tokyo* (1981).
- [C4] B.Y. CHEN, Geometry of Slant Submanifolds, *Katholieke Univ. Leuven*, 1990.
- [GH] A. GRAY and L.M. HERVELLA, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [G] S. GREENFIELD, Cauchy-Riemann equations in several variables, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **1968**, 275–314.
- [HA] M. HASAN SHAHID and S. ALI, Generic submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Math. Student* **52** (1989), 205–210.
- [H] M. HASAN SHAHID, CR-submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **22**(12) (1991), 1007–1012.
- [MMR] K. MATSUMOTO, I. MIHAI and R. ROSCA, A certain locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold and its submanifolds, *Tensor N.S.* **51** (1992), 91–102.
- [M1] I. MIHAI, CR-submanifolds of a framed f -manifold, *St. Cerc. Mat.* **34** (1983), 127–136, (Romanian).
- [M2] I. MIHAI, On generic submanifolds of a framed f -manifold, *Proc. Nat. Conf. Geom. Top. Timisoara* (1984), 153–158.
- [OR] Z. OLSZAK and R. ROSCA, Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Publicationes Math. Debrecen* **39** (1991), 315–323.
- [Op] B. OPOZDA, Generic submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds, *Ann. Polonici Math.* **49** (1988), 115–128.
- [O] J.A. OUBINA, New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publicationes Math. Debrecen* **32** (1985), 187–19.
- [V] P. VERHEYEN, Generic submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds, *Med. Wisk. Inst. K.U. Leuven* **157** (1982).

M. HASAN SHAHID
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES
 JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA
 NEW DELHI-25
 INDIA

ION MIHAI
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
 STR. ACADEMIEI 14
 70109 BUCHAREST
 ROMANIA

(Received April 5, 1994)