

On idempotent matrices.

By NISAR A. KHAN in Aligarh.

1. A square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is called idempotent if $A^2 = A$ (see [1], p. 88.). In [2] SHAH and ANSARI have proved certain results for nilpotent matrices. The purpose of this paper is to establish analogous results for idempotent matrices. Let k be an integer > 1 ; we shall denote by A an idempotent (square) matrix of order $n > 1$, with elements a_{ij} belonging to the field F of real numbers, a_{ij} being of the form $P/2^Q$ where P and Q are integers (positive, negative or zero) such that $P \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ and $Q \leq k$.

If X and Y be two matrices such that the elements of $X - Y$ are (integer) multiples of k , then we write $X \equiv Y \pmod{k}$. Also I denotes an identity matrix, and O a null matrix whose orders will be clear from the context. Following LEHMER we shall call an integer p a pseudoprime if $2^p \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ and p is not a prime. (For results on pseudoprimes see [3] and references given therein.)

By simple calculations it can be shown that

- (i) If A is idempotent, so is the matrix $(I - A)^k$, k being a positive integer,
- (ii) the idempotent matrices A and $(I - A)^k$ are orthogonal, and
- (iii) if the rank of A is r , the rank of $(I - A)^k$ is $n - r$.

2. We now prove the following

Theorem 1. *If k is a prime or a pseudoprime, then*

$$\{2(I + A)\}^k \equiv 2I \pmod{k},$$

and conversely.

PROOF. If k is a prime or a pseudoprime, then $2^k \equiv 2 \pmod{k}$; and by hypothesis the elements of the matrix $2^k A$ are all integer multiples of k . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{2(I + A)\}^k - 2I &= 2^k(I + {}^k c_1 A + {}^k c_2 A^2 + \cdots + {}^k c_k A^k) - 2I = \\ &= (2^k - 2)I + 2^k({}^k c_1 + {}^k c_2 + \cdots + {}^k c_k)A = \\ &= (2^k - 2)I + 2^k(2^k - 1)A \equiv \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, the elements of the matrix $\{2(I+A)\}^k - 2I$ or $(2^k-2)I + 2^k(2^k-1)A$ are multiples of k . Therefore,

$$2^k - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$

or

$$2^k \equiv 2 \pmod{k}.$$

Hence k is either a prime or it must be a pseudoprime.

By using another important property of the binomial coefficients we can similarly prove the following

Theorem 2. *If k is a prime or a pseudoprime, then*

$$\{2(I-A)\}^k \equiv 2I \pmod{k},$$

and conversely.

3. We now establish the following theorems regarding the characteristic roots of the polynomials $f(I \pm A)$.

Theorem 3. *If $f(x)$ is any polynomial in x with scalar coefficients belonging to F , then the characteristic roots of $f(I+A)$ are $f(2)$ with multiplicity r and $f(1)$ with multiplicity $n-r$, where r is the rank of A .*

The proof of the above theorem will be facilitated by the following lemma:

Lemma. *For an idempotent matrix A the characteristic polynomial is $|A - \lambda I| = (1-\lambda)^r (-\lambda)^{n-r}$, where r is the rank of A .*

PROOF. It is well known that an idempotent matrix A is similar to $A_r = I_r \dot{+} 0_{n-r}$, where r is the rank of A and $\dot{+}$ denotes the direct sum. Further, two similar matrices have the same characteristic equation and hence the same characteristic polynomial. Therefore,

$$|A - \lambda I| = |A_r - \lambda I| = (1-\lambda)^r (-\lambda)^{n-r},$$

r being the rank of A .

This proves the lemma.

It may be remarked here that the converse is not true. This is illustrated by the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so that } A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq A,$$

i. e., A is not idempotent, but $|A - \lambda I| = (-\lambda)(1-\lambda)^2$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_Nx^N$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(I+A) &= a_0I + a_1(I+A) + a_2(I+A)^2 + \dots + a_N(I+A)^N = \\ &= (a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_N)I + (2-1)a_1A + (2^2-1)a_2A + \dots + (2^N-1)a_NA = \\ &= I \cdot f(1) + A\{f(2) - f(1)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(I+A) - \lambda I &= \{f(1) - \lambda\}I + \{f(2) - f(1)\}A \\ &= \{f(2) - f(1)\}A - \{\lambda - f(1)\}I \\ &= lA - mI, \text{ where } l = f(2) - f(1), m = \lambda - f(1), \\ &= l\left(A - \frac{m}{l}I\right), \text{ if } l \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since A is idempotent,

$$|f(I+A) - \lambda I| = \left| l\left(A - \frac{m}{l}I\right) \right| = l^n \left| A - \frac{m}{l}I \right| = l^n \left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r \left(-\frac{m}{l}\right)^{n-r}.$$

Thus the characteristic roots of $f(I+A)$ are given by

$$l^n \left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r \left(-\frac{m}{l}\right)^{n-r} = 0.$$

Since $l \neq 0$, either $\left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r = 0$, whence $m = l$, r times; i. e., $f(1) - f(2) = f(1) - \lambda$, r times, $\lambda = f(2)$ with multiplicity r ; or $m^{n-r} = 0$, whence $\lambda = f(1)$ with multiplicity $n-r$.

If $l = 0$, or $f(1) = f(2)$, $|f(I+A) - \lambda I| = |\{\lambda - f(1)\}I|$, so that $\lambda = f(1) = f(2)$ with multiplicity n .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In particular, the characteristic roots of $(I+A)^k$ are 2^k with multiplicity r and 1 with multiplicity $n-r$.

As an illustration we take the following numerical

Example. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be an idempotent matrix of rank 1. Then

$$(I+A)^5 = I + 31A = \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 0 & 0 \\ 62 & 1 & 0 \\ 93 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic roots of the above matrix are 32, 1 and 1.

Theorem 4. If $f(x)$ is any polynomial in x with scalar coefficients belonging to F , then the characteristic roots of $f(I-A)$ are $f(0)$ with multiplicity r and $f(1)$ with multiplicity $n-r$, where r is the rank of A .

PROOF. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_Nx^N$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(I-A) &= a_0I + a_1(I-A) + a_2(I-A)^2 + \dots + a_N(I-A)^N = \\ &= I \cdot f(1) - A\{f(1) - f(0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(I-A) - \lambda I| &= |A\{f(0) - f(1)\} - I\{\lambda - f(1)\}| \\ &= |lA - mI|, \text{ where } l = f(0) - f(1) \text{ and } m = \lambda - f(1), \\ &= l^n \left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r \left(-\frac{m}{l}\right)^{n-r}, \text{ if } l \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic roots of $f(I-A)$ are given by

$$\left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r \left(-\frac{m}{l}\right)^{n-r} = 0.$$

If $\left(1 - \frac{m}{l}\right)^r = 0$, $m = l$ and $\lambda = f(0)$ with multiplicity r .

If $\left(\frac{m}{l}\right)^{n-r} = 0$, $\lambda = f(1)$ with multiplicity $n-r$.

But, if $l = 0$, i. e., $f(1) = f(0)$, the characteristic roots of $f(I-A)$ are given by $\{\lambda - f(1)\}^n = 0$, so that $\lambda = f(1) = f(0)$ with multiplicity n .

In particular, the characteristic roots of $(I-A)^k$ are 1 with multiplicity r and 0 with multiplicity $n-r$.

Theorem 5. *If $f(x)$ is a polynomial in x with coefficients belonging to F , and D is any nilpotent matrix of order n such that*

$$f(1)D + \{f(2) - f(1)\}DA = 0,$$

then the characteristic roots of $f(I+A)+D$ are $f(2)$ with multiplicity r and $f(1)$ with multiplicity $n-r$, where r is the rank of A .

PROOF. To prove this theorem we apply a theorem of REID [4]. The characteristic roots of $f(I+A)+D$ and $f(I+A)$ are the same if $D \cdot f(I+A) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But, } D \cdot f(I+A) &= D[a_0I + a_1(I+A) + a_2(I+A)^2 + \dots + a_N(I+A)^N] = \\ &= D[I \cdot f(1) + A\{f(2) - f(1)\}] = \\ &= f(1)D + \{f(2) - f(1)\}DA = \\ &= 0, \text{ by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the characteristic roots of $f(I+A)+D$ are the same as those of $f(I+A)$. But, by Theorem 3, the characteristic roots of $f(I+A)$ are $f(2)$ and $f(1)$ with multiplicities r and $n-r$ respectively, where r is the rank of A .

This proves the theorem.

Corollary. *If D be any square nilpotent matrix of order n with elements in F such that $D + (2^k - 1)DA = 0$, then the characteristic roots of $(I + A)^k + D$ are 2^k and 1 with multiplicities r and $n - r$ respectively, where r is the rank of A .*

Theorem 6. *If D be any $n \times n$ nilpotent matrix with elements in F such that $D = DA$, then the characteristic roots of $(I - A)^k + D$ are 0 with multiplicity r and 1 with multiplicity $n - r$, where r is the rank of A .*

PROOF. With the help of the theorem by REID [4], we see that the characteristic polynomials of $(I - A)^k + D$ and $(I - A)^k$ are the same if $D(I - A)^k = 0$.

But, $D(I - A)^k = D[I - {}^k c_1 A + {}^k c_2 A^2 - \dots + (-1)^k {}^k c_k A^k] = D(I - A) = D - DA = 0$, by hypothesis.

Hence the characteristic roots of $(I - A)^k + D$ are the same as those of $(I - A)^k$.

But, by Theorem 4, the roots of $(I - A)^k$ are 0 with multiplicity r and 1 with multiplicity $n - r$.

This proves the theorem.

In general, let $g(x) = a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_N x^N$ be a polynomial in x with coefficients belonging to F and with no term independent of x . Then

$$|g(I - A) + D - \lambda I| = |g(I - A) - \lambda I|,$$

for D is nilpotent and

$$\begin{aligned} D \cdot g(I - A) &= D[a_1(I - A) + a_2(I - A)^2 + a_3(I - A)^3 + \dots + a_N(I - A)^N] = \\ &= D[a_1(I - A) + a_2(I - A) + a_3(I - A) + \dots + a_N(I - A)] = \\ &= (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_N)D(I - A) = \\ &= g(1)D(I - A) = 0, \text{ by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the characteristic roots of $g(I - A) + D$ are 0 with multiplicity r and $g(1)$ with multiplicity $n - r$, r being the rank of A .

Remark. To show that there exist matrices which satisfy the hypothesis of the above theorem, consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ an idempotent matrix of order 3 and rank 1.}$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ a nilpotent matrix of order 3.}$$

Here $D(I-A)=0$, also $(I-A)^5 + D = I - A + D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The characteristic roots of this matrix are 0, 1 and 1.

Finally, I wish to thank Professor S. M. SHAH for drawing my attention to this problem and for helpful criticism on this paper.

Bibliography.

- [1] A. A. ALBERT, *Modern Higher Algebra*, Chicago, 1939.
- [2] A. R. ANSARI and S. M. SHAH, A note on certain nilpotent matrices, *Math. Student* **20** (1952), 113—114.
- [3] P. ERDŐS, On the converse of Fermat's Theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **56** (1949) 623—624.
- [4] W. T. REID, A note on the characteristic polynomials of certain matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1950), 584—585.

(Received January 25, 1956.)