

On the valuations of complemented modular lattices of finite length

By G. SZÁSZ (Szeged)

By a *valuation* of a lattice L is meant a single-valued function v of L into itself which has the following property: for each pair x, y of elements of L

$$v(x) + v(y) = v(x \cap y) + v(x \cup y).$$

Let L be a lattice of finite length with the least element o and let $d(x)$ denote the length of the interval sublattice $[o, x]$. Then d is a single-valued function called the *height function* of L . If L is also modular, then by a well-known result of DEDEKIND ([1], p. 68) this function is a valuation of L .

In the theory of continuous geometries there is known ([2], p. 119) the following

Theorem 1. *Let L be a complemented modular simple lattice of finite length and d the height function of L . If v is any valuation of L , then there exist real constants α, λ such that*

$$(1) \quad v(x) = \alpha d(x) + \lambda$$

for every element x of L .

In this note we give a proof of elementary character for this theorem. By our assumptions, every element x of L may be represented as

$$x = p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_r \quad (r \text{ finite})$$

where each p_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) is an atom of L ([1], p. 105). Without loss of generality we may assume the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ to be independent ([1], p. 104); then

$$(2) \quad d(x) = r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= v(p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_{r-1}) + v(p_r) - v((p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_{r-1}) \cap p_r) = \\ &= v(p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_{r-1}) + v(p_r) - v(o). \end{aligned}$$

The subset $\{p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}\}$ is a fortiori independent. Therefore we get, by induction,

$$(3) \quad v(x) = v(p_1) + \dots + v(p_r) + (r-1)v(o).$$

Since L is simple, to each pair p_j, p_k ($j, k = 1, \dots, r; j \neq k$) there exists a p_l (with $1 \leq l \leq r$) such that

$$p_j \cup p_k = p_k \cup p_l = p_l \cup p_j$$

([1], pp. 120—121). It follows

$$\begin{aligned} v(p_j) &= v(p_j \cup p_l) + v(p_j \cap p_l) - v(p_l) = \\ &= v(p_j \cup p_l) + v(o) - v(p_l) = \\ &= v(p_k \cup p_l) + v(p_k \cap p_l) - v(p_l) = v(p_k) \end{aligned}$$

for each pair j, k ; consequently

$$v(p_1) = \dots = v(p_r) = \mu.$$

Thus (3) may be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= r\mu + (r-1)v(o) = \\ &= r(\mu + v(o)) - v(o). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\mu + v(o) = \nu$ and $-v(o) = \lambda$, by (2) we get (1).

It is easy to see that if L is not simple, then the assertion of Theorem 1 does not remain valid. Consider, for example, the distributive lattice $D = \{o, a, b, i\}$ with the defining relations $o < a < i, o < b < i, a \cap b = o, a \cup b = i$ and define the function v by $v(o) = 2, v(a) = 6, v(b) = 7, v(i) = 11$. Then v is a valuation of D which obviously cannot be represented in the form (1).

In what follows we deal with complemented modular lattices of finite length which are not necessarily simple.

It is known ([1], pp. 120—121) that any complemented modular lattice L of finite length may be represented as a direct union

$$(4) \quad L = L_1 \times \dots \times L_n \quad (n \text{ finite})$$

of some simple lattices $L_1, \dots, L_n$. Obviously, each L_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) is again a complemented modular lattice of finite length. Using Theorem 1, we prove the following

Theorem 2. *Let L be a complemented modular lattice of finite length having the direct decomposition (4) and let d_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) denote the height function of L_j . If v is any valuation of L , then there exist real constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu$ such that*

$$(5) \quad v(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j d_j(x_j) + \mu$$

for every element x of L , where x_j denotes the j -th component of x in the direct decomposition (4).

PROOF. Let o_j ($j=1, \dots, n$) denote the least element of L_j . It is easy to see that the mapping v_j defined by

$$v_j(x_j) = v(o_1, \dots, o_{j-1}, x_j, o_{j+1}, \dots, o_n) \quad (x_j \in L_j)$$

is a valuation of L_j . Therefore, by a straightforward calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = v((x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, o_n) \cup (o_1, \dots, o_{n-1}, x_n)) = \\ &= v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, o_n) + v(o_1, \dots, o_{n-1}, x_n) - v(o) = \\ &= v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, o_n) + v_n(x_n) - v(o). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction for n ,

$$(6) \quad v(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j(x_j) - (n-1)v(o).$$

But, by Theorem 1 there exist real constants λ_j, μ_j ($j=1, \dots, n$) such that

$$v_j(x_j) = \lambda_j d_j(x_j) + \mu_j.$$

Thus (6) may be written in the form

$$v(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j d_j(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j - (n-1)v(o).$$

Taking

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j - (n-1)v(o) = \mu,$$

we get (5). Hence our theorem is proved.

Bibliography

- [1] G. BIRKHOFF, Lattice theory (Amer. Math. Soc., Colloquium Publ., Vol. XXV), revised edition, *New York*, 1948.
- [2] F. MAEDA, Kontinuierliche Geometrien (Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Bd. 95), *Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg*, 1958.

(Received May 6, 1960.)