

## Systems of one quadratic and two bilinear equations in a finite field

By A. DUANE PORTER (Laramie, Wyo.)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F = GF(q)$  be the finite field of  $q = p^r$  elements,  $p$  odd. At the turn of this century, L. E. DICKSON ([5], pp. 46—48) found the number of solutions in  $F$  of a single quadratic equation, and in 1954 L. CARLITZ [3] found the number of simultaneous solutions in  $F$  of certain pairs of quadratic equations. These results were followed in 1957 by the formulas of E. COHEN [4] for the number of simultaneous solutions in  $F$  of pairs of linear and quadratic equations. In this paper, we wish to generalize to the system of three equations

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j^2 = a; \quad \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j y_j = b; \quad \sum_{j=1}^n d_j x_j y_j = d,$$

where all coefficients are from  $F$ , and  $a_j b_j d_j \neq 0$ , all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Explicit formulas are obtained for the number of simultaneous solutions in  $F$  of this system. As is the case in Dickson's results, the number of solutions depends upon whether  $n$  is even or odd. We remark that among the systems (1.1), there occur also unsolvable ones. Consider, e. g. the case when  $b_j = d_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $b \neq d$ .

### 2. Notation and preliminaries

If  $\alpha$  is an element of  $F$ , we define

$$(2.1) \quad e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i t(\alpha)/p}; \quad t(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + \dots + \alpha^{p^{r-1}},$$

where by its definition  $t(\alpha)$  is an element of  $GF(p)$ . From (2.1), we may prove

$$(2.2) \quad e(\alpha + \beta) = e(\alpha)e(\beta),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\beta} e(\alpha\beta) = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where the indicated sum is over all  $\beta$  in  $F$ . If we let  $\psi$  denote the Legendre function for  $F$ , so  $\psi(\alpha) = 0, 1, -1$ , according as  $\alpha = 0$ , a nonzero square, or a non-square of  $F$ , we can define

$$(2.4) \quad v(\alpha) = 1 - \psi^2(\alpha).$$

In view of (2. 3) and (2. 4), one may easily prove

$$(2. 5) \quad \sum_{\beta} e(\alpha\beta) = v(\alpha)q - \sum_{i=1}^t e(\alpha\beta_i), \quad \beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_t.$$

The well known Gauss-sum ([1], § 3) for  $F$  and its values will be denoted by

$$(2. 6) \quad G(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta} e(\alpha\beta^2) = \begin{cases} q, & \alpha=0, \\ \sum_{\beta} \psi(\beta)e(\alpha\beta) = \psi(\alpha)G(1), & \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2. 7) \quad G^2(1) = \psi(-1)q.$$

The Cauchy—Gauss sum will be denoted by  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  and has, by [2], § 1, the values

$$(2. 8) \quad G(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\gamma} e(\alpha\gamma^2 + 2\beta\gamma) = \begin{cases} q, & \alpha=0, \quad \beta=0, \\ 0, & \alpha=0, \quad \beta \neq 0, \\ e(-\beta^2/\alpha)G(\alpha), & \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  are nonzero integers such that  $s_1 + \dots + s_k = n$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are distinct nonzero elements of  $F$ , then we rearrange the system (1. 1) such that

$$(2. 9) \quad \begin{cases} f_i = -d_j/b_j, & s_1 + \dots + s_{i-1} < j \leq s_1 + \dots + s_i, \\ \text{for } i=2, \dots, k, \text{ and for } i=1, \text{ we define } s_0=1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (2. 9) does not impose any restrictions on the system (1. 1).

Finally, we define

$$(2. 10) \quad \begin{cases} A = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n, \\ A_i = a_{s_{i-1}+1} \dots a_{s_i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k, \text{ and for } i=1, \\ S_0=0. \end{cases}$$

### 3. The number $N = N(A, n, a, b, d, f_i, s_i)$

We may now prove the

**Theorem.** *The number  $N = N(A, n, a, b, d, f_i, s_i)$  of simultaneous solutions in  $F$  of the system (1. 1) when  $a_j b_j d_j \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is given by*

$$(3. 1) \quad \begin{cases} N = q^{2n-3} + v(a) [ \{v(b)q - 1\} q^{n-2} + v(b) \{v(d)q - 1\} q^{n-1} ] + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k [v(bf_i + d) - 1] [q^{n+s_i-3} - v(a)q^{n-2} + \\ + q^{s_i-3} \psi(A_i) H(s_i)] + \begin{cases} R, & n \text{ even,} \\ T, & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \psi(A)\psi^{n/2}(-1)[v(a)q-1]q^{(3n-6)/2} \\ T &= \psi(aA)\psi^{(n+3)/2}(-1)q^{(3n-5)/2}, \\ H(s_i) &= \begin{cases} \psi^{s_i/2}(-1)[v(a)q-1]q^{(2n-s_i)/2}, & s_i \text{ even}, \\ \psi(a)\psi^{3(s_i+1)/2}(-1)q^{(2n-s_i+1)/2}, & s_i \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$\psi$  is the Legendre function for  $F$ ;  $v(\alpha)$  is defined by (2. 4);  $s_i$  and  $f_i$  are defined by (2. 9);  $A$  and  $A_i$  are defined by (2. 10).

PROOF. In view of (2. 3), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} N &= q^{-3} \sum_{x_j, y_j} \sum_{\alpha} e \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j^2 - a \right) \alpha \right\} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\beta} e \left\{ 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j y_j - b \right) \beta \right\} \sum_{\gamma} e \left\{ 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n d_j x_j y_j - d \right) \gamma \right\}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where the first sum to the right of the equality sign indicates a sum in which each  $x_j, y_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , takes on all values of  $F$  independently, and we have multiplied the bilinear equations by the constant 2 in order to simplify application of the Cauchy—Gauss sum below. If we now note (2. 2), interchange the order of sums and products, collect terms involving  $x_j$ , and sum over  $x_j$  in accordance with (2. 8), we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad N = q^{-3} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} e(-a\alpha - 2b\beta - 2d\gamma) \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{y_j} G(a_j \alpha, y_j [b_j \beta + d_j \gamma]).$$

To evaluate  $N$ , we write  $N = N_1 + N_2$ , where

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} N_1 = \text{sum of terms of (3. 3) corresponding to } \alpha = 0, \\ N_2 = \text{sum of terms of (3. 3) corresponding to } \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

When  $\alpha = 0$ , we must have  $y_j [b_j \beta + d_j \gamma] = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , or in view of (2. 8), the value of the product over  $j$  in (3. 3) will be zero. Hence, we break  $N_1$  into  $M_1 + M_2$ , where

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} M_1 = \text{sum of terms of } N_1 \text{ corresponding to } \gamma = 0, \\ M_2 = \text{sum of terms of } N_1 \text{ corresponding to } \gamma \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

When  $\gamma = 0$ , by the same reasoning as above, we must have  $y_j (b_j \beta) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Thus, to evaluate  $M_1$ , we break the sum over  $\beta$  in (3. 3) into  $\beta = 0$  plus the sum over  $\beta \neq 0$ . If we recall that  $b_j \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , so when  $\beta \neq 0$ , then  $y_j = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad M_1 = q^{2n-3} + [v(b)q-1]q^{n-3}.$$

When  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then  $b_j \beta + d_j \gamma = 0$  if and only if  $\beta = -d_j/b_j \gamma$  so, in view of (2. 9), if and only if  $\beta = f_i \gamma$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since the  $f_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , are distinct, if  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ , then  $\beta \neq f_j \gamma$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Thus, if we choose  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ , then  $y_j$  must be zero for all  $j$  except  $s_1 + \dots + s_{i-1} < j \leq s_1 + \dots + s_i$ , or else  $y_j [b_j \beta + d_j \gamma]$  will not be zero for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

With these comments, simple calculations will show that if we pick  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ , the product over  $j$  in (3. 3) has the value  $q^{n+s_i}$ . Also if  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ , all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then the product over  $j$  in (3. 3) equals  $q^n$ . If we now break the sum over  $\beta$  in (3. 3) into  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , plus the sum over  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and for each  $\beta$  use the corresponding values of the inner product indicated above, we have after rearranging terms

$$M_2 = q^{-3} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k (q^{n+s_i} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} e\{-2(bf_i + d)\gamma\}) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} e(-2d\gamma) \sum_{\beta} e(-2b\beta) q^n \right],$$

where the indicated sum over  $\beta$  is a sum over all  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Thus, in view of (2. 5)

$$(3. 7) \quad \sum_{\beta} e(-2b\beta) = v(b)q - \sum_{i=1}^k e(-2bf_i \gamma).$$

If we substitute (3. 7) into the above expression for  $M_2$ , regroup terms involving  $\gamma$  and  $f_i$ , sum over  $\gamma$  in accordance with (2. 5), and note that  $e(0)=1$ , we obtain

$$(3. 8) \quad M_2 = \sum_{i=1}^k [v(bf_i + d)q - 1](q^{n+s_i-3} - q^{n-3}) + v(b)[v(d)q - 1]q^{n-2}.$$

When  $\alpha \neq 0$ , if we recall  $a_j \neq 0$  and make the substitution required by (2. 8) into (3. 3), note also (2. 6) and (2. 10), sum over  $y_j$ , and recall that  $\psi$  is multiplicative, we have

$$(3. 9) \quad N_2 = q^{-3} \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \sum_{\beta, \gamma} e(-a\alpha - 2b\beta - 2d\gamma) \psi(A) G^n(1) \psi^n(\alpha) \prod_{j=1}^n G(-[b_j \beta + d_j \gamma]^2 / a_j \alpha).$$

We now let

$$(3. 10) \quad \begin{cases} Q_1 = \text{sum of terms of (3. 9) corresponding to } \gamma = 0, \\ Q_2 = \text{sum of terms of (3. 9) corresponding to } \gamma \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $\gamma = 0$ , if we note (2. 6), break the sum over  $\beta$  in (3. 9) into  $\beta = 0$  plus the sum over  $\beta \neq 0$ , and note (2. 6), (2. 10),  $Q_1$  may be written as

$$Q_1 = q^{-3} \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \psi^n(\alpha) e(-a\alpha) \psi(A) G^n(1) [q^n + [v(b)q - 1] \psi^n(-1) \psi(A) \psi^n(\alpha) G^n(1)].$$

We can see by (2. 6) that the value of  $Q_1$  depends upon whether  $n$  is even or odd.

If  $n$  is even (so  $\psi^n(\alpha) = \psi^n(-1) = 1$ ), then

$$(3. 11) \quad Q_1 = \psi(A) [v(a)q - 1] \psi^{n/2}(-1) q^{(3n-6)/2} + [v(a)q - 1] [v(b)q - 1] q^{n-3},$$

where  $v(\alpha)$  is defined by (2. 4).

If  $n$  is odd (so  $\psi^n(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ ,  $\psi^n(-1) = \psi(-1)$ ), then

$$(3. 12) \quad Q_1 = \psi(aA) \psi^{(n+3)/2}(-1) q^{(3n-5)/2} + [v(a)q - 1] [v(b)q - 1] q^{n-3}.$$

For  $\gamma \neq 0$ , if we use the same reasoning as used in the first paragraph under (3. 6), and choose  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ , for some fixed  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the product over  $j$  in (3. 9) has the value

$$(3. 13) \quad q^{s_i} \psi(A) \psi(A_i) \psi^{n-s_i}(\alpha) G^{n-s_i}(1) \psi^{n-s_i}(-1),$$

where  $A$  and  $A_i$  are defined by (2. 10). Similarly, when  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ , all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , this product over  $j$  has the value

$$(3. 14) \quad \psi(A)\psi^n(\alpha)G^n(1)\psi^n(-1).$$

To evaluate  $Q_2$ , we break the sum over  $\beta$  in (3. 9) into  $\beta = f_i \gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , plus the sum over  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ , and for each choice of  $\beta$  use (3. 13) or (3. 14) as the value of the corresponding value of the product over  $j$ . Thus, we have

$$(3. 15) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} Q_2 &= q^{-3} \psi(A)G^n(1) \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} e(-\alpha\alpha)\psi^n(\alpha) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} e\{-2(bf_i + d)\gamma\} \right) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \cdot q^{s_i} \psi(A)\psi(A_i)\psi^{n-s_i}(\alpha)G^{n-s_i}(1)\psi^{n-s_i}(-1) + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} e(-2d\gamma) \cdot \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \sum_{\beta} e(-2b\beta)\psi(A)\psi^n(\alpha)G^n(1)\psi^n(-1) \right], \end{aligned} \right.$$

where the indicated sum over  $\beta$  is a sum over all  $\beta \neq f_i \gamma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If we substitute the value of this sum, given by (3. 7), into (3. 15), regroup terms involving  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $f_i$ , sum over  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  in accordance with (2. 5), we obtain

$$(3. 16) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k [v(bf_i + d) - 1][q^{s_i-3}\psi(A_i)\psi^{n-s_i}(-1)G^{2n-s_i}(1) \\ &\quad \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \psi^{s_i}(\alpha)e(-\alpha\alpha) - [v(a)q - 1]q^{n-3}] + v(b)[v(a)q - 1][v(d)q - 1]q^{n-2}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

If we let

$$H(s_i) = \psi^{n-s_i}(-1)G^{2n-s_i}(1) \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \psi^{s_i}(\alpha)e(-\alpha\alpha),$$

then, in view of (2. 6) and (2. 7), the value of  $H(s_i)$  depends upon whether  $s_i$  is even or odd.

If  $s_i$  is even (so  $\psi^{s_i}(\alpha) = 1$ ), then

$$(3. 17) \quad H(s_i) = \psi^{s_i/2}(-1)[v(a)q - 1]q^{(2n-s_i)/2}.$$

If  $s_i$  is odd (so  $\psi^{s_i}(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ ), then

$$(3. 18) \quad H(s_i) = \psi(a)\psi^{3(s_i+1)/2}q^{(2n-s_i+1)/2}.$$

Hence, recalling that  $N = N_1 + N_2 = M_1 + M_2 + Q_1 + Q_2$ , noting (3. 6), (3. 8), (3. 11), (3. 12), (3. 16), (3. 17), (3. 18), and regrouping terms, the Theorem is established.

### References

- [1] L. CARLITZ, The singular series for sums of squares of polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* **14** (1947), 1105—1120.
- [2] L. CARLITZ, Weighted quadratic partitions in a finite field, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 137—153.
- [3] L. CARLITZ, Pairs of quadratic equations in a finite field, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 137—153.
- [4] E. COHEN, Simultaneous pairs of linear and quadratic equations in a finite field, *Canad. J. Math.* **9** (1957), 74—78.
- [5] L. E. DICKSON, *Linear Groups*, Leipzig, 1901.

(Received June 10, 1965.)